## A Universal Download Edition

## Of the Jealousy of Trade David Hume

endeavoured to remove one species of ill-founded jealousy,is so prevalent among commercial nations, it may not beto mention another, which seems equally groundless. Nothingmore usual, among states which have made some advances in, than to look on the progress of their neighbours with aeye, to consider all trading states as their rivals,to suppose that it is impossible for any of them to flourish,at their expence. In opposition to this narrow and malignant, I will venture to assert, that the encrease of richescommerce in any one nation, instead of hurting, commonlythe riches and commerce of all its neighbours; and thatstate can scarcely carry its trade and industry very far, wherethe surrounding states are buried in ignorance, sloth, and.

It is obvious, that the domestic industry of a people cannot by the greatest prosperity of their neighbours; and asbranch of commerce is undoubtedly the most important in anykingdom, we are so far removed from all reason of. But I go farther, and observe, that where an openis preserved among nations, it is impossible butdomestic industry of every one must receive an encrease from improvements of the others. Compare the situation of GREATat present, with what it was two centuries ago. All the both of agriculture and manufactures were then extremely and imperfect. Every improvement, which we have since made, arisen from our imitation of foreigners; and we ought so faresteem it happy, that they had previously made advances in and ingenuity. But this intercourse is still upheld to our advantage: Notwithstanding the advanced state of our, we daily adopt, in every art, the inventions and of our neighbours. The commodity is first imported abroad, to our great discontent, while we imagine that it us of our money: Afterwards, the art itself is gradually, to our visible advantage: Yet we continue still to, that our neighbours should possess any art, industry, and; forgetting that, had they not first instructed us, wehave been at present barbarians; and did they not stilltheir instructions, the arts must fall into a state of, and lose that emulation and novelty, which contribute soto their advancement.

The encrease of domestic industry lays the foundation ofcommerce. Where a great number of commodities are raisedperfected for the home-market, there will always be foundwhich can be exported with advantage. But if our neighboursno art or cultivation, they cannot take them; because theyhave nothing to give in exchange. In this respect, statesin the same condition as individuals. A single man canbe industrious, where all his fellow-citizens are idle.riches of the several members of a community contribute tomy riches, whatever profession I may follow. Theythe produce of my industry, and afford me the produce ofin return.

Nor needs any state entertain apprehensions, that theirwill improve to such a degree in every art and, as to have no demand from them. Nature, by giving a geniuses, climates, and soils, to different nations, secured their mutual intercourse and commerce, as long asall remain industrious and civilized. Nay, the more the artsin any state, the more will be its demands from itsneighbours. The inhabitants, having become opulentskilful, desire to have every commodity in the utmost; and as they have plenty of commodities to give in, they make large importations from every foreign. The industry of the nations, from whom they import, encouragement: Their own is also encreased, by the salethe commodities which they give in exchange.

## A Universal Download Edition

But what if a nation has any staple commodity, such as themanufacture is in ENGLAND? Must not the interfering ofneighbours in that manufacture be a loss to us? I answer,, when any commodity is denominated the staple of a kingdom, is supposed that this kingdom has some peculiar and natural for raising the commodity; and if, notwithstandingadvantages, they lose such a manufacture, they ought totheir own idleness, or bad government, not the industry of neighbours. It ought also to be considered, that, by theof industry among the neighbouring nations, theof every particular species of commodity is also; and though foreign manufactures interfere with them inmarket, the demand for their product may still continue, orencrease. And should it diminish, ought the consequence toesteemed so fatal? If the spirit of industry be preserved, iteasily be diverted from one branch to another; and theof wool, for instance, be employed in linen, silk,, or any other commodities, for which there appears to be a. We need not apprehend, that all the objects of industrybe exhausted, or that our manufacturers, while they remain an equal footing with those of our neighbours, will be inof wanting employment. The emulation among rival nationsrather to keep industry alive in all of them: And anyis happier who possess a variety of manufactures, than ifenjoyed one single great manufacture, in which they are all. Their situation is less precarious; and they will feelsensibly those revolutions and uncertainties, to which everybranch of commerce will always be exposed.

The only commercial state, that ought to dread theand industry of their neighbours, is such a one asDUTCH, who enjoying no extent of land, nor possessing anyof native commodities, flourish only by their being the, and factors, and carriers of others. Such a people mayapprehend, that, as soon as the neighbouring statesto know and pursue their interest, they will take into theirhands the management of their affairs, and deprive theirof that profit, which they formerly reaped from it. Butthis consequence may naturally be dreaded, it is very longit takes place; and by art and industry it may be wardedfor many generations, if not wholly eluded. The advantage ofstocks and correspondence is so great, that it is notovercome; and as all the transactions encrease by theof industry in the neighbouring states, even a peoplecommerce stands on this precarious basis, may at first reapconsiderable profit from the flourishing condition of their. The DUTCH, having mortgaged all their revenues, makesuch a figure in political transactions as formerly'. butcommerce is surely equal to what it was in the middle oflast century, when they were reckoned among the great powersEUROPE.

Were our narrow and malignant politics to meet with success, should reduce all our neighbouring nations to the same statesloth and ignorance that prevails in MOROCCO and the coast of. But what would be the consequence? They could send us no: They could take none from us: Our domestic commercewould languish for want of emulation, example, and: And we ourselves should soon fall into the same condition, to which we had reduced them. I shall thereforeto acknowledge, that, not only as a man, but as a BRITISH, I pray for the flourishing commerce of GERMANY, SPAIN,, and even FRANCE itself. I am at least certain, that GREAT, and all those nations, would flourish more, did theirand ministers adopt such enlarged and benevolenttowards each other.