# **SELF-ORGANIZING FEATURE MAPS**

Neurons are the basic building blocks of the nervous system, and they successfully communicate information and perform rather complex pattern processing and recognition. Neural processes are characterized by intensive connections, inherent parallelism, self adaptation, and organization.

The growing scientific field of artificial neural networks uses mathematical modeling and computer simulation to achieve robust learning and pattern information processing analogous to the nervous system by interconnecting simple yet nonlinear computational elements.

Several potential application areas have been considered recently, ranging from control systems to speech synthesis and image processing. The preliminary results are promising and have opened up new research alternatives to the conventional computer programming paradigm and even artificial intelligence and expert systems.



**Figure 1.** Lateral activation pattern.





**Figure 2.** Output neuron lattice. **Figure 4.** Initial weights, second class.

Artificial neural networks are broadly classified by the **SELF-ORGANIZATION PRINCIPLES** type of their connective structure, input–output transfer function, and learning paradigm, which describes how the Self-organizing feature maps topologically emulate salient

The so-called self-organization and feature mapping in un-<br>nervised neural networks is typically associated with the The network's output neurons are usually conveniently ar-



connective weights are adjusted to adapt the network's dy- features in the input signal space at the neural network outnamic performance to achieve application goals. put without explicitly using supervision or even reinforce-<br>The so-called self-organization and feature manning in un-<br>ment of correct output behavior.

supervised neural networks is typically associated with the The network's output neurons are usually conveniently ar-<br>special adaptive behavior of connective weights in a training ranged in single one-dimensional or two-di special adaptive behavior of connective weights in a training ranged in single one-dimensional or two-dimensional layers.<br>Tull connectivity to the inputs is tacitly assumed. Lateral pos-<br>nhase intended to selectively extra phase, intended to selectively extract salient input features Full connectivity to the inputs is tacitly assumed. Lateral pos-<br>under either a deterministic or a stochastic environment  $\Delta$  itive and negative feedback conne under either a deterministic or a stochastic environment. A tive and negative feedback connections are also applied to<br>self-organized learning style capitalizes on the competition help in convincingly deciding the outcome self-organized learning style capitalizes on the competition<br>among output neurons and their surrounding neighborhoods<br>to code input distribution which consistently improves with<br>training a competition lets a specific outpu

by Kohonen.

Therefore, the strengths of interconnective weights are expressed in an  $n \times m$  weight matrix  $W(k)$ , and the lateral feedback coefficients are similarly collected in an  $n \times n$  matrix *C*, which has a symmetrical band structure. Furthermore, the



**Figure 3.** Initial weights, first class. **Figure 5.** Converged weights, first class.



**Figure 6.** Converged weights, second class.

width of this band structure determines the effective size of neighborhoods surrounding each output neuron: Let *n* be the **Figure 8.** Convergence of learning algorithm. total number of output layer neurons, and let  $Y(k) \in R^n$  be the neuron outputs at the *k*<sup>th</sup> discrete itration step. Let  $X(k)$  $\in R^m$  and  $U(k) \in R^n$  be the input stimuli vector and the net Then the output neuron activity is modeled by weighted sum.

Finally, consider a nonlinear activation function designated by  $\Phi: R^n \to R^n$ 



$$
\mathbf{Y}(k+1) = \Phi[\mathbf{V}(k)] \tag{1}
$$

$$
\mathbf{V}(k) = \mathbf{U}(k) + \beta C(k)Y(k)
$$
 (2)

$$
\boldsymbol{U}(k) = W(k)\boldsymbol{X}(k) \tag{3}
$$

### Details effect

Level 4: 16 x 16 pixels per feature means fewer details Level 0: 1 x 1 pixel per feature means more details



% Distance between Coke diet and Coke For different levels of details



Figure 7. Effect of image details on recognition. **Figure 9.** Effect of noise on recognition.

and  $\beta$  reflects a scalar relaxation factor that increases or decreases the effect of lateral feedback connections.

The set of Eqs.  $(1)$ – $(3)$  may be solved assuming typical center-surrounding input vector patterns *X*(*k*). Considerable sim-







**Figure 10.** Topology of neighborhoods. **Figure 12.** Initial lattice weights.

plification is effected if  $\Phi$  is taken to be piecewise linear and  $(\mathbf{W}_i, \mathbf{X}) = \|\mathbf{W}_i - \mathbf{X}\|_2 = \sum^m$ 

$$
C(k) = C \tag{4}
$$

These assumptions produce an emergent output neuron behavior that amounts to ignoring lateral feedback and using a variable-size surrounding neighborhood that depends on k.<br>The concept of neighborhood allows gradually decoupling to-<br>pological groups of output layer neurons, which is similar to<br> $X \in R^m$  is the input vector, and  $\alpha(k)$  (*k*) is a scalar learning rate. pological groups of output layer neurons, which is similar to Several possible learning rate expressions are as follows: fuzzy system membership functions.

## **LEARNING ALGORITHMS**

Self-organized feature mapping is essentially a transformation from the input signal space to a topologically ordered but reduced-dimensional output neuron activity pattern.  $X \in$  $R^{mn}$  is the input vector,  $W_i \in R^m$  is the associated connection  $\alpha(k) = \alpha_0 \exp\left(\frac{-k^2}{J_\alpha}\right)$  weight.

The dot vector product  $W_i \cdot X$  is generally a scalar measure of the geometric projection of the input vector on a subspace spanned by the weights.





Iteration #: 6

$$
\mathbf{W}_i, \mathbf{X}) = \|\mathbf{W}_i - \mathbf{X}\|_2 = \sum_{j=1}^m (W_{ij} - X_j)^2
$$
(5)

 $C(k) = C$  (4)  $i^*(X) =$  number of neuron widths ( $W_i, X$ ) = global minimum

$$
\mathbf{W}_i(k+1) = \mathbf{W}_i(k) + \alpha(k)[\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{W}_i(k)] \tag{6}
$$

$$
\alpha(k) = \alpha \tag{7}
$$

$$
\alpha(k) = \frac{1}{k} \tag{8}
$$

$$
\alpha(k) = \alpha_0 \exp\left(\frac{-k}{J_\alpha}\right) \tag{9}
$$

$$
\alpha(k) = \alpha_0 \exp\left(\frac{-k^2}{J_\alpha}\right) \tag{10}
$$

$$
\alpha(k) = \alpha_0 \frac{1}{\ln(1+k)}\tag{11}
$$

 $x \in R^{ \text{\tiny{10}}}$ 

Therefore, a designated neuron has the most match, expressed in its connective weight, to the input features. The same idea can be conceptualized by letting the output neurons compete for replication of input vectors, by using the Euclidean distance.



Iteration #: 3012 **Figure 11.** Input distribution. **Figure 13.** Trained lattice weights.



iteration #: 4000

discussed earlier, to various approximation degrees.<br>This adaptive learning undates the weights of the winning. The connective weight quantization to reduce the overall

neuron and its surrounding neighbors according to a modified Hebbian rule. under the continuous control of neighborhood size reduction.

To gain insight into the self-organized feature map and the dimensional, the algorithm gave only suboptimal results. effect of some parameters used in the algorithm, we consider two distinct illustrative computer simulations. **CONCLUSION AND ADVANCED APPLICATIONS**

The objective is to extract and approximately replicate the pological classification of sensory input signals. The network salient features in input vector topological clusters by using performance hinges, however, on the neighborhood indicator 100 output neurons arranged in a  $10 \times 10$  two-dimensional layer. Normalization and preprocessing of input vectors also en-



Figure 15. Overlapping inputs distribution. cessor systems.

# **SELF-ORGANIZING FEATURE MAPS 771**

A training set of 1000 input vectors is presented to the neural network without the benefit of supervision or reinforcement. Initial weights are random and have small values. The results obtained after several iterative epochs are presented along with the corresponding network parameters. Several statistically independent simulations are run to ensure that the network orients its weights toward the important input distribution characteristics. The renormalization of weights is effective in keeping the network on track. Selforganization is evident following sufficient presentations of Displayed map plot at input and learning iterations.

# **Classification of Scanned Two-Dimensional Images Figure 14.** Converged lattice weights.

Input images exhibit considerable center-surround correlation, which aids in forming output neuron prototypes that dis-Now an appropriate neighborhood size is postulated a pri- tinguish among image classes. Each scanned image is sliced ori and later on allowed to be relaxed by size reduction. into *N* parts. The reliability of classification depends on *N*. These parametric neighborhood indicator functions are Subsequently each part is subdivided into *N* segments, and useful then the average image intensity over all parts is calculated.

Ten output neurons are assumed, arranged in a one-di-Rectangular mensional layer. Four image classes were considered with Trapezoidal 10% noise added as an illustration. More than 80% classification accuracy was possible after tuning the experimental net- Gaussian work parameters. The observations at neural network out-Each of these functions allows the neuron activity patterns, puts are independent and identically distributed, so that a discussed ordinate to verious approximation degrees

This adaptive learning updates the weights of the winning The connective weight quantization to reduce the overall

The presentation of results to visualize input–output mapping requires plotting a set of piecewise linear curves that **COMPUTER SIMULATIONS** show how the output neurons are affected by input classes. Because the output layer in this case is required to be one-

**Clustering of Two-Dimensional Data** The self-organizing feature map neural network has been il-Here, two-dimensional distributed data sets are addressed. lustrated to provide an effective and natural approach for tofunction and the learning rate to achieve proper convergence. hances the extraction of invariant and salient features embedded in the input space.

> The recognition abilities are robust to noisy corruption of inputs and to inaccuracies representing connective weights.

> Applications of self-organizing feature maps abound in engineering. They have been exploited in robot control, equalization of communications channels, texture classification, vehicular radar navigation, biomedical diagnosis, and detecting overlaps in manufacturing group technology.

> Advanced applications involve combining self-organization learning paradigms with least mean squares supervision to achieve high performance. The role of the feature map is to distill key features from input space and to ease the classification task, especially in the presence of complicated boundaries between classes.

Hierarchical self-organization also presents opportunities <sup>4</sup> for future advances in streamlining computation on multipro-

### **772 SELF-TUNING REGULATORS**

### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- D. Kleinfeld, Sequential state generation by model neural networks, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA,* **83**: 9469–9473, 1986.
- E. Knudsen, S. DuLac, and S. Easterly, Computational maps in the brain, *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.,* **10**: 41–65, 1987.
- T. Kohonen, Correlation matrix memories, *IEEE Trans. Comput.,* **C-21**: 353–359, 1972.
- T. Kohonen, Self-organized formation of topologically correct feature maps, *Biological Cybern.,* **43** (1): 59–69, 1982.
- T. Kohonen, An introduction to neural computing, *Neural Networks,* **1**: 3–16, 1988.
- T. Kohonen, Physiological interpretation of the self-organizing map algorithm, *Neural Networks,* **6**: 895–905, 1993.
- M. Kuperstein, Neural model of adaptive hand-eye coordination for single postures, *Science,* **239**: 1308–1311, 1988.
- A. Lapedes and R. Farber, A self-optimizing non-symmetrical neural net for content addressable memory and pattern recognition, *Physica,* **22D**: 247–259, 1986.
- Y. Linde, A. Buzo, and R. Gray, An algorithm for vector quantizer design, *IEEE Trans. Commun.,* **28**: 84–95, 1980.
- W. Little and G. Shaw, A statistical theory of short term memory, *Behav. Biol.,* **14**: 115–133, 1975.
- S. Luttrell, Self-organization: A derivation from first principles of a class of learning algorithm, *Proc. IJCNN 89,* **2**: 1989, pp. 495–498.
- C. von der Malsburg, Outline of a theory for ontogenesis of iso-orientation domains in visual cortex, *Biological Cybern.,* **45** (1): 49– 56, 1982.

H. S. ABDEL-ATY-ZOHDY M. A. ZOHDY Oakland University

SELF-TIMED CIRCUITS. See ASYNCHRONOUS CIRCUITS; ASYNCHRONOUS SEQUENTIAL LOGIC.