Chirp modulation, which has been extensively used in radar systems since World War II (1), was introduced by Germans at the end of the war in the design of a pulse radar called Kugelschale (2). The main reason behind the introduction of the chirp modulation to pulse radar technology was its antijamming properties. The technique was later rectified and enriched during 1940s, and since the early 1950s chirp modulation has been used in radar systems to solve the conflicting requirements of simultaneous long-range and high-resolution performance (3).

The first possible applications of chirp modulation in data communications were considered in the early 1960s. Winkler (4) proposed a system in which the linear frequency sweep of the chirp signal assumed a positive or negative slope corresponding to binary data symbols $+1$ and -1 , respectively. Since then, chirp modulation and its several modifications and generalizations have been considered for both spreadspectrum and narrowband applications where immunity against Doppler frequency shift (5) and fading due to multipath propagation is important.

These were never intended to replace other bandpass digital modulation schemes, like (5) amplitude shift keying (ASK), phase shift keying (PSK) or frequency shift keying (FSK), but rather considered useful techniques for niche applications. These applications have included but have not been limited to aircraft-ground data links via satellite repeaters (6), low-rate data transmission in the high-frequency (HF) band (7), narrowband data transmission (8), indoor wireless local area networks (WLANs) (9), data communications utiliz-

ing a building's power cabling (10), and acoustic modems for underwater communications (11).

CLASSIFICATION OF CHIRP AND RELATIVE MODULATIONS

f modulation (CM) in digital communication systems in the early 1960s, several authors have proposed different digital modulation schemes based on incorporating CM to achieve desired characteristics of the modulated signal. In this section, we classify some of these schemes into (1) pure CM, (2) Since CM is equivalent to linear FM within each pulse, we generalized CM, and (3) hybrid CM techniques.

Pure Chirp Modulation

CM or linear frequency modulation, in its pure form, refers to the creation of such a waveform in which the instantaneous frequency of the signal changes linearly between the lower and upper frequency limits. This is graphically illustrated in the Fig. 1, which shows the two basic types of chirp pulses where A is the signal amplitude, usually being a constant of

For the positive chirp $c_n(t)$, the instantaneous frequency $f^{\scriptscriptstyle +}_{{\rm i}}(t)$ increases during the pulse duration and is expressed as

$$
f_1^+(t) = f_1 + (f_u - f_1)\frac{t}{T}, \quad 0 \le t \le T
$$

where f_1 and f_u are the lower and the upper frequency limits, respectively, and T is the duration of the chirp pulse. In the case of the negative chirp $c_n(t)$, $f_i(t)$ decreases during the pulse duration and is given by Assuming, as Winkler in Ref. 4, that $c_p(t)$ is used to transmit

$$
f_1^-(t) = f_1 - (f_1 - f_1)\frac{t}{T}, \quad 0 \le t \le T
$$

Introducing modulation index *h*, defined in the same way as CM signal $c(t, I)$, for binary frequency shift keying (FSK) (5)

$$
h = (f_{\rm u} - f_1)T = \Delta fT
$$

Figure 1. Illustration of positive and negative chirp pulses and their instantaneous frequency profiles.

we can express $f_i^+(t)$ as

$$
f_1^+(t) = \left(f_c - 0.5\frac{h}{T}\right) + \frac{ht}{T^2}, \quad 0 \le t \le T
$$

where f_c denotes the central frequency of the chirp pulse, Since publication of the first idea about possible use of chirp sometimes referred to as the carrier frequency. Similarly, modulation (CM) in digital communication systems in the $f_i^-(t)$ is given by

$$
f_1^-(t) = \left(f_c + 0.5\frac{h}{T}\right) - \frac{ht}{T^2}, \quad 0 \le t \le T
$$

$$
c_{p}(t) = A \cos \left[2\pi \int_{0}^{t} f_{i}^{+}(\tau) d\tau + \phi_{0} \right]
$$

= $A \cos \left[2\pi \int_{0}^{t} \left(f_{c} - 0.5 \frac{h}{T} + \frac{h\tau^{2}}{T^{2}} \right) d\tau + \phi_{0} \right]$ (1)

and their instantaneous frequency profiles.

For the positive chirn $c(t)$ the instantaneous frequency the integration in Eq. (1) yields

$$
c_{\rm p}(t) = A \cos \left[2\pi \left(f_{\rm c} - 0.5 \frac{h}{T} \right) t + \frac{\pi h t^2}{T^2} + \phi_0 \right]; 0 < t \le T \quad (2)
$$

By analogy, we can write

$$
c_{n}(t) = A \cos \left[2\pi \left(f_c + 0.5 \frac{h}{T} \right) t - \frac{\pi h t^2}{T^2} + \phi_0 \right]; 0 < t \leq T \quad (3)
$$

binary 1 and $c_n(t)$ to transmit -1 , we can regard a sequence $f_i^-(t) = f_u - (f_u - f_1) \frac{t}{\pi}, \quad 0 \le t \le T$ of pulses $c_p(t)$ and $c_n(t)$ bearing the binary data sequence $I =$ $\{I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_k, \ldots, I_n\}, I_k \in \{-1, 1\},$ as a general form for a pure

$$
c(t, I) = A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi(t - kT, I_k)
$$
\n(4)

where

$$
\xi(t, I_{k}) = \begin{cases} \cos\left[2\pi \left(f_{c} - \frac{I_{k}h}{2T}\right)t + \frac{\pi I_{k}ht^{2}}{T^{2}} + \phi_{k}\right], & 0 < t \leq T \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$
\n(5)

 $I_k \in \{-1, 1\}$, and ϕ_k is the starting phase of a *k*th modulated signal pulse. Usually, there are no restrictions placed on the distribution of ϕ_k in the pure CM scheme.

To calculate useful characteristics of the modulated signal, it is convenient to represent it as a bandpass signal (5). In such a notation, we represent the CM signal given by Eqs. (4) and (5) as

$$
c(t, I) = A \operatorname{Re} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v(t - kT, I_k) \right]
$$
 (6)

where

$$
v(t, I_{k}) = \begin{cases} \exp[j2\pi hI_{k}q_{p}(t)]\exp[j(2\pi f_{c}t + \phi_{k})], & 0 < t \leq T \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$
(7)

 $j = \sqrt{-1}$, and $q_p(t)$ is an elementary phase pulse. Comparing Eqs. (5) and (7), it is easy to note that the CM characteristic elementary phase pulse $q_p(t)$ is given by

$$
q_{\rm p}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t^2}{2T^2} - \frac{t}{2T}, & 0 < t \le T \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

In general, the initial phase ϕ_k of each CM pulse can be different and take any value from the interval $[0, 2\pi)$. For simplicity of representation, let us assume here that the considered CM signal is of a continuous phase modulation (CPM) (5) type. Because the phase increment $\Delta \phi$ introduced by the baseband component $b(t, I_k)$ of $v(t, I_k)$,

$$
b(t, I_k) = \begin{cases} \exp[j2\pi h I_k q_p(t)], & 0 < t \le T\\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

is equal to zero for $t = T$ (i.e., at the end of each CM pulse), the assumption of the phase continuity in the CM signal has no influence on $b(t, I_k)$ and therefore no influence on important signal characteristics.

Hence, we can describe the CM signal as

$$
c(t, I) = A \operatorname{Re} \{ \beta(t, I) \exp[j(2\pi f_c t + \phi_0)] \}
$$
(8)

where ϕ_0 is an initial phase of the carrier wave and $\beta(t, I)$ is the equivalent CM baseband signal

$$
\beta(t, \mathbf{I}) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} b(t - kT, I_k)
$$
\n(9)

equal to zero, there is a lack of correlation among the modulated signal pulses. This leads to rather poor error perfor-
mance of the pure CM compared with other modulation
schemes in the additive white gaussian noise (AWGN) chan-
(11) takes the form nel (12). Thus pure CM is rarely considered for narrowband systems. In contrast, the excellent performance of wideband CM signals, $h \geq 1$, in mobile environments experiencing both multipath propagation and Doppler shift (7,9,11), or in the presence of continuous wave (CW) jammers (10) increased in-
terest in applying CM or CM-like signals in spread spectrum number of a current data symbol. To achieve a possible physiterest in applying CM or CM-like signals in spread spectrum cal realization (i.e., a limited value of an instantaneous fre- (SS) (5) systems.

A method for overcoming the weaknesses of the pure CM in the matrix, the call be done of the lating pulses—that is, pulses fulfilling the condition narrowband systems and preserving some of its advantages (e.g., immunity to Doppler shift) was proposed by Wysocki (8). The method referred to as generalized chirp modulation (GCM) is based on setting a relationship between a modulating signal $m(t)$ and an instantaneous value $\phi(t)$ of the infor-
mation-carrying phase component by means of the different ing data equation
equation

$$
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} [\phi(t)] = 2\pi h m(t) \qquad (10) \qquad \sigma(N) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} (1-\frac{1}{2})^k
$$

Therefore, $\phi(t)$ is expressed as is bounded.

$$
\phi(t) = 2\pi h \int_0^t \int_0^\tau m(\vartheta) \, d\vartheta \, d\tau \tag{11}
$$

Figure 2. Examples of balanced modulating pulses $q_a(t)$ and the corresponding elementary frequency pulses $q_f(t)$: (a) Double chirp, Since the total phase increment $\Delta \phi$ introduced by $b(t, I_k)$ is (b) triple chirp, (c) sinusoidal chirp, (d) quadruple chirp.

$$
\phi(t,\mathbf{I}) = 2\pi h \sum_{k=0}^{N} I_k \int_0^t \int_0^{\tau} q_a(\vartheta - kT) d\vartheta d\tau \qquad (12)
$$

quency of the modulated signal), it is necessary to ensure the Generalized Chirp Modulation **Generalized Chirp Modulation** nonexistence of a constant component in the modulating sig-
nal $m(t)$. This can be done either by the use of balanced modu-

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q_a(\tau) d\tau = 0 \tag{13}
$$

$$
\sigma(N) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} I_k + \sigma(0) \tag{14}
$$

Examples of modulating pulse shapes satisfying the condition of Eq. (13) are given in Fig. 2. The modulation scheme obtained by use of polar $(+1, -1)$ data and the pulse shape

given in Fig. 2(a) have been considered by Wysocki (13), while the scheme using pulses of Fig. 2(b) has been named the triple chirp modulation and investigated by Wysocki and Weber (14) . Interestingly, the scheme utilizing pulses of Figure 2(c) is equivalent to continuous phase frequency shift keying (CPFSK) with raised cosine modulating pulses (5).

It is simple to prove that neither unipolar nor bipolar binary uncorrelated data can satisfy the condition of Eq. (14) (5). This can be achieved only by a premodulation encoding, when a balanced line code is employed. The class of balanced line codes is a very broad one (5) (e.g., AMI, PST, 4B3T, HDB3). Certainly, the modulated signal obtained as a result of different premodulation encoding has a different performance. To choose the most suitable line code, let us consider an instantaneous value of the modulated signal frequency deviation $\Delta f(t)$ given by

$$
\Delta f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\frac{d}{dt} \phi(t, \mathbf{I}) \right] = h \sum_{k=0}^{n} I_k \int_0^t q_a(\tau - kT) d\tau
$$

$$
= h \sum_{k=0}^{N} I_k q_f(t - kT)
$$
(15)

where $q_f(t)$ is an elementary frequency pulse.

From Eq. (15) we can notice that, apart from the scaling function of the modulation index *h*, the maximum frequency deviation Δf_m depends on the shape of the elementary frequency pulse $q_i(t)$ and on the bounds of $\sigma(N)$. For example, **Figure 3.** Bounded instantaneous frequency and smooth phase traassumming a rectangular elementary modulating pulse $q_a(t)$ leading to a full response signaling (FRS) (5)

$$
q_{\rm a}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{T^2}, & 0 < t \le T \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$
 (16)

$$
q_f(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq 0 \\ \frac{t}{T^2}, & 0 < t \leq T \\ \frac{1}{T}, & T < t \end{cases}
$$

$$
\Delta f(t) = \frac{h}{T} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} I_k + h I_N \frac{t - (N-1)T}{T^2}
$$

Substituting $\sigma(N)$ defined by Eq. (14) for the sum yields **Hybrid Chirp Modulations**

$$
\Delta f(t) = \frac{h}{T} \sigma(N-1) + hI_N \frac{t - (N-1)T}{T^2}
$$

Thus, in the considered case, Δf_m is proportional to the maxi- pulses. The inherent capability of interference rejection mum deviation of $\sigma(N)$ for the applied premodulation code. In makes this type of modulation a good candidate in SS systhe general case, the relation between the deviation of $\sigma(N)$ tems, particularly because of a low Doppler sensitivity (16). of the premodulation code and Δf_m is not as simple as for the In addition, generation and correlative reception of such modulating pulse described by Eq. (16). However, with regard pulses can be easily accomplished by the use of digital signal to the bandwidth utilization, variations of $\sigma(N)$ for the pre- processing or high-performance surface acoustic wave (SAW) modulation code should be as low as possible. Therefore, the devices, which provide near-optimum chirp filter characterisoptimal line code for premodulation encoding for GCM is al- tics (17). Even better performance can be obtained if CM is

jectories for PRS GCM, $L = 3$.

ternate mark inversion (AMI) code, characterized by minimal variations of $\sigma(N)$ being equal to 1.

Examples of instantaneous frequency and phase trajectories for PRS GCM, $L = 3$, with AMI premodulation encoding the elementary frequency pulse $q_f(t)$ is given by (AMI-GCM) are presented in Fig. 3. It is clearly visible that the frequency deviation Δf_m is bounded.

A complete analysis of GCM signals AMI-GCM is presented in Ref. (8) for the case of rectangular pulses $q_a(t)$ and for both FRS and partial response signaling (PRS) scenarios. Further information on this topic, including analysis of AMI-GCM signals with nonlinear pulses $q_a(t)$, is available in Ref. (15). All modulation schemes considered in Refs. (8) and (15) and the instantaneous value of the frequency deviation $\Delta f(t)$ are, for some values of modulation parameters, characterized is expressed as by better spectral efficiency and better error performance in the AWGN channel than equivalent CPFSK. Thus, the AMI-GCM concept can be utilized to design robust narrowband CPM schemes.

In the previous subsection, we considered the use of GCM as $\Delta f(t) = \frac{h}{T} \sigma(N-1) + hI_N \frac{t - (N-1)T}{T^2}$ an alternative to CPFSK in the narrowband communication systems. Previously, we considered the use of CM in SS communications, where spreading is obtained by means of chirp combined with another form of modulation, like multilevel phase shift keying (MPSK) or additional coding. The following three subsections describe three examples of hybrid chirp modulations.

Chirp Modulation Combined with Pseudonoise Coding. Substantially improved antijam and antimultipath performance can be obtained if CM is combined with additional spreading by the use of pseudonoise (PN) coding. Such concepts have been considered by several authors (e.g., see Refs. 18 and 19). Use of the PN coding over CM signals allows also for creation of a multiuser code division multiple access (CDMA) system (5).

The modulated signal $c_p(t, I)$ in these systems is mathematically described by the following expression:

$$
c_{\rm P}(t, I) = A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_{\rm P}(t - kT, I_{\rm k})
$$
 (17)

where

$$
\xi_{\rm P}(t, I_{\rm k}) = \begin{cases} g(t) \cos \left[2\pi \left(f_{\rm c} - \frac{I_{\rm k}h}{2T} \right) t + \frac{\pi I_{\rm k}ht^2}{T^2} + \phi_{\rm k} \right] & 0 \\ 0 & 0 < t \le T \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$
(18)

and $g(t)$ is a spreading waveform given by

$$
g(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} c_i P(t - iT_c)
$$
 (19)

(**a**)

Figure 4. Illustration of chirp modulation combined with pseudo-
noise coding: (a) 8-bit spreading code. (b) positive chirp pulse spread The detection of CM-PSK signals can be performed by dinoise coding: (a) 8-bit spreading code, (b) positive chirp pulse spread by this code. viding the received signal into subbands, and detected with-

Figure 5. Illustration of the CM-BDPSK: (a) modulating data, (b) instantaneous frequency of the modulated signal, (c) instantaneous phase of the modulated signal with visible phase shifts due to BDPSK.

 $g(t) = \sum c_i P(t - iT_c)$ (19) with c_i being symbolic of a spreading code, $c_i = \pm 1$, and $P(t)$ being a pulse defined by

$$
P(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 < t \le T_c \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

 T_c is the duration of single PN code symbol, usually referred to as the chip duration (5), and *N* is the number of PN sequence symbols per single chirp pulse. Figure 4 presents an example of a positive chirp pulse combined with 8-bit spreading code.

The performance of such schemes was analyzed under different jamming conditions (19,20), and there was significant improvement in the error performance of the schemes compared with pure CM or conventional direct sequence (DS) SS systems, where, instead of CM, phase shift keying (PSK) is employed (5). This benefit, however, is partially offset by the increase in bandwidth occupied by modulated signals and therefore can be attributed to an increased processing gain of resulting SS signals (5).

Chirp Modulation Combined with Phase Shift Keying

The concept of combining CM with PSK (CM-PSK) has been studied in Ref. 9. In the proposed scheme, the carrier wave is phase modulated by data and spread by an auxillary linear FM within each modulated signal symbol. Only one type of chirp pulse is used, either positive chirp or negative chirp. The modulation concept is illustrated in the Fig. 5, which shows how the instantaneous frequency and the phase of CM-PSK depend on the modulating data in the case of CM com-

out using matched filters (9). The error performance of a system utilizing CM-PSK can be significantly better than that of a system utilizing equivalent plain PSK in the case of multipath environments and frequency selective jamming (9). No comparison has been made, however, between CM-PSK and DS-SS having the same spreading ratio (5).

Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum Combined with Multiple Chirps

One of the applications being considered for DS-SS systems are high-data-rate WLANs, where the spreading is utilized not only to achieve frequency diversity (5) but also to implement multiple access to the radio channel by means of CDMA (5). The main problem, however, associated with CDMA use for WLANs stems from the fact that the bandwidth available for such systems is on the order of tens of megahertz while a data rate of several megabits per second is required. Therefore, the processing gain of the spread spectrum is very low As an example, let us consider a DS CDMA scheme where and, consequently, in-band jammers, like other channels of BPSK is used as data modulation. A set of 13 (out of a possithe same CDMA-based WLAN, may block the communica- ble 16) 16-bit Walsh-Rademacher functions listed in Table 1

nel require the use of short-length spreading codes that are The function *w*(*t*) has the shape shown in Fig. 2(d). Because characterized by high off-peak autocorrelation (5) and high the maximum delay that can occur between two different cross-correlation between nonsynchronized codes. In an in-
paths in the system is equivalent to about 50 ch cross-correlation between nonsynchronized codes. In an in-
door environment, where multipath propagation is a serious like the period T_w of the function $w(t)$ to be greater than 50 door environment, where multipath propagation is a serious like the period T_M of the function $w(t)$ to be greater than 50 problem and transmitters are generally located at different chips (say, 64 chips, which is equal distances from the receiver, the aforementioned properties of four data bits). short spreading codes are the source of high interference Therefore, $w(t)$ can be expressed as among network users and self-jamming by means of intersymbol interference (ISI).

A significant improvement in the correlation properties of transmitted signals can be achieved if the DS-SS scheme is combined with multiple chirp modulation (21). Unlike with where CM-PSK, we would like here to introduce, due to the carrier modification, as low additional spreading as possible because of the spreading introduced already by applied spreading codes. Study of several possible modifications revealed that the best improvement in correlational properties of the DS CDMA signal can be obtained with a minimal increase in the occupied bandwidth, if double or quadruple chirp pulses [see Figs. 2(b) and 2(d)] are applied.

Hence, the corresponding to *i*th channel modulated signal $s_i(t)$ is described as

$$
s_{\rm i}(t) = Ag_{\rm i}(t)\cos\left[2\pi f_{\rm c}t + 2\pi \int_0^t w(t) \, d\tau + \phi(t, I) + \phi_0\right] \tag{20}
$$

where $\phi(t, I)$ is the information-carrying phase component, usually obtained by means of BPSK or QPSK (5), and the modified carrier wave $\chi_{\text{M}}(t)$ is given by

$$
\chi_{\rm M}(t) = A \cos \left[2\pi f_c t + 2\pi \int_0^t w(t) \, d\tau + \phi_0 \right] \tag{21}
$$

with the function $w(t)$ being either a triangular function [see Fig. 2(a)] leading to a double chirp (21) or a superposition of two triangular functions having different periods [see Fig. 2(d)] leading to a quadruple chirp (22). To obtain the desired
characteristics of the modulated signal, we can optimize parameter 6. Plot of maximum crosscorrelation magnitude between any
characteristics of the modulated codes. is very significant.

Table 1. Set of 13 Spreading Codes

Bipolar Sequence	
$1 1 1 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 1 1 1 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1$ $11 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 11111 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 111$ -1 -1 1 1 1 1 -1 -1 1 1 -1 -1 -1 -1 1 1 -1 -1 1 1 -1 -1 1 1 1 1 -1 -1 1 1 -1 -1 $11 - 1 - 111 - 1 - 111 - 1 - 111 - 1 - 1$ $1 - 1 - 1$ 1 1 -1 -1 1 1 -1 -1 1 1 -1 -1 1	
$-111 - 1 - 111 - 11 - 1 - 111 - 1 - 11$ $-111 - 11 - 1 - 111 - 1 - 11 - 111 - 1$ $1 - 1 - 11 - 111 - 11 - 1 - 11 - 111 - 1$ $1 - 11 - 1 - 11 - 111 - 11 - 1 - 11 - 11$ $-11 - 111 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 1 - 11 - 11$ $-11 - 11 - 11 - 111 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 1$ 1-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-	

tions. is used as spreading codes to obtain 13 different channels op-The low available bandwidth and high data rate per chan- erating simultaneously in the same frequency bandwidth. chips (say, 64 chips, which is equal to the duration time of

$$
w(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_1 \left[Tr \left(\frac{t - kT}{16T_{\rm c}} \right) + \alpha_2 Tr \left(\frac{t - kT}{32T_{\rm c}} \right) \right]
$$

$$
Tr(t) = \begin{cases} -2(t - 0.5), & 0 \le t < 1 \\ 2(t - 1.5), & 1 \le t < 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

the described example system. For some values of α_1 and α_2 , reduction

Figure 7. Improvement in the signal autocorrelation for the spreading sequence 1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1: *P*{*I*^k = 1} = *p*, *p*{*I*^k = −1} = *q*, *p* + *q* = 1 (a) plain carrier wave, (b) modified carrier.

The parameters α_1 and α_2 can be optimized to achieve the given by Eq. (9), with the probabilities minimum crosscorrelation between any possible pair of signals corresponding to different channels. The plot of maximum crosscorrelation magnitude between any pair of the system channels irrespective of the relative delay versus the parameters α_1 and α_2 is given in Fig. 6. It is clearly visible
that for some values of α_1 and α_2 the crosscorrelation between
different signals is greatly reduced, compared with the plain
DS CDMA that corr

(*DS CDMA* that corresponds to $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$.
The described system is also characterized by much better band sequence $\beta(t, I)$.
autocorrelational properties. An example plot of a single-
 $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$.
To calculate the autocorrelational properties. An example plot of a single-
channel autocorrelation versus delay is given in Fig. 7, with
the plot of Fig. 7(a) obtained for the plain DS CDMA and the
plot of Fig. 7(b) obtained for DS CDMA the system.

Because of the relatively low values of α_1 and α_2 , the additional spreading due to the modification of the carrier wave is insignificant compared with the spreading introduced by the DS algorithm (5) .

SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

One of the most important characteristics of any modulated signal is its power spectral density (PSD), sometimes referred to simply as power spectrum. Knowing the PSD of the signal, we can estimate the bandwidth occupied by the signal itself or, in other words, the frequency band required for its transmission. There are several methods to calculate the PSD of digitally modulated signals (5,23); however, closed formulas can be derived for some classes of simple modulations only. For other more complicated modulations (e.g., the hybrid CM class as described in the previous section), the easiest method to estimate PSD is by computer simulation methods (e.g., Welch's estimation of PSD) (24). In the following two subsections we will show the results of PSD analysis for the pure CM and estimation results for AMI-GCM. The spectral characteristics of hybrid CMs depend strongly on the type of additional signal processing performed on the modulated signal, and therefore it is difficult to reach any general conclusion related to the PSD of such schemes. Generally, however, we can say that application of CM, apart from any other signal processing or modulation techniques employed, causes spreading and flattening of PSD characteristics.

Power Spectra of Pure Chirp Modulation

The pure CM signal is described by Eqs. (8) and (9) in the time domain as a function of both time and data. Therefore, to find its PSD, we need to make an assumption about the statistics of modulating data. For simplicity of analysis, let us assume hereafter that for the pure CM we deal with uncorrelated binary data $I_{\rm k}$, $k=0,\pm\,1,\pm\,2,\,\ldots\,$, which can take the values of $+1$ and -1 with the probabilities

$$
P{I_k = 1} = p
$$
, $p{I_k = -1} = q$, $p+q = 1$

There are two possible baseband pulse shapes $b(t, -1)$, and $b(t, 1)$, which, because of nonoverlapping [see Eq. (7)], are uncorrelated as well and appear in the random sequence $\beta(t, I)$,

$$
P{b(t - kT, I_k) = b(t - kT, -1)} = q,
$$

$$
P{b(t - kT, I_k) = b(t - kT, 1)} = p, \quad k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ...
$$
 (22)

$$
S_{\rm d}(\omega) = \frac{2\pi}{T^2} |\overline{B}(\omega)|^2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta\left(\omega - \frac{2\pi n}{T}\right)
$$
 (23)

where $\overline{B}(\omega)$ is the Fourier transform of an average pulse $\overline{b}(t)$

$$
\overline{B}(\omega) = \mathcal{F}\{\overline{b}(t)\} = \mathcal{F}\{pb(t, 1) + qb(t, -1)\}
$$

= $p\mathcal{F}\{b(t, 1)\} + q\mathcal{F}\{b(t, -1)\} = pB_1(\omega) + qB_2(\omega)$

and $\delta(\cdot)$ is Dirac's delta function (23).

The continuous component of PSD, $S_c(\omega)$, is expressed (24,25) as

$$
S_{c}(\omega) = \frac{1}{T} \left[2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \sum_{m=1}^{2} H_{k}(\omega) \tilde{H}_{m}(\omega) p_{k} Q_{k,m} - \sum_{k=1}^{2} p_{k} |H_{k}(\omega)|^{2} \right]
$$
(24)

where

$$
H_1(\omega) = \mathcal{F}\{b(t, 1) - \overline{b}(t)\} = B_1(\omega) - \overline{B}(\omega)
$$

$$
H_2(\omega) = \mathcal{F}\{b(t, -1) - \overline{b}(t)\} = B_2(\omega) - \overline{B}(\omega)
$$

and $\tilde{H}_m(\omega)$ denotes the complex conjugate value of $H_m(\omega)$,

$$
p_1 = p, \quad p_2 = q
$$

*^Q*k,m is an element of a matrix *^Q* given by Ref. 25, **Power Spectra for AMI-GCM**

$$
Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - pz & -qz \\ -pz & 1 - qz \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{1 - pz - qz} \begin{bmatrix} 1 - qz & qz \\ pz & 1 - pz \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
B_1(\omega) = \frac{AT}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2h}} (1 + je^{-j0.5\pi}) (e^{j\eta^2} - e^{-j\xi^2}) \{ [C(\xi) - C(\eta)] \right)
$$

\n
$$
-j[S(\xi) - S(\eta)] \}
$$

\n
$$
B_2(\omega) = \frac{AT}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2h}} (1 + je^{-j0.5\pi}) (e^{-j\xi^2} - e^{j\eta^2}) \{ [C(\xi) - C(\eta)] \right]
$$
 (26)

 $+ j[S(\xi) - S(\eta)]$

where the coefficients η and ξ are given by

$$
\eta = \frac{\omega - \pi h}{2\sqrt{\pi h}}, \xi = \frac{\omega + \pi h}{2\sqrt{\pi h}}
$$

and $C(x)$ and $S(x)$ are Fresnel's functions (28) :

$$
C(x) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi}} \int_0^x \cos(t^2) dt
$$

$$
S(x) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi}} \int_0^x \sin(t^2) dt
$$

The example plot of a continuous component of PSD for a CM signal with the modulation index $h = 77\pi$ is given in Fig. 8.

Figure 8. Bandwidth occupied by the broadband CM $(h \ge 1)$ is approximately equal to *h*.

As we could expect, the plot is almost flat within the bandwidth required for transmission, therefore ensuring good spreading of signal power.

For any modulation, the PSD strongly depends on the shape of the elementary modulating pulse, and AMI-GCM is not an exception to this rule. In the case of ''smooth'' modulating pulses, like a raised cosine pulse or gaussian pulse, as well as and the coefficient z is equal to $z = \exp(j\omega T)$.
The Fourier transforms of CM pulses can be derived using
formulas given in Ref. 27. They are as follows:
formulas given in Ref. 27. They are as follows:
almost the same immun ple, in Table 2 we have compared the normalized bandwidths B99 containing 99% of signal power for FRS AMI-GCM and FRS CPFSK with rectangular pulses (15). The spectral properties of AMI-GCM, which are better than equivalent CPFSK, are also visible in Fig. 9, where the plots of PSD for FRS AMI-GCM and equivalent FRS CPFSK are shown for some values of modulation index *h*. It is worthwhile to note that because AMI-GCM is proposed as a narrowband scheme, the values of *h* are lower than 1.

CURRENT AND FUTURE APPLICATIONS

Chirp modulation and related modulation schemes, although ^π*^h* possessing characteristics suitable for mobile systems and

Table 2. B99 for FRS AMI-GCM and FRS-CPFSK with Rectangular Modulating Pulses

		FRS-CPFSK	FRS AMI-GCM			
h.	$L=1$	$L = 2$	$L = 3$	$L=1$	$L=2$	$L = 3$
0.5	1.22	0.92	0.80	1.04	0.86	0.76
0.4	1.14	0.80	0.68	0.95	0.74	0.65
0.333	1.05	0.71	0.59	0.87	0.67	0.58
0.25	0.90	0.60	0.50	0.75	0.57	0.48

cations. The only exceptions to date are the Consumer Electronics Bus standard of Electronics Industries Association 20. A. K. Elhakeem and A. Targi, Performance of hybrid chirp/DS
IS-60 for data transmission through ac power wiring (10) the signals under Doppler and pulsed jammi IS-60 for data transmission through ac power wiring (10), the signals under Doppler and pulsed moinly military high froquency radio moderns (7) and guy GLOBECOM'89, 3: 1989, pp. 1618–1623. mainly military high-frequency radio modems (7), and cur-
results developed applications for acquatic underwater data 21. B. J. Wysocki and T. A. Wysocki, A method to partially suppress rently developed applications for acoustic underwater data and P. A. Wysocki, A method to partially suppress

transmission (11). The current trends show, however, that in ISI and MAI for DS SS CDMA wireless networks, *Proc*

-
-
- 3. C. E. Cook and M. Bernfeld, *Radar Signals: An Introduction to* 1987.
- 4. M. R. Winkler, Chirp signals for communications. *IEEE WES- ulation,* New York: Plenum, 1986. *CON Conv. Rec.,* 1962. 27. C. E. Cook, Pulse compression—key to more efficient radar trans-
- 5. J. G. Proakis, *Digital Communications,* 3rd ed., New York: mission. *Proc. IRE,* **48**: 310–316, 1960. McGraw-Hill, 1995. 28. E. Jahnke and F. Emde, *Funktionentafeln,* Leipzig: B. G. Teu-
- 6. G. W. Barnes, D. Hirst, and D. J. James, Chirp modulation sys- bner, 1983. tem in aeronautical satellites, *AGARD Conf. Proc. 87 Avionics Spacecraft,* NATO, Royal Aircraft Establishment: Rome, Italy, TADEUSZ A. WYSOCKI 1971, pp. 30.1–30.10. Curtin University of Technology
- 7. L. Zhang et al., A turbo-coded, low-rate HF radio modem, in T. Wysocki, H. Razavi, and B. Honary (eds.), *Digital Signal Processing for Communication Systems,* Boston: Kluwer Academic Pub., 1997.
- 8. T. Wysocki, Generalized chirp modulation technique, *Eur. Trans. Telecomm.,* **6**: 679–683, 1995.
- 9. H. Takai, Y. Urabe, and H. Yamasaki, Anti-multipath and antijamming modulation/demodulation scheme SR-chirp PSK for high-speed data transmission in dispersive fading channel with interference, *Proc. VTC'94,* **2**: 1994, pp. 1355–1359.
- 10. D. Radford, Spread-spectrum data leap through ac power wiring, *IEEE Spectrum,* **11**: 48–53, 1996.
- 11. L. R. LeBlanc and P.-P. Beaujean, Multi-frequency shift key and differential phase shift key for acoustic modem. *Proc. Symp. Autonomous Underwater Veh. Technol.,* New York: IEEE, 1996, pp. 160–166.
- 12. T. Wysocki, Jr., *Digital Angular Acceleration Modulations* in Polish, Bydgoszcz: Academy Technology and Agriculture, 1990.
- 13. T. Wysocki, Jr., Error robust double chirp, *Proc. Int. Symp. Inf. Theory Appl. ISITA'94,* University of Sydney, Australia: 1994, pp. 1145–1148.
- 14. T. Wysocki and R. Weber, Triple chirp narrow band modulation, *Proc. 7th Eur. Conf. 'Mobile Personal Commun.,'* Brighton: IEE, Dec. 13–15 1993, pp. 90–94.
- 15. T. Wysocki and B. Wysocki, Narrow-band chirps, *Tech. Rep. RSL-TR 002,* Perth, Western Australia: Australian Telecommunications Research Institute, 1995.
- 16. R. C. Dixon, *Spread Spectrum Systems,* New York: Wiley, 1976.
- Figure 9. Comparison of PSD plots for FRS-CPFSK and FRS AMI-
GCM. Wiley, 1977. Surface Wave Filters: Design, Construction and Use, H. Matthews
GCM.
	- 18. W. I. Dolgow, S. P. Bielow, and I. D. Gorbienko, Spectral analysis of linear FM signals with inner pulse phase modulation. *Radiotechnics,* USSR, **36** (10): 66–69, 1981.
- systems working in hostile multipath and jammed environ- 19. M. Kowatsch and J. T. Lafferl, A spread-spectrum concept comments, have never been widely employed in commercial appli-

commun., **COM-31**: 1133-1142, 1983.
 COM-31: 1133-1142, 1983.
	-
	-
	-
	- ed., New York: Macmillan, 1993.
- **BIBLIOGRAPHY** 24. P. D. Welch, The use of fast Fourier transform for the estimation of power spectra: A method based on time averaging over short, modified periodograms, *IEEE Trans. Audio Electroacoust.,* **AU-**
- 1. S. L. Johnston, Radar ECCM history, Proc. NAECON, May 1980,

pp. 1210–1214.

2. R. A. Scholtz, The origins of spread-spectrum communications,

2. R. A. Scholtz, The origins of spread-spectrum communications,

2. R. A. *IEEE Trans. Commun.,* **COM-30**: 822–854, 1982. *Polish Academy Sci., Rozprawy Elektrotechniczne,* **33**: 401–421,
	- *Theory and Application,* New York: Academic Press, 1967. 26. J. B. Anderson, T. Aulin, and C.-E. Sundberg, *Digital Phase Mod-*
		-
		-

326 CIRCUIT ANALYSIS COMPUTING

CHOPPERS. See DC-DC POWER CONVERTERS. **CIRCLE CRITERION.** See ABSOLUTE STABILITY. **CIRCUITAL MODEL.** See LINEAR NETWORK ELEMENTS. **CIRCUIT ANALYSIS.** See TRANSIENT ANALYSIS.