

CLINTON'S CARNAGE
OF
CONGRESS,
CONSTITUTION
AND
COUNTRY

By

Paul Edward Hadinger

' A Must-Read for all Americans... '

From the pen of Paul Hadinger, a former federal agent with a top-secret clearance, comes this gripping, behind-the-scenes examination of the plagues that have visited this great nation through the deliberate, subversive leadership of President William Jefferson Clinton and associates. This "leadership" is pushing this once-proud country toward subservience to the United Nations and a one-world government.

Armed with a probing review of the alarming incidences of violence that grips this nation, Hadinger details the systematic, even pathological, approach of the Clintons' brand of loyalists toward the eventual goal of disarming the citizenry and bringing into submission what the Administration believes to be its feudal subjects.

The author-patriot's no-holds-barred approach and keen analysis will stir the soul and intellect of any reader truly interested in learning the depths to which this Administration has plunged his "Amerika." With willing major-media assistance, Comrade and Mrs. Clinton have pulled the proverbial wool over the eyes of the unsuspecting taxpayers of the United States.

Hadinger has produced a work with pages and pages of documentation that supports his thesis. With the facts and evidence, he sends a stern warning that doesn't spare Democrats or Republicans. Will this be the book that serves as a wake-up call for the slumbering masses being led down the path to certain destruction? This book is a must read for all Americans concerned about this country.

CONTENTS

	Page
PREFACE	i
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
2. Understanding "Clintonese"	9
3. "Coincidence" Clinton	17
4. Control by "Convenience" Through Clandestine Contrivance	23
5. Any Actual Rights Left In The United States?	31
6. "Hate Crime" Legislation	39
7. Are We Already Under A Left-Wing Dictatorship? ..	49
8. Clinton & Milosevic: Any Differences?	59
Clinton & Hitler: Any Differences?	66
Clinton & Gang Leaders: Any Differences? ..	70
9. Kosovo: What Should We Conclude?.....	75
10. Unspoken Goal: Failure Of NATO, Ascendancy Of United Nations	83
11. Germany And The European Union (EU).....	93

12.	Considerations Regarding Russia	99
13.	Communist China. Foe And Competitor	103
14.	How Powerful Is The United States?	113
15.	Decimation Of Our Military/National Defense ..	119
16.	"Foreign" Foreign Policy	133
17.	Are United States Military Forces Being Trained To Defend Us, Or To Disarm Us And Turn Us Over To United Nations One-World Government Control?.....	143
	Deep Underground Military Bases And The Black Budget	151
	Development Of Military Technology, Implied German Interest In Hyperspatial Technology	152
	The Fire Fight At Dulce Base	153
	Schneider's Worries About Government Factions, Railroad Cars And Shackle Contracts.....	153
	America's Black Program Contractors.....	155
	Star Wars And Apparent Alien Threat.....	155
	Stealth Aircraft Technology Use By U.S. Agencies And The United Nations .	156

	The Guardians Of Stealth And Delta Force Origins Of The Bosnia Conflict	156
	Thoughts On The Bombings In The United States	157
	The Truth Behind The Republican Contract With America	159
	Some Statistics On The Black Helicopter Presence	159
	Government Earthquake Device, AIDS As A Biological Weapon Based On Alien Excretions	160
18.	Y2K (Year 2000) Computer Problem: Whose Fault ⁷	169
19.	Another Possible Avenue For Gun Confiscation: Y2K	187
20.	Brief Review: Anti-Gun Agenda	193
21.	Anti-Gun Political Demagoguery, Naivete _____ Tobacco And Guns	199 216
	Another Federal "Con Job:" The NRA	217
	More "Gintonese" As Applied To Our Constitution	219
22.	"Equal Protection" Considerations: Laws For Pro-Crime People	223
23.	More "Shady" (Brady) Bills?	227

24.	Some Explanations For "Societal Sagging".....	___ 243
25.	Impact Of Movie, Television And Video Game Industries On Our Youth.....	245
26.	None Dare Call It Education.....	251
27.	Does It "Take A Pillage" (Of Life) To Prove That It Doesn't "Take A Village," But Good Parenting Freed Of The "Village" (Government) Rules?.....	261
28.	Littleton, Colorado: Federal "Exampleship," "Leadership".....	267
29.	Columbine High: Some "What Ifs?"	283
30.	More Federal "Exampleship" And "Leadership:" Schools.....	287
	"War OnDrugs?"	289
	D.A.R.E.....	292
31.	Was Ken Starr A Clinton "Enemy?"	299
32.	"Accomplice Al" For President?	305
33.	How Should We Deal With All Of This?.....	319
	Complain To Your Representative Or Senators?	319

Complain To Newspaper Or
Magazine Editors, Or Write To Or
Call The Major Networks?327

Radio Talk Shows331

Contact Your Governor Or

State Legislature?332

Call Your Pastor, Priest, Or Rabbi?334

34. Questionnaire For Your Senators
& Representative.....339

RECOMMENDED SOURCES FOR
FURTHER READING 38

ORDER FORM 31

PREFACE

" Most people, sometime in their lives, stumble across truth. Most jump up, brush themselves off, and hurry on about their business as if nothing had happened."

— Sir Winston Churchill

The United States is at the most critical crossroad in its history and with the prospect of going beyond the crossroad in the wrong direction and perhaps off the map — especially as a free, sovereign nation, powerful enough to defend itself and to provide an environment in which its citizens can pursue life, liberty and happiness.

The United States is no longer strong enough to go unchallenged, let alone decisively and quickly defend itself, "thanks" largely to the decimation of our military, morals and spirit by the Clinton-Gore Administration. The United States is now more vulnerable in every respect than it has ever been.

The current Administration has created an atmosphere that continually thickens and becomes heavy with despair, fear, anger and frustration as evil reigns supreme and unrestrained in Washington, D.C. and with no repercussions that would be visited upon the general citizenry if it operated likewise.

Laws, Executive Orders and Presidential Decision Directives are written and signed at a pace unmatched in our history to destroy our rights and freedoms, while those who propose, establish and enforce them move unfettered by the same and violate the Constitution as if it did not exist. "Crises" are provoked or created

"as necessary" to generate the appropriate emotional atmosphere for more laws, assisted by contrived, cleverly-crafted polls and the major media.

Two of the current favorite "sports" in Washington, DC, are "Constitution Crunching" and "Christian Crushing." "Sodomite security" has been given preference over national security by the Clinton-Gore Administration. Our national security has been sold out most immediately for Democrat Party campaign funds, but also in order to put the United States in a position to be controlled by a one-world government, of which the Clinton-Gore camp (vainly) hopes to be a part.

Checks and balances are gone. There is just one big ball of government rolling downhill in a symbolic declaration of the direction in which everything is going in our nation. Virtually all things to which the labels of "good," "noble," "correct," "patriotic," etc., could be applied are being crushed, destroyed, absorbed, perverted, distorted or otherwise obliterated at breakneck speed, with all turns going to the left.

Political eunuchs (Congress) dance to the tunes played by political prostitutes (the Executive Branch). Party designations are retained to give the illusion of differences among Socialists — at best. The "Supreme Abort" — formerly operating as the "Supreme Court" — favors killing unborn babies and increasingly supports the aborting of our rights and freedoms as US citizens. Unelected bureaucrats operate as unaccountable constabulary functionaries without any oversight to the detriment of all, writing needless rules and regulations in attempts to justify their monthly paychecks.

The chief political prostitute and political ambulance chaser, President Clinton, makes the "Godfather" look like "Mother Goose" when it comes to taking care of friends and dealing with enemies and those deemed to be potential enemies. Self-preservation and increasing power and control are paramount considerations in every decision. "Right" and "truth" are redefined as necessary to contribute toward these ends. The only absolute that counts is absolute power.

The "Godfather" has more colors than a chameleon could ever hope for and to fit every situation, but, when combined, the colors portray a hue reflective of his inner darkness that he has been imposing virtually at will on our nation, and with the assistance of "Helpful Hillary" and "Accomplice Al."

He is extremely versatile not only in the use of colors displayed, but in words employed to achieve his objectives and those of the one-world government gang, of which he is a member. His deftness with words also garners him the title of "Fogfather" as well. He "wags the dog," the masses "buy the fog."

Although sunlight quickly dispels fog, there is little light left in the United States. All of the foregoing has the support and complicity of the mainstream media, which no longer shines the light of objectivity on the works and words of the federal government — at least as long as it is a left-wing government. The press — and especially the major networks — functions primarily as an advance and support team for the Clinton-Gore Administration.

"Truth" is the "party line" as spun out by the Administration and perpetuated by its major media support team. Sadly, most citizens believe that the evening television news is "news" instead of

opinions and propaganda. This lack of discernment is just more evidence of the "success" of the government-run public school dumb-down programs carried out through the Department of Education.

This book elaborates on all of the above and more with details that will not be found in the mainstream media, whether printed or electronic. However, this book barely touches the proverbial "tip of the iceberg."

It closes with a challenge to all citizens to hold their elected officials accountable to them. It's past time to take a stand at the crossroad for our survival and that of our children and grandchildren, to whom this book is dedicated and for whom it was written in a meager, belated attempt by one taking a stand in hopes of giving them a little more time to pursue life, liberty and happiness as free citizens in a free, sovereign nation.

Paul E. Hadinger

Chapter 1

Introduction

"If you will not fight for the right when you can easily win without bloodshed, if you will not fight when your victory will be sure and not too costly, you may come to the moment when you will have to fight with all the odds against you and only a small chance of survival. There may even be a worse case: you may have to fight when there is no hope of victory, because it is better to perish than to live as slaves."

— Winston Churchill

Regardless of what you think or believe, this book was written because this writer cares about our country, our Constitution, the survival of both and the freedom of all of us to pursue life, liberty and happiness as US citizens.

While much of this book emphasizes getting back to the basics of our Constitution, we will never get back to this Judeo-Christian-based document until we get back to God. There is no other way. John Adams said, "Our Constitution was designed only for a moral and religious people. It's wholly inadequate for the government of any other."

This book is a review of current events, such as Kosovo, foreign policy, Littleton, Colorado, gun control (i.e., population control or pro-crime legislation), etc., some of which may have changed by the time that you read this. However, the basic principles and ideas will remain largely the same. This is also true for information about past events.

If the title of this book is such that it has angered you, this writer makes no apologies. If you are one of those who finds a reason to be angry, just apply the objectivity to reading this that you believe that you already apply relative to your probable lack of objections to what is happening in Washington, D.C.

If your disposition is pro-Clinton-Gore, you will not want to believe or accept what you read in these pages, but, ask yourself, "What if?" If you are not necessarily pro-Clinton-Gore, but someone who believes that the evening news on the major networks is really the whole story or the whole truth about what is happening in our nation and around the world, you will be shocked.

You may quickly want to apply one of the descriptions or labels mentioned later, but read on and, when you are done, you will be responsible for what you know and whatever action you choose to take, whether it be contacting your elected officials, the networks or doing anything else.

Inflammatory? It may seem like that if you have succumbed to having your cerebrum titillated to the point of numbness by overdoses of "Clintonese" by the master himself and his major media accomplices. And, if, as a product of our government-run public schools, you have been "taught" in a moral vacuum, deprived of a

knowledge of our heritage and of the opportunity to learn to think analytically, this information may seem not only inflammatory, but highly unbelievable.

A vitriolic vendetta? No —just rudimentary realism that has not been filtered through the federal "spin machine."

Hateful? No. Regardless of how much this book is likely to be "demonized" by the major media or the federal government, it is only an exercise of the writer's First Amendment rights to express his concerns about what is happening in our country.

In fact, inasmuch as the concerns expressed are expressed toward the end of preserving not only our First Amendment rights, but our Second Amendment rights and others granted to us through our Constitution, it is a pro-Constitution expression of care and concern. Consequently, it does not fall under any proposed (and unnecessary) so-called "hate crime" legislation. Such legislation, discussed later in this book, is only a ruse to make as many words and events as possible "criminal" and to grant some people more "rights" than others, among other things.

This is being done by the federal government to squelch verbal or other opposition to the radical sodomite/lesbian agenda and promotions (or other groups favored by the Clinton-Gore Administration) and to prevent anyone from speaking out against the tyranny of a government out of control and seeking total control, which requires the destruction of our Bill of Rights. Unfortunately, this is well on its way, "thanks" to the Clinton-Gore Administration; our Supreme Court; and a spineless, gutless Congress (with some exceptions).

As a side note, isn't it ironic that we cannot "legislate" morality, but our legislators and our courts legislate immorality on almost a daily basis in Washington, DC?

An "extreme, right-wing radical, fundamentalist" point of view? No, despite the expected attempts of the liberals to apply this label to anyone who disagrees with them. This is, instead, just a straightforward middle-American point of view that would have been regarded as patriotic, correct, good, acceptable, on target and the like several decades ago — before the perversion of our language and its meanings by the left-wing crowd to promote its agenda.

To help put the above in perspective, remember this: Lavrentia Beria, the former head of the secret police under Stalin, said that, "If you can control the language of the people, you can control the people" We are seeing the results of this in the US.

Anti-Clinton? No. This writer opposes what he is doing to destroy everything that made this nation great in the past; his total hypocrisy as he alleges one thing and does another; his sell-out to and control by the one-world government gang (and, in fairness, former President Bush was a new world order proponent as well); his sell-out of our national security to the communists in exchange for campaign funds and other reasons; his continued decimation of our military that has left us virtually defenseless; his destruction of our Constitution by his actions; and a number of other things that the public is aware of already.

Disrespectful? Not at all — just "telling it like it is." Throughout this book, the President is referred to by other descriptive

names because such names are appropriate appellations to most accurately and effectively describe his chameleon-like nature.

His words, expressions and actions are carefully crafted and timed to fit the time, the event and the moment in pursuit of personal and political goals that are not in conflict with the goals of the new world order (NWO) or the one-world government gang, as it is sometimes referred to in this book, and of which both he and his Vice President are members.

His practiced deception of others and the control, use or elimination of others to stay in power while believing that he will be an integral part of the NWO is the ultimate self-deception. He will be used by the NWO until his role has been fulfilled, after which he will find himself on the ash heap of history as a man(?) whose life has no cover-ups left and as one who, like the rest of us, will have to meet his God with no spin machine and with his heart and life fully revealed.

His sell-out to the NWO and the communists only further demonstrates his total lack of character and integrity, for which, as an accountable adult, he is fully responsible. His Vice President is no less culpable in these respects.

As you read the following pages, again ask yourself, "What if?" Also ask, "How much do I care?" "What can I do to recapture and preserve our freedoms?" "What will happen to me, my children and grandchildren if I do nothing?"

If you have additional information that you wish to share relative to any of the contents or related matters, send your comments by letter to P.O. Box 14, Batesville, MS 38606, by facsimile to (601)578-0811, or by e-mail to hadinger@panola.com.

If you are reluctant to do this because you have sworn secrecy to information that, in the final analysis, is destructive to our Constitution and nation, maybe it is time to reconsider your priorities. When your freedoms are gone, someone else will decide your priorities for you and the rest of us.

Eternal vigilance is still the price of liberty and bad things still happen because good people do nothing.

Despite how well things might seem to be going for you personally at this time, take heed. Our economy is an artificially-propped up house of cards that can fall whenever the Federal Reserve bankers decide to pull out the props.

Worse than the above, the moral and spiritual condition of the United States is at an all-time low and going downhill at an increasing pace. Anyone who believes that God will not judge this country or any other one harshly for tens of millions of murders of unborn babies; for accepting and even promoting sodomy and the like; etc., is a fool.

If you, as a citizen of the United States, have any concern for your own survival, the survival of your children and grandchildren in a free society, you will read this book and determine what you can and will do to preserve our nation and our freedoms.

At the end of this book is a letter for you to reproduce and to send to your federal, state and local elected officials and to anyone running for office, asking them if they are willing to "put it all on the line" to reverse what is happening in our country to tear it down and to also destroy the United States as a sovereign nation. The significance of the letter will be more apparent when you complete this book and its urgency will be unquestioned.

The original signers of the Declaration of Independence knew that they were risking their lives to sign it. They did so because of their strong belief in God, their consequent stand for truth, morality and freedom; their conviction of purpose that was rightly-based, and their desire to establish a nation free of tyrannical oppression at the hands of another. Despite what the revisionist historians say, nearly all of the signers were Christians.

It is time to see if we can find enough Congressmen with the fortitude and attitude to act appropriately and enough citizens who care as well. The responsibility is in your hands. What you do with it is up to you.

Chapter 2

Understanding "Clintonese"

"The road to tyranny, we must never forget, is the destruction of the truth. "

— President Clinton

Inasmuch as this book frequently deals with the words and actions of our current President, Bill Clinton, it is incumbent upon any reader to have a basic understanding of "Clintonese" — the word used to designate his means of communication.

The word "Clintonese" almost sounds as if it is some sort of Chinese dialect. Coincidence? The Bill-Hillary-Al Gore gang (BHAG gang for short; sounds like "BAD gang" when spoken quickly) speaks a language unique to its members, including Joe Lockhart, Press Secretary to the President, who has had to learn to "lie like Bill" (this almost sounds like "Lilac" Bill) to cover his boss's tracks.

"Clintonese" is a unique language that has been refined to an art form by the President himself. Before attempting to analyze Clintonese and giving you some tips to help you understand it, you must keep in mind the general character of the BAD gang: paucity of principles; perversion of principles; putrefaction of principles; and the prostitution of principles.

Here are some things to remember while listening to Clintonese from the BAD gang and its master, backed up by "Lilac" Lockhart:

1. Assume that all words and expressions are geared to accomplish one or more of the following: self-promotion; self-preservation; increased personal and political power and control over others; etc., and all to the extent that they do not interfere with the pursuit of the new world order by the one-world government gang.
2. Unless you have infallible proof to the contrary, assume that the President and those who speak on his behalf are more than likely to be lying and for one or more of the above reasons, which do not purport to be all-inclusive. (This tip fully recognizes the track record for the Clinton-Gore Administration to date and with no prospect for a positive change.)
3. Never assume that any questions are being responded to directly or indirectly and certainly not specifically. The President or his spokesman may be addressing only that which is personally and politically "safe" for them to address.

4. Never assume that the President is responding to the obvious context or frame of reference in which questions are asked. For example, the statement, "I did not have sex with that woman, Monica Lewinsky," was a lie as stated and in any way that you want to look at it. However, it is most likely that, despite a deceitful voice inflection, he was really telling Monica that he was not intimate with the woman ("that woman") of whom Monica was jealous (the one with Clinton when Monica came to see him on one occasion).

When speaking of the situation in Kosovo, the President said, "We will persist until we prevail." The "we" was likely a referral to the one-world government gang seeking to prevail over all of us. Clinton was probably reaffirming to his backers and "fellow (one-world) believers" that he would continue to do the "right things" toward that end in Kosovo and elsewhere.

When the President said, "We take care of our own," most people thought that he was talking about our troops and, in particular, the (former) captives in Yugoslavia, but he has never cared for our troops in any respect (draft-dodger; pro-communist demonstrator; let our troops down in Somalia, has

cut back on supplies, equipment, living conditions, arms, ammunition, etc., ad nauseum).

Therefore, "We take care of our own" is, first of all, just another lie in an absolute sense and because the intent was to deceive by creating an erroneous impression (another frame of reference/context change). "We" most likely refers to the one-world government gang and "our own" refers to those in his frame of reference whose objectives are being achieved by the famine, starvation, persecutions, killings and general carnage in Kosovo and in other parts of the world.

5. Remember that all words are merely "tools" to be used in conjunction with the "appropriate" voice inflections and facial expressions to deceive the masses whenever, however and wherever it is expedient to do so for any reasons that serve the ends noted above.
6. Consider that the opposite of what is being said might be the real truth, which is being obscured by a clever combination of weasel words, facial expressions and changes of venue relative to frame of reference and context.

7. Assume that lying/deception (under the well-worn Clintonese euphemism of "misleading") can occur equally effectively by omission, commission or combinations of those as deemed "necessary" for the best effect in terms of timing and impact.
8. Assume that "right" and "wrong" will be constantly redefined to suit personal and political objectives, independent of any absolutes, the Constitution, laws, etc.
9. In case it is not obvious from the foregoing, always assume first that what is said is not what is meant.
10. Always assume that, if there is any possible way to blame someone else (e.g., the Republicans) for any past, present or future problem domestically or internationally, such will be done and with the assistance of the major media.
11. And, to reemphasize a point, always ask yourself the following questions:

"To whom is he directing his remarks?" "What is the real message and why?" "Why is this being said now?"

"Lilac" Lockhart, like his boss, has reduced public relations to a simple mathematical formula:

$$PR = W^2 = \text{DecBDes}$$

In the above equation, "PR" is "Public Relations;" "W²" is "Weasel Words x Weasel Words;" and "DecBDes" is "Deception By Design." A subset of this formula is:

$$PR = \text{DecBDiv}$$

or

$$\text{Public Relations} = \text{Deception By Diversion}$$

"Deception by Diversion" is also known as the "wag the dog" approach. With an attitude of unmitigated arrogance and disdain for the citizens of the United States, the leader of the BAD gang operates and speaks as if the following is always descriptive of what he is achieving by his employment of Clintonese: "When I wag the dog, the masses buy the fog." (Remember that fog can provide cover until the sunlight hits it.)

The "speed of the spin" (SOS) is directly related to the "need for din" ("din" — a loud, confused noise; noisy clamor) to cover up and/or verbally repackage what was said, what happened or what is about to happen. Hence, we can add another formula to the BAD gang approach to public relations:

$$\text{SOS} = \text{NFD}$$

The employment of the above formula is "critical" to maintaining the deceptiveness that is an integral part of Clinton's public relations program, which, at its heart, is propaganda creation in the hands of the President, his Vice President, "Accomplice Al," and "Helpful Hillary" (who provides "needed" diversions from time to time to cover up other events that could be embarrassing to the President) and "'Lilac" Lockhart. This gives us the "mother" of all BAD gang mathematical formulas for the Clinton public relations program.

$$PR = PC$$

or Public Relations = Propaganda Creation

Implicit in all of these public relations methods is the attitude that the masses are too dumbed down and stupid to pick up on the truth and that we (i.e., Clinton-Gore and Company) are the only ones in this country with the brains, wisdom, foresight, concern and the like to find, define, solve and manage any problem in our society and especially those that we have created to serve our objectives.

Chapter 3

"Coincidence" Clinton

"Fear can only prevail when victims are ignorant of the facts. "

— Thomas Jefferson

If there has ever been an Administration that seems to be fraught with "coincidences," the Clinton-Gore Administration is the one. Many more examples could be cited than just the relatively few presented in this chapter. Below are some of them:

1. Was it just a "coincidence" that all FBI and ATF personnel and their children were out of the Murrah Building in Oklahoma City when the bombs went off?
2. Was it just a "coincidence" that a Ryder truck was used as a "cover," when it is also known that Ryder Trucks receives black budget money?
3. Was it just a "coincidence" that the bombing occurred on the same date (April 19th) as the federal gassing, shooting and burning of over 80 men, women and children at Waco, with an attempt to make the event appear as a "revenge" action by a

"militia" person (as portrayed by the Administration and its media supporters)?

4. Was it just a "coincidence" that all rules of evidence were ignored in immediately clearing the bombing site?
5. Was it just a "coincidence" that so-called "anti-terrorist" legislation was immediately "ready to go" after the bombing and pushed through by the Clinton-Gore Administration as rapidly as possible to ride the wave of emotion generated by the Administration and the major media?
6. Was it just a "coincidence" that the late Patricia Harriman, who had kept track of and was involved in Clinton-Gore campaign fund dealings (including the Chinese communists) "happened" to become ill suddenly and die, despite being apparently very healthy?
7. Was it just a "coincidence" that the late James McDougal, waiting in prison to testify against President Clinton, was transported by military helicopter to a hospital not normally used by the prison; was "tested" for drugs with no history of drug use, was given a certain shot; and then died of a

- "heart attack," with no previous history of heart problems?
8. Was it just a "coincidence" that Ron Brown was shot in the top of his head but died "because" of an "airplane accident?"
 9. Was it just a "coincidence" that we have heard no more of the high-ranking military medical personnel who said that Ron Brown had a bullet hole in his head?
 10. Was it just a "coincidence" that, as soon as Harvey Weston killed a couple people in Washington, DC, in an apparent attempt to get to Tom Delay, Newsweek magazine had his biographical profile "ready to go" and published it immediately?
 11. Is it just a "coincidence" that school shootings have occurred under the Clinton-Gore Administration, and not under the preceding Administration?
 12. Was it just a "coincidence" that the Columbine shootings occurred just before the NRA Convention in Denver?

13. Was it just a "coincidence" that the shootings occurred while two pro-gun owner bills were being considered in the Colorado Legislature?
14. Was it just a "coincidence" that anti-gun legislation was prepared and "ready to go" just before the Jonestown and Littleton student shootings?
15. Was it just a "coincidence" that the shootings occurred while things were not "going well" in Kosovo and the Chinagate Cox Report was starting to get more attention?
16. Was it just a "coincidence" that the Clinton-Gore Administration put tens of thousands of employees on the federal payroll without thorough background investigations?
17. Is it just a "coincidence" that over two dozen people (that we know of) assigned to conduct investigations related to the impeachment trial died under mysterious circumstances?
18. Is it just a "coincidence" that, prior to the above, there were many dozens of "mysterious" deaths of people formerly associated with President Clinton,

with such deaths being due to "accidents," "suicides" or "sudden illnesses?"

19. Is it just a "coincidence" that the major media — and especially the major networks — have said little or nothing about the above deaths?
20. Is it just a "coincidence" that all "crises" as defined by the Clinton-Gore Administration are somehow immediately or eventually tied to the "right?"
21. Is it just a "coincidence" that, as soon as any act of violence occurs that appears (or is made to appear) to be perpetrated by anyone other than federal officials, legislation is "ready to go," as if the event was known in advance?

The foregoing are just a sampling of the many apparent "coincidences" that are regular fare under the Clinton-Gore Administration. (Add your own to the above. The list could easily go into the hundreds and would undoubtedly require another book.)

Chapter 4

Control By "Convenience" Through Clandestine Contrivance

"I believe there are more instances of the abridgement of freedom of the people by gradual and silent encroachments of those in power than by violent and sudden usurpation. "

— James Madison

No previous President in US history has had a term or terms of office fraught with so many "convenient" and "coincidental" events that somehow always end up redounding to the benefit of the President. As deemed necessary, "coincidences" are supplemented by behind-the-scenes activities to generate circumstances and events to cover up things about to go bad or at least needing a boost.

Since "Ban-The-Bill-Of-Rights-Bill" came into office, have any of the following occurred to you?

1. Although our citizens have had guns for years, it has only been since he has been in office that we have had so many shootings and killings by students.
2. No previous President has had dozens and dozens of former close associates "coincidentally" die of "accidents," "suicides" or "sudden illnesses."

3. No previous President has sent troops to as many different countries (over 30 that we know of) with the potential for these troops to die for such.
4. No previous President has illegally attacked another sovereign nation in violation of our Constitution, the NATO charter and international law for reasons not even tied to our national security.
5. No previous President has had such a bad reputation for lying, murders, rape, other sexual harassment, the promotion of sodomy and lesbianism in our military and otherwise, etc.
6. No previous President has used our military and/or foreign troops, in violation of our Constitution and the Posse Comitatus Act of 1882, against our own people to shoot, gas (with banned, inflammable CS gas) and burn over 80 men, women and children to death (in Waco, Texas).
7. No previous President has sent out or approved the use of federal assassins to kill innocent citizens (at Ruby Ridge).

8. No previous President has committed so many criminal acts with no repercussions for them.
9. No previous President has sold out our national security (in terms of information, equipment, technology and supplies to the Chinese and North Korean communists) in exchange for campaign funds (while even pretending to be concerned about the "safety of our children").
10. (Add your own to the list, which would require another book — especially the ones that have been covered up by the major media and particularly the major networks.)

Have you ever wondered why and how, under the Clinton-Gore Administration, every time that an act of violence has occurred, one or more of the following has been true?

1. Presidential approval ratings were sliding and/or deemed to be in need of a boost.
2. The event was very effective in drawing attention away from something or someone that was giving or deemed possible of giving the President negative publicity. (Deception/cover-up by diversion.)

3. The Clinton-Gore team and its new world order cohorts had legislation "ready to go" and only needed the "right event" to "justify" it. (Without exception, the legislation was designed to take away more Constitutional rights.)

4. The Clinton-Gore team somehow "just happens" to have much information about the event as soon as it occurs, including the participants, e.g.:

When Harvey Weston killed two people in an attack in Washington, D.C., Newsweek already had his biographical data and published it immediately to generate maximum publicity for cover-ups and more legislation and controls. (Obviously, this event was planned for ulterior motives; the killer fit the "right" profile; and he was easily programmed to perform such an act.)

5. Any expert witnesses or even other eye witnesses who "know too much" (translation: they know information that would contradict the "party line" information put out by the President and the major media for ulterior motives, which are usually to cover up any hint of federal involvement) are kept away

from the major media; perhaps paid off for such; or are somehow never heard from again, e.g.:

- a. Demolition/bomb experts were not allowed to give public testimony about the Oklahoma City bombing, which would have revealed that a fertilizer bomb itself could not have done the type of damage that was done and that additional military-type bombs had been planted inside the building.
- b. Testimony from eyewitnesses to the TWA Flight 800 downing was suppressed.
- c. Officers who testified about the bullet hole in Ron Brown's head (resulting from his airplane "accident" in Europe) were quieted quickly.
- d. The major media and the President were basically "in sync" on the coverage, explanations, cover-ups, etc., of the above.

6. The major networks saturate the airwaves with the same images over and over and discuss an event in about every way possible, but never in a way that would cast any doubt on the "official" position taken by the Clinton-Gore Administration.
7. The intense, unrelenting reviews of an event and the way in which it is reviewed in terms of questions asked, interviews granted, angles pushed, etc., are carefully crafted to wring maximum, pro-government-position emotional responses from listeners and viewers.

As mentioned elsewhere, the President knows that, when he "wags the dog," people "buy the fog." And, even if they don't, cleverly contrived polling questions asked of selected people provide results that make it appear as if "everyone is on board."

Iraq was bombed to cover up the sexual crimes and to otherwise undermine the impeachment hearings. Kosovo bombings were "convenient" to keep the treasonous acts of the Clinton-Gore team (and this includes Hillary) quiet relative to Communist China. When things were going poorly in Kosovo, along with breaking negative publicity about Chinagate, the Littleton, Colorado shootings occurred.

What happened in Littleton, Colorado could have happened easily because the shooters had the mental and emotional make-up, combined with tens of thousands of acts of violence experienced in

video games. With around 200,000 acts of violence observed by the average teenager by the 12th grade, including 8,000 murders, can these be without impact? The only surprise is that not more has happened. (It is also recognized that people who conveniently "fit" a certain profile can be set up to be programmed to carry out events that are such that they are events that conceivably could be expected of such people and with the public none the wiser.)

If companies will spend one million dollars or more for a minute or less of advertising during a television event because they know that it gets results is it not logical to assume that thousands of hours of violence (not just 30 seconds or more) will result in something happening sometime?

To return to the main subject of this section, is anyone out there naive enough to believe that our own CIA does not use sophisticated mind control techniques to achieve its assigned objectives in other countries? Does anyone believe that these same techniques are not used here?

Would a federal government that has no problems shooting, gassing and burning citizens; no problems spraying chemical and biological agents for "testing" purposes on its own population; no problems assassinating innocent people, no problems ensuring that people who could provide "damaging" testimony are somehow never heard from again, etc., have any problems programming people to do whatever was "needed" to be done for any reason to protect or further an agenda or to protect someone else?

Even though the above is painful to consider and something that we don't want to believe about our own government, at least

accept this fact: we will obviously never know all of the acts perpetrated by the above, whether it be with regard to Ruby Ridge, Waco, Oklahoma, Vincent Foster, Ron Brown, TWA Flight 800, the World Trade Center bombing and other people or events that could be named.

If the foregoing comments seem preposterous or "too far out," read the following: **VIRTUAL GOVERNMENT: CIA MIND CONTROL OPERATIONS IN AMERICA** and **PSYCHIC DISTURBANCES IN THE U.S.A.**, by Alex Constantine.

Chapter 5

Any Actual Rights Left In The United States?

"God grants liberty only to those who love it and are always ready to guard and defend it. "

— Daniel Webster

If you still believe that you have any inalienable rights that will last forever, think again.

The War Powers Act of 1917 was modified in 1933 with a national declaration of emergency. This act has never been rescinded, which means that it is still in effect.

The 1933 Act, in effect, annulled our Constitution, since it allows the federal government to do anything that it wants to do under the umbrella (usually phony) of a "national emergency."

This includes passing laws or making declarations in violation of the Constitution. It means that the federal government (and primarily the President), can do whatever it wants, whenever it wants, wherever it wants, to whomever it wants, however it wants and without any logical, reasonable or even Constitutional basis. Doubt this? See if you can get an honest answer from anyone at the federal level, including your representative or senators.

You, your family, your property, your lifestyle, etc., are at the mercy (?) of federal agents. Under a declared state of "national

emergency" and/or martial law, you can be sent anywhere; imprisoned anywhere, have your family split up; forced to work at any job, etc. Use your imagination to figure out the rest.

President Clinton, in his quest for dictatorial power, has signed close to 300 Executive Orders and Directives (that we know of) — more than any of his predecessors — since he came into office. All of these have been geared, directly or indirectly, to take away every individual right and also all states' rights and to centralize everything under federal control in preparation for placing all of us under a God-less, tyrannical, one-world government.

Whenever the President declares a "crisis" (whether real or provoked by the federal government itself to "justify" the declaration of a "crisis"), he can seize and control everything in the United States — personal and public property: anything that you own, factories; banks; hospitals; all other medical facilities; warehouses; food; all means of transportation; water; fuel; vehicles; cash; other assets, weapons (if they haven't been confiscated by this time); ammunition, etc.

Under "crisis" conditions as declared (again, it won't matter if there is an actual crisis), travel will be restricted; no one will be able to move far from home (for anyone still in his own home); identification checks and roadblocks will be everywhere; people can be moved anywhere (especially dissidents, who will probably be imprisoned) and put to work anywhere and families can be broken up without recourse, as mentioned.

Again, if you doubt any of the foregoing, ask your representative or senators, who are also aware of the fact that our

own federal government has been spraying our own citizens with chemical and biological agents for decades and have done nothing about this. If your representative and senators deny any of the above, you can assume one of two things: they are too naive to be representing you or they are a part of the problem and are aligned with the President on the above issues, which aligns them with the one-world government gang.

You can assume that we are guinea pigs for testing the effects physically and the costs economically of inflicting certain types of illnesses upon us. Other testing has been done through inoculations/medicines given to our military personnel and others. Also, don't assume that the Center for Disease Control (or, the "Controlled Disinformation Center" or the "Center for Disease Creation") and the National Health Institute are neutral agencies ever operating on your behalf with your tax dollars. Remember: they are under the same federal control that plans, practices and puts into effect all of the foregoing and more.

John Loeffler, World Affairs Editor of Personal Update. The News Journal of Koinonia House [(P.O. Box D., Coeur d'Alene, ID 83816, <http://www.khouse.org>; (208) 773-6310, Fax - (208) 773-6312), in the March 1998 issue (pp.8-11)], noted "Three Threats to Freedom:" international treaties; regulations; and Executive Orders.

He noted the following, among other things:

"The Christian Right. . . has spent the past four decades battling the sociological results of the 1960s worldview

changes rather than the causes, arriving on the scene over two decades late, a dollar short and agreeing to play by the other side's rules, guaranteeing eventual failure."

"If just a few more changes occur in the way laws are defined, enforced, and relate to each other, we will have very little freedom left."

"...now religion can't be practiced on many occasions. We now have freedom from religion."

"The Fourth Amendment is for all purposes dead...."

"The Fifth Amendment is buckling badly. . . . We can be punished for the same crime in several ways...."

"The Tenth Amendment... is worse than dead, it's rotting."

". . . international treaties. . . became the law of our land. Most of these...originate at United Nations conferences... The UN makes no secret of its love of socialism...."

"Much of the U.S.'s sovereignty is being deeded away to international organizations who have no understanding of rights or protections as we understand them. Current pending treaties will deed away control of our children, river beds, the oceans, and property rights, among other things."

"Congress passes laws creating agencies and enables those agencies to create 'regulations,' which are then imposed on the populace with the force of law. As Congress defaults on its responsibilities, the agencies are now acting as unaccountable lawmakers, imposing more and more regulations. Enforcement of these regulations is becoming equally abusive and the process of redress along with it."

"Congress is rapidly becoming a useless debating society as it fails to assert its right as a branch of government."

"Executive order was the means by which Germany was converted from a Republic into a Nazi dictatorship in just three months Similar to EOs are Presidential Decision Directives, such as the secret PDD25, which allows for the use of U.S. troops in UN operations without congressional approval — in violation of the Constitution."

"Executive orders give the government the power to act as an unaccountable dictatorship. In addition, authority does not flow from the president to the governors of the various states during the emergency, but rather through the director of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and his regional directors. The states are totally bypassed."

Examples of Executive Orders and what they cover include 10995 (government control of all communications media); 10997

(government control of all power and utilities); 10999, 11003 and 11005 (government control of all transportation, roads, railroads, airports, vehicles); 11001 (government control of all medical, education and welfare activities), and 11000, 11002 and 11004 ...

"perhaps most disturbing of all, provide for the registration and relocation of individuals as the government sees fit, including the separation of families based on the perceived needs in dealing with a declared crisis. These orders also provide for the seizure of housing and the establishment of new public housing to accommodate this relocated workforce."

A couple decades ago, Francis Schaeffer, an evangelical philosopher said that,

"... America turning from God and embracing relative truths could have no other result but to convert America into a dictatorship within a generation or so."

From the foregoing, as well as from similar and other evidences in this book, it is apparent that we have few rights left and a Congress that does not seem to care to do anything to represent us in helping us look after our freedoms.

As noted elsewhere, so-called "hate crime" legislation and "anti-terrorist" legislation has been defined so broadly so as to virtually take away our First Amendment right of free speech, among other things.

In pure Hitlerian fashion, President Clinton and his fellow travelers are continuing to race headlong to take away our rights to own and bear arms, which also means taking away our right to defend ourselves from the tyranny of government (the original intent of the Second Amendment) or from the tyranny of other criminals.

With the unquenchable thirst for power and control by the Clinton-Gore Administration that manifests itself (and often with the support of the courts and the inaction of Congress) in the destruction of our rights and freedoms at a pace beyond the ability of any team of writers to document them, it seems sometimes that the only thing that can save our nation and our freedoms from total destruction is divine intervention.

Do you still believe that you have any real rights and freedoms left? If you do nothing, you will have even fewer and especially if the Clinton-Gore Administration is allowed to complete the turning over of the United States to the United Nations. As shown in this book, this has already happened in the areas of military troops, parks and forestry areas and military equipment, among other things that we are aware of to date.

Chapter 6

"Hate Crime" Legislation

"Actions speak louder than words or thoughts."

— Anonymous

As "harsh" as it may sound, let's "cut to the chase" on this one: Talking about and promoting so-called "hate crimes" legislation for such is intended to accomplish the following, though this will not be acknowledged by the "politically correct" and politically-prostituted Clinton-Gore Administration:

- It makes it look as if the President is "doing something" and keeps him in the limelight (and perhaps keeps the limelight off of other things that he desires to cover).
- It "buys" more votes from sodomites, lesbians and blacks, since most of the legislation is oriented to favor those groups.
- It surreptitiously provokes divisiveness in our society, because some groups are being favored at the expense of others.

- It contributes toward more destruction of the Constitution (a goal of the one-world government gang), since it promotes more unequal protection under the law.
- It provides another issue to keep attention directed away from other matters more crucial to our survival as a sovereign nation.
- It adds to the accumulation of activities that contribute to "stress overload" in our country and culture, which, in conjunction with the foregoing, works toward the breakdown of our society through the promotion of disunity. A divided people concentrated on a host of "non-issues" are more easily conquered and controlled.

According to United States Department of Justice data from 1997 on Criminal Victimization In The U.S., "a look at some 1994 data revealed the following (not found in any statements by the Clinton-Gore Administration or in the major media):

- There were nearly 1,700,000 interracial crimes (mostly black vs. white), with whites being the victims 90 percent of the time for crimes perpetrated by blacks and blacks being victims of whites 10 percent of the time.

- A 1994 National Crime Victimization Survey showed that, of 2,025,464 (reported) violent crimes by blacks, over one-half (56 percent — 1,140,670) were against whites.
- Whites — a far larger portion of the population — committed 5,114,692 (reported) violent crimes, with 135,360 being against blacks — 2.6 percent. (This means that the rate at which blacks committed violent crimes against whites was over 21 times as great as the rate at which whites committed violent crimes against blacks.)
- In 1997, in the so-called "hate crime" arena, 2,336 whites were charged with anti-black crimes and 718 blacks were charged with anti-white crimes. However, based on the number of blacks in the population compared to the number of whites, blacks committed "hate crimes" at twice the rate of whites (per 100,000 population).

With the above being the facts as gathered and reported by our own U.S. Justice Department, the push for more "hate crime" legislation obviously has another agenda in mind, with some of the possibilities noted above.

A so-called "hate crime" has been defined as "an assault against an individual motivated by the attacker's bias against the particular group to which the victim belongs."

A recent bill ("Hate Crimes Prevention Act of 1999" - HR 77), appears to be straight out of the "hate" methods of communist dictatorships, where people have even been executed for "thought" crimes. Companion bills were introduced by Sen. Kennedy and Rep. Conyers.

"Ban-The-Bill-Of-Rights-Bill" is strongly in favor of so-called "hate crime" legislation when we already have more than enough laws to prosecute anyone to the maximum for any crime.

As noted by Beverly LaHaye, (Chairman, Legislative Action Committee, Concerned Women for America, Dept. 4025, Washington, D.C. 20042, <http://www.cwfa.org>),

"For the first time in U.S. history, motives, beliefs, and thoughts could be criminalized in complete violation of the First Amendment guarantee of free speech."

The above also means that anything that you say against anyone, as well as what someone arbitrarily thought were your thoughts or intentions could result in your prosecution.

The radical sodomites/lesbians appear to be behind the legislation, which would make anyone who even spoke out against these perversions and/or presented the Biblical point of view subject to prosecution. Ultimately, all statements presenting the moral, Biblical point of view on anything would be prosecutable situations.

(This writer would have expected this in Stalinist Russia, Communist China, Communist North Korea and Vietnam, but, in the USA? How much lower can we go before being harshly judged as a nation?)

Such legislation will end up only putting the emphasis on different types of "victimhood;" generating preferential treatment for different groups; and will result in more conflicts and divisions among groups. The more of this there is, the easier it will be to perpetuate disunity in our country and the easier it will be for the government to control groups. (Has anyone ever heard of "divide and conquer?")

The immediate aims of the legislation appear to be at least threefold:

- (1) to allow for the reporting of and the prosecution of anyone who speaks out against anything that federal officials say or do, with some similarities to federal officials labeling people as "terrorists" who differ with the agenda of the federal government and the one-world government gang;
- (2) to shut down the influence of Christianity by keeping Christians from expressing or practicing their faith in words or deeds that anyone or any group disagrees with, which would prevent them from speaking the truth about abortion, perversion and other things contrary to the Word of God; and

(3) to create, as alluded to earlier, strife and divisions among groups, which will have them paying attention to each other instead of paying attention to the federal government, which will make them easier to conquer and control in the end. (Note: Diverting attention from its own actions by any means possible has been a favorite and constantly-employed tactic of the Clinton-Gore Administration to push its left-wing agenda.)

The Concerned Women for America recently published a brochure entitled, "Four Reasons to Oppose the Federal Hate Crimes Prevention Act of 1999," which are quoted below:

"1. Hate crime laws infringe on free speech.

This is the most dangerous aspect of hate crime legislation. Hate crime laws criminalize thoughts rather than actions. Civil government cannot successfully ban feelings, thoughts, or beliefs — things like hate, jealousy, or lust. But it can — and must — prosecute criminal acts which result from those evils. The civil government should not be permitted to regulate a person's beliefs into approved and disapproved categories.

2. Hate crime laws abuse civil rights.

Currently, the homosexual lobby is the most ardent

supporter of hate crime legislation. However, civil rights laws are normally based on characteristics that can't be changed, such as skin color, sex, or disability. Religion is the exception. The First Amendment protects our beliefs. If sexual orientation is added to hate crime laws, we legitimize the false claim that sexual orientation is inbred, rather than chosen behavior. Additionally, those who hold religious or moral objections to homosexuality can be prosecuted for 'hate.'

3. All crimes are hate crimes.

All criminals operate on the basis of hate or other impure motives. Criminal acts should be vigorously and fairly prosecuted. But hate crime laws allow the same action to be punished differently. For example, Person A and Person B attack and beat an innocent victim. If Person A shouts a nasty insult about the victim's sexual preference, he will face a stiffer penalty than Person B. Both crimes are equally horrible, regardless of the words spoken at the time of the attack.

4. A federal hate crime statute would violate the principle of federalism.

Punishing criminals is primarily a state responsibility. Additionally, a federal law would create a double

jeopardy problem. The Fifth Amendment prohibits the government from prosecuting a person for the same crime twice. With a federal hate crime law, a person could be prosecuted for the crime by the state and the hate crime by federal authorities."

The perversion of language and the perversion of morals seem to go hand in hand. The attitude of "rights" over responsibilities comes into play here as well. The idea of everyone becoming his own god is apparent. Actions are redefined to "legitimize" them in the eyes of the one who desires to pursue whatever he wants to pursue. Absolutes are out the window, since they are standards for measuring the propriety or impropriety of actions. These (i.e., the elimination of absolutes) are the things, among others, that are foundational to the sodomite/lesbian crowd's push for so-called "hate crime" legislation.

The intensity of the push for this legislation is, in reality, an unadmitted subconscious acknowledgment of an abnormality that has no justification of its own and therefore, in their thinking, must be imposed upon others to give them any credence among them, since they have none on their own.

Here are some other considerations:

1. "Truth" would end up being whatever the federal government — including the Supreme Court — decides it is. Ultimately, any "truth" that was contrary to the biases of any federal bureaucrats would be labeled as a "hate crime" and prosecuted.

2. The Biblically-based Christian point of view on virtually any issue will somehow end up being labeled a "hate crime," based on current trends in the US.
3. Pastors or anyone else expressing opposition to deviant behaviors or simply expressing Biblical truth could end up being subjected to prosecution.
4. As proposed, current "hate crime" legislation would give more rights to sodomites and lesbians than to Christians, who would end up being prosecuted for merely disagreeing with the sodomite/lesbian agenda.
5. What is the likelihood of prosecution for a sodomite who murders another sodomite? (Answer: Probably none.)
6. All so-called "hate crime" legislation is, among other things, a destruction of our First Amendment right of free speech.

Finally, since crimes are banned anyhow, there is no need to add "hate crimes " What is said to or about a person before his life is taken in an act of murder does not make him any more "dead" than he would be otherwise.

It appears to this writer that the primary intent of this type of legislation is to shut down the preaching and practice of Christianity

as a step toward eliminating it as an "acceptable religion" and to pave the way for a Satanic-based (Devil-based) one-world religion.

Chapter 7

Are We Already Under A Left-Wing Dictatorship?

"Dictators ride to and fro upon tigers which they dare not dismount. And the tigers are getting hungry."

— Sir Winston Churchill

What if tomorrow's headlines read as follows?

"FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SCHOOL DUMB-DOWN PROGRAM HUGE SUCCESS AFTER TWO GENERATIONS; MAJORITY OF MASSES FINALLY REDUCED TO STATUS OF MENTAL MIDGETS; MOLE RISES TO PRESIDENCY — UNDETECTED BY NATION"

You will never see that headline, but it would be closer to the truth than anything that you have read in your local newspaper lately or anything that you have heard or seen on the major networks.

Take a break, forget your soap operas, sports events, talk shows, sitcoms (alleged); and ask yourself: "What is actually going on in our country?" "What is the truth?" "How do I know?"

First of all, you must always assume that what you are hearing or seeing on the major networks is the "party line," though the packaging may change slightly from network to network to make you

believe that you are getting different points of view. Remember also that the major networks will present the "party line" in terms of what is covered and how and what is not covered. Remember as well that the major media folks belong to the various one-world government organizations of which Bill Clinton and Al Gore & Company are members.

The "party line" is basically pro-Clinton; pro-left-wing (naturally); pro-globalist; pro-abortion; pro-sodomite; pro-lesbian; and anti-Christian.

Also, remember that "Benedict Bill" or "Ban-The-Bill-Of-Rights-Bill" (your pick) once said that he might be the last elected President that the United States ever has. Prophetic? Does he know something that most of us don't?

Derek Shearer, his Oxford roommate, wrote a book in conjunction with Martin Carnoy in 1980 that was entitled, *ECONOMIC DEMOCRACY: THE CHALLENGE OF THE 1980s*. Shearer predicted that an extreme leftist would be elected to the presidency of the United States in the 1990s. We have only had one President elected in the 1990s: William Jefferson Clinton. Prophetic?

In case you do not believe that, for all practical purposes, we are living under a left-wing dictatorship already, consider the following:

1. Review all of the pro-communist education, training, and actions of President Clinton that far exceed anything done by his predecessors.

2. Upon taking office, President Clinton took the unprecedented step of immediately firing all US attorneys and replacing them with his loyalists to ensure complete control in this area.
3. Upon taking office, the Clintons (a la "Helpful Hillary"), replaced the Travel Office staff with White House loyalists to ensure more secrecy and control.
4. After taking office, the Bill-Hillary-Al Gore Triumvirate & Company stole nearly 1,000 FBI files (that we know of) to obtain information to blackmail, threaten, or otherwise control members of Congress and others. (There were no repercussions and little publicity for this illegality since, by this time, all effective power was in the hands of the Clintonites.) (Only someone with dictatorial power and control could get away with this.)
5. In his all-too-frequent capacity as "Benedict Bill," President Clinton, without repercussions, turned nearly six dozen sites (that we know of so far) in the United States over to United Nations control. (Only a person with dictatorial power and control could get away with this.)

Question: Do you want to replace "America The Beautiful" with "United Nations The Beautiful?" Apparently the majority of our Congressmen, governors and other elected officials approve of this action, since we have seen no action to reverse it. Ask your elected representatives what they plan to do about this. If the answer is "Nothing," recall your elected officials.

Also ask your congressman how many former strictly US domestic military bases are now occupied by foreign troops and under UN control.

These troops (Russian; German; Belgium; Turkish, et.al.) have been and are being trained in urban guerilla warfare in an American environment. (Let's see ... with all of these troops who have no close relationships with or concern for our citizens or their lives, gun confiscation, rounding up "dissidents" who oppose this and the new world order should be pretty easy — especially with all gun owners identified through registration and identification requirements ... Ummm....)

6. In early 1995, President Clinton secretly (per usual) signed all of our Stealth aircraft (we had around 160 left at that time) over to the UN. Obviously, we

heard nothing about this in the major media. (Only a person with total dictatorial power and control could get away with this.)

7. The Clinton-Gore team has sold and given nuclear weapons materials and information and other high technology information to the Chinese and North Korean communists (and who knows what other enemy nations?) in exchange for campaign funds and to further the agenda of the one-world government gang, of which he and Gore are members. (Only a person with dictatorial power and control could get away with this.)

8. Any people deemed "dangerous" or potentially so in terms of exposing President Clinton's sexual escapades, traitorous acts and other illegalities have somehow met their deaths by "accidents," "suicides" or "sudden illnesses." All "coincidences?" These numbers are in the dozens, if not hundreds. There have been no repercussions for these except for any who attempted to investigate them. And, as usual, the supportive major media, with its flair for jaundiced journalism, said nothing and did nothing to investigate them. And the major networks wonder why their numbers of viewers have been decreasing?

(Only a person with dictatorial power and control could get away with this.)

9. Under any dictatorship, the major media "protect" the dictator by presenting only the "party line" and by not publicizing any negative information about then-leader. This is basically true in the United States.

"Benedict Bill," despite acts of treason, rape, other sexual harassment, thefts of files and other criminal activities, has been well-protected by the major media, with any negative information totally suppressed, downplayed or "spun" to deceive the masses.

Free press? Objective Reporting? Forget it. Don't count on it any more in the US in the major media and especially on the major networks, whose main news anchors are also members of one or more new world order groups.

10. "Bomb-'Em-To-Death-Bill" declares war; pursues acts of war; sends troops wherever he wants and whenever he wants; bombs sovereign nations in violation of the Constitution, the NATO Charter, and

international law, and without repercussions. (Only a person with dictatorial power and control could get away with this and stay in office.)

11. "Ban-The-Bill-Of-Rights-Bill" violates the Constitution at will and operates without any repercussions. Besides recent events, his and "Rambo" Reno's use of US military troops against US citizens at Waco was also a violation of the 1882 Posse Comitatus Act, which prohibits the use of US military forces against US citizens. Not surprisingly, no action was taken to prosecute this violation of US law and Congress once again caved in, rolled over and did nothing effective.

His relentless attacks to destroy our Second Amendment rights to own and bear arms, with the assistance of "Rambo" Reno (who believes that we have no rights here) and other anti-gun people, are unprecedented among our Presidents to date.

His ultimate objective, and that of the one-world government gang and all communist nations, is to destroy the Second Amendment, after which the citizens of the US will have no rights and will be totally defenseless against tyranny from criminals, not even counting police, US and foreign military forces

operating under the control of the one-world government gang. At this point, there will be no distinction between criminals as we know them and a tyrannical government exercising full control over every aspect of our lives. (Only a person with dictatorial power and control could get away with this.)

12. "Ban-The-Bill-Of-Rights-Bill" has signed nearly 300 Executive Orders and Directives (that we know of) that give him total control over everyone and everything in the US when he "sees fit" to exercise it by declaring a "crisis" as he defines it.

The provisions of these orders and directives leave virtually no area of life untouched, as pointed out earlier.

13. As mentioned, "Ban-The-Bill-Of-Rights-Bill" has been pursuing the eventual disarmament of all citizens. This is a common thread running through all dictatorships. It is true in communist and other dictatorships.

If the above cannot be achieved by legislation in the time frame desired by the new world order proponents, it will be done under a declaration of

martial law, which will be supervised by FEMA, the origin of which occurred in basic form under President Carter.

"Helping" in natural disasters is just a "front" for the real purpose of FEMA and represents only about 10 percent of FEMA activities. You might ask why FEMA has been advertised much in recent months in radio messages in which the "Friendly FEMA" image has been promoted. More deception by design? You might also ask why FEMA has a "need" for SWAT teams.

14. (Add your own list of dictatorial actions by President Clinton. In all probability, a full list of these would require additional volumes.)

After reading the above, do you feel any more free or safer than you did six years ago? Are you ready to be run over roughshod by more of the same and far beyond that when the President declares martial law, suspends every right that you thought you had, confiscates your guns and leaves you totally defenseless against criminals and the tyranny of government?

Dictatorships, by their own definition as known in the world today and historically, know no limits on what is done or could be done to maintain and increase the power and control of the one(s) in

power. This includes the use of violence of any type to any degree to justify any end that accrues to the benefit of the dictator.

Under the Clinton-Gore Administration, this nation has seen more acts of violence against its own citizens (not even counting the ones that have been kept hidden from us to date) than under any other Administration in US history, as evidenced by the events described elsewhere in this book. It appears that President Clinton has learned his lessons well from his Marxist-Leninist mentors. Boris Ponamarev, a hatchetman under the regime of Joe Stalin, said,

"Violence in itself is not evil; it depends on what its purpose is. In the hands of socialists it is a progressive force."

The above aptly explains, among other things, why acts of violence against US citizens are no problem for the Clinton-Gore Administration, whether such occur directly as a result of clandestine or open operations of federal agents or their representatives, or whether such occur at the hands of unwitting citizens or others who have been carefully selected and programmed by federal agents by the use of very sophisticated mind control techniques.

Knowing the character of the Clinton-Gore Administration, you can easily and correctly assume that many acts of violence have not and will not see the light of day in our major media, which long ago lost any credibility that it might have had as a "watchdog" of government. Unfortunately, the major media has become, instead, the guard dog of the government and the new world order crowd.

Chapter 8

Clinton & Milosevic: Any Differences?

"Evil knows no bounds for those bound by the same."

— Paul Edward Hadinger

President Clinton, his staff and various others — including major media personnel — have had many negative things to say about Milosevic, and rightly so. However, let's look at both sides in the interest of more objective reporting:

1. Virtually anything (and more) that could be said about Milosevic can be said about Clinton (including "war criminal").
2. Virtually anything that Clinton says about Milosevic could apply to himself.
3. Clinton and Milosevic are "brothers" in about every way:
 - a. No genuine regard for human life, regardless of what they say (Clinton: favors abortion, Waco; Ruby Ridge; dozens of deaths of former close

associates; selective non-intervention; etc.)

b. Pro-socialist/communist:

- 1) Clinton has studied communism in Czechoslovakia; Italy; England; and Moscow.
- 2) Clinton has participated in pro-communist demonstrations. (For example, during the Vietnam War, he led demonstrations outside the US Embassy in London, England on November 15th and 16th, 1969, for the "World Peace Council," a pro-communist group funded by the KGB.)
- 3) Clinton identifies himself with Nelson Mandela, who has a history as a communist terrorist (which terrorism has been conveniently and purposely suppressed by the major media — as expected).

- 4) Clinton favors Communist China's control over Taiwan (and apparently over the US as well, moving it at least 40 years ahead with the missile/nuclear information and equipment that he gave to them).

- 5) Clinton favors bringing the North Korean communists up to striking capabilities (against the US) with nuclear missiles, having given North Korea this capability in exchange for campaign funds — just another pro-communist sellout to the detriment of our national security.

These acts of treason, which should result in his immediate removal from office, and which won't happen because of his apparent total power and control over the House and Senate, endanger the "children," for whose safety he falsely professes concern.

You guessed it: take away our rights to defend ourselves and our children while giving others the nuclear guns to kill us by the tens of millions.

- 6) Clinton has attempted to give the US Naval Facility at Long Beach to the Chinese communists, giving them a west coast beachhead on our land.
- 7) Clinton allows Gorbachev, a former Soviet leader, free reign to operate on our soil, using our facilities, to push extremist environmental control programs and to carry out other activities as a part of the new world order program. Gorbachev has never disavowed his communist beliefs and said that "Perestroika" was initiated just to lull the west to sleep.
- 8) Clinton is doing nothing to stop the takeover of the Panama Canal

by Communist China after December 1999. (Carter signed it away in violation of the 1903 Hay-Bunau-Varilla Treaty.)

And, as expected, with the jaundiced journalism of the controlled major media, this matter is being kept out of the major newspapers and magazines and off of the major networks.

- 9) Clinton has done nothing about the communist persecution of Christians in China, Vietnam and elsewhere.
- 10) The full extent of Clinton's pro-communist and other anti-American acts will never be fully known, but some are listed in a book entitled, **READING BETWEEN THE LINES: The Rise of Communism Under William Jefferson Clinton** (Victory

Publishing). Want to know more? Read THE YEAR OF THE RAT, by Ed Timperlake.

- c. Both Clinton and Milosevic have concern only for personal and political power and recognition at any expense and at anyone's expense (including our lives now endangered not only by Russian nuclear missiles and other nuclear devices, but by nuclear missiles from Red China and North Korea).
- d. Both favor the centralization of political and military power (with Clinton-Hillary-Gore and company favoring this centralization in a one-world government).
- e. Both favor the elimination of NATO. (Question: Is Kosovo the "last hurrah" for NATO?)
- f. Both favor the reduction of population by whatever means that are expedient.

- g. Both favor disarmament of the population, with only police forces and the military being allowed to bear arms.
- h. Both favor the elimination of all personal rights and freedoms.
- i. Both are intolerant of religious freedom. (Clinton's actions have been basically anti-Christian, including his proposed "hate crime" legislation, the ultimate objectives of which are to keep anyone from speaking out against the federal government; to label anyone who does as a "terrorist;" and to keep Christians from practicing their faith in word or deeds, which could include speaking out against abortion, sodomy, lesbianism, etc.)
- j. Rape as a means to exercise personal or political power? Not a problem for "Bed-Mill-Bill" or "Any Couch Clinton" or the troops of Milosevic.
- k. (Add any that this writer may have missed.)

Clinton And Hitler: Any Differences?

The Clinton-Gore Administration and their major media supporters have been quick to compare Milosevic to Hitler. There may be more similarities between Clinton and Hitler than there are between Milosevic and Hitler, who said the following in 1935:

"This year will go down in history! For the first time a civilized nation has full gun registration. Our streets will be safer, our police will be more efficient, and the world will follow our lead into the future."

Question: Did any of that come true? Yes — The year went down in history and there was full gun registration. The streets, however, were safer only for any criminals who were the exceptions to the full registration and for the military personnel and police who were used to carry out crimes against Jews and others.

What happened to the Jews and others in Germany not favored by Hitler and his troops? Imprisonment, torture and/or death.

With the hatred in the United States (and around the world) being aimed more and more at Christians far greater in number than the six million Jews who were murdered in Europe, what can we expect here? Imprisonment, torture and/or death.

Is there any difference between what Hitler required relative to gun registration and his objectives and those of "Ban-The-Bill-Of-Rights-Bill?" No.

Are current and proposed gun registration requirements in the US unconstitutional and in need of being rescinded? Yes.

Below is a more detailed review of similarities between Clinton and Hitler:

1. Clinton, like Hitler, Stalin and other dictators, ultimately wants all guns confiscated. (Look at what happened in Germany and in communist dictatorships where this has occurred.)

If there is one common thread that runs through all dictatorships, it is this: the removal of all guns from civilians. It begins with "small" and "insignificant" changes; moves up to certain weapons being made illegal; sees the addition of bans on capacities, size; mandates registration and investigation requirements that affect only law-abiding citizens; and finally ends in total confiscation that keeps 99 percent of the population from being able to defend itself against criminals, who, at this point, cannot be distinguished from the government.

Question: What if a criminal with the morals of "Bed-Mill-Bill" or "Any Couch Clinton" tried to rape you (if a female) or your wife, daughter, mother, grandmother, sister or aunt and you have been deprived by the Clinton-Gore Administration and the courts and other fellow travelers of the right and the means to defend yourself and your loved ones quickly and adequately?

What if gun lock and/or trigger lock requirements were imposed that prevented you or a loved one from being able to retrieve and use your gun quickly enough for self-defense purposes? Do you honestly believe that you could sue anyone over this? (Dream on....)

You could do little or nothing. This would also be true in the case of robbery, carjacking or any other criminal activities against you or others.

2. Clinton, along with "Rambo" Reno, had no problems in shooting, gassing (even using banned gas) and burning many men, women and children of another religious belief to death at Waco. Hitler similarly had no problems gassing and burning another religious group — the Jews. The numbers were different, but,

in principle, there were no differences. Question: How safe are you from this kind of thing?

3. Clinton has no problem with torture or murder, nor did Hitler. Under Clinton (and aside from dozens of so-called "coincidental" "accidents," "suicides" or "illnesses" of former close associates), millions of babies have gone through extreme torture and, ultimately, death, by having their limbs sucked off of their fragile little bodies and their brains sucked out by strong suction devices used in abortion — all approved and promoted by Clinton.

Obviously, these types of violence, torture and murder are not shown on television, since abortion is "on the agenda" of the atheistic/communistic one-world government crowd. After all, it contributes to the goals of no personal rights for the weak and defenseless and to world population reduction.

4. Clinton wants worldwide control, as did Hitler. Clinton illegally attacked Yugoslavia; has sent troops to over 30 countries (far more than any of his predecessors) to exercise control; and has, as noted earlier, unilaterally turned dozens and dozens of parks and other areas over to United Nations control (and

probably with expectations of still being "in the driver's seat" beyond his scheduled term of office).

5. Clinton desires absolute dictatorial powers, as did Hitler. Under the Executive Orders and Directives that he has signed, he has these powers any time that he chooses to exercise them. He only has to declare a "crisis."
6. (Add any that this writer may have missed.)

Clinton And Gang Leaders: Any Differences?

Our President has spoken out against gang leaders in the past. (Again, remember the tips given in the section on "Understanding Clintonese") Believe it or not, just like gang leaders, President Clinton has no problems with employing violence to achieve his objectives.

Does that sound unbelievable? Remember: Any President who has presided over as much domestic or international violence as President Clinton is not above doing anything to achieve his personal and political objectives and/or those of the one-world government gang.

If you haven't figured this out yet, take another shot at suing the government-run school or schools that you attended for "excessive dumbing down," "malicious deprivation of reading skills and analytical skills;" "premeditated destruction of moral values, hope,

patriotism, principles and the desire for academic excellence;" and, in general, for "nefarious nurturing for evil — crime, mayhem, anarchy and the like."

Below is a list of similarities between President Clinton and gang leaders:

1. Neither the President nor gang leaders in general have any problems in resorting to violence, as noted above.
2. Both reward friends and punish enemies.
3. Both demand extreme loyalty and ensure that there are very severe repercussions for those who are disloyal or who are believed capable of becoming so under pressure.
4. Both operate in dictatorial fashion, though they may occasionally appear to operate otherwise.
5. Both have no problems in using rape as a personal or political weapon.
6. Both resort to whatever weapons or means that work to "get the job done."

7. Both are very capable of pursuing their objectives clandestinely, such as using others instead of taking any personal risks.
8. Both are good at denials of wrongdoing, "covering their tracks" and lying in general.
9. Both use tactics of intimidation and employ whatever works in terms of words, actions or combinations of these.
10. Both demonstrate by example their willingness to do whatever is necessary to reach the top and stay there.
11. Both keep lists of their enemies and take whatever measures are necessary to deal with them.
12. Both fund their operations by whatever works — even and often at the expense of others. (In principle, there is not much difference — if any — between robbing the Social Security fund or other funds and robbing a bank.)
13. Both talk one game publicly and play another game privately.

14. Morals, principles, ethics and the like (as most people know them) have no place in their operations or personal conduct; are regarded as being unduly restrictive, and are therefore redefined to fit their conduct.

15. "Right" and "wrong" are likewise redefined as expedient to fit the situation at hand.

16. (Add any that this writer may have missed.)

Obviously, none of the above comparisons to Slobodan Milosevic, Serb leader, Hitler; or gang leaders have been or will be presented in the major media, and for reasons cited earlier.

Chapter 9

Kosovo: What Should We Conclude?

"Government is not reason. Government is not eloquence. It is force. And, like fire, it is a dangerous servant and a fearful master "

— George Washington

What are we to conclude from the quagmire of the Balkans? Why didn't this situation somehow "work out" quickly, since the US is allegedly the strongest nation in the world? Let's look at some of the possibilities:

1. Clinton is totally stupid and did not know what he was doing.

Comment: Wrong; unlikely. He nearly always knows what he is doing, regardless of appearances to the contrary. Look at his record personally and politically — not where an amateur would find himself.

2. Clinton didn't listen or would not listen to his military advisors.

Comment: Wrong. He listens to glean enough

information to know the probable outcomes of certain actions and then decides what he can accomplish and how for his agenda and that of the one-world government gang; what he can "get away with;" and how to use the information for maximum political impact.

3. Clinton knew exactly what he was doing.

Comment: True. As in the case of the "timely" bombings of Iraq, Afghanistan and Sudan, Kosovo was also convenient for "wagging the dog" to take attention away from other events with potential negative impacts on the President.

The war on Kosovo lasted longer than "necessary" (it was not necessary in the first place), but such helped to contribute to a goal of the Clinton-Gore Administration and their new world order cohorts: the further decimation of US military strength.

The war on Kosovo was a European Union (led by Germany) and one-world government gang program that primarily used US resources to establish a situation to "justify" increased use of multinational police forces and to do so toward the end of stabilizing the European theatre, which is to be the

center of the new world order. With the ascendancy of the European Union, NATO (the umbrella under which the action was taken against Yugoslavia) will eventually no longer be "needed," since the UN is taking control of the area.

The actions taken in Kosovo and in other areas where military action and/or occupation forces (for public consumption, the federal government and the major media call these "peacekeeping forces") have been inserted are for the purpose of continuing to lay the groundwork for a one-world government. Among other things, the internationalists pushing for this have the following objectives:

- (1) creating fear of opposition to any actions undertaken by the United Nations;
- (2) centralizing all economic, political, military and religious power, the lattermost of which "requires" the elimination of Christianity and its replacement with the worship of Satan;
- (3) decimating the military strength of the United States, as noted earlier,
- (4) reducing population by wars, disease, famine through weather control and government policies; other food

and water control, abortion, the promotion of sodomy and lesbianism, etc.; and

- (5) provoking situations to further expedite the accomplishment of the foregoing at an increasing pace and intensity to create an environment in which most of the people of the world will opt for so-called "safety" or "security" over freedom, at which point enslavement and tyranny will know no borders, races or gender.

Number (5) above can also include the initiation of a third world war, if deemed "necessary" by the one-world government adherents to destroy the US as the last bastion of capitalism and as the largest remaining country in the world that still allows the practice of Christianity, though this is becoming less so at a rapid pace. This is being expedited by the "Christian crushing" and "Constitution crunching" through the Clinton-Gore Administration; various members of Congress; and the courts, which enforce more "freedom from religion" than "freedom of religion."

Whether or not a third world war is deemed "necessary" by the one-world government gang may depend upon how quickly the US will collapse from within economically and militarily. If the US can be brought under the UN without a war, such is likely to be done. If a war is believed to be needed, the situation in the Balkans may be a "perfect" set-up to get one underway. Although the President says

that he wants to prevent a wider war, remember the tips on understanding "Clintonese."

If truth is still the first casualty of war, we have had uncontrolled hemorrhages in Iraq, Bosnia, Kosovo and who knows where else?

Other things that will occur under a one-world government include the following (most of which will also occur under martial law in the US):

- (1) the control of all movement of the population;
- (2) the elimination of all cash;
- (3) the control of all buying and selling;
- (4) the elimination of all personal property rights;
- (5) the confiscation of all weapons;
- (6) the control of all employment;
- (7) the control of virtually every area of your life (including religion); and
- (8) imprisonment or death for all resisters.

The above is just a sampling and it does not purport to be all-inclusive.

In brief, the situations provoked in Yugoslavia are just a part of the internationalists' overall program for establishing a one-world government.

As most people know, the United Nations was established for the ultimate purpose of establishing a one-world government, following the earlier failure of the League of Nations to gain approval in the US (when we had senators and representatives with more principles, discernment, patriotism, belief in God and the Bible and the like).

The UN Charter is very similar to the Russian Constitution and oriented toward communism, not capitalism. As noted in the Washington Post (1/5/99) and quoted in The McAlvany Intelligence Advisor (March 1999),

"No American holds a top decision-making post at the United Nations over peace-keeping, economic or social programs, even though the United States pays one-fourth of all UN costs."

"United Nations" is an oxymoron — somewhat like "humanitarian bombing" or "UN peacekeeping." The UN is a war-making body, not a peace-keeping one. The UN leaders send soldiers to establish and maintain control over sovereign entities who have not yet yielded to the idea of total, dictatorial one-world government control.

This type of environment is already in place in communist dictatorships and some other dictatorships, so no interventions or troop insertions are deemed to be "necessary" for so-called "peacekeeping."

Under the guise of "national security" (translation: UN security or one-world government security), United States troops are currently in around 100 countries worldwide (that the general public knows about). Unfortunately, at the present time, the United States, with so many troops in the Balkans, is unable to defend more valuable interests elsewhere — starting with the US itself.

In case you haven't noticed yet, US troops are not sent to North Korea, Communist China, Communist Russia, Vietnam and the like under the UN banner, since these countries are already communist-controlled nations.

Question: Where do your senators and representative stand on the above issues?

Chapter 10

Unspoken Goal: Failure Of NATO: Ascendancy Of UN

"Today, Americans would be outraged if U.N. troops entered Los Angeles to restore order; tomorrow they will be grateful! This is especially if they were told there was an outside threat from beyond whether real or promulgated, that threatened our very existence. It is then that all peoples of the world will pledge with their world leaders to deliver them from this evil. The one thing every man fears is the unknown. When presented with this scenario, individual rights will be willingly relinquished for the guarantee of their well being granted to them by their world government."

— Henry Kissinger
(Bilderberger meeting, Evian, France, May 21, 1992)

The real goals of President Clinton and his backers are not to help Kosovo (as the word "help" is normally meant and understood), preserve NATO, promote "peace" or anything like that.

As noted earlier in the chapter on "Understanding 'Clintonese,'" when President Clinton said "We will persist until we prevail...." despite appearing to be talking about military action in Kosovo, it is this writer's belief that he was not talking about NATO

forces or even a victory of some sort to be achieved through NATO, but about the one-world government proponents prevailing — not NATO or the United States, which is the essence of NATO.

Besides the many lessons from the past, what happened in Kosovo (as of this writing) to the ethnic Albanians in (or, at least formerly in) Kosovo is another reminder of the fact that people who do not have the equivalent of our Second Amendment right to own and bear arms have no rights — including the freedom of religion.

In about the same way that the Clinton regime and its fellow travelers are working hard to take away our Second Amendment rights to own and bear arms, similar actions in Kosovo produced the obvious results: uncontrolled tyranny, oppression, persecution, torture, murder, rape, the loss of freedoms, property and many other losses, and with the people of Kosovo having no means to defend themselves.

Regardless of what is said by President Clinton and those speaking on his behalf, a major intermediate step toward the goal of a one-world government is for NATO to fail, not prevail. With NATO and the United Nations being the only major multi-country organizations in the world, the failure of NATO will concentrate all power in the United Nations. It is obvious that Clinton and his one-world backers have the real goal of the demise of NATO, among other things.

If one were intent upon destroying NATO and as a part of creating situations conducive to the eventual establishment of a one-world government, with a one-world military force, he would do what Clinton has done and/or is doing:

1. Systematically decimate our military (more on this later) in every way possible, while alleging that it is still the strongest in the world.
2. Invite others to join NATO under the guise of "strengthening" NATO, while knowing that the newest members of NATO represent primarily "paper" memberships, and for the following reasons:
 - a. The new members are very weak militarily and will have to be bolstered by the US in many respects.
 - b. The new members are very different from the US historically, culturally and otherwise.
 - c. Because of b., the difficulty of achieving unity and teamwork is increased.
3. Take action before you are prepared to initiate it properly and with the necessary follow-up and resolve to succeed.
4. Weaken your position and effectiveness as a world leader a priori by blatant immorality, ineptness, lying, chicanery and the like to foster distrust, disrespect

and to undermine trust and credibility in the eyes of NATO members.

5. "Do your own thing" regardless of the advice of military experts and "do your own thing" to achieve your personal and political objectives, as well as those of your backers, and not those of the military troops under your command. Top this off by using your "4-M" approach to everything: Myopic Mass Micro Mismanagement.

6. Flagrantly violate first and foremost, our Constitution, then the NATO Charter; and finally, international law by attacking a sovereign nation for reasons that have nothing to do with national security, but with personal and political goals that are also not in "Violation" of the goals of the one-world government gang.

Attacking a sovereign nation also generates opportunities for increased opposition from other enemy nations, which may go to the point of attacking when the time is "right," thereby destroying NATO and its main member, the United States. (More on this later.)

7. Create the very circumstances and results that are contrary to what you are stating publicly (e.g., allege to protect the Kosovars, while promoting their demise through your actions, the outcome of which you were warned about by your advisors and the outcome of which favors the goals of your alleged enemy, Milosevic.)

8. Create conditions by your actions that are so terrible, abhorrent and unstable that even the combined forces of NATO cannot resolve them favorably, quickly or even marginally. This will then "require" the intervention of the UN, which is the unstated goal anyhow.

9. Demonstrate the shallowness and falsity of your concerns for the world to see by playing golf and promoting Democrat Party fundraising while the war is being pursued and while the people whom you have sent there (illegally) are in danger.

This will further show your lack of real concern for NATO, the troops or anything other than your goals, which are consistent with those of the one-world government gang, as noted earlier.

10. Further promote your lack of credible concern for genocide by earlier actions that totally belie this alleged concern, such as:

- a. genocide and "religious cleansing" of your own fellow citizens (the gassing, shooting and burning of men, women and children at Waco, Texas by federal agents; assassinations by the federal government at Ruby Ridge; etc., not to mention the deaths of dozens and dozens of known former associates and others by "mysterious" "illnesses," "suicides" or "accidents");
- b. doing nothing about genocide in Rwanda, where the toll was hundreds of thousands, including many Christians (not even a blip on the Clinton radar screen);
- c. doing nothing about the torture and murder of Christians by Muslims in Uganda (around 1,500,000 killed); Indonesia; Egypt (last October, at least 1,000 Christians were "... manacled to doors, then beaten and tortured with electric shocks to their genitals... babies

were not spared... mothers were forced to lay their infants on the floor and watch helplessly while police struck them with sticks..." based on a report by Charles Colson, founder of Prison Fellowship); Pakistan; and by Hindus in India;

- d. doing nothing about religious persecution by communists in Russia, China, Vietnam and in other countries; and
- e. not cutting off non-humanitarian financial aid to countries allowing or promoting the persecution of people for their faith or religious beliefs. (Federal law mandates that both the President and Congress cut off aid in these cases. Did you hear the President or Congress say anything or do anything to cut off aid when required by law?)

As a side note, with our federal officials violating existing laws blatantly and frequently — beginning with our Constitution — we cannot expect criminals to act any more law-abiding with any new anti-gun laws.

11. Undermine legislative opposition to your militarily unsound decisions (i.e., by conventional military standards) by holding the majority of legislators "hostage" through threats and blackmail (or, where it works, the promise of political rewards that will be accepted by those equally unprincipled) to the point that they are afraid to say what needs to be said or to take required action in fulfillment of their responsibilities and duties.

Also use "whatever works" or is deemed "necessary" to prevent anything beyond token challenges to decisions disastrous to our military and our future, NATO and the Kosovars (while pretending to be concerned about helping all of these.)

12. Whenever you "plan" military action, threaten and back down frequently. This not only loses the element of timely action, but it fosters the loss of all credibility in the eyes of friends and foes. This, in turn, will weaken relationships and trust among NATO members and will contribute to the demise of the entire NATO structure and alleged mission. Since the US is the core of NATO, the US will become more of the "paper tiger" than it is with its decimated military being further decimated by the President.

13. Announce dates, timing, types, equipment, arms and intensities of military attacks to fully warn your alleged "enemy" and to consistently thwart the achievement of your alleged objectives. The ensuing failure will gradually diminish your personal and organizational support to the extent that it becomes obvious that your "enemy" knows that he can "get away with" more, as you intended all along anyhow for ulterior motives.

14. Purposely inform all your major media buddies (who are undoubtedly aware of all of this ahead of time anyhow, but must wait until they are "officially" informed) of your intentions, timing of events, troop movements, ship movements, etc., to ensure that the "enemy" becomes totally informed, just in case No. 13. above is not fully adequate to achieve your objectives, which include achieving the goals of the one-world government gang.

Add your own observations to the above. Again, the real objectives include the failure of NATO (in the sense of achieving stated NATO, i.e., US objectives); the demise of the United States as a world military power and the unavoidable defeat of the US by its enemies; Europe rising as the hub of world power; and the establishment of a one-world government through the UN.

One of the many sad things about all of this is that we, the American people, have been taxed and just about milked dry to finance the machinations of the one-world government gang that are designed to destroy the United States in every respect and to subjugate the world to a Satanically-oriented new world order that will be more tyrannical than anything that we have known or could imagine.

And, as noted more than once elsewhere in this report, our elected officials in Congress by and large have sold-out, caved in, rolled over and looked the other way because of succumbing to blackmail, threats or just going along with the program of the one-world gang while pretending to represent their constituents.

The Clinton-Gore Administration, and with Congressional approval, had the audacity to take funds from the Social Security Trust Fund to finance the war in Yugoslavia.

The next chapter presents a review of Germany and the European Economic Union, which will be the hub of the United Nations one-world government.

Chapter 11

Germany And The European Union (EU)

"We are grateful to the Washington Post, the New York Times. Time Magazine and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost 40 years. . . .It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subjected to the lights of publicity during those years. But, the world is more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a world government. The supernatural sovereignty of an intellectual elite and world bankers is surely preferable to the national autodetermination practiced in past centuries. "

— David Rockefeller
(Bilderberger meeting, June, 1991, Baden Baden, Germany)

At the present time, it appears that a monolithic Europe, with Germany pre-eminent, will be the main winner emerging from the US-led "NATO" military campaign in Yugoslavia.

In the early part of the decade, Germany backed Croatia and Slovenia in terms of troops and armaments. After some initial (apparent) reluctance, the United States eventually supported

Germany which, as a side note, has around 600,000 Albanians in its population mix.

Below are some questions which could and should be raised concerning Germany, NATO, and the EU and related matters:

1. In the bombing of Kosovo, was President Clinton just carrying out the wishes of Germany, the dominant European power and/or the wishes of the one-world government gang as a preliminary step toward the establishment of a one-world government headquartered in Europe?
2. Is NATO Germany's and the EU's umbrella for a return to power that will eventually find the United States no longer "necessary" for anything that is accomplished economically and politically in Europe, and perhaps spiritually as well (the lattermost with reference to the establishment of a one-world religion)?
3. Was Yugoslavia bombed because, historically, it has been an enemy of Germany?
4. Is anyone naive enough to believe that US-led NATO bombing in Yugoslavia was for the purpose of enabling Kosovo refugees to return home?

5. Why didn't NATO bomb or invade Croatia in 1995 when 300,000 — 400,000 Serbians were run out of Croatia?
- a. Did "ethnic cleansing" or genocide not "count" in this situation?
 - b. Did all this boil down to whose ox was getting gored?
 - c. Did this situation derive from President Clinton's pro-Muslim position?
 - d. Did this situation receive little attention because there was no Chinagate to cover up?
 - e. Is it just a "coincidence" that, while President Clinton vowed that all Kosovo refugees would be returned to Kosovo, neither he nor NATO have done anything to return Serbian refugees to Croatia — even four years after the end of the Serb-Croatian War?

Most of the above questions have not been addressed by the major media, nor does this writer expect these questions to receive any objective analysis or attention.

At the recent 50th NATO Anniversary celebration held in the United States, a new Strategic Concept for NATO was promulgated. Article 30 of this Strategic Concept said:

"The European allies have taken decisions to enable them to assume greater responsibilities in the security and defense field in order to enhance the peace and stability of the Euro-Atlantic area and thus the security of the Allies."

Basically, the above will result in a unified Europe led by Germany and using NATO (as long as deemed "necessary") to further its objectives and to do so primarily through US resources and technology.

If the Clinton-Gore Administration "wagged the dog" in Kosovo to cover up Chinagate (the most serious aspects of which occurred under this Administration — including the transfer of millions of lines of computer code on nuclear weapons), under the umbrella of NATO and "national security," Germany has grabbed the umbrella and left the US to be soaked in more ways than one.

The only "national security" at stake here was that of Yugoslavia, which was attacked illegally in violation of the US Constitution and the NATO Charter. It appears that the "game plan" was for Yugoslavia to be sacrificed for the "security" of the European Union.

Question: What are the possibilities of a future German-Russian pact to ensure some degree of stability while both strengthen themselves against the US and with Germany's eventual independence from a NATO that will no longer have any relevance in Europe?

Additional questions: Why do we "need" a German military training center at Holloman Air Force Base in Alamogordo, New Mexico? Why do we "need" dozens of German jet fighters on US soil (besides other foreign troops, equipment and arms at other locations)? How many NATO troops are sunning themselves on US bases instead of participating in NATO activities?

Chapter 12

Considerations Regarding Russia

"The Russian bear pretends to sleep and feign weakness. Those who rest and relax in observation do so at their peril."

— Paul Edward Hadinger

Ignoring for the moment that what is going on in terms of major military and political events appears to be being orchestrated by the internationalists on both ends, Russia has the following "reasons" (in attempting to look at things from Russia's standpoint) to attack the United States internally, externally or both:

1. Russia is not portrayed in the US and world press as a "world power" as it formerly was, and the "old guard" (communists/nationalists) yearns for a return to greater influence in the world.
2. Russia has not been holding back on building new nuclear submarines, new jet fighters, nuclear missiles and other military arms and equipment, along with continuing research in those areas and in developing chemical, biological and electromagnetic wave-based weapons.

All of the above has been going on despite internal and external financial problems, since national defense has a high priority. Russia now has several times the nuclear missiles of the US, which is down to less than 6,000 active missiles, with President Clinton attempting to reduce this number to 2,500.

3. Russia has a "limited window" within which to use some nuclear and other weapons before they become obsolete or malfunction (after December 31, 1999) because of Y2K problems.
4. The onset of the "optimum level" of problems associated with Y2K would be an opportune time to attack and even moreso when this consideration is combined with the exhaustion of our military arsenal beyond our capability to defend ourselves.
5. Russia knows that we have no program to replenish our arsenal, but only a planned disarmament, per State Department Document No. 72-77 (1961), which, undoubtedly, some of their personnel helped to draft. (The communist infiltration of our State Department, among other agencies, was well-established decades ago.)

6. Russia knows that ("thanks" to the Clinton-Gore Administration) the US has no defense program or equipment to defend against nuclear missiles, chemical or biological weapons, laser weapons, other weapons based on electromagnetic wave theory or any combination of those.
7. Russia knows that Clinton has announced (on his own) that the US would take a first strike in a nuclear war (which amounts to an open invitation).
8. Russia knows that Clinton has taken our missiles off of launch alert, which lengthens the time for response — if any can be made by that time.
9. With so many countries having an anti-US attitude, Russia knows that it would have extensive support in any military action against the US.
10. People kept hungry, unpaid and downtrodden are more willing to fight, since they have nothing to lose at a certain level and especially if the US is being blamed for all of their (i.e., Russia's) troubles.

Russia knows that it can count on getting money through the IMF to finance its military machine. (In essence, since US taxpayer dollars are the primary

source of money for the IMF, we end up financing our enemies through the IMF, which was set up to bypass Congressional appropriation authority.)

11. Russia's military capacity is at an all-time high when all factors are considered and especially relative to the US.

No matter what you hear or read through the federal and major media spin machines, communism is not dead in Russia and the appearance of such and/or that of a weak economy are purposely so. This is just an old military tactic: feign weakness to throw your enemies off guard while you continue to build up your strength.

It is only prudent for Russia and other enemies (Iraq, Communist China, North Korea, etc.) to wait until the US is the most vulnerable before making some serious moves. This is all a part of the program of the one-world government gang.

A sobering picture of Russia's designs and intentions can be found in a book by Stanislav Lunev, a former Russian official. It is entitled, **THROUGH THE EYES OF AN ENEMY**. (Ask your Congressman if he has read it and what his reaction is. The answers will probably be "No" and "None")

Finally, as noted earlier, don't be surprised if Russia and Germany eventually sign a pact.

Chapter 13

Communist China: Foe And Competitor

"(China) is a sleeping dragon. Let her sleep. When she awakes, the nations will be sorry. "

— Napoleon Bonaparte

Communist China, also known as "Red China," is called "China" by the Clinton-Gore Administration and the major media — as if communism either does not exist there or is somehow "okay." Communist China is also referred to at times as the "Peoples' Republic of China," another misnomer that is promoted by the government and the mainstream press. It is anything but a "republic." It is, instead, an atheistic, hard-core Marxist-Leninist country with no regard for life, freedom of worship or the pursuit of happiness — except as defined by the government.

Communist China has the largest population of any country on earth and the largest standing army. Until the sell-out of our national security by the Clinton-Gore Administration to Communist China, in exchange for campaign funds and in keeping with the pro-communist philosophy of the Clinton-Gore Administration, Red China was somewhere between 15 and 50 years behind the US in weapons technology. Now, it is nearly on par with the US.

Hazel O'Leary, former head of the US Energy Department, was just another appointment in a long line of appointees by President Clinton that can be characterized by poor judgment at best. Hazel

O'Leary's level of competence in the security arena was somewhere between a "security neophyte" and a "security bimbo." She apparently had no understanding of security matters and, worse yet, no concern.

Consequently, by design or otherwise or a combination of factors to which the public will never be privy, sensitive information was allowed to be leaked out of the Energy Department, given away and/or stolen or some combination of those.

Here's a guarantee: No one will be prosecuted for the greatest breach of security in US history, nor will anyone be tried and removed for treason, which would be the case if we had any preponderance of Congressmen whose principles were any better than Clinton's. Wen Ho Lee, a major character in this whole fiasco, who downloaded nuclear secrets for the Chinese communists, will not be prosecuted because to do so would be an admission, among other things, that this was actually a "serious" matter.

Our so-called Attorney General, "Rambo" Reno, also known as "Cover-Up-Reno" (CUR for short), refused requests for wiretaps three times on Wen Ho Lee, despite approving hundreds for other situations. Why? Just more pro-communist action by the Clinton-Gore Administration. Question: Why does our own government also "need" a search warrant for one of our own computers (Los Alamos)? THIS IS TOTAL PHONINESS, TOTAL COVER-UP, TOTAL BUCK-PASSING, TOTAL COMPROMISE and TOTALLY PRO-COMMUNIST.

The Clinton-Gore Administration, with its usual support from the major networks and other mainstream media, has tried to minimize

he seriousness of the thefts and has tried to disconnect them from any rhinese communist campaign funds for Democrats. The Administration has also attempted to put the focus back on previous Republican Administrations. As usual, denials of when the information of the thefts was known have been trumpeted long and loud. It is well known that no action was undertaken for years by the Clinton-Gore Administration to do anything about it. (Again, this writer attributes much of this to the fact that the Clinton-Gore Administration is the most pro-communist Administration in US history.)

The Chinese communists now have 400 supercomputers (that we know of) in their weapons laboratories, "thanks" to the Clinton-Gore Administration's attitude and policies. The Red Chinese have also increased their computer speeds by over 13 times by virtue of Administration policies and companies that put profits above patriotism and that have acted in a treasonous fashion as well.

If the foregoing is not enough to convince anyone with any common sense and any sense of patriotism that the Clinton-Gore Administration (and, specifically, President Clinton and Vice President Gore) has not committed treason in the process of making Communist China the Asian power, review the following questions and answers:

1. Who is primarily responsible for Communist China being able to have long-range missiles aimed at the US? The Clinton-Gore team.

2. Who gave them the technology to make the missiles more accurate? The Clinton-Gore team.
3. Who is the only President to allow communist agents the run of the White House? President Clinton.
4. Who is the only President to encourage and allow thousands of Chinese communists to see our sensitive-information and research laboratories? President Clinton.
5. Who granted Loral Technologies a waiver to launch satellites in Communist China? President Clinton.
6. Who provided opportunities (through the above) for the Chinese communists to extend the range of their nuclear missiles to enable them to hit the US? President Clinton.
7. Who repeatedly relaxed export controls on militarily-useful satellites for Communist China? President Clinton.
8. Who is the first and only President to tell Communist China that it could have Taiwan whenever it wanted it? President Clinton. ("And, make sure that you don't forget to send me some campaign funds")

9. Who is doing and saying nothing to prevent Communist China from taking over the entire Panama Canal at the end of this year? President Clinton.

10. Who sought to give the Long Beach Naval Facility to Communist China, which would provide that country with a beachhead on our west coast? President Clinton.

That the Clinton-Gore Administration is still seeking campaign funds from Communist China is evident in action taken as recently as May 13, 1999, according to the "April/May Newsletter and Chinagate Update" (May 24,1999) from Judicial Watch [(501 School Street, S.W., Suite 725, Washington, DC, 20024; (202) 646-5172, 888-JW-ETHIC; Fax: (202) 646-5199; e-mail: info@judicialwatch.org; web: <http://www.JudicialWatch.org>)]:

". . .on May 13, Judicial Watch deposed Johnny Chung, another key Democrat fundraiser at the center of the Chinagate scandal. Chung admitted to having been solicited by the Democrat Campaign Committee and even by Vice President Al Gore during the past thirty days!"

It is the opinion of this writer that Judicial Watch is doing the work that should have been done (and as thoroughly) by the Congress in its investigation of President Clinton related to the impeachment trial.

In their usual fashion, the major media (and especially the major networks) have been reluctant to publicize the findings and progress of Judicial Watch. (Readers are encouraged to contact that organization for more information.)

Whenever President Clinton is pushing his programs and those of the new world order (which programs are virtually indistinguishable), he wants Congress to "set politics aside."

President Clinton is right: It is time to "set politics aside," but for another reason. In fact, it is past time to do so.

In light of the acts of treason by President Clinton and Vice President Gore, politics should be set aside and Congress SHOULD TAKE WHATEVER STEPS ARE NECESSARY TO REMOVE BOTH OF THEM FROM OFFICE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE FOR THE SAKE OF OUR NATION'S SURVIVAL AS A NATION.

They should be afforded the same extent of due process that Hillary Clinton granted to the White House Travel Office employees.

If there are any Democrats left in Congress who might still want to call themselves "Americans" instead of pro-communist sympathizers supporting and helping to cover up Chinagate activities, this is the time for them to step forth and to put country above any considerations for careers, which will quickly disappear anyhow with the direction of this nation under President Clinton.

He and Al Gore have once again bypassed Congress in their actions to take over lands and waterways for the federal government, thumbing their noses at the Legislative Branch. They are also pushing for reducing our nuclear warhead deployment down to only 2,500 and

requiring Clinton's approval for any response to any nuclear attack (Presidential Decision Directive 60)!

Are there any Democrats with the intelligence and principles to realize the implications of this and to stop it? The above action will put the US at a disadvantage of at least 15:1 relative to Russia in nuclear weapons — not even counting Communist China, North Korea and Iraq!

Is there any major news network with any principles left to cover this treasonous activity on an unrelenting basis?

Any Congressman or any major news media outlet that does not join in doing whatever is required to remove both the President and Vice President from office has, by omission, said essentially the following:

"I support the traitorous, pro-communist activities of the Clinton-Gore team and their sell-out of our national security. I have no objection to the fact that campaign funds were donated by Communist China for sensitive information critical to the defense of our nation, our children and grandchildren and beyond."

"It does not bother me that Communist China is an atheistic, hard-core Marxist-Leninist nation that is an enemy of the United States."

"I agree with the President and Vice President in their pro-communist, anti-US activities that far exceed the magnitude

and severity of the crimes of treason committed by the Rosenbergs, who were executed for such."

"I don't care about the safety or survival of the United States. If my children or grandchildren must live under a tyrannical, pro-communist government and/or a one-world government with foreign troops on our soil to enforce it, that's okay with me."

"I am publicly acknowledging the fact that I have no guts, fortitude, principles or ethics, nor do I favor living in a free country. I have given up. I don't care. Furthermore, it doesn't bother me that I don't care. I prefer slavery over freedom."

Does the above sound like an overly harsh indictment? It is, at best, an understatement. Every Congressman who voted not to remove President Clinton from office; every Congressman who participated in the obstruction of justice by any means; and any journalist, news anchor or media person who supported the retention of President Clinton HAS NO CREDIBILITY — ONLY CULPABILITY — and especially in light of the events and actions of the President since then. Every action of the President only increases the culpability of these people and decreases their credibility daily.

What Democrats removed the following sign from the White House lawn?

FOR SALE: AMERICA SPECIAL SALE ON NUCLEAR SECRETS. PRICE: ENOUGH FUNDS FOR MY CAMPAIGNS AND THOSE OF MY FELLOW TRAVELERS TO WIN OR BUY THE NEXT ELECTION.

Contact: "Benedict Bill," "Helpful Hillary" or "Accomplice Al."
Call: 1-800-PRO-COMM

If there is no shame or remorse for such cowardice, paucity of principles, dearth of ethics or absence of fortitude as demonstrated by those who favored keeping President Clinton in office, we are, of all nations, most bankrupt spiritually, morally, mentally and emotionally.

Chapter 14

How Powerful Is The United States?

"Those who expect to reap the blessings of freedom must, like men, undergo the fatigue of supporting it."

— Thomas Paine

At this point in history, and especially with the actions of the Clinton-Gore Administration, which has reduced our military strength by around one-half (and running) since taking office over six years ago, the United States has been put in a very weak position. Presently, the United States is operating mainly on fluff and bluff, despite the bombing campaigns against Iraq and Yugoslavia.

If our nation was truly as powerful as we are led to believe, we could achieve and compel much more because of that and do so without doing what we are doing now, which, as noted earlier, is fraught with ulterior motives. Remember this:

**POWER EXISTS TO THE EXTENT THAT IT DOES NOT
HAVE TO BE USED.**

A nation that is truly powerful and fully capable of defending itself against any and all enemies, has a level of strength that no nation dares to challenge. The US is being challenged all over the world and our house of cards is about to come down militarily and economically.

It has already come down spiritually, which is why we are in the mess that we have now and which is why we have the President that we have now.

We cannot fight on two fronts at once. Our draft-dodger President, who is a military neophyte at best, could not even commandeer a successful conventional war against an apparently much lesser power. Aside from his personal failures and incompetencies, he has systematically decimated our military absolutely and relative to our enemies, who have been strengthened by his acts of treason and those of his Vice President.

President Clinton was totally outfoxed by Slobodan Milosevic in the illegal war waged against Yugoslavia and ended up spending more of our Social Security funds and total dollars to accomplish less per dollar spent (in the light of conventional warfare considerations) than any previous Commander-in-Chief in any war effort.

The United States is totally unprepared for any type of attack — including having a basic civil defense system. The Clinton-Gore Administration has had over six years to act on this, but has done nothing but strengthen our enemies — even using our own tax dollars to do it. This is like not only giving your enemy your best weapons, but the ammunition to go with them.

From this, the only conclusion that can be drawn is that the Clinton-Gore team has no intention of ensuring the survival of the United States as a sovereign nation but, instead, has the intention to turn the US over to UN control. The US has been put in the position of not even being able to defend its own soil at home — regardless of

the propaganda that you hear from the major media and through the federal spin machine.

Our strength has been diluted in at least six ways by the Clinton-Gore Administration:

- (1) by the failure of the President and his fellow travelers to take action over six years ago to build up our military forces and arsenal;
- (2) by the failure of the President to ensure that obsolete weapons are replaced and that adequate supplies of new ones are constantly being built and upgraded to meet any threat;
- (3) by the treasonous acts of the President ("Benedict Bill") in selling and/or giving away high technology equipment, materials and information to the Chinese and North Korean communists, both of which, by virtue of these treasonous acts, now have the capability to hit any target in the United States,
- (4) by the President, on his own, taking our missiles off of launch alert, thereby precluding our ability to respond quickly;
- (5) by our President decimating our military in other ways, as noted earlier; and

(6) by sending our troops unnecessarily and at great expense to areas of the world that have no bearing on the national security of the United States, but are being used instead as early deployments of an international police force that will be used to enforce one-world government rule around the globe.

Under "Benedict Bill," the whole intent is to weaken the US and to turn it over to the UN. He has already turned dozens of land areas over to the UN on his own declaration. He has brought foreign troops here with your tax dollars and has trained and is training them for "mop up" and control operations under UN control, with the initial use of them to confiscate any remaining weapons from civilians, working in conjunction with FEMA and Delta Forces.

If this sounds unbelievable, why are blue UN uniforms being stored at US military bases and/or at National Guard armories? At this point, it is only "prudent" for our enemies to wait until we have exhausted most of our resources overseas and/or until major problems are generated by the Y2K crisis, as discussed earlier.

Our President has given the "nuclear boots" and "computer boots" to our enemies to enable them to tread on us at their discretion. It's tough to survive a gun fight when you have given away so many guns and ammunition that are equal to what you have and especially when you have armed several enemies whose "ethics" and "principles" are such that they are willing (and now capable) of any act of war against you. It is even tougher when you have told

your enemies that they have the right to shoot first and before you even load your gun. Is this stupid or not? (Or was it planned?)

Question: Is the US more powerful than it was when the Clinton-Gore team came into office over six years ago? Given the facts, any eight-year-old child could come up with the correct answer to this one.

Chapter 15

Decimation Of Our Military/National Defense

"To be prepared for war is one of the most effective means of preserving peace. "

— George Washington, 1790

In following the dictates of the left-wing inspired United States State Department document, Number 72-77 (1961), which calls for the disarmament of the United States — allegedly as a step toward and under the guise of "world peace" — the Clinton-Gore Administration, in conjunction with, as a part of and on behalf of the one-world government gang, has, as noted, cut our military power by around one-half or more. This is enough to establish another superpower. Question: Do you feel safer now than you did in 1992?

Unfortunately, no one else has or is following a similar document, which means that our effective strength has been cut by much more than 50 percent relative to any of our enemies and far more than that relative to all of our enemies. One objective of the internationalist crowd is to reduce the United States to the status of a third-world economy in every respect, not just economically through NAFTA (benefitting everyone but the US — regardless of the propaganda that you hear) and other means.

Below are some of the ways in which the Clinton-Gore team has contributed to the decimation of our military, which is obviously

critical to our national defense (with additional details on a few items for emphasis from the previous chapter):

1. The first shot was the "sodomite salvo," with the real objectives of increasing demoralization, dissension and resignations in the military; to weaken the resolve, focus and spirit of the majority of our military personnel; and to discourage dedicated, straight, trained, skilled, motivated and otherwise qualified personnel to leave military service (and with no one to replace them in all of the valuable respects mentioned).

Pushing for the inclusion of sodomites and lesbians in our military forces also gained the President the favor of those groups.

2. Another shot was to lower standards and requirements for physical fitness and training in order to accommodate women and to do so in combined training activities (except in the Marines).
3. As noted, all military equipment, weapons, ships, submarines, etc., have been cut by one-half or more throughout our military.

4. Bases have been closed at a dizzying pace, bringing the total up to nearly 100 since 1985. There is no intent to reestablish these bases as bases solely for US troops toward the end of strengthening our national defense. (Questions: How many of our military bases are now occupied by foreign troops and under UN control? How many foreign troops are now on US soil?)

5. All US missiles have been taken off of launch alert by the President, which amounts to accommodating the communists and/or other enemies.

Skilled personnel needed to operate whatever functioning missiles that we have left have been reduced as well, with no time to adequately train replacements. These are much more difficult to find because of the purposely-designed dumb-down programs in our government-run public school system.

6. President Clinton has said that the US would be willing to take a first strike, which obviously is not the policy of any of our enemies, including Communist China, Russia, North Korea and Iraq.

Anyone who believes that communism is dead in Russia or elsewhere has to be a product of "dumb-down;" brainwashed by federal, major media and Russian propaganda; or living in a dream world.

7. United States military troops are now stationed in around 100 countries (that we are aware of), with virtually none of these being critical to the national security of the United States, and with the following results, among others:

- a. the dilution of our forces;
- b. the unnecessary waste of our tax dollars that are being spent for militarily offensive purposes to achieve the goals of the one-world government gang (laying the groundwork for an international police force) instead of for the direct defense of the United States;
- c. the discouragement of our personnel, which generates more resignations of qualified personnel;
- d. the generation of increased resentment and hatred toward the US; and

e. the lack of forces left on our own soil and near our own shores to defend our country. (If the truth were told, there may be far more foreign military forces in the United States than our own forces. Doubt this? See if you can get an honest and knowledgeable response from your representative or senators and ask them what they will do to export these troops. Anyone who does not do anything has already sold out to the one-world government gang.)

Ask your federal elected representatives how many billions have been spent on importing, housing and training foreign troops each year since President Clinton came into office (including those stationed in Canada and Mexico).

Is this gall or not: Using our tax dollars to finance and train troops that are intended for use against us?

8. The Defense Department budget as a percentage of the total budget has been going down at a rapid pace for years and especially under the Clinton-Gore

Administration. (See if you can get and verify these figures for the years of the Clinton-Gore Administration from your representative or senators. If this action is taken on your part, it will — hopefully — make your elected representatives more aware of your concerns and make them more aware of what is happening.)

When we are no longer willing and able to defend our nation, our lives, the lives of our children and grandchildren, our enjoyments and lifestyles, our freedom to worship and many other things will be gone forever.

9. Nothing has been planned, initiated or done as of this writing, or has been done in sufficient amount to replenish the rapid exhaustion of our military supplies, arms, equipment, etc. This means that, in any real emergency, there is not even the time, means, or trained personnel to gear up for such a situation.

10. The Clinton-Gore Administration has been very slow (and perhaps reluctant — any surprise?) to deal with the theft of top secret defense information from the United States by the Chinese communists. (This does

not even count the secrets that were sold or given away in exchange for Democrat campaign funds from the Chinese communists.)

We have the means and the ability to prevent these thefts. This being the case, the only conclusion is that the dissemination of sensitive information (and equipment) to Communist China was intended for ulterior motives associated with furthering the demise of the United States and increasing the offensive military capabilities of the international communist movement.

11. The Clinton-Gore Administration, under "Benedict Bill," sold and gave away highly-sensitive, high-technology equipment and information to Red China and North Korea.

The above amounts to "decimation by dissemination" (of sensitive information and equipment). This sellout of our national security was done in exchange for Democrat Party funds, among other reasons. (Note: These are not "Democratic" Party funds, any more than there are "Republican" Party funds. As 40 years of Democrat Party majority in Congress showed, and as the Clinton-Gore Administration has

shown, the Democrat Party is anything but "Democratic")

12. "Benedict Bill" is not doing anything to stop the takeover of the Panama Canal by Communist China, which is scheduled to occur no later than at the end of 1999. This is just another sell-out to international communism. It was initiated formally by President Clinton signing a treaty with a former Panamanian dictator, Omar Torrijos. This treaty was a violation of the 1903 Hay-Bunau-Varilla Treaty, which granted the US rights to the Panama Canal in perpetuity. Panama cannot afford to maintain the canal itself and the Clinton-Gore Administration and fellow travelers are not concerned about keeping the Panama Canal under the control of the US.

Communist China's control of the Panama Canal will provide another southern beachhead and port from which China can launch airplanes, missiles, and ships against the United States. (Question: Whose side is "Benedict Bill" representing? Just another pro-communist decision and one that is supported by "Accomplice Al," who has not objected.)

13. The International Monetary Fund (IMF), funded mainly by US taxpayer dollars, and the central bank

for all central banks in the world, disburses billions of dollars to our enemies. Anyone who is naive enough to believe that the billions of dollars going to Russia under the guise of an IMF "loan" to help (why?) the Russian "economy" should go to Russia and establish residence among the peasants to see how much of that "loan" benefits him directly or indirectly — if at all. (Don't hold your breath.)

Giving away our tax dollars through the IMF is more taxation without representation.

Monies going to Russia under any guise are going to be used first and foremost to strengthen the Russian military arsenal and its capabilities, as well as the arsenals and operations of those whom Russia supports.

As mentioned previously, the Russian communists are feigning weakness as a military tactic to lull the west into a sense of complacency, waiting until the "right time."

With the drastic cuts in our nuclear arsenal by the Clinton-Gore Administration, and with the Russians increasing theirs, they now have an overwhelming advantage, not even counting other high technology

weaponry. Russia is also building more submarines, while we have put many of ours to rest. (Does this favor Russia or the US? Oh well, just another pro-communist decision by "Benedict Bill")

Russia cannot seem to "account" for at least 84 suitcase nuclear weapons. You can assume that these have been placed in very strategic locations for use at the right time. Use your imagination to figure out where some of these might be located.

14. On the individual and family level, and under the guise of "protecting the children," or "reducing crime" or other phony statements to cover intent, "Brady Bills" (these should be called "Shady Bills") and related legislation have been passed with more on the way to take away our Second Amendment rights to own and bear arms.

This is just a further erosion of our Constitution. When our Constitution has been "sufficiently" violated and decimated by unilateral actions by "Benedict Bill," by federal court rulings, and with no objection from bought-off, sold-out or blackmailed, gutless, unprincipled elected officials who have no concern about the defense of the Constitution and the

survival of our republic, you can kiss our nation, freedoms, rights and liberties good-bye.

Inroads of destruction of the Constitution, however "small," tend to enlarge quickly in scope and impact. Eventually, the foundation will crumble, at which point our demise as a nation will be swift and certain.

The destruction of the Constitution and all that that document implies and protects is one of the chief goals of the atheistic/communistic one-world government gang. Whatever "Benedict Bill," also known as "Ban-The-Bill-Of-Rights-Bill," the courts and Congress do by omission or commission to destroy any element of the Constitution — including redefining "intent" to suit political agendas — brings us closer to that end.

Whenever the words "gun control" or anything like them are mentioned, replace them with "population control," which is the real objective. After all, total disarmament is the last step before total control by the one-world government gang. Total gun (population) control is the norm in communist countries and other dictatorships. (Just another pro-communist, pro-dictatorship decision by 'Benedict Bill.'")

The events in Kosovo are prime illustrations of why we need to keep our Second Amendment rights to own and bear arms. If the Kosovars had been sufficiently armed, they would have been more able to defend themselves; families would not have been broken up as they were, the Kosovar men would not have been murdered in such great numbers; and their women and young girls would not have been ravished by armed thugs, among other things.

When our Second Amendment rights are gone, we will have no way to defend ourselves and our families and to exercise the freedoms and privileges that we have previously enjoyed as free citizens, which, unfortunately, is almost a misnomer now, with the BAD gang trying to micro-manage every aspect of our lives, while ignoring states' rights at the same time.

When our government has deprived us of the right to defend ourselves against criminals or others, then the criminals and the government will have become "brothers" in about every respect.

Not surprisingly, Madeleine Albright (or is it "Madwoman Halfbright?") reflected the real agenda of the Clinton-Gore Administration as a part of the

new world order crowd when she said that she did not want the United States to be the only superpower. Question: Why not?

On the outside assumption that she might know that the stronger a nation is, the less likely it will be challenged in any way, the reality probably is that she does not care about US supremacy and is just rubbing her hands in contemplation of the establishment of a one-world government in which she vainly hopes to have a part or to be protected in some way. (Wrong.)

From all of the pro-communist decisions and actions of "Benedict Bill" (with more under the section entitled, "Clinton and Milosevic: Any Differences?"), he could very well fit the picture of the "Manchurian Candidate" and/or the unrecognized, unacknowledged "mole" who has risen to the highest position possible for an enemy agent: the presidency of the United States. Preposterous? Keep reading for more evidence....

PS. Dear Frogs:

You have forgotten that you are still in the pot. You're too cooked to move much and you weren't paying

attention to who was feeding the fire. And, if you don't jump together and do it quickly, you won't make it.

Sincerely,
A Fellow Frog

Chapter 16

"Foreign" Foreign Policy

"If ever time should come, when vain and aspiring men shall possess the highest seats in government, our country will stand in need of its experienced patriots to prevent its ruin. "

— Samuel Adams

Among the many examples that could be cited that illustrate inconsistencies in our foreign policy (?), we currently send billions of dollars to Turkey and indirectly help to finance the killing of Kurds, while allegedly trying to protect Kurds from Saddam Hussein at the same time.

The Clinton-Gore Administration's overlooking of crimes against Christians worldwide was noted earlier.

Administrations prior to the Clinton-Gore Administration did nothing about the over 100 million people who died under communist dictatorships in the then Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR) and Communist China.

We complained about Vietnamese generals and troops not doing more and being more supportive of efforts to fight communism in Vietnam. However, these generals knew about our past inconsistencies in our foreign policy.

They knew that we did nothing to help the Hungarians or Czechoslovakians against the USSR after WWII and that we allowed

Cuba (just 90 miles away) to come under a communist government. Since Vietnam was much farther away, the Vietnamese easily and correctly concluded that it would only be a matter of time until we dropped our support for South Vietnam. Therefore, why fight hard or at all? President Clinton, who dodged this war, is doing his part to continue the pursuit of "foreign" foreign policy as inconsistent and moreso than his predecessors.

For example, President Clinton is very selective and racist when it comes to "feeling their pain," despite federal spin and the major media spin efforts to the contrary. "Feel-Their-Pain Bill" did nothing of practical benefit or worth in Rwanda or elsewhere in Africa, despite alleged concern for "humanitarian needs."

Reality: The attitude of the Clinton-Gore team as a part of the one-world government gang is as follows:

"Let the Africans who don't die of disease kill off each other. This helps us toward our goal of worldwide population reduction and it eliminates Christians, who stand for the Bible, God, salvation through His Son, Jesus Christ, freedom, individual rights, etc. (And, by the way, let's not interfere with the Muslim extremists who are helping us to get rid of Christians.)

The current situation in Africa contributes to starvation, instability and disease and makes it easier for our people to gain control and to centralize all political and economic power, following the confiscation of all weapons and putting

down all resistance. This will be the last step necessary to place all African countries under the authority of the new world order, just as we have done in other areas.

The citizens living under communist control and other dictatorships have been disarmed for a long time, so we don't have to worry about them as we would worry about US citizens. We have completed the disarmament process in England and Australia, which will make it easy to place them under the authority of our new world order.

In the United States, we have set up a number of situations to create the political climate needed to sell the gun control program. If the people don't buy this like we expect, we can always create another terrorist event, or, if we have to, we can make sure that there is a panic over the Y2K problem so that we can justify declaring martial law, move in with our troops and foreign troops that are trained in urban warfare, and confiscate all guns — house by house. Anyone who resists will be shot if necessary. The FEMA people will coordinate the process. We have had some practice runs on a few big cities and we plan to have some more to get people used to the idea and to perfect our techniques.

Then, when it's time, we'll be able to pull this off so fast that they won't know what hit them."

Harsh? No — just reality, though it will be denied. The amazing thing about the attitude toward Africa by blacks in the United States is that they have not demanded that the Clinton-Gore Administration be held accountable in any way. Apparently blacks here do not see non-assistance to persecuted Africans — especially Christian Africans — as racism.

The racism of President Clinton is also apparent relative to Asians and especially those who have fled or have attempted to flee communist persecution in Vietnam and elsewhere. Nothing has been said about helping around 36,000 Vietnamese living in squalor in refugee camps in Thailand. (Let's see now... they are not white; they are far away; there will be little or no economic or political benefit for doing anything there.... if they die, we don't have to worry about them and it helps reduce population. . . . Ummra. . . let's not say anything and pretend that they aren't there. . . . who's going to know?)

None of the foregoing inconsistencies (a few of the many examples that could be cited) makes sense except in the following context: the new world order people, in pursuit of their goal, are pushing, promoting and/or provoking the following, among other things: wars; starvation; disease; disarmament except for UN forces, and the centralization of political, economic and religious power nationally first and then internationally.

For whatever is going on in the world that you are aware of, always ask if one or more of the foregoing objectives is being achieved and how it will contribute to a one-world government environment. It's that simple, despite all the attempts to cover it up.

Outside of the internationalists' objectives, it appears that US foreign policy is "foreign" to anything that represents a consistent policy and one that is geared toward our survival as a sovereign nation. Unfortunately, you can be sure that the new world order crowd knows what it is doing; what works where; who will be next to be disarmed, who will be next to fall under UN control; etc.

So, why Kosovo and the Balkans in general as a focus point? Look at it in the context of the above. Also remember that US/UN action (under the NATO umbrella) is to eventually stabilize a large, virtually borderless European theatre for the base of the one-world government in a powerful, German-led, unified Europe where NATO will no longer be "needed."

Europe will become powerful as a monolithic entity in an absolute sense and comparatively to the US as soon as the US, by design and purpose of the Clinton-Gore Administration, fellow travelers and international backers, exhausts its military strength and economic resources to the "right" point.

When the internationalists decide that the time is "right," martial law will be declared under the guise of a "crisis" that is probably not a real one and one that will be created by agent provocateurs if a "natural" crisis does not seem to be happening quickly enough. The Federal Emergency Management Agency will fulfill its role by enforcing martial law with the use of foreign troops and US and foreign military equipment, as mentioned earlier.

The new world order group also has the "option" — if deemed "necessary" — to instigate a third world war if "progress" toward the new world order seems to be going too slow. With the

Russians knowing that their nuclear weapons systems may encounter some problems due to Y2K, they are aware that they have a limited window of opportunity. Alone or in conjunction with any other power, Russia has the arsenal to do whatever it wants whenever it wants — no matter what you read in the major media or hear on the major networks.

Have you ever wondered why most of our military troops have been sent out of the country for alleged "needs" overseas? They are being used primarily as the "enforcers" and precursors of one-world government control where leftist dictatorships or other dictatorial forms of government are not in control already.

With United States military troops out of the country, they will not be here for a possible resistance movement against the foreign troops who will be used to enforce one-world government in what is now the United States.

In preparation for placing the United States under the authority of the new world order, the Clinton-Gore team, led by "Benedict Bill," also referred to earlier as "Ban-The-Bill-Of-Rights-Bill," has been "doing his part" unilaterally by transferring land areas and bases to the control of the UN; exhausting our military strength; distorting the use of our resources unnecessarily; moving our troops out of the country; financing, training and housing foreign troops (with our tax dollars) on our soil, etc. Isn't it something how all of this is being worked out for us?

You don't have to believe any of that, but don't say that you were not warned. All of this becomes more apparent when you start

paying attention to news sources other than the major media in the US, all of which tout the "party line."

Don't count on hearing all the news, significant news, accurate news or the like from the major networks on the morning or evening "news," which is more opinion than fact, mostly operation by obfuscation and devoid of anything that could cast the Clinton-Gore Administration in a bad light.

Another consideration: The internationalists have had the objective of having a one-world government by the year 2000 for a long time even if it takes a third world war to accomplish this.

Also remember this: There is no valid or legal reason for the US/UN, under the cover of NATO, to attack a sovereign nation (Yugoslavia) in an aggressive, offensive action, killing thousands of civilians to help Milosevic accomplish his goals faster and better than he could have done alone, while creating family breakups, starvation, disease, displacement and economic damage that will, unless a third world war is immediately generated, require the further exhaustion of US economic resources to repair the damage. This means no tax breaks in the US and the reduction of funds legally designated for Social Security. Neat, huh?

Remember that the goal of the new world order proponents, who set up the international communist movement, is to destroy the last bastion of capitalism — the US — by internal decay (especially through our government-run schools, Hollywood, anti-family laws and Policies, the allowance and support of drug trafficking, while Pretending to be "concerned" and having a phony "war on drugs," etc.); the decimation and destruction of our military in every way

possible, as noted earlier, the destruction of our economy by excessive taxation, regulation and other measures; the strengthening of our enemies by giving them sensitive nuclear information, equipment and supplies, as well as other things, such as biological warfare capabilities; and the employment of internal and external military means — if deemed "necessary." The foregoing list of actions to destroy the US is by no means exhaustive.

The internationalists' mode of operation is to do whatever is deemed "necessary" to gain and maintain total control of everyone and everything, including food, water, weapons, housing, jobs, institutions, utilities, hospitals, etc. All of this requires centralization in every arena by whatever means that works and using whatever force is required to control or eliminate any opposition.

If you still have any doubts about the intentions of the internationalists and their desire to establish a new world order, read: **SECRET RECORDS REVEALED: THE MEN, THE MONEY, THE METHODS BEHIND THE NEW WORLD ORDER**, by Dennis Cuddy. A number of other good books deal with the same issues. (By "good," the reference is to those books that do not push the "party line" that is deceptive and that attempts to make everything about the new world order to be "good," "wonderful," "peaceful" and more.)

"Peace" under the new world order will be "negative peace" — the imposition of total control by the following: disarming everyone; eliminating all pockets of resistance; and persecuting, incarcerating and killing others who resist controls and the regulation

of virtually every area of their lives. (Does anyone like "safe slavery?")

Everything to initiate and maintain a one-world government dictatorship is largely in place in the United States. It can be implemented quickly by a declaration of a "crisis." Foreign troops and US troops will be deployed instantly.

In conclusion, "foreign policy" as practiced by the Clinton-Gore Administration, as members of various groups oriented and working toward a one-world government, means doing whatever it takes to destroy our strength, resolve, sovereignty, economy, morals, principles and the like, with special attention being paid to promoting internal decay, exhausting our military strength, destroying the Constitution and doing so primarily by destroying the Second Amendment. Tyranny has no borders.

To reemphasize a point, our foreign policy is foreign to the best interests of our country and to us as its citizens.

Are United States Military Forces Being Trained To Defend Us, Or
To Disarm Us And Turn Us Over To United Nations One-World
Government Control?

"I am concerned for the security of our great nation, not so much because of any threat from without, but because of the insidious forces working from within."

— General Douglas MacArthur

How could anyone say such a terrible thing? Aren't all soldiers required to swear an oath of allegiance to the United States and to do whatever is necessary to defend the US against all enemies? Yes. Does this mean anything or does it mean something else under the Clinton-Gore team and their new world order cohorts? Yes.

If any chapter of this book had to be labeled as being more "urgent" or "critical" than any other chapter as a whole, this chapter would be the one.

A number of non-publicized (at least in the major media) considerations in this chapter should prove to be very sobering for any Americans, and especially for those in certain categories, as noted below. This writer was aware of most of the following and found himself supported by other credible sources.

Hopefully, there will be time to publicize much of the most critical information in this book and in other sources before it is "too late."

Comments and summaries of information from former high-ranking federal government employees are particularly relevant and have far more credence (as if any more was needed) during the Clinton-Gore Administration than during any previous Administration in US history.

One of these people is Al Cuppett, Bronze Star and Purple Heart recipient, who is a former Department of Defense employee. He spent six years on the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

During a public speaking engagement in Spokane, Washington, Mr. Cuppett presented a number of points, some of which have been mentioned or alluded to in other parts of this book:

1. The US Constitution can be suspended without notice, taking away all rights of US citizens.
2. There are staged plans to round up "politically incorrect" civilians and to impose a military dictatorship with the help of foreign troops who are already stationed in the US.
3. Executive Order 12919 (1994) authorizes FEMA to seize control, stripping us of rights and possibly property as well.

4. Following State Department Document 72-77 (1961), guidelines, calling for disarming the US, the UN is set to become an unchallengeable military force.
5. The US military is to be totally dismantled, all citizens disarmed; and an international "police force" is to keep "peace." This will end the US Republic as a sovereign nation and as a republic.
6. Part of the above has been and is being done through base closings and sending regular and National Guard troops overseas. (You wondered why the Bosnian and Kosovo situations were provoked purposely under the excuse of "national security?" Our military is being used as an international police force outside the US and foreign troops will be used here on our soil as the occupation forces.)
7. The Clinton-Gore Administration is quietly positioning Russian, Chinese and European troops throughout the US. These troops have no reluctance to fire on American citizens (and would probably delight in doing so).
8. People in the US military that don't approve of the planned demise of the US are being eliminated.

Around 3,000 officers have died from "heart attacks" in the past couple years. (Note: These are easily induced chemically and are undetectable, being given through "laced" shots, such as happened to James McDougal, who was scheduled to testify against President Clinton before his "heart attack.")

9. Flights are even sabotaged if "necessary" to eliminate one or more people, as in the case of Ron Brown, who died of a bullet hole in his head (before or during an airplane "accident") and probably from an ice bullet to avoid any other ballistic evidence. (Question. Were any individuals on TWA Flight 800 deemed "dangerous" to the survival of the Clinton-Gore Administration?)
10. The phony "war on drugs" is being used to "justify" a countrywide network of detention or concentration camps, of which there are now around 130 (that we know of). Most of these currently have little or no activity, but are near major highways and railroads. Many are equipped with state-of-the-art execution facilities (gas chambers and guillotines.)
11. The above places are mainly for dissidents and resisters, such as gun owners who don't want to give up their guns, Christians, patriots, Constitutionalists,

etc. (Doubt any of this? Read PREMONITIONS OF AN AMERICAN HOLOCAUST, by Pam Schuffert — more proof of foreign troops, concentration camps, boxcars with shackles, etc.)

12. At least three "hit lists" are being maintained by US Intelligence, color-coded red, blue and green. The red list is for immediate pick-up and execution before it is really apparent that a declaration of martial law is about to be made.

The blue list consists of people to be executed within six weeks of the imposition of martial law. Around 6.2 million people are on the blue list.

13. The foregoing items help to explain why:
 - a. US troops serve under UN commanders (in violation of our Constitution);
 - b. the US is filling up with foreign troops and equipment;
 - c. special units are continually practicing military assault exercises against US citizens in various cities, and

d. there is a massive campaign to disarm US citizens.

If you don't like the idea or action of FEMA/UN-controlled troops — including foreign troops — running through and pillaging your community at will, subjecting you and your family members to possible imprisonment, torture, rape or death, then demand that your local, county, state and federal elected officials take action to:

- (1) immediately ship all foreign troops out of the US, who are being paid with our funds to help destroy us,
- (2) identify and shut down all prisons, camps, gas chambers, guillotines and the like that have been set up for those who oppose the new world order and the destruction of our republic and freedoms,
- (3) identify and destroy all underground military bases in your state (there are at least 129 in the US);
- (4) recall all elected officials who fail to take appropriate action to protect your Constitutional rights, including the right to own and bear arms, which was inserted to allow us to protect ourselves against the tyranny of government. (Remember what happened to the Jews in Germany after the gun registration requirement was imposed, leading to disarmament); and,

(5) demand that pressure be placed on Canada and Mexico to remove all Russian, Chinese and other foreign troops from their countries (available to come into the US at the "right time").

With reference to No. 1. above, historically, foreign troops sent in to occupy any country — and especially a nation that is hated by them — have no qualms about committing any types of crimes, including rape, robbery, torture, murder, etc. A recent well-known example of this is the rape, torture and murder of Kosovars by Serbians (and it has also happened to the Serbians at the hands of others) With foreign troops in the US, we are subject to the same things. Again, if you do not want this, demand action of your elected officials to get rid of these troops ASAP.

There is no logical reason for any foreign troops or bases in the US. If the alleged excuse is that they are here for "draining," all such training could easily be done in their home countries by their personnel or ours — unless, of course, the Clinton-Gore team and their new world order cohorts have them here for use against US citizens as UN occupation forces at the "appropriate time."

Another former federal employee who spoke out quite strongly (resulting in his eventual torture and assassination) when he became aware of what our government and the new world order People were planning for (i.e., against) us was the late Phil Schneider, an engineer who was involved in building underground military bases. He was killed in January 1996.

Selected, relevant comments that he made in a public speech in May 1995 are presented in the following paragraphs.

"It is because of the horrendous structure of the federal government that I feel directly imperiled *not* to tell anybody about this material...."

"I have helped build two main bases in the United States that have some significance as far as what is called the new world order. The first base is the one at Dulce, New Mexico. I was involved in 1979 in a fire fight with alien humanoids, and I was one of the survivors. I'm probably the only talking survivor you will ever hear. I am the only one left that knows the detailed files of the entire operation. Sixty-six Secret Service agents, FBI, Black Berets and the like, died in that fire fight. I was there" (Note: the writer of CCCCC believes that such "aliens" are demonic in origin.)

"... part of what I am going to tell you is going to be very shocking. Part of what I am going to tell you is probably going to be very unbelievable.... please feel free to do your own homework."

Deep Underground Military Bases And The Black Budget

"The first part of this talk is going to concern deep underground military bases and the Black Budget. The Black Budget is a secretive budget that garners 25% of the gross national product of the United States. The Black Budget currently consumes \$1.25 trillion (underlined for emphasis) per year. At least this amount is used in black programs, like those concerned with deep underground military bases. Presently (1995) there are 129..."

"They have been building these 129 bases day and night, unceasingly, since the early 1940s. Some of them were built even earlier than that. These bases are basically large cities underground connected by high-speed magneto-levitron trains that have speeds up to Mach 2. Several books have been written about this activity.... Richard Souder... has risked his life talking about this. He worked with a number of government agencies on deep underground military bases. . . in Idaho, there are 11 of them." (Note: Dr. Souder wrote, UNDERGROUND BASES AND TUNNELS: WHAT IS THE GOVERNMENT TRYING TO HIDE?)

"The average depth of these bases is over a mile, and they again are basically whole cities underground. They are all between 2.66-4.25 cubic miles in size. They have laser drilling

machines that can drill a tunnel seven miles long in one day. The Black projects sidestep the authority of Congress, which as we know is illegal. Right now, the new world order is depending on these bases. If I had known at the time I was working on them that the NWO was involved, I would not have done it. I was lied to rather extensively."

Development Of Military Technology: Implied German Interest In Hyperspatial Technology

"Basically, as far as technology is concerned, for every calendar year that transpires, military technology increases about 44.5 years. This is why it is easy to understand that back in 1943 they were able to create, through the use of vacuum tube technology, a ship that could literally disappear from one place and appear in another. . . .My father. . . invented a high-speed camera that took pictures of the first atomic tests at Bikini Island on July 12, 1946. I have original photographs of that test, and the photos also show UFOs fleeing the bomb site at a high rate of speed.... At that time, General MacArthur felt that the next war would be with aliens...."

The Fire Fight At Dulce Base

"Back in 1954, under the Eisenhower administration, the federal government decided to circumvent the Constitution of

the United States and form a treaty with alien entities. It was called the 1954 Grenada Treaty, which basically made the agreement that the aliens involved could take a few cows and test their implanting techniques on a few human beings, but that they had to give details about the people involved. Slowly, the aliens altered the bargain until they decided they wouldn't abide by it at all. Back in 1979, this was the reality, and the fire at Dulce occurred quite by accident."

"I didn't get really interested in UFO technology until I started work at Area 51, north of Las Vegas. After about two years recuperating after the 1979 incident, I went back to work for Morrison and Knudson, EG and G and other companies. At Area 51, they were testing all kinds of peculiar spacecraft. How many people here are familiar with Bob Lazar's story? He was a physicist working at Area 51 trying to decipher the propulsion factor in some of these craft."

Schneider's Worries About Government Factions. Railroad Cars And Shackle Contracts

"Now, I am very worried about the activity of the federal government. They have lied to the public, stonewalled Senators, and have refused to tell the truth in regard to alien matters. I can go on and on.... Recently, I knew someone who lived near where I live in Portland, Oregon. He worked at Gunderson Steel Fabrication, where they make railroad

cars. Now, I knew this fellow for the better part of 30 years and he was kind of a quiet type. He came in to see me one day, excited, and he told me 'they're building prisoner cars.' He was nervous. Gunderson, he said, had a contract with the federal government to build 107,200 full length railroad cars, each with 143 pairs of shackles. There are 11 subcontractors in this giant project. Supposedly, Gunderson got over two billion dollars for the contract. Bethlehem Steel and other steel outfits are involved. He showed me one of the cars in the rail yards in North Portland. He was right. If you multiply 107,200 times 143. . .you come up with about 15,000,000. This is probably the number of people who disagree with the federal government... .Our present structure of government is 'technocracy,' not democracy, and it is a form of feudalism. It has nothing to do with the republic of the United States. These people are God-less, and have legislated out prayer in public schools...I also believe that the federal government is running the gambit of enslaving the people of the United States. . . .I'll keep shooting my mouth off until somebody puts a bullet in me, because it's worth it to talk to a group like this about these atrocities."

America's Black Program Contractors

"There are other problems. I have some interesting 1993 figures. There are 29 prototype stealth aircraft presently. The budget from the U.S. Congress five-year plan for these is

\$245.6 million. You couldn't buy the spare parts for these black programs for that amount. So, we've been lied to. The black budget is roughly \$1.3 trillion (this writer's underlining) every two years. A trillion is a thousand billion... The U. S. Congress never sees the books involved with this clandestine pot of gold. Contractors of stealth programs: EG & G, Westinghouse, McDonnell Douglas, Morrison-Knudson. . . Boeing Aerospace, Lorimar Aerospace, Aerospacial in France, Mitsubishi Industries, Ryder Trucks (remember the truck used in the Oklahoma City bombing?), Bechtel, I.G Farben, plus a host of hundreds more. Is this what we are supposed to be living up to as freedom-loving people? I don't believe so."

Star Wars And Apparent Alien Threat

"Still, 68% of the military budget is directly or indirectly affected by the black budget, Star Wars relies heavily upon stealth weaponry. By the way, none of the stealth program would have been available if we had not taken apart crashed alien disks. None of it. Some of you might ask what the 'space shuttle' is 'shuttling.' Large ingots of special metals that are milled in space and cannot be produced on the surface of the earth. They need the near vacuum of outer space to produce them. We are not even being told anything close to the truth. I believe our government officials have sold us down the drain — lock, stock and barrel."

"Up until several weeks ago, I was employed by the U.S. government with a Ryolite-38 clearance factor — one of the highest in the world. I believe the Star Wars program is there solely to act as a buffer to prevent alien attack — it has nothing to do with the 'cold war,' which was only a toy to garner money from all the people — for what? The whole lie was planned and executed for the last 75 years."

Stealth Aircraft Technology Use: U.S. Agencies And The United Nations

"Here's another piece of informationThe Drug Enforcement Administration and the ATF rely on stealth tactical weaponry for as much as 40% of their operations budget. This is 1993, and the figures have gone up considerably since. The United Nations used American Stealth aircraft for over 28% of its collective worldwide operations from 1990 to 1992, according to Center for Strategic Studies and UN Report 3092."

The Guardians Of Stealth And Delta Force Origins Of The Bosnia Conflict

"The Guardians of Stealth: There are at least three distinct classifications of police that guard our most well-kept secrets. Number one: The Military Joint Tactical Force (MJTF), sometimes called the Delta Force or Black Berets, is a

multinational tactical force primarily used to guard the various stealth aircraft worldwide. By the way, there were 172 stealth aircraft built. Ten crashed, so there were at last count 162. Bill Clinton signed them away about six weeks ago to the United Nations. There have been indications that the Delta Force was sent over to Bosnia during the last days of the Bush Administration as a covert sniper force, and that they started taking pot shots at each side of the controversy, in order to actually start the Bosnia conflict that would be used by succeeding administrations for political purposes."

Thoughts On The Bombings In The United States

"I was hired not too long ago to do a report on the World Trade Center bombing. I was hired because I know about the 90 some-odd varieties of chemical explosives. I looked at the pictures taken right after the blast. The concrete was puddled and melted. The steel and the rebar was literally extruded up to six feet longer than its original length. There is only one weapon that can do that — a small nuclear weapon. That's a construction-type nuclear device. Obviously, when they say that it was a nitrate explosive that did the damage, they're lying 100%, folks. The people they have in custody probably didn't do the crime. As a matter of fact, I have reason to believe that the same group held in custody did do other crimes, such as killing a Jewish Rabbi in New York." (Question: Was this just one in a series of "terrorist-

orientation" tactics by our own federal government to lay the groundwork for other activities and legislation?)

"However, I want to further mention that with the last explosion in Oklahoma City, they are saying that it was a nitrate or fertilizer bomb that did it. First, they came out and said it was a 1,000 pound fertilizer bomb. Then, it was 1,500. Then 2,000 pounds. Now it's 20,000. You can't put 20,000 pounds of fertilizer in a Ryder Truck. Now, I've never mixed explosives, per se. I know the chemical structure and the application of construction explosives. My reputation was based on it. I helped hollow out more than 13 deep underground military bases in the United States. I worked on the Malta project, in West Germany, in Spain and in Italy. I can tell you from experience that a nitrate explosion would not have hardly shattered the windows of the federal building in Oklahoma City. It would have killed a few people and knocked part of the facing off the building, but it would never have done that kind of damage. I believe that I have been lied to, and I am not taking it any longer, so I'm telling you that you've been lied to." (The writer of CCCCC has also noted other problems with the "party line" propaganda of the Clinton-Gore Administration and the major media elsewhere in this book relative to the Oklahoma City bombing - just another piece of our "terrorist orientation preparation" that was used to "justify" so-called "anti-terrorist" legislation ultimately aimed at anyone who disagrees with the federal

government and/or speaks out against it or its activities — a further destruction of our First Amendment rights.)

The Truth Behind The Republican Contract With America

"...We are the laughing stock of the world, because we are being hoodwinked by so many evil people that are running this country. I think we can do better. I think the people over 45 are seriously worried about their future. I'm going to run some scary scenarios by you. The Contract With America: It contains the same terminology that Adolf Hitler used to subvert Germany in 1931....The Contract With America is a last ditch effort by our federal government to tear away the Constitution and the Bill of Rights."

Some Statistics On The Black Helicopter Presence

". . . .There are over 64,000 black helicopters in the United States....Is this the proper use of our money? What does the federal government need 64,000 tactical helicopters for, if they are not trying to enslave us? I doubt if the entire military needs 64,000 worldwide... There are 157 F-117A stealth aircraft loaded with LIDAR and computer-enhanced imaging radar. They can see you walking from room to room when they fly over your house. They see objects in the house from the air with a variation limit of 1 inch to 30,000 miles. That's

how accurate it is....I worked in the federal government for a long time, and I know exactly how they handle their business"

Government Earthquake Device; AIDS As A Biological Weapon Based On Alien Excretions

"The federal government has now invented an earthquake device. I am a geologist, and I know what I am talking about. With the Kobe earthquake in Japan, there was no pulse wave as in a normal earthquake. None. In 1989, there was an earthquake in San Francisco. There was no pulse wave with that one either. It is a Tesla device that is being used for evil purposes. The black budget programs have subverted science as we know it. Look at AIDS, invented by the National Ordinance Laboratory in Chicago, Illinois in 1972. It was a biological weapon to be used against the people of the United States. The reason I know this is that I have seen the documentation by the Office of Strategic Services, which by the way is still in operation to this day, through the CDC in Atlanta. They used the glandular excretions of animals, humans and alien humanoids to create the virus. These alien humanoids the government is hobnobbing with are the worst news. There is absolutely no defense against their germs — none. They are a biological weapon of terrible consequence. Every alien on the planet needs to be isolated."

"Saddam Hussein killed 3.5 million Kurdish people with a similar weapon. Do we, the people of this planet, deserve this? No, we don't, but we are not doing anything about it. Every moment we waste, we are doing other people on the planet a disservice....I am dying of cancer that I contracted because of my work for the federal government...I will tell you one thing. If I keep speaking out like I am, maybe God will give me the life to talk my head off. I will break every law that it takes to talk my head off. Eleven of my best friends in the last 22 years have been murdered. Eight of the murders were called 'suicides.'"

As noted earlier, Phil Schneider was murdered for speaking out as a citizen concerned for his country. (Note: Although the writer of CCCCC was already aware of a number of the foregoing activities, readers are encouraged to do their own research with regard to the foregoing and to also ask, "What if?")

Various events outlined by the two ex-federal employees above show instances of our own federal government pursuing activities against the citizens of the United States, all of which comes under the responsibility of the President of the United States (recognizing that there are others also working for, recommending, demanding and commanding activities to be pursued toward the end of a one-world government).

By law, the President of the United States is the Commander-In-Chief of the US Armed Forces (regardless of the current President's unwillingness to answer a question about that directly in

a series of questions asked of him in 1998 relative to the impeachment hearings).

Inasmuch as the President is the Commander-in-Chief, no US military troops are to be under the command of any foreign commander. Once again, the President has shown his disdain for our own laws, to which, ironically, he seeks to add more laws, regulations and other controls allegedly to prevent others from committing crimes. These laws include unconstitutional gun control laws.

The above has happened under the current President, who has no problems with our troops wearing UN patches or other UN insignia in lieu of the US flag or serving under the command of foreign leaders. These are blatant violations of his responsibility as Commander-in-Chief Our caved-in, rolled-over and gutless Congress has done nothing about this.

In fact, according to Jon E. Dougherty of WorldNetDaily, as quoted in The McAlvany Intelligence Advisor. April 1999, military officers in the US Armed Forces are required to swear the following oath when involved in UN actions (underlining below for emphasis by the writer of CCCCC):

"I solemnly affirm to exercise in all loyalty, discretion and conscience the functions entrusted to me as a member of the international service of the United Nations, to discharge those functions and regulate my conduct with the interest of the United Nations only in view and not to seek or accept instructions in respect to the performance of my duties from

any government or other authority external to the organization."

The above requirement is a violation of and is in conflict with the oath that the officers swore in allegiance to and in support of the defense of the United States. Swearing to the above UN oath is, in essence, an act of treason and obviously egregiously so. Does your Congressman know about the above? Has he done anything about it? If not, why not?

Besides the above, why would various troops be given a survey to ask if they would be willing to fire on fellow American citizens who refused to give up their guns? This question would not have been asked if there were not definite plans to use US troops against American citizens for gun confiscation or any other purpose as desired by the Clinton-Gore Administration and their new world order supporters. Why have some local police forces been asked the same questions? Are there plans to federalize them?

President Clinton has illegally ordered military exercises to be conducted against various US cities, with some recently taking place, based on a report in the April 1999 issue of The McAlvany Intelligence Advisor, in Port Aransas and Kingsville, Texas; Monterey, California; and Anniston, Alabama. Exercises have also been conducted against other cities.

The same report noted that, in an invasion using live ammunition in Kingsville, Texas, Thomas Sanchez, the local FEMA director, said it was to prepare in case.

"Martial law has been declared through presidential powers and war powers act, and some citizens have refused to give up their weapons."

Unless you contact your congressman, mayor, governor and state legislative representatives and get them to act to stop the above actions against and planned for American citizens in violation of the Constitution and the Posse Comitatus Act of 1882, you, your family and city will be subjected to attack by our own military forces and foreign troops already on our soil in the case of martial law and lose all of your rights — including the right to defend yourself and your loved ones. You might also be attacked in "practice" exercises.

Aside from our own soldiers, most of whom, "thanks" to our public schools, have not read and do not understand the Constitution and our rights, foreign troops would have no problems in taking armed action against US citizens in any respect or under any circumstances. Comforting thoughts?

If you have any relatives or friends in the US military service, make sure that they get a copy of this report to let them know that they are being put in a situation to violate the Constitution and the defense of the United States, to which they have sworn an oath, and that they would also be violating the 1882 Posse Comitatus Act that prohibits the use of our military forces against US citizens.

Secondly, this writer does not believe that you would want your own relatives or friends(?) in the military firing against you or taking any other kinds of action against you, your family or friends or other families, to include the total confiscation of all weapons from

US citizens. If you are not certain what will happen to resisters, reread this book and remember what the Clinton-Gore Administration has done already and without the slightest guilt and certainly with no penalties for such.

Do not be surprised if President Clinton & Company use the Y2K event to "justify" the use of US and foreign forces against us. If there are not "enough" civil disturbances to "justify" such or to do so soon enough in his estimation, you can be sure that some will be provoked clandestinely by federal agent provocateurs and those paid by them to create the "necessary" civil disturbances.

Have you not wondered why "Internet AI," also known as "Accomplice AI," who claims credit for inventing the Internet, and President Clinton have not said much more about the coming Y2K situation? Assume that this is being done purposely and with assurances that everything will be okay in order to lull us into some sort of sleep state so that we will not be ready for what happens and it will be over before we realize it. The Y2K problem is the "perfect" situation for massive gun confiscation, the last step before turning the US completely over to the UN. (More on this under the section dealing with Y2K.) Also, look for any problems to be blamed somehow on "the right."

Question: When the means to defend ourselves are gone, will we have any rights left? NO. Will we ever get these rights back? NO. Will we ever get our guns back to defend ourselves and our loves ones? NO. How much time do you think we have left with any rights if we don't get our Congressmen, governors and local and state elected officials to act on this on our behalf? (If you helped to elect

officials who have sold out to the one-world government gang or who do not have the guts to do anything about this, expose the yellow stripes on their backs, kick them out and get someone to represent you and to fight for our Constitutional rights.)

Congress could reclaim the country — at least politically -by taking immediate action to vote with a three-fourths majority or more to rescind all of the Executive Orders and Presidential Decision Directives and to strip the Executive Branch of dictatorial powers and control of not only the Congress, but all Americans. It is past time to clean the slate and to return the control of the government to the people. The consequences for not doing so are far worse than leaving everything as is.

Expect to hear more talk by federal officials about "possible terrorist attacks" or "domestic terrorism." This is all part of a gradual brainwashing program to "sell" or to "justify" more dollars for law enforcement personnel at all levels, more equipment, more high-technology weapons for government personnel, etc. Having all of this will provide more people to exercise control over citizens through the enforcement of ever-tightening anti-guns laws leading up to confiscation.

If "necessary," as noted earlier, federal agent provocateurs and/or people who have been subjected to sophisticated mind control techniques will be used (perhaps even portraying themselves as some sort of "right-wing" group) to carry out terrorist activities to implicate the "right" in some way. This will then be used to justify" more laws, controls, etc., and the imprisonment and/or elimination of "terrorists as defined by the federal government (i.e., anyone who speaks out

against the activities of the federal government or who otherwise takes action — even legal action — to oppose the tyranny of government). (Are World Trade Center, Oklahoma City bombing and Littleton events starting to make any sense yet?)

In light of the foregoing and other events too numerous to mention, if there was ever a time that we needed to pray for our nation, it is now. God, by His nature and character, cannot and will not overlook around 40 million abortions, rampant pornography, the perversions of sodomy/lesbianism (remember Sodom and Gomorrah?) and other violations of His Word that you could add to this list. Also consider that all of the tornados, flooding and other disasters, however caused (allowing room for the implementation of weather modification technologies available to both the US and Russia), may be judgments on our nation and pictures and warnings of more to come.

Additional comments on divine judgment are presented quite clearly in a recent book by Steve Farrar, *SPIRITUAL SURVIVAL DURING THE Y2K CRISIS* (1999, Thomas Nelson Publishers), which provides a very balanced review of the impending Y2K event. The book provides the spiritual considerations that are all too often overlooked in any crisis; its cause(s); and surviving it.

Chapter 18

Y2K (Year 2000) Computer Problem; Whose Fault?

"Oh, how quickly men could accept the most outrageous of lies once the Truth was removed from consideration."

— Frank E. Peretti (From:
Piercing The Darkness)

No matter what you hear from the federal government, the Y2K problem has nothing to do with any individuals or groups that the Clinton-Gore team, along with their major media support team, label as being "right-wing," "religious right," "ultraconservative" or anything similar.

We can expect the Clinton-Gore Administration, based on past experience, to act as noted above. Remember, as stated elsewhere, Lavrentia Beria, former head of the secret police under Stalin, said that, if you can control the language of a people, you can control the people.

Reality: THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE Y2K PROBLEM INITIALLY; HOW IT IS RESPONDING TO IT NOW (OR NOT); AND HOW IT WILL RESPOND TO IT IN ALL FEDERAL DEPARTMENTS. PERIOD.

The Clinton-Gore people knew about the potential computer problems when they were elected to office in 1992. With Gore claiming credit for inventing the Internet, if there was ever an Administration that was fully aware of potential computer problems, this one should have led the way.

If this Administration had had one-tenth of the concern for our nation and our children that it alleges to have, it would have made the potential Y2K problem a first priority. Instead, the first priority of the Clinton-Gore team was to put sodomites and lesbians in the US military to destroy the morale of the majority of the troops who were in the military to defend our nation, our adults and our children.

Remembering the character of this Administration (paucity of principles; perversion of principles; putrefaction of principles; and the prostitution of principles), it is not surprising — unfortunately — that "sodomite security" was given preference over "national security."

If there is any panic over the Y2K situation, any civil disturbances, any hardships resulting from computers not working properly and the like, it can be laid at the feet of the Clinton-Gore team, which has had over six years to take the leadership at the federal level with federal departments, but has seldom even mentioned "Y2K" during the past six years.

Expect this: In pure "Clintonese" fashion, there will be more and more attempts to put the blame for Y2K on the "right-wing," the "religious right" or "extremists" of some kind or vicious demonizing to once again avoid acknowledging any responsibility; to create more divisions and wider divisions among groups in our country; to increase

anti-Christian bias (remember — Clinton regards the "religious fundamentalists" as his greatest enemy); etc.

Expect federal agent provocateurs to set up or to infiltrate groups to create "crises" by various means. An example of this in the past was the FBI, ATF and CIA going together to set up a phony militia, the "Tri-States Militia," for the purpose of infiltrating other militias.

No matter what you hear or read that comes from the major media, and especially the major television networks, and no matter what you hear from the President and his people, do not assume that any bombings or shootings that might occur relative to Y2K are the fault of any groups that have been or will be labeled in some negative fashion — even if such acts appear to be or are presented as such.

Why? The events could have been set up by federal agents "programming" people to carry out such or by federal agent provocateurs who have infiltrated certain organizations or groups.

You only have to remember this to know the above: Has the President ever lied to the American public? Has the President already made known his feelings about Christians or other conservatives? Have all "negative" events (even those with federal involvement) in our country over the past six years and before been blamed on the "right-wing," the "religious right" or "extremists" of some sort? "Yes" to all of these.

Have you ever wondered why you never hear about the "left-wing radicals" or the "left-wing extremists?" It's because they are running the show and covering their tails at the federal level and in the major media. They are employing their version of the "Golden Rule:"

"We have the gold (power and control in this case) and we make the rules."

For a government and a supporting major media that are so far to the left, anything or anyone in the middle is "right-wing" to them. Consequently, anyone or any group in the "middle" or "right-of-center" must be portrayed as "evil," "negative," "racist" "dangerous" or something similar. This tactic is also used to cover up what they are doing — they get the masses to focus on those whom they have portrayed as "the enemy."

With that brief review of how the federales "play the game," let's return to a look at how the Y2K problem started with the federal government. Here is what happened: The federal National Bureau of Standards, which is now the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) issued standards for computer date systems in 1968 in "Specifications for Calendar Date," as found in "Federal Information Processing Standards, Publication 4." The date system issued called for a six-digit date code, with the last two digits to indicate the year. Other governments and private businesses followed the standards issued.

The popularized, but false "party line" put out by the federal government and their major media supporters is that the two-digit year indication was used to "save space" — not true. This "Clintonese" has been used to avoid laying any responsibility on the federal government and any responsibility on the Clinton-Gore team for not doing anything about it.

Hence, from the above, the federal government knew in 1968 that when the year 2000 came up, the number would be the same as that for 1900, since only the last two digits were used to indicate the year, and that such would create problems. This was not an oversight. We can only assume that it was purposeful.

The above is just another example of not only the major media supporting the federal spin machine, but also an example of another problem caused by the federal government. All of this is documented in a 1984 book, **COMPUTERS IN CRISIS: HOW TO AVERT THE COMING WORLDWIDE COMPUTER SYSTEM COLLAPSE**, by Jerome T. Murray and Marilyn J. Murray.

Question: Why did the NIST, which, as the National Bureau of Standards, set the standard, wait until near mid-1997 to warn of a problem? This late announcement was not an oversight. You can assume that it was purposeful. (The date code background data was gleaned from *Power of Prophecy*. 1708 Patterson Road, Austin, TX 78733; <http://www.texemarrs.com>, 1-800-234-9673.)

As a side note, the NIST is the same outfit that is pushing the metric system on the United States in preparation for the European-centered new world order. When is the last time that track and field events in this country used inches, feet and yards? Can you find a new track that is not on the metric system?

Again, if any panics or problems result from Y2K-related events, it is because the Clinton-Gore Administration has allowed this opportunity and want to use this opportunity to declare martial law and to impose tyrannical restrictions on us through various Executive

Orders and restrictions already signed that suspend all of our rights, including our Second Amendment rights to own and bear arms.

Know this: If there are not "enough" or "quick enough" or "serious enough" civil disturbances related to Y2K problems, it is guaranteed that, with everything in place, (Executive Orders Directives, FEMA, Delta Forces, helicopters, prisons, foreign troops etc.), one or more civil disturbances (perhaps simultaneously) will be created by the federal government (no matter how it looks or who it is blamed on) as a "justification" to confiscate our guns, which is the last step before placing us under an unmerciful, dictatorial one-world government.

Any disturbance will be blamed on someone or some group other than the government. If "necessary," people will be "programmed" unbeknownst to them to carry out acts of terrorism, such as in the case of Timothy McVeigh or Harvey Weston. (For more information on federal government mind control activities, read: **TRANCE-FORMATION OF AMERICA: THE TRUE LIFE STORY OF A CIA MIND CONTROL SLAVE**, by Cathy O'Brien, with Mark Phillips, as well as other books and Internet sites on the same subject noted elsewhere in CCCCC.)

Some ideas of what we can expect from our own federal government and, in particular the Executive Branch, were outlined very well in the March 1999 issue of The McAlvany Intelligence Advisor (P.O. Box 84904, Phoenix, AZ 85071; www.mcalvany.com; 800-528-0559, an excellent source of political, military and economic intelligence that undoubtedly will be demonized by the Clinton-Gore team and the major networks).

Quotes noted below or information derived from the newsletter will be followed by (MIA).

"Government officials will all lie to us about Y2K...." — Sen. Bob Bennet, Chairman, Senate Y2K Committee, USA Today (11/26/98) (MIA)

"The lies will intensify. Status reports will become less and less reliable. The numbers that have already been fudged will become just plain silly." — Jim Lord, Year 2000 Survival Newsletter, October 1998 (MIA)

"Some reports, such as Business Week article (12/14/98) entitled: ' Y2K Is Worse Than Anyone Thought — The Final Reckoning For The Bug Could Reach \$1 Trillion' are very objective." (MIA)

". . .others, such as Time Magazine (1/18/99) are trying to portray the crisis as a 'right-wing' or 'religious right' effort to incite a panic...." (MIA)

"Instead of warning the American citizens of the potential severity of the Y2K problem and encouraging urgent personal and business preparations (as is presently happening in Great Britain), the Clinton, big business, banking strategy is to avoid Panic at all costs, discourage preparations, and even blame

those encouraging preparation? for the coming crisis and panic."
(MIA)

". . . several aspects of Y2K are not debatable — they are virtually guaranteed: 1) THE FINANCIAL SYSTEM AND ECONOMY ARE GOING DOWN IN THE WORST CRASH SINCE 1929.. 2) ONE-THIRD TO ONE-HALF OF U.S. BUSINESSES WILL NOT BE Y2K COMPLIANT. ..3) BILL CLINTON AND HIS SOCIALIST COMRADES WILL USE Y2K TO SEIZE A GREAT DEAL OF POWER AND CONTROL OVER THE PEOPLE. . . .4) THE POLITICAL LEFT WILL USE Y2K AS AN EXCUSE TO ATTACK THE POLITICAL (AND CHRISTIAN) RIGHT. . .such attacks have begun. . accusing them of precipitating the "panic," of planning violent attacks.. .of being millennial 'cultists'..."(MIA)

"Businesses and consumers should brace for unexpected costs and disruptions spanning several years, warns Daniel D. Galorath of Galorath, Inc., which sells programs that estimate software development costs." (MIA) (Note: Mr. Galorath is warning people, but is not known as a "right-wing" person)

"On 1/12/99, the Gartner Group reported that 83% of all commercial applications in the U.S. are not compliant" (MIA)
(Note: The Gartner Group is a world-renown computer

expert group that gave this warning, and is not known as a "right-wing" group.)

"The Gartner Group believes that Y2K will shut down at minimum 15% of U.S. companies and that another 5-10% will fall victim to a ripple effect and also fail." (MIA)

".. .The next time some 'expert' or 'skeptic' tells you there's not a serious Y2K problem, ask them why.. .eight mega banks are spending over \$3 billion, why the entire banking industry will spend over \$10 billion, or why the auto industry is spending \$1.5 billion — all to fix a non-problem?" (MIA)

"According to Business Week (certainly not a 'right-wing' group set on causing panic, as the federal government would say) (12/14/98), 'Allant Energy Corp, an electric utility, is encouraging corporate customers to buy generators. The U.S. Treasury plans to buy generators to prevent disruption in Social Security, tax refund, and veterans checks.' What do they know that they are not telling the public?" (MIA) (Note: Allant Energy is warning people and telling them to prepare for Y2K, but is certainly not a "right-wing" group — just a responsible company speaking responsibly for Americans.)

"The federal government under Bill Clinton seems to have one Primary goal with respect to Y2K — and it is not to fix the

problem It is to keep the public in the dark as long as possible...."
(MIA)

"... .TWO POTENTIAL REASONS THE GOVERNMENT HAS
BOTCHED Y2K. . .1) THEY WANT A SEVERE CRISIS AND
PANIC SO THAT THEY CAN DECLARE A STATE OF
NATIONAL EMERGENCY, declare martial law, and impose
Draconian socialist (police state) controls on the American economy
and people that they could never get away with in normal times.. .2)
THEY'RE JUST PLAIN STUPID, INCOMPETENT AND
INEFFICIENT " (MIA)

(Note: This writer believes that the first reason above is the real
issue, in light of the other factors cited in CCCCC.)

"Government is never truly motivated to solve problems. Instead, it
always acts to gain or increase political power and control.. .The
only problems government is interested in are those that can be used
to extend its power even if it has to create the problems in the first
place." (MIA)

"There are no Y2K special interest groups pressing campaign
donations into the hands of politicians There is no political gain in
mentioning the problem. Therefore, the issue sits on the sidelines "
(MIA)

"Gore. . .wanted to take on the issue. . .The Democrat National Committee and the White House (the institution), however, told him to keep quiet because there was no discernible gain to be derived from Y2K. Gore.. .naturally obeyed — even though he knew it was not in the national interest to do so." (MIA)

From the above, it looks as if we can add the Democrat Party to the federal government in general for primary responsibility for inaction and/or any too-late action on the Y2K problem — mainly because it does not help two primary concerns of the Party: generating votes and campaign money. If your representative is a Democrat, ask him to justify his Party's position.

Secondly, Gore is obviously not imbued with much backbone, principles or leadership (evident from supporting his boss in everything to date by omission or commission), choosing to put personal and Party interests above the people of this country. And some people still want this man for President?

"Considering the preparations (legal and military) being made for a state of emergency and martial law, this writer (i.e., Don McAlvany) would rate these two scenarios as 50/50 possibilities. The Clinton/Gore strategy could be to minimize their involvement with the problem, let Y2K run its course and bring down the economy, blame the high-tech industry for its failure, fire the Y2K czar and a half dozen cabinet secretaries for letting their departments fail, and declare a state of

emergency and martial law. At that point Clinton and Gore would come on as the champions of solving the Y2K crisis and Gore would become a hero and easily elected in 2000 — if there are elections in 2000." (MIA)

"Government bureaucrats and socialists love crises — real, imagined or manufactured — because they provide the opportunity to write laws, create programs, increase taxes, spend money, expand the bureaucracy, impose regulations, extend controls — to justify a quantum leap in the size and power of government. Y2K is the 'mother' of all such crises." (MIA)

"How will Mr. Clinton turn this disaster into an opportunity, particularly for his Veep? By consistently distancing himself and his Administration from the problem. Mr. Clinton has set the stage for his final and most poignant legacy. He will be the President that saves us all from a terrible ordeal. He will be the knight in shining armor that comes to our aid when we are most in need of help. In essence, he will do nothing until the problem actually happens. Then he will take action." (MIA)

".. .MARTIAL LAW UNDER Y2K? — 'The really skilled, crafty politicians know that fear gives them power. When people are afraid, they call out for help from the government. Y2K is a dream come true for politicians like Bill Clinton

Politicians want power and control, and they can get all they want when people are scared to death. Hitler knew it and Clinton knows it. — WorldNetDaily (Jan.'99) Quoting an anonymous National Guard Officer." (MIA)

"He will declare a national emergency and institute the appropriate measures that national emergencies require. He will impose rations on food and gas. He will muster all the resources of the federal government and private sector to fix the problem. He will ask for (no, he will demand) emergency appropriations. He will call out the National Guard, if necessary. Perhaps he will even nationalize key industries." (MIA)

".. .PREPARING TO CALL UP THE NATIONAL GUARD — 'I have no doubts about the bunker mentality of the Clinton Administration. Let's put it this way — our civil rights are going to take a nosedive.' — A National Guard officer — commenting on Clinton's planned call-up of the National Guard for Y2K" (MIA)

"THE NATIONAL GUARD BELIEVES THAT THE NATION'S POWER GRID WILL COLLAPSE AND IS MAKING PLANS ACCORDINGLY.. .it plans to station an average of 4,000 troops in each of the 120 largest cities." (MIA)

"THE POLITICAL LEFT TO USE Y2K TO ADVANCE THEIR AGENDA. . .In and of itself, the Y2K crisis is an apolitical event which should not be politicized. With that said, it is being politicized and will be more and more by the political left which means to use it for a quantum power grab over the next 6 to 12 to 18 months. They also plan to use it to deal a mortal blow, to neutralize their opposition — the political right, conservatives, Christian activists, traditionalists, Constitutionlists and the so-called Christian right.. .After the Oklahoma City bombing, the Clinton Administration, the liberal media, and the political left painted the political right in America with a very broad, black brush — accusing the conservatives and conservative Christians of America, radio talk show hosts, gun owners, pro-lifers, etc. of terrorism, hate crimes, and responsibility for the deaths of 168 victims in that tragedy. The truth of what really happened — the inside bombs, undetonated explosives, foreknowledge by certain agencies — will never be fully revealed.. .Now the political left has started a spin campaign that the conservatives and religious right who are talking about Y2K and urging preparations are going to use Y2K as an excuse to launch terrorist attacks across the U.S. Did you get that: they are trying to create a link between Y2K preparedness and terrorism. FBI Director Louis Freeh warned on February 4 that right-wing extremists, religious cults or apocalyptic groups may turn to violence to fulfill their prophecies of Armageddon as the year 2000 approaches." (MIA)

The above comments support those made earlier and in other parts of this CCCCC report. The Oklahoma City bombing was used to generate emotional support for broad-based, so-called "anti-terrorist" legislation, which was contrived to label and to automatically make anyone a "terrorist" who opposes the federal government verbally or otherwise. This makes anyone preparing for Y2K a possible "terrorist." It is also just one more example of using language to control the people of this country.

"So, Freeh, Reno and the political left have set the stage for blaming any terrorist incidents in America (real, imagined or staged) on the political right, the Christian right, people preparing for Y2K, gun owners, etc. When a terrorist incident occurs, the right-wing or religious right will be blamed — just as occurred after Oklahoma City.. .The political left appears to be setting a giant trap which it plans to spring on the right over the next 6 to 12 months (or sooner), and 'Millennial madness' and the 'Y2K fanatics' will be blamed. Watch for the growing use of the terms 'cultist,' 'racist,' 'terrorist,' and 'hate' to be used against the religious right and those advocating preparations for Y2K." (MIA)

"MEDIA SPIN: MINIMIZING Y2K.. .they are for the most part putting the government spin on it — that it's 'really no big deal'. . .And the media loves to ridicule. . . 'religious fundamentalists'. . . 'fear mongers'. . . 'Y2K conspiracy theorists'. . .The messengers are being painted as the 'bad

guys' by the media and those selling food reserves, generators, water filters and other preparedness products as greedy, profiteering, money grubbers.. .The media spin by and large is to play down the potential magnitude and severity of Y2K and is strongly discouraging people from making common sense preparations." (MIA — March 1999)

"The Federal Emergency Management Agency has issued plans which include the use of the military as a domestic police in the event of Y2K civil disturbances. The plan also provides for the movement of large numbers of people into shelters in the event of a disaster associated with Y2K computer failures. The FEMA plan of action for the Y2K crisis is outlined in the report 'Contingency and Consequence Management Planning for Year 2000 Conversion: A Guide for State and Local Emergency Managers.' The plan was recently made available to local governments throughout the country." (MIA — April 1999)

Readers of this CCCCC report are encouraged to contact The McAlvany Intelligence Advisor for additional information with regard to the foregoing, which are just a sampling of up-to-date political, military and economic intelligence information provided in that publication.

After reading all of the Y2K and other information in this CCCCC report, you will have to decide who you want to believe and, whether or not, based on past experience, you can expect any truth

out of the current Administration or anything but the "party line" from the major media, which pretty much operates as the advance and support team for the Administration.

You will have to decide your own "comfort level" with regard to where you are now relative to Y2K preparations; what you have to do yet to prepare for an emergency, how you will do this, and how soon you can do this.

Chapter 19

Another Possible Avenue For Gun Confiscation: Y2K

"Failure to achieve compliance with Year 2000 will jeopardize our way of living on this planet for some time to come. "

— Arthur Gross, IRS

In their no-holds barred efforts to destroy the Constitution and primarily the Second Amendment, which, when gone, effectively eliminates the Bill of Rights (since we can no longer defend any of them), the Clinton-Gore team, along with their one-world government gang cohorts, plus the major media, is probably looking at the following options relative to the Y2K problem:

- (1) using any instance of panic over Y2K to "justify" martial law and to use US and foreign personnel to confiscate all guns by whatever means deemed necessary, including killing resisters attempting to defend their rights and loved ones; or
- (2) creating a Y2K panic if one does not develop or develop soon enough, in order to "justify" martial law and gun confiscation.

Again, with this Administration's continually overflowing bag of tricks, the above do not purport to be all-inclusive in terms of what the Administration could do with the Y2K problem. Also, if the Y2K problem somehow did not cause the stockmarket to crash, the Administration would have another option:

- (3) kick the props out from under the stockmarket (which has been artificially propped up by infusions of cash from the Federal Reserve to keep Clinton "looking good" with a "good" economy), at which point a panic would ensue and "justify" a declaration of martial law and consequent gun confiscation.

In any case, count on the full support of the major media — especially the major networks — for any story, rationale, propaganda, etc., that the Administration wants to promote. As in the past under this Administration, the media will be used to create the "proper" emotional atmosphere to make martial law "acceptable."

Tactics could include saturated news coverage, such as has been used for the Oklahoma City bombing, the Littleton shootings, etc.; disinformation, contrived polling data; or any combination of these or other methods of public indoctrination and mind control.

Again, if any of the foregoing scenarios seem unbelievable or impossible, just remember what the citizens of the US have experienced to date under this President. To repeat for emphasis, these things include assassinations of innocent people by federal agents at Ruby Ridge; shooting, gassing and burning over 80 men,

women and children to death at Waco, Texas, the Oklahoma bombing, student shootings, the deaths of dozens of Clinton's former close associates; selling out our national security to enemy communist nations (China and North Korea) for campaign funds, giving both countries the technology to hit any part of the US with missiles; turning portions of our country over to UN control, Filegate, Whitewatergate; rapegate, and undoubtedly many more things that we will never know.

Perhaps, after all of this, you can imagine the following conversation among "Ban-The-Bill-Of-Rights-Bill," 'Helpful Hillary,' "Accomplice AI" (also known as "Internet AT) and "Lilac" Lockhart:

Bill: "Hey AI! Hillary! Joe Baby! Listen to this! If we don't say much about the Y2K stuff, most people will relax and think everything's going to be okay and they won't do anything to prepare for it. The major networks have already been doing their job to help create this atmosphere.

Then, all that we have to do is 'allow' (you know what I mean) a few things to 'happen,' get our media support team to publicize these things for maximum emotional impact and we can have a full-fledged countrywide panic in no time.

I've got Executive Orders and other Directives coming out the ears to cover anything and everything

upside down, right side up and sideways and they're ready to go. With the right kind and amount of panic, I'll declare a 'crisis' and set up martial law. That way, I can tap those foreign troops that we've been training in urban assault operations for years, throw them in with our Delta Forces and black helicopters; federalize all local and county law enforcement people to help (now you know why I added money to increase the number of local police and it didn't have anything to do with crime — smooth, huh?) and grab every gun in the country.

I believe that we can create enough fear to the point that it'll be like taking candy from babies. Those dummies won't know what hit them until it's too late.

I'll never say this in public, but ya gotta remember — just like the movie, I can still "wag the dog" and they'll "buy the fog." It works every time. My biggest problem is trying to keep from laughing out loud any time that I pull this stuff off. The only way I'm losing any sleep is lying in bed laughing over how easy it is. Heh. Heh."

Al: "I tell ya, Bill, you never cease to amaze me. I agree with everything. The only thing I ask is that somehow you throw out a few crumbs on all this

stuff to take care of my tree-huggin' and animal rights buddies. I don't want any oak trees, bugs, tadpoles, birds, fish or whales on my conscience."

Bill: "No Problem, Al."

Hillary: "Bill, everyone here knows how things are between us, so I'll skip all that. I agree with the gun stuff, too, but whatever you do, you will not forget who I am when all this goes down. I expect to have my choice of any areas that I want to control. If you expect me to smile and hold your hand in public for the media, I'm sure that you can find a way to take care of things."

Bill: "Yes, Ma'am."

"Lilac"

Lockhart: "Bill, you know by now that I've got this "Clintonese" dialect down as well as anyone. I never thought that I could top 'Mandarin' McCurry, but I believe that I've got it now.

Just let me know what you want to get across to those suckers out there. I've reviewed the mathematical formulas for PR and I believe that I can come up with the right weasel words, deceptions, and

diversions to cover you and create good propaganda as well as anyone. I've reached the point that I don't have any more conscience than you, Hillary or Al.

You can count on me."

Bill: "Okay. It sounds like a wrap. Like all the secret things we do, we'll give this a code name that just a few of us will know. I've played with a couple possibilities on this. The first one that I thought of was "Project DUMB" (Deceiving Underdeveloped Mini-Brains), which I thought fit most of the masses out there. The second one was "Project STUPID" (Steamrolling Thoroughly Under Premeditated Illegal Directives). Give those some thought and let me know what you think.

As soon as we decide, I'll send the code to our FEMA Directors and the leaders of the US and foreign troops so that all of them will know immediately what's happening when it's time for action."

Although the above may not seem quite like what you might expect from our Executive Branch, consider the possibilities and ask, "What if?"

Chapter 20

Brief Review; Anti-Gun Agenda

"The right of self-defense is the first law of nature; in most governments it has been the study of rulers to confine the right within the narrowest limits possible. Whenever standing armies are kept up, and when the right of the people to keep and bear arms is, under any color or pretext whatsoever, prohibited, liberty, if not already annihilated, is on the brink of destruction. "

— Henry St. George Tucker, (In Blackstone 's 1768 "Commentaries on the Laws of England)

Below is a brief review of the apparent attitude of the Clinton-Gore Administration and why we don't need more anti-gun laws:

1. We already have too many laws on the books eating away at our Second Amendment rights. All of these laws more than cover anything that happens or could happen.
2. Anti-gun/anti-crime laws DO NOT STOP those who are not law-abiding citizens, but only end up

disenfranchising good citizens of their rights, freedom and safety, putting them at a greater disadvantage relative to criminals.

3. Using dead children to further a political agenda that has no concern for the safety of Americans in the final analysis is political ambulance chasing, demagoguery and unconscionable.
4. The new anti-gun, population control laws that have been proposed by "Ban-The-Bill-Of-Rights-Bill" are being proposed not because of the Colorado tragedy, but because the President and his fellow travelers have the ultimate goal of disarming all Americans except police officers, military personnel and foreign troops on our soil toward the end of total population control that is "necessary" for the establishment of the new world order.

The Colorado event is being used as a convenient justification for more controls under the guise of doing something "for the children." (Isn't it amazing how the new legislation was "all ready to go" as soon as the shootings occurred? It's almost as if the Clinton-Gore Administration knew that this was coming.)

5. Passing more anti-gun and related legislation is a callous, politically-motivated action that is basically the government telling the parents of the dead in Colorado the following:

"We are thankful for the event that gave us the emotional swell to restrict your freedoms more. We are pushing more anti-gun laws because, besides working toward total population control, we do not want to admit that our government-run public schools are massive failures in every respect and that Hollywood and television have anything to do with this as well. (Psst — you know how it is — we get some campaign money from Hollywood.)"

"By pushing this anti-gun, population control legislation, we can appear to be 'doing something' and sweep our real Mures under the rug. All of these anti-gun laws will take up enough paper for us to hide behind and to get away with doing nothing about our unwillingness to enforce existing laws."

"We can also get away with not having to acknowledge any of the past or present negative attitudes that we helped to create in students by our work now and earlier to remove God, prayer, moral values, other absolutes, principles and related things from our government-run schools, replacing them with anti-God and anti-Christian programs; anti-parent attitudes; anti-authority attitudes; anti-patriotic agendas; and just about anything else that creates a moral vacuum for our students, pretty much leaving them dumbed-down, hopeless and helpless, which means that they will be easier to control under the new world order in which only the government will have guns."

"Ban-The-Bill-Of-Rights-Bill has said, in spite of the above, that, "what's wrong is our nation's love affair with guns." WRONG. We have had guns for all of our existence, but we didn't have many gun problems until he came into office. Coincidence? Remember: Until or unless the federales find a new way to distort statistics, only two percent of children's deaths are gun-related.

He also said, "It isn't our culture..." He did not want to acknowledge the failures of our government-run schools, the impact of Hollywood (from which he receives campaign money), the impact of the federal government and the courts taking away the right of

parents to discipline their children or the right of schools to discipline unruly students, the impact of the federal examples of violence on persons in the US or abroad, mentioned elsewhere in this book, and other situations in our society in which the federal government played a part directly or indirectly in promoting internal decay in values, morals, principles and the like.

Does President Clinton really want to deal with teen violence? Suggestion: Let him start at the top — the Presidential level — to set the example of ceasing Presidential violence at home and abroad.

Most people are aware — as are our elected federal officials and the major media, both of whom ignore it — that Switzerland has no army, but, at age 18, all able males are given an assault rifle and ammunition to keep in their dwellings and to have available in case of an attack on the country. Crime by Swiss citizens? Hardly a blip on the radar screen — more proof of Dr. John Lott's book, **MORE GUNS, LESS CRIME**. (The number of Congressmen who have read this probably can be counted on one hand.)

The next chapter looks at the current anti-gun demagoguery in more detail.

Chapter 21

Anti-Gun Political Demagoguery, Naivete

"We believe whatever we want to believe. "

— Demosthenes

Anyone who supports the latest round of "convenient" proposed anti-gun legislation has elected to support the hypocrisy and goals of the most pro-violent, pro-murder regime in US history, based on events to date. (Read: DEFRAUDING AMERICA, by Rodney Stich, covering corruption, murders, drug-running and many other things that you won't want to believe, but which need to be faced and dealt with by Congress — if there is time left to get more people elected who represent us and who have not been bought off or blackmailed, among other things.)

Before presenting a more detailed review of guns, remember that "gun laws" are really "population control" laws and "pro-crime" laws, since only a government that desires total control of its citizens will benefit, along with criminals who prey on the unarmed.

It is worthwhile to remember what some of our forefathers had to say about our rights to own and bear arms:

"Arms discourage and keep the invaders and plunderers in awe, and preserve order in the world as well as property... Horrid mischief would ensue were the law abiding deprived of the use of them."

— Thomas Paine

"To disarm the best is the best and most efficient way to enslave them."

— George Mason

"The strongest reason for the people to retain the right to keep and bear arms is, as a last resort, to protect themselves against tyranny in government.

Laws that forbid the carrying of arms disarm only those who are neither inclined or determined to commit crimes....Such laws make things worse for the assaulted and better for the assailants; they serve rather to encourage than to prevent homicides, for an unarmed man may be attacked with greater confidence than an armed man."

— Thomas Jefferson

"The Constitution of the United States shall never be construed...to prevent the people who are peaceable citizens from keeping their own arms."

— Samuel Adams

Aside from the foregoing, ask yourself and your elected representatives the following questions:

1. Are there laws on the books already to provide penalties for gun-related deaths? YES.
2. Will more laws stop criminals any more than our Constitution stops our federal officials from violating the Constitution that they have sworn to uphold? NO.
3. Will the only results of more anti-gun laws and controls (to add to the 20,000 now on the books, including the 19 violated in the Littleton shootings) be that of putting 99 percent of the citizens who are law-abiding at a disadvantage to criminals and the uncontrolled tyranny of government? YES.
4. Will any new laws or regulations prevent any crimes by anyone committed to do them? NO.

5. Will criminals intent on killing people find a way to do so?
YES.
6. Will any laws stop people committed to die (if necessary) from carrying out their crimes? NO.
7. Is there any reason to punish over 260 million people for the shootings done by two at Columbine High? NO.
8. Is the leading cause of death among children tied to guns?
NO — only two percent.
9. If "child safety" is the real concern, would it not seem wise to start with the major causes of deaths among children and go down the list (not that the federal government should be involved in any way anyhow, since this should be a matter for local communities to decide) to deal with "child safety?"
YES.
10. Are children or anyone else who dies from a gun (two percent, as noted) any more dead than one who dies from a traffic accident, burns, drowning or by any other means? NO.

11. Is child safety the real concern of the Clinton-Gore Administration and its fellow travelers? NO.
12. Is the real goal of the Clinton-Gore team and fellow (mostly Democrat) travelers, as a part of the one-world government crowd, to ultimately ban guns from all citizens not in the military or the police forces? YES.
13. Are federal government officials and staffs any smarter than the people of Littleton or anywhere else? NO.
14. Should the people of Littleton and every community decide what is best for their community (and they really do have the right to do so)? YES.
15. Do the anti-gun people conveniently ignore the millions of deaths prevented in confrontations with criminals because citizens were armed and able to defend themselves quickly and adequately? YES.
16. Is the federal government suppressing its own data that show the effectiveness of good citizens preventing deaths and injuries because they were able to defend themselves with their own weapons? YES.

17. Is there a female representative or senator in Washington, DC. who would not want to have a quickly accessible, unlocked, no trigger lock, loaded gun with adequate stopping power to use as necessary for self-defense in the case of an attempted rape by someone with the morals of "Bed-Mill-Bill" (also known as "Any Couch Clinton")? NO.
18. Is there any congressman who would not want to be able to defend his wife, daughter, sister, et.al., or for them to be able to defend themselves (as noted above) in case of an attempted rape by someone with the morals of President Clinton or to be able to protect themselves against possible robbery, torture or murder? NO.
19. Did we have student shootings under the two previous Administrations as we have had under the Clinton-Gore Administration, recognizing that there were even fewer anti-gun laws and controls under the previous Administrations? NO.

Anyone who has previously voted for gun registration has already violated the Second Amendment and has identified himself with what Adolf Hitler did as a preliminary step to ruthless control and the murder of six million Jews and many others. In case you have

forgotten or never learned the following in history classes, Hitler's 1935 statement is repeated below:

"This year will go down in history! For the first time a civilized nation has full gun registration. Our streets will be safer, our police will be more efficient, and the world will follow our lead into the future."

DO NOT allow yourself to be conned by clever doses of "Clintonese" or "Hitlerese," which sound basically the same and will produce similar results.

Legislation is being pushed — under the usual guise of "the safety of the children" — to require guns to be kept unloaded in the house, to be locked and/or locked up, and to be separated from ammunition sources in some way, among other things, besides being permanently registered (for quick location and confiscation).

The above UNCONSTITUTIONAL proposals, along with the already UNCONSTITUTIONAL legislation requiring background checks and the permanent (in effect) registration of every gun have the following implications, among others that are desired by the Clinton-Gore Administration, most other Democrats, and their cohorts running full blast toward establishing a new world order and by duped, unknowledgeable, "do-gooders" who have no idea of what is in store for them and their families:

1. Since only two percent of child deaths occur from gun injuries, more alleged "safety" measures have nothing to do with that, but have ulterior motives:

a. UNCONSTITUTIONAL and

HITLERISTIC gun registration is for one purpose only: to more quickly identify and locate gun owners to confiscate their guns. The handful of prosecutions to date under the Hitler Bill (called the "Brady Bill" in the US) proves — if any proof was needed anyhow — that child "safety" is just a cover for the real reasons.

When hardly a dozen students out of 7,000 have been prosecuted for having guns in school, is it not appropriate to ask, "What's the reason for this?"

Immediate, vigorous, publicized prosecution of the earliest offenders among these (now) thousands of them, followed by harsh punishment (with more publicity), would have kept, in this writer's opinion, over 98 percent of the subsequent violations from even occurring.

Our Bible-carrying President apparently did not read (or care about) the following verse in Ecclesiastes 8:11: "Because sentence against an evil work is not executed speedily, therefore the heart of the sons of men is fully set in them to do evil." (In the absence of any quick sentence for his crimes, he is still pursuing evil as well.)

Again, why no prosecution? Answer: Since the ultimate objective is total gun confiscation, to be preceded by ever-tightening restrictions relative to gun ownership, if prosecutions had been pursued, there would be fewer crimes and therefore less "justification" for more anti-gun (pro-crime, pro-control) laws.

In fact, our own federal government is the organization that should be sued (not gun manufacturers) for failing to uphold the law and prosecuting violators and for even suggesting the possibility of bringing a gun to school to deal with bullies (as presented in the DARE program, a federal alleged

anti-drug program for grades one to 12.) (More on this later.)

- b. The "Hitlerites" (i.e., those who like his program and the results and "safety" that it brought for the Jews and others also) want gun registration so that, when gun confiscation starts, the US and foreign troops' "safety" will be enhanced by knowing in advance how many and what kinds of weapons they will be facing in cases of resistance.

- c. The Hitlerites want gun locks, unloaded guns, guns separated from sources of ammunition, etc., for the "safety" of those coming to get guns. When they arrive at a home, they will move in swiftly and a gun owner will not have time to retrieve, unlock and load his gun to defend his Constitutional rights or the safety of himself and his family.

The same applies in the case of attacks by other criminals, except that the Hitlerites will use the new gun-related crime statistics (from gun use by criminals) to

"justify" more anti-gun laws that disenfranchise the rights of law-abiding citizens against criminals — government or otherwise.

2. Hitleristic gun-lock, unloaded-gun laws and the like will result in random — if not house-to-house-"visits" to ensure compliance with any new gun laws, which could mean unauthorized searches or illegal break-ins by police forces or military forces, with arrests, family breakups, fines and imprisonment being the likely results for any "violations," with any resistors being summarily shot.
3. Cities will be encouraged to initiate legislation to comply with federal legislation and probably states as well, with the loss of "federal" funds (all such funds are taxpayer funds) for those entities not complying.
4. Neighbors and others (including children) who learn about possible violations of gun laws (yes, get ready for the PR blitz from the federales packing their "media guns") will be encouraged to snitch on possible violators in order to "earn" rewards for such, stupidly believing that they are being "patriotic" as used in the "Clintonese" dialect.

5. All of the above laws and practices instigated by the Hitlerites will create more tensions within and between families and among neighbors, since no one will know who to trust. This attitude will create further divisions in our society, which will end up creating more crime and therefore more "justifications" for more laws. Also, the tactic of creating conflicts among groups makes them easier to conquer because it keeps any large groups from developing who could offer any significant resistance to government terrorism.

6. People who know or who are even believed to know about any "violations" of the Hitler I or Hitler II or Hitler III (or however many we end up with) Bills and who do not "do their duty" and report them would be prosecuted as accessories to the fact and subjected to fines and imprisonment for such as well as lawsuits (sort of like suing tobacco companies who knew about some things but didn't say anything).

7. More money will be appropriated for "student counselors" and the like to assist in conducting clandestine surveys of students to identify parents who are gun owners, to find out how many and what types of guns are owned, etc., with data being sent to federal agencies and cross-checked against their lists

so that further prosecutions of law-abiding citizens can be pursued. (Parents: Ask your children to report to you whenever they are given surveys to fill out. Better yet, tell them that they are required to have your permission first. How do you know that your children aren't asked about your sex life and other personal matters? Be more pro-active: Visit and get to know your children's teachers; ask to see and review all materials to be used in teaching, including videos; require that all surveys be sent home for review, etc. YOU are in charge of and responsible for your child's education.)

The above are just the proverbial "tip of the iceberg" on the possible ramifications of more Hitler Bills that are sold under the name of "Brady Bills" or under the guise of "child safety."

Elected officials who vote for more Hitleristic bills or judges who rule "favorably" for them to further destroy our Second Amendment rights, and who, furthermore, do not rescind the Hitleristic gun registration laws that also violate our Constitution are not fit to be in office. Remember: If the Second Amendment can be legislated away, all of the Bill of Rights can go the same way and will go the same way.

All of the above and others who favor keeping gun registration and/or imposing more gun restrictions a la Hitler in violation of our Constitution fall into one or more of the following categories:

1. They are violating the Constitution and their oath of office to uphold it.
2. They have sold out to the left-wing, anti-gun, one-world government, police-state gang.
3. They have no knowledge of or concern for our Constitution.
4. They do not understand that, when the Second Amendment is gone, our entire Bill of Rights is gone for all practical purposes.
5. They are more concerned about the freedoms of criminals to rape, rob, plunder and kill than they are for the rights of law-abiding citizens to defend themselves. (Note: "Rambo" Reno once said that her highest priority was not to convict criminals, but to ensure that their rights are not violated. And she was allowed to remain in office?)
6. They have not read, do not understand or want to accept the objective findings of Dr. John Lott's book, MORE GUNS, LESS CRIME.
7. If they have read the above book and still want to pass more anti-gun laws to add to the 20,000 on the

books, their sell-out to the one-world government police state is obvious.

8. They are incapable of analyzing facts (perhaps as products of our government-run public schools) and putting them together in a rational, logical manner and, instead, allow themselves to be babysat with presidential pabulum and propaganda that is spoon-fed to them by the Clinton-Gore team and their major media supporters with excesses of cooing and wooing (translation: money; promises; blackmail, threats and the like on the part of the Clinton-Gore team.)
9. They naively believe that gun restrictions or gun confiscations lead to crime prevention and control. (Note: Chicago has some of the strictest anti-gun laws in the US, but ranks at or near the top in murders. Murders have gone up in England and Australia over earlier periods following gun confiscation.)
10. They do not care about the 99 percent of citizens who are law-abiding.
11. They are afraid of and have allowed themselves to be intimidated by the Bill-Hillary-Al Gore gang and company.

12. They have been informed that it would otherwise not "pay them" to oppose any anti-gun laws.
13. They have been bought off with cash or pork barrel promises. (Do you know any Congressmen who left office poorer than when they started?)
14. They have been threatened with the loss of the above.
15. They care more about catering to the machinations of the Clinton-Gore team and their new world order (maybe this should be "new world odor") cohorts than they do about representing the taxpaying public's Constitutional rights of self-defense.
16. They have no understanding of human nature, the criminal mind or how and under what circumstances criminals operate.
17. They are unwilling to deal realistically with the problem of crime.
18. Because of No. 17. above, among other things, they pass off the problem by just "doing something" (i.e., passing more anti-gun laws) to avoid dealing with the real problem (and, again, this writer is not implying that this is a matter for federal legislation anyhow,

since communities are fully knowledgeable of what is best for them and they are even more capable of doing the right thing than the federal government, whose only objective is total control.)

19. With arrogance rivaling that of "Ban-The-Bill-Of-Rights-Bill," other federal officials — including many of our Congressmen — believe that only they have the knowledge and enough intelligence and wisdom to "do the right thing" and that it is the job and purpose of the federal government to intrude upon, step upon and manage every aspect of every citizen's life.
20. They are totally naive.
21. They are totally out of touch with reality.
22. They have no understanding of weapons.
23. They have never fired or handled any weapons.
24. They have no concern for the safety and protection of their children and grandchildren (if any) in the future and believe that police officers will be there just for them as needed (impossible).

25. They actually believe that crime will decrease if more laws are passed, forgetting that existing laws did not result in their desire to obey them or uphold them in the impeachment trial or in other matters where they chose to do otherwise in spite of the law.

26. (Add any reasons that this writer may have missed.)

Tobacco And Guns

The United States has had cigarettes available for over 200 years. They have been smoked by young and old without much comment for most of that time.

Question: Why, all of a sudden, after over 200 years, is there such a "concern" about the health of our young people? (In reality, there isn't, as shown in the following paragraphs.)

Answer: The extensive anti-smoking campaign of the federates, with constant major media support, was to set the machinery in motion to sue tobacco companies themselves, conveniently ignoring the fact that people choose to smoke even after warnings that they are aware of and for which they are responsible, not the tobacco companies. However, an "enemy" was needed for use for ulterior motives by the federates....After all, we don't sue car manufacturers when people injure or kill others with them, even though it is in the tens of thousands annually.

So, what's the game? The Clinton-Gore team and their new world order cohorts pushed openly and behind the scenes to

encourage people to sue tobacco companies to set a precedent for the suing of gun manufacturers.

With the support of the major media, the publicity has been unrelenting. "Experts" have been called in to discuss "health" concerns on major networks, etc. Individuals are continuing to sue tobacco companies. Have you noticed that the publicity has died down somewhat lately? Ummm... could it be that the real objectives are being attained?

That's right folks. "Clintonese" at its best. Deception by design. Deception by diversion. Propaganda creation par excellent. And the American public bought it once again — hook, line and sinker and swallowed the sinker.

People are now suing gun manufacturers. Cities are suing gun manufacturers. All of this is supported by "Ban-The-Bill-Of-Rights-Bill" and Company to bankrupt gun manufacturers; to drive up their insurance costs or to turn insurance companies away from them; and to drive up the costs of weapons to keep more people from buying them. Isn't it "amazing" how all this "just happened" to work out?

Another Federal "Con Job;" The NRA

In a review of gun control in the December issue of The McAlvany Intelligence Advisor, the federal anti-gun folks made it apparent again (and prior to the shootings at Columbine High) that they are intensely pursuing the ultimate goal of confiscating all guns from all citizens.

Guess what: the federates and their major media buddies have perpetrated another con job on nearly everyone by having them believe that the federal government hates the NRA and that the NRA is leading the way in fighting anti-gun legislation so that citizens can keep their rights to own guns.

WRONG. The MIA report revealed that the NEA is basically a pro-government powder puff that supported the instant background checks (and, yes, all names of gun owners will be kept on file until the world ends).

Charlton Heston, the alleged leader of the NRA, supported the Gun Control Act of 1968, borrowed from Nazi Germany, spoke out against the AK.-47 semi-automatic rifle; and campaigned against a candidate who wanted to reverse UNCONSTITUTIONAL anti-gun laws.

Moreover, the NRA historically has opposed pro-gun candidates and favors continued restrictions on concealed carry laws — trying to curry the favor of politicians and the press. (Note: Vermont does not require licenses and/or permits to carry concealed weapons and has the lowest murder rate. Coincidence? No.)

If you are interested in associating with a group that stands up for your Constitutional rights and without any compromise, contact the Gun Owners of America (1-888-886-4867, <http://www.gunowners.org>).

More "Clintonese" As Applied To Our Constitution

Finally, the following quote of a Clinton speech as noted in The McAlvany Intelligence Advisor. April 1999, makes it appear as if he is fully supportive of all of your Constitutional rights:

"Our ideals and our history hold that the rights guaranteed us as Americans are inalienable. They are embedded in our Constitution and amplified over time by our courts and legislature, and I am bound by oath of office and the burden of history to reaffirm them...."

Sounds good, right? What could sound more patriotic? The above even appears to encompass our Second Amendment rights to own and bear arms. Could the President have finally "seen the light?"

Remember the tips given earlier for understanding "Clintonese" and remember the orientation of the Clintonites; what they have stood for in the past; and what they stand for now. Despite the appearance of full support for the entire Bill of Rights without question and without compromise, our President was very careful with his words. Read on....

Mr. "Ban-The-Bill-Of-Rights-Bill" was true to form. When he talked about "...rights guaranteed.. .and amplified over time by our courts and legislature, and I am bound to reaffirm them . . .," please remember that such "rights" do not have to be amplified and should not be. Applying the "Clintonese" dialect, "amplified" means

"redefined to suit the one-world government gang agenda through restrictions," and not "amplified" as normally understood.

Furthermore, remembering our "Clintonese," he was saying that whatever the Constitution says is not the final word and that legislative and court action is not only allowed but necessary to allow whatever changes the one-world government gang wants to make. Moreover, he was not reaffirming "guaranteed rights" but the changes made by the courts and Congress over the years that have effectively diluted the Constitution.

Returning to the original quote noted above, below is the remainder of it, as stated in a letter from our President to the sodomites and lesbians, congratulating them for their 1998 Disney World celebration:

".. .And we stand to lose when any person is denied or forced out of a job because of sexual orientation."

All of the above was a presidential assertion that sodomy and lesbianism are (?) Constitutional rights. How's that for exampleship for our children by our President?

If you can stomach the following list of some of the events noted in the same MLA report for the sodomite/lesbian Disney World special, read on to know more about what our President supports (and apparently his Vice President and Hillary as well, since no objections were made by them):

"A Leather, Latex and Bondage Party. . .Featuring live flogging and spanking, dominatrix, masters and slaves.. .plus live piercing. Sponsored by Absolute Leather, B.D/S.M. Group of Florida."

Is there any Congressman out there who has the sense of decency, outrage, principles, morals and guts to stand up and publicly proclaim over and over that the foregoing is totally abominable and especially even moreso because our President is so bereft of principles and the like that he is not fit to lead our nation? How far do debauchery and the destruction and prostitution of our Constitution have to go before anyone and our nation as a whole stands up and says, "Enough?"

Are there any citizens left out there who care enough to call or write to their elected officials; to follow up, and to hold them accountable?

"Equal Protection" Considerations: Laws For Pro-Crime People

"Those that can give up essential liberty to obtain a little temporary safety deserve neither liberty nor safety."

— Benjamin Franklin

With so many laws on the books and more proposed to regulate gun owners and to eventually confiscate all guns, it would seem that, under the equal protection clause of the Constitution, pro-crime (or anti-gun) people should not be deprived of having at least a few laws to regulate them.

For all of those in the camp of favoring all sorts of restrictions and the eventual elimination of our Second Amendment rights to own or bear arms, it is only fair and in keeping with the Constitution to allow them the opportunity to also exercise their First Amendment rights in letting the world know their feelings.

To ensure that the pro-crime proponents do in fact exercise all of their rights, laws could be passed to require all pro-crime people to properly express their feelings on signs in their yards and on all doors and windows of their dwellings.

All signs should have large, bold letters, with the additional requirement that lights of a certain level of illumination be shone on them during the hours of darkness, with penalties imposed for burned-

out lights. No exceptions would be made for power outages, in which case the pro-crime people would be held responsible for having generators to provide electricity. These signs should have the following words on them:

"WE ARE AGAINST GUNS AND DONT OWN ANY. YOU MAY HELP YOURSELF TO ANYONE OR ANYTHING ON THIS PROPERTY WE LOVE YOU.

Sincerely,

Lovers of Safety"

The above would provide savings in the billions of dollars Since criminals would have the permission of the occupants to do whatever they want to do with no resistance and with no risk, there would be no crimes committed. This means that police, court, jail and prison costs would be saved. There would also be far less stress for the occupants, since they would no longer have to worry about whether or not they locked their doors or windows.

Eventually, prison populations would be reduced, fewer guards would be needed, no new prisons would have to be constructed, etc. With the pro-crime crowd being so passionate about not owning guns, there would be few violations of sign and lighting requirements.

Additional monies could be saved because of not having to provide counseling and rehabilitation programs for criminals, since

they would have outlets for all of their frustrations. Any pro-crime occupants of dwellings who foiled to allow full access to persons and property for rape, robbery, murder or mayhem of "any kind provided under the law" would be subject to penalties up to and including imprisonment for refusing to allow criminals full access to anyone and anything.

In addition, any absentee owners, mortgage holders, personal note holders or anyone having anything to do with owning, leasing, renting or accepting payments for any property having dwellings with occupants or dwellings that could be occupied in the future could also be held liable for violations of sign and lighting requirements.

Additional penalties could also apply for lights without safety glass on them, for failure to register total wattage and volts of all lights; and for any violations of wattage, bulb size, lumens requirements and the number of bulbs required under the law.

Any words, phrases (verbal or written) or actions undertaken by pro-crime people to thwart criminals before or during acts of rape, robbery, murder or "any mayhem related thereto" would be illegal under the "love safety" laws.

Isn't it amazing how easy it is to reduce and control crime? On top of that, the pro-crime people could get everything that they wanted with their signs, providing the opportunity to save even more money, since these people would be happy, having their hearts' desires and therefore requiring no money for counseling to deal with their frustrations either. (Sort of makes them like the criminals in some ways, doesn't it?)

Obviously, the people noted above (and most of the population) are not aware of what happens when citizens are stripped of their rights to own weapons to defend themselves, whether it be against the tyranny of government or other criminals. (At this point, there would be no difference between government and criminals.) In part, this lack of knowledge is due to not understanding our Constitution and, in part, it is due to the suppressing of news in our country — including news from foreign sources.

For example, in an article written by Geoff Matcalf for <http://www.worldnetdaily.com> (July 19, 1999), the results of gun confiscation in Australia after 12 months were shown:

Homicides.	Up 3.2 percent
Assaults:	Up 8.6 percent
Armed Robbery:	Up 44 percent
Homicides with firearms, State of Victoria.	Up 300 percent

Besides the above, there were substantial increases in break-ins and attacks on the elderly.

Guess who did not give up their guns? The criminals. Question: Are the people of Australia safer after guns were confiscated from law-abiding citizens? Second question: Are the people of Australia now easy targets for one-world government control by foreign occupation forces?

Chapter 23

More "Shady" (Brady) Bills?

"The essence of government is power; and power, lodged as it must be in human hands, will ever be liable to abuse. "

— James Madison

No, we don't need more "Shady" Bills to further restrict 99 percent of the population that is law-abiding and to thereby give criminals greater ease in committing crimes. Every increase in gun control (i.e., population control) decreases the safety of law-abiding citizens and increases the opportunities for criminals to victimize others. This, of course, allows more crime, which will be used to "justify" more laws, with the good citizens being the losers again.

The unconstitutional and illegal gun identification and registration program is an invasion of privacy and places us as United States citizens in a position of having to then plead for permission to own and bear arms, a right that has already been granted to us by the Constitution.

Anyone who is stupid enough to believe that more restrictions will reduce crime is ignoring the facts. To repeat an earlier recommendation, those of this persuasion should read **MORE GUNS, LESS CRIME**, by Dr. John Lott who shows, in essence and with hard data, that more people being permitted to own guns to defend themselves reduces the number of gun-related crimes. Even purposely

suppressed federal studies show the same. Crime has also gone down as more states pass concealed carry laws.

With concealed carry laws, criminals do not know who might be armed and they are, therefore, less likely to take the chance that they could be fired upon for attempting to commit a crime.

In an editorial in USA Today (4/26/99), the following was noted:

"A 1996 study out of the University of Chicago Law School analyzed crime data from every U.S. county over 15 years and found that violent crime fell after states made it legal to carry concealed weapons. States passing concealed-carry laws have reduced their murder rates by 8.5 %, rapes by 5%, aggravated assaults by 7% and robberies by 3%."

"A 1997 Justice Department study found that as many as 1.5 million people use a gun in self-defense every year. Other studies have found as many as 2.5 million self-defense uses, with savings to society of up to \$38.9 billion annually."

If you want to try to twist or deny the facts from a prestigious law school and from the US Justice Department, which is totally anti-gun anyhow, help yourself. In spite of the above data, "Rambo" Reno, who wants to outlaw guns for all citizens, ignores the facts found by her own department. This means, for thinking people, that there is another agenda being pursued: total disarmament for total

control of the population — not for crime reduction. (More deception by design and by diversion.)

Perversely, and no matter what you hear from any federal government official, the government does not mind if violent crime goes up, because that will "justify" more laws and controls. Concealed carry laws, however, reduce crime and therefore the need for more controls, more tax dollars for police personnel (so that the masses will think that the federal government is "doing something to help us"), and more votes for politicians who normally gain them from dispensing largesse from the federal coffers filled by our tax dollars.

The population control advocates like to talk about the 9,000 and more deaths due to guns in the United States in a one-year period, but conveniently make no distinctions among classifications of these deaths and conveniently say nothing about the 1.5 to 2.5 million times that guns were used for self-defense, with the attendant savings noted earlier.

To be conservative, let's say that only one million deaths were prevented because of the use of a gun in self-defense and say that there were at least 10,000 deaths due to guns (without classifying them and even including cases of self-defense). This would mean that 100 times more people were saved by guns than died because of them. With this ratio, we have a strong argument for arming more law-abiding citizens against criminals — not for disarming them.

Again, for whatever portion of the over 9,000 deaths by guns were at the hands of criminals, these numbers would have been even lower if more people had had guns to defend themselves.

Because it is pushing another agenda, the federal government wants the masses to know only about the number of deaths, not the far greater number of deaths prevented by people being able to defend themselves with their own weapons that they have the right to own and bear under the Constitution — without restrictions. This does not even begin to count the number of rapes that were stopped, the number of burglaries that were stopped; the number of robberies that were stopped, the number of aggravated assaults that were stopped, the number of injuries prevented, the cost of medical bills prevented, etc.

Doubt that? Why not hear it from the horse's mouth? In a study done for the US Justice Department by Professors James Wright and Peter Rossi, interviews with around 1800 felons revealed the following (as noted on page 11, Soldier of Fortune magazine, May 1999):

- " — 81 percent agreed that the 'smart criminal' will try to find out if a potential victim is armed

- 74 percent felt burglars avoided occupied dwellings for fear of being shot

- 80 percent of 'handgun predators' had encountered armed citizens

- 40 percent had not committed a specific crime for fear the victim was armed

- 34 percent of 'handgun predators' had been scared off or shot by armed victims

— 57 percent felt the typical criminal was more afraid of being shot by citizens than by the police."

If more law-abiding people having guns to defend themselves helps prevent all of the above, has it not occurred to you that the federal government has another agenda besides the safety of its citizens? To repeat: total population control and the elimination of all individual rights. You don't have to believe that, but just keep watching the clock — it's ticking — and watch your rights, freedoms-lifestyles and safety go down the tube.

Armed citizens are more effective in reducing crime than policemen, who normally are called in after a crime has been committed, by which time the victim (or victims) is dead, injured, dying, robbed, raped, otherwise tortured or something else. Even if the police can respond in two seconds after a crime has been committed, it is too late.

Various attorneys are working on behalf of cities or individuals to sue gun manufacturers relative to gun-related crimes. Should citizens have the right to sue the federal government for crimes occurring as a result of laws passed to effectively disarm them or otherwise reduce their ability to defend themselves? Should citizens have the right to sue police departments who did not respond quickly enough to prevent a crime?

The reasons for which people die and the means by which they die are such that anything having to do with guns is far down the list. Many more tens of thousands of deaths and injuries occur at the hands of people in vehicles — most of whom were drunk at the time. (When Mary Jo Kopechne drowned at Chappaquidick, no one called for a ban on the car in which she rode and no one was even punished for the event.)

Isn't it interesting that only the drivers are being prosecuted in most cases, and not the manufacturers of the vehicles, car dealers etc.? Is something amiss here? We haven't heard anything about restrictions being imposed with regard to types of vehicles, horsepower, fuel octane, tire size, four-wheel drive, extra gas tanks, etc. Is something else going on here? We haven't heard about lawsuits to ban certain models of cars or trucks that were involved in accidents, including sports cars. What have we missed?

Let's try to follow the "logic"(?) of the gun banners and their media flame fanners and apply it to violent deaths and injuries occurring from drivers mishandling their vehicles, which results in tens of thousands more deaths and injuries than those resulting from criminals using guns.

Would you keep in office or elect someone to office who voted to ban sports cars or other vehicles because they have been involved in situations in which people were killed or badly injured? When these types of accidents occur, our normal procedures are to take whatever steps are necessary to PUNISH THE PERSON AT FAULT and nothing is done relative to the vehicle.

Why don't we do the same with those who use guns for crime instead of punishing and taking away the rights of 99 percent of the population to defend itself against criminals? Among other things, this represents unequal application of the laws and it does not make sense unless, of course, you are a part of the crowd pushing for one-world government control — the next step after total confiscation of our guns.

Following the "logic" noted earlier that prevails among the anti-gun crowd, here is what would happen if the same concepts were applied to vehicles:

1. If a death results from someone being hit by a certain type of vehicle, or one going at a certain rate of speed or having a certain size or amount of horsepower, all people in the US who own that type of vehicle (and anyone who could possibly own one) would be subject to new laws and regulations — regardless of their driving records. Furthermore, they could be subject to having their vehicles taken from them.
2. All of these types of vehicles, with many more being added to the list, would be banned from future manufacture or import into this country.

3. Engine sizes; speeds; designs; capacities; horsepower; fuel tank size; fuel additives; length; tires; etc., would be regulated by the BATFC (Bureau of Automobiles, Tires and Fast Cars).
4. Fuel octane would be regulated overall and by type of vehicle.
5. The number and types of vehicles owned by any individual would be limited. Used car dealers, individual sales and vehicle auctions would all have to be approved and otherwise regulated, with full reporting to the BATFC and registration in the National Vehicle Owners Control Registry (NVOCR). (The use of the word "Owners" would be to make the masses think that the agency was theirs and for their benefit.)
6. No vehicle owner would be allowed to keep extra parts, gas or oil on hand or to have certain types of vehicles in terms of power, capacity and speed that would be necessary in an emergency to save a life.
7. New taxes and regulations would be imposed by governmental edict or by bureaucrats allowed to run wantonly and rampantly over the population, disregarding Constitutional rights.

8. Taxes and ever more stringent laws and regulations would be imposed on all manufacturers, wholesalers and retailers of vehicles, parts and fuel. Junk yards would also be subject to regulations.
9. Mechanics found guilty of improving vehicle performance, or manufacturers or dealers doing likewise would be subject to fines, imprisonment or both.
10. No alternative fuels, parts, etc., would be allowed to be developed or sold to anyone, with violators being subjected to fines, imprisonment or both.
11. The BATFC would secretly contact all vehicle dealers and require them to report anyone who bought any part for a vehicle that could possibly be used to enhance its performance. The same would be done with all retailers handling parts or additives. Purchasers of any of these items would not be told that their purchases were being reported to the BATFC.
12. National television, radio and newspaper activities would be concentrated upon sensationalizing all vehicle accidents, reporting only selected facts, and distorting as much data as necessary to get an

emotional groundswell that would be tapped quickly to pass more laws to ban more vehicles, parts, fuels, etc., accompanied by limitations on horsepower, design, size, tires, etc. Owners would be encouraged to turn their vehicles in for food or other goods to get them off of the streets. Eventually, bicycles and walking would be the modes of moving from one point to another for most citizens.

13. Individuals and cities would be encouraged to sue the makers of alcoholic drinks to set a precedent for suing vehicle manufacturers. Secret incentives would be offered to cities through the BATFC and the NVOCR: "federal" aid monies for new streets and highways (if they pursued lawsuits against vehicle manufacturers).
14. Many sectors of the economy would suffer. Meanwhile, all of those who had been operating vehicles illegally and illegally purchasing or stealing fuel, parts and additional vehicles would be laughing at the rest of the population, which by now would be immobilized and helpless against the full-power fleets of the BATFC and other criminals who would now be indistinguishable from the BATFC.

The above foolhardiness could go on and on, but it is as illogical and unreasonable as banning weapons and/or imposing all sorts of restrictions, registration and identification requirements (in violation of the Constitution) just because around one percent of the population might use them illegally. (That is said knowing that the real reason is population control.)

In case you haven't figured it out yet, the destruction of our Second Amendment rights will result in the destruction of the entire Bill of Rights, as mentioned elsewhere in this report. However, the significance of this bears repeating.

If the students who fired weapons and made bombs to cause injuries and deaths in Littleton, Colorado had used wood baseball bats to kill students by bludgeoning them to death, would bats be banned? Would bat makers be sued? Would the sport of baseball be eliminated? Would tree farmers who sold the wood for bats be sued? No.

To push this absurdity even further to illustrate the same in the President's pronouncements and "solutions" for gun-related deaths, since trees are used to make wood bats, should all trees be cut down? Should only the federal government have access to trees? Should penalties be imposed on those having too many hardwood trees compared to softwood trees, since hardwood is stronger? What kind of mental, emotional and spiritual dilemmas would tree elimination create for an anti-bat, but left-wing, New Age, tree-hugging environmental extremist?

If these students had used knives to stab students to death, would all knives be banned? Would certain types of knives be

banned? Would knife makers be sued? Would the people who provided the parts for knives be sued? Would retailers be sued? No, no, no, no and no.

If the students had used rocks to bludgeon students to death, would there be a campaign to keep everyone from rocks or a campaign to remove rocks? Would only the federal government be allowed to use rocks? Would size limits be imposed on rocks? Who would be sued for making the rocks? Would "rock" and roll music be labeled "hate" music?

Recently, a Chicago teenager was sentenced for killing five family members with a hammer. If we again attempt to follow the "logic" of "Ban-The-Bill-Of-Rights-Bill" and Company, here is what would be done, with thousands of laws taking up reams of paper across the US:

1. Federal agent provocateurs would be sent to lead demonstrations against hardware and home improvement stores and other outlets that sell hammers, with the media being notified in advance of dates, times and places. (This almost seems like the demonstrations against the NRA. Hmmm....)
2. Attorneys, the pupils of whose eyes would turn green with dollar symbols, would start to sue hammer manufacturers, wholesalers and retailers.

3. Age restrictions would be placed on hammer purchases and ownership.
4. Those keeping hammers unsecured that were later used in a crime would be liable for such.

Restrictions would be imposed on hammer size, weight, length, types of claws (if any), size of hammer head, sharpness of claws, etc.

6. National identification and registration requirements would be imposed in violation of the Constitution (the right to own and use hammers) on all hammer owners.
7. A new agency, the Bureau of Hammer Control Administration For Tracking All Hammers (BOHCAFTAH), would be funded and set up to enforce all hammer control laws.
8. All retailers of hammers would be contacted secretly by the BOHCAFTAH and told to report purchases of hammers or anything that a hammer could be used to hit, in order to permanently register all buyers in the National Hammer Control Registry (NHCR). Rewards would be offered to retailers who reported any suspicious-looking hammer buyers.

9. Nail sizes and types that could be driven by hammers would be regulated strictly.

10. All carpenters and anyone needing to use a hammer in home improvements work or new construction would be required to pass rigid background investigations and to take Hammer Use Review Training (HURT) annually to requalify for hammer use. At the same time, all hammer owners would be required to be photographed with their hammers and to report their hammer serial numbers.

11. Fully automatic hammers of any kind would be restricted to use by only BOHCAFTAH agents and other agents with hammer control enforcement authority.

Semi-automatic hammers would be limited to an eight-nail capacity, requiring frequent reloading and resulting in a 25-fold increase in the price of all carpentry work. The high costs of constant reloading would effectively deprive the population of roofs, ceilings and walls needed for protection.

12. To ensure that as much control as possible was instituted, additional reams of similar legislation would be written to cover ballpeen hammers,

jackhammers, axes, hatchets, picks, wood splitters and anything and everything that looked like, could be used like or could be construed to be a type of hammer by the farthest stretch of the imagination.

If the above sounds ridiculous, it is no more so than the 20,000 gun laws across the country and the laws passed or proposed since the Clinton-Gore Administration came into office. Similarly stupid and ridiculous scenarios could be crafted for screwdrivers, which are third on the list of items used as murder weapons. (Somehow, the major media must have missed some anti-screwdriver demonstrations for all of those screwdriver-related deaths, since we haven't seen any on the major networks.)

The problem is not the objects (guns). It is the objectionables who use them. Have drug laws stopped drug traffic and drug-related deaths? No. Neither will more gun laws stop criminals — period. And, again, has the Constitution been effective in stopping the federal government from violating it? No. Would Constitutional amendments stop the federal government from doing whatever it wants? No.

As a side note on illegal drugs, the reality is that the federal government is not really serious about stopping them. After all, illegal drug traffic creates more crime and shootings, which can be used to "justify" more gun control. Neat, huh? Besides, there is another "bonus:" increased drug use contributes to internal decay in the US, making us weaker as a nation, which is a goal of the one-world government gang anyhow.

Pick your weapons — the criminals will anyhow. All of the above scenarios are equally stupid and without merit when it comes to lawsuits, except as far as the attorneys are concerned in finding ways to fill their wallets.

The intent of the federal government and its new world order cohorts, as repeated again and again for emphasis, is to disarm US citizens by any means possible.

You might also ask your Congressman what additional plans the federal government has for the masses. For example, why would Gunderson Steel Fabrication in Portland, Oregon get a \$2 billion dollar contract (and with 11 subcontractors) for over 107,000 railroad cars with 143 shackles apiece in each one? (Let's see...if everyone is disarmed, this should be pretty easy...) Also ask what locations will be serviced by these cars. Are any in your state?

Chapter 24

Some Explanations For "Societal Sagging"

"Train up a child in the way he should go, and when he is old, he will not depart from it. "

— Proverbs 22:6 (KJV)

If anyone believes that he is hearing, seeing or reading anything but the "party line" through any of the major media, he is naive and particularly so because he represents the achievement of "success" in (and for) our government-run public schools: dumbed-down, limited ability to read, reason and analyze information for veracity and significance; lacking knowledge of our Judeo-Christian heritage, largely bereft of principles, absolutes and the recognition or retention of moral values; operating from the evolution-based, morally relativistic secular humanist religion, having little or no knowledge of God or the Bible, more concerned with "rights" than responsibilities, the victim of revisionist history stripped of relevance, reality, heroes and the like, etc.

You can add Hollywood and the television industry when it comes to leading the way in programming people for violence. Isn't it something how the federal government (with its one-world government gang backers) is racing to ban guns but not movies, television shows and video games that show the use of guns and other portrayals of violence in about every possible way?

Not really. In fact, Bill, Hillary and Al and their fellow new world order cohorts rub their hands in glee behind the scenes because, the more violence, the quicker that more laws and more stringent laws can be passed to control the manufacture, sale and use of guns toward the end of total confiscation, which is "necessary" before the new world order can take over the United States. This is a prelude to the worldwide, forced worship of Satan.

If you want to primarily or totally fault parents for allowing so much violence, remember that over 90 percent of them are products of our government-run public propaganda mills (schools) that promote virtually anything and everything that is contrary to what made our nation great.

Secondly, most parents are taxed so much (to finance unnecessary and illegal federal activities) and trying so hard to survive economically (all a part of the "program") that they have little mental, emotional and physical energy left to fight for the rights and freedoms that are being taken away from all of us toward the end of total population control. Don't believe for a minute that all of this just "sort of happened" or evolved over time all by itself.

Chapter 25

Impact Of Movie, Television And Video Game Industries On Our Youth

"Unlimited power is apt to corrupt the minds of those who possess it. "

— William Pitt, Earl of Chatham, 1776

The above title could almost be shortened to "Depravity by Design" or "How to Become More Depraved." According to the Bible, we are already in a sinful state when we are born and we are, therefore, not naturally disposed to do on our own that which is good. This is in contrast to the evolutionists' viewpoint, which says that we are okay and getting better. Is there any evidence out there for that? No. Do you ever have to teach a child to be bad? No.

As noted elsewhere in this report, the images, words and music associated with the above have an impact that should be obvious to everyone. If images and messages in various forms were not effective in precipitating action on the part of the viewers and hearers, companies would not spend millions for advertisements lasting only a minute or less, with the total figures going much higher. When tens of thousands or hundreds of thousands of acts of violence are seen and/or heard by someone over thousands of hours, you can only imagine the impact. Perhaps, more correctly, you cannot imagine the impact.

According to the Parents Television Council (600 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90017), as reported in USA Today (May 25, 1999, page 9b):

"Since television started, around 1000 studies, reports, etc., concerning the impact of TV violence have been reported.

The National Institute of Mental Health and seven more national organizations say there is overwhelming evidence that violent entertainment causes violent behavior.

An ABC network study found 22 to 34 percent of young felons imprisoned for violent crimes said they had consciously imitated crime techniques learned from watching television programs.

Homicide rates doubled in 10 to 15 years after TV was first introduced into specific areas of the U.S. and Canada.

In a survey of 10 to 16-year-olds, 62 percent said sex on TV influences their peers to have sex when they're too young."

The last quote above is just more evidence of a lack of moral values, since it implies that age should be the criterion for when to engage in sex.

Those in control of the television, movie and video game industries have programmed our children, through "cartoons" and

other means for violence from the earliest years on to adulthood, where more real-life violence can be watched and heard to push them into being further desensitized to violence and death.

With the advent of the Internet, the opportunities for this are vastly increased in both numbers and variety. All of this is assisted by parents who do not know and/or do not care what their children watch. Garbage in still equals garbage out. And, parents without discernment and discipline (including self-discipline) will only produce more of the same.

Through the promotion of all sorts of violence, obscenities, pornography, promiscuity, sodomy, lesbianism, Satanism and other occultic practices, etc., those in charge of these media have "done their part" and are continuing to do it to tear down the basic fabric of our society. With all of this "help," we have reached, as expected, a time when the parents who are not responsible enough to take care of the above matters are passing the buck one more time and crying out for the government to "do something."

The government, with its desire to control every aspect of everyone's life; its tendency to employ the "one-size-fits-all-4-M" approach, and its desire to "properly prepare" everyone for the new world order, will "do something," but not without taking more of our rights and freedoms while taxing us more to do it. Like it or not, this is how it works.

We seem to be more than willing to pay for our own destruction and enslavement to avoid a little responsibility. Somehow, the "cures" provided through government with our tax dollars end up being worse than the diseases.

The alleged "sitcoms" (white-oriented or black-oriented) on television are fraught with mindless drivel written by equally mindless, moral-less and ethics-less writers who survive through the largesse of unprincipled sponsors to ply their trade and assist their superiors in promoting anti-God, anti-parent, anti-authority, anti-patriotic, immoral and amoral philosophies of the left-wing, New Age one-world government crowd.

Under the guise of alleged "humor" or "comedy," the TV and Hollywood (with overlaps here) producers and writers are very subtly programming people to have attitudes that reflect the above and that result in more crime, more rebellion, more immorality, etc.

The above is done directly and indirectly through innuendos, inferences and double entendres supported by piped-in laughter that is supposed to give credence, legitimacy and acceptability to views, language and actions that would (and should) be dealt with in the home by a board applied to the "seat of knowledge" for children who end up demonstrating unacceptable behavior and using offensive language that they have viewed or heard on TV.

Are there any writers or producers left anywhere who have the intelligence, creativity, morals, principles and good taste to put on a show of substance without this tripe?

You can still begin to do your part by controlling what is watched, knowing whom your children associate with and where they are; writing to sponsors of the shows; and cutting back on television, movies and videos of the wrong kind. If each of your children has his own television set in his own bedroom, maybe its time to cut back to one that is centrally observable and controllable. Believe it or not,

your children can survive without their own television sets, VCRs and the like.

Chapter 26

None Dare Call It Education

"If every parent in America knew what was really going on in the public schools, there would be a revolution."

— Thomas Sowell

The major problems with our public education systems were well-stated and summarized in the April 1999 issue of *The McAlvany Intelligence Advisor*, with a review of the new book by John Stormer, **NONE DARE CALL IT EDUCATION**.

The book tells not only how your children are being dumbed-down to be illiterate in terms of English, reading, mathematics, etc., but how they are being brainwashed through teaching techniques and textbooks, that have been revised to:

- (1) weaken marriage and family life;
- (2) downgrade parental authority;
- (3) change traditional attitudes toward sex, morality and life;
- (4) substitute situational ethics for absolutes of right and wrong;

- (5) deny the importance of spoken or written contracts (including the U.S. Constitution), and
- (6) undermine national independence and sovereignty."

The book also tells about the New-Age, leftist-oriented Governor's School established in Arkansas by the Clintons. (Stormer's book is available from Liberty Bell Press, P.O. Box 32, Florissant, MO 63032 — \$24.95 total.)

In the Spring, 1997 issue of "Hope For The World Update" [Gary Kan, Executive Editor, Hope For The World, P.O. Box 899 Noblesville, IN 46061; Fax — (317) 576-1053; Telephone — (317) 290-4673], Gary Kah wrote a report entitled, "Public Education... The Shaping of Global Citizens!" In this article, subtitled, 'The Occult Roots of Global Education,' Dr. Kah noted the following, among other things:

". . .education performance. . .began to decline in the mid-1960s, shortly after progressive education ideas and philosophies began to permeate our classrooms. Textbooks which had taught 'the basics' from a traditional perspective — based largely on a Christian ethic and world view — were gradually replaced with textbooks and teaching methods..., based on a humanistic and, increasingly, New Age world view."

As new world order/one-world government proponents, the Clinton-Gore team is supportive of the anti-God, anti-US, anti-parent globalistically-oriented education philosophy and programs of the UN, which, according to Dr. Kah, were outlined years ago:

"In 1975, 'The Need For Global Education' was published by the World Fellowship of Education in London in their magazine New Era....The author, Robert Muller.. (also) drafted 'The World Core Curriculum,' also published by New Era, in 1982. Muller. . . introduced it in his book, New Genesis-Shaping a Global Spirituality, in 1984."

"In 1989, unbeknownst to the people of America (and the world), The World Core Curriculum (WCC) was presented to the United Nations. This document set forth the principles which were to govern all future education reforms, not only in the United States, but globally. In fact, the changes recommended in The World Core Curriculum reflect the 'heart and soul' of today's education reform movement."

"Although the U.N. has fully endorsed this report. . .many teachers and administrators aren't even aware of the fact that this important document exists, let alone that it helped inspire the highly publicized GOALS 2000 campaign."

"The bottom line: today's major education reforms were launched by the U.N. in the 1980s and, after gaining the

support of key members of the Bush and Clinton Administrations, have carefully and systematically been introduced at the state and local level, where they are now receiving widespread support. (The education reform program known today as GOALS 2000 was originally introduced under the name 'America 2000' by Lamar Alexander, Department of Education Secretary during the Bush Administration. The Clinton Administration, after modifying the program slightly, renamed it GOALS 2000.)"

"Dr. Robert Muller has been a recognized leader in the occult New Age movement for at least three decades... .One of the New Age organizations which has benefitted from his endorsement is the World Constitution and Parliament Association (WCPA).. .written extensively on in.. .En Route To Global Education (Gary Kan)."

"The WCPA currently boasts of a network of more than 600 organizations from over 90 countries with which it is collaborating to achieve its goal — World Government by the year 2000. A World Constitution has been drafted... .(we have not been informed about any of this by the mainstream media.)"

"Muller boldly supports the concept of a one-world government united with a one-world religion, believing that

the U.N. should be the entity empowered to achieve this goal."

"Muller has gone so far as to refer to the U.N. as 'the body of Christ'...."

".. .Muller.. .has undoubtedly been most influenced by Alice Bailey, the renowned occultist...."

"The fact that Muller's World Core Curriculum is based upon the teachings of Alice Bailey is plainly revealed in the Preface of the WCC Manual...

"The underlying philosophy upon which The Robert Muller School is based will be found in the teachings set forth in the books of Alice A. Bailey by the Tibetan teacher, Djwhal Khul (published by Lucis Publishing Company, 113 University Place, 11th Floor, New York, NY USA 10083)""

"Alice Bailey... 'the mother' of the New Age movement, was the most recognized occultist of her day... .Her most famous creation. . .was Lucifer Publishing Company which she founded in 1922... .A short time later, she changed its name to Lucis Trust. This organization still exists today and boasts a membership of approximately six thousand people."

".. .Bailey wrote twenty-four occult books... .As for her coauthor, Djwhal Khul, he never existed — at least not as a real person. Djwhal Khul, you see, was Alice Bailey's spirit guide. . .She was a trans-channeler!"

". . .the United Nations World Core Curriculum — a document designed to chart the future course of public education worldwide (including GOALS 2000) — was based upon, and inspired by, the teachings of a Luciferian and the instructions she claims to have received from her spirit guide! (Pretty unbelievable, isn't it?)"

"She realized that somehow the schools would have to be brought under the direct control of the Luciferian occultists if they were ever going to succeed in ushering in the worldwide reign of their Christ (who is really the anti-Christ)."

".. .Bailey was extremely hateful toward God's chosen people — the Jews, and was especially hateful toward Bible-believing Christians.. .she spoke favorably of Hitler and Mussolini, and justified the atrocities of the two world wars, including the Holocaust — in all its horror — as necessary developments in preparing the way for the New Age... .An entire section of... The Demonic Roots of Globalism (Gary Kah) is devoted to exposing Alice Baileyrevealing her views on Jews, Christians, and the New Age... .Bailey's life was devoted to

ushering in the New World Order — a global government and religion, to be ruled by the anti-Christ."

"Upon examining the occult origin of the U.N.'s major education reforms, it should not be difficult to understand why our children are slipping away from us or why their academic performance is so poor compared to past generations."

As quoted near the end of his article, Gary Kan noted several of Alice Bailey's comments on education, an example of which is given below from page 74 in her book, *Education in the New Age*:

"I have suggested that the textbooks be rewritten in terms of right human relations and not from the present nationalistic and separative angles.. .To all of these I would like to add that one of our immediate educational objectives must be the elimination of the competitive spirit and the substitution of the cooperative consciousness."

Unfortunately, examples of the above have crept into our public schools, including such things as not even recognizing valedictorians in some cases.

To further clarify where Alice Bailey is coming from, please note that she was a Luciferian (in reality, a worshiper of Satan-the Devil) and that she was influenced by demonic spirits. Also note that her ideas and those of her follower, Dr. Robert Muller, advocate the promotion of the worship of Satan in our public schools and that these

concepts have been incorporated into the GOALS 2000 program for public schools in the United States!

It should be noted here as well that Vice President Al Gore is a follower of the New Age philosophy. His comments regarding bringing government and religion closer together must be evaluated in light of the above, including his support of the GOALS 2000 program. None of this is good for the United States and our children! The "religion" of which he spoke is not traditional Christian religion as commonly understood, but the Satanic-based religion of the New Age movement.

Readers are encouraged to contact Gary Kah at Hope For The World, P.O. Box 899, Noblesville, IN 46061 for additional information on the above and Gary Kah's books. The Spring, 1997 issue of "Hope For The World Update" has additional articles dealing with traditional and progressive education (the latter being equated to communist education by the California Senate in 1958, as noted in the same publication); other Soviet and German socialistic influences in our public education system, the globalistic education views of Hillary Clinton, etc.

If you have not yet read the Spring, 1997 issue in its entirety, you will be very shocked at what is happening to our children in our public schools — not even counting the aggressive pro-sodomite and pro-lesbian agendas being promoted beginning in the earliest grades through high school!

Question: Where do your local school officials stand with regard to the above? Where do your state and federal elected officials stand on these issues? What is your stand? Are you willing to take

action to help parents regain control of education? Do you need any more reasons to switch to private schools or home schooling? Remember: If the world lasts long enough, your children and the children of others may someday occupy positions of power in education and/or government. Will their education cause them to be protective of the things that you hold dear in terms of freedoms, rights, worship, economics, family values, etc.?

Chapter 27

Does It "Take A Pillage" (Of Life) To Prove That It Doesn't "Take
A Village," But Good Parenting Freed Of The "Village"
(Government) Rules?

"The people never give up their liberties but under
delusion."

— Edmund Burke, 1784

Just curious: Why hasn't the major media said anything about "Helpful Hillary's" decades-old philosophy that sets children against parents? Somehow, this hasn't been mentioned among all of the calls for better parenting in light of the Littleton, Colorado tragedy and similar events. She has favored "children's rights" over those of parents for a long time. By extension, her unbiblical philosophy takes away the right of parents to discipline their children and the right of school systems to discipline students.

Both of the above have happened in our society. Has anyone out there not seen the results of this? With the push of the federal government, assisted by the courts and social welfare workers (many of whom are some combination of New Age brainwashed, unknowing and misdirected), parents have been intimidated against disciplining their children and jailed by the thousands for doing so. This is just a part of the program for total federal and, eventually, one-world government control of every individual in virtually every area of life.

When schools are deprived of the right to discipline disobedient students, the message is this, among other things:

"We (i.e., the federal government) want to promote disruptions, destroy the academic environment, create anarchy, foment violence and generally destroy the whole educational system.

We want to eliminate any belief in God, and any reasons for obedience to any authority other than us.

In time, and with help from Hollywood, television shows and carefully-structured video games, we will see enough problems from students to justify going in and slapping on more controls to finish preparing the students for the new world order and way of thinking."

Why has "Helpful Hillary" taken steps to ensure that the thesis that she wrote at Wellesley (telling about the "Great Society" not working) is not made available to the public? What is she hiding?

When "Helpful Hillary's" background and philosophy are reviewed even briefly, her "pro-village" (government control), anti-family, anti-parents point of view are found to be no different than her husband's. They want brainwashed, controllable, pliant (to their ways), globally-oriented children to grow up to be the "worker ants for the new world order.

The major media, as expected, have not publicized her activity as a director of the "Children's Defense Fund," an agency partly financed by the New World Foundation, which is a communist front group. The real purpose of the Children's Defense Fund is to set children against parents. She has also served as a board member of the Foundation. (Source: Daniel Wattenberg, *The American Spectator*, August 1992.)

It takes parents, not a village, to rear children as they should be reared, regardless of what "Helpful Hillary" and the other "government-should-do-everything" liberals say. Furthermore, it takes parents whose rights as parents to discipline their children as necessary have not been taken away or severely diminished.

It also takes parents whose incomes have not been so taxed that additional jobs and time away from their children is their only way to survive economically. It takes parents whose good-paying manufacturing and other jobs have not been sent overseas with the support of our federal government.

Again, we can "thank" the federal government for its "contribution" to the destruction of the family directly and indirectly through the government-run schools that teach and promote "values" contrary to what most parents would teach. The schools do this in a moral vacuum where God, prayer, moral values, principles, absolutes, etc., have been replaced by the bogus, baseless fluff of secular humanism, revisionist history (or none at all), destroyed heroes and heritage and the "me first," "I-centered" attitude that puts "rights" above responsibilities and anarchy above order.

Social engineering-oriented courses and instruction (all to the detriment of our young children) have become entrenched in every state and school district, whose "faithfulness" to the federal government programs is their only guarantee of tax dollars. Is this "souls for dollars" or something similar? Have our children been "villagized" beyond hope? This is almost a guarantee if they are the "victims" of "education" in a government-run public school. Yes folks, our federal government, which is only too quick to identify "victims" in other arenas and for other reasons, is the biggest producer of real victims by its own programs.

The arrogant, condescending attitude of the Clinton-Gore Administration, the US Department of Education, and all other proponents of outcome-based education and the like, as well as most federal officials in other departments, says that the general public "needs" the federal government, its programs and ideas to survive.

Any proposals, offers or demands made by any federal agency (allegedly for "our good") reflect this absolute arrogance (apparently the only absolute that the federal government believes in, besides absolute power and control), which comes across as follows:

"All of you citizens — including parents — are too stupid and uneducated to solve or even recognize any problems on your own and especially in your own communities. You don't know what you're doing; you have no idea what the problem is, and you are totally incapable of accomplishing anything at all by your little pea-brain selves. We as federal officials are the illuminated ones and we will do whatever we please

without regard for your Constitutional rights whenever we want to, however we want to and regardless of what you think, because we know what is best for you.

If we have to come in with force and anyone gets hurt, killed or put in prison for resisting, that's just how things go.

Furthermore, we are going to tax you more to pay our federal employees to enforce whatever we think is best for the federal government and the new world order. We are the only ones with the expertise to know what to do. You can like it or lump it. In fact, if you don't like it, we have some special reeducation programs and camps to help you see the light as we see it. And, if you still can't see the light, we can arrange things so that you won't have to worry about seeing the light-Any local, county, or state elected official who allows the federal government to barge in and take over areas and actions not Constitutionally-designated for it should be run out of office by his constituents as soon as possible. This includes governors who sell out for federal favors and/or sacrifice the good of their constituents for whatever is required to run for federal office.

It is also time for national conventions of local, county and state officials to review their Constitutional rights again and those of the federal government and to take whatever action is necessary to get

the federal government out of areas where it does not belong. If not, all local and state officials, as well as their constituents, can kiss all of their freedoms good-bye.

In most cases, a compilation of which would require more books, the problem is the presence and the direct or indirect control by the federal government. How much better would our educational system be without federal government involvement and control? How much more freedom would we have? How much could we reduce problems with students? How much could we lower our taxes?

How much better would we be able to rear our children without government interference? The answer is obvious. "Villages" need to be avoided at all costs.

Littleton, Colorado: Federal "Exemplarship;" "Leadership"

"True leadership must be for the benefit of the followers, not the enrichment of the leaders. It requires moral exemplarship with or without words, but an exemplarship that never fails to live up to its exhortations for that which is right in the sight of God."

— Anonymous

Without question, comments or even hints toward any federal government responsibility, the controlled major media, and especially the major networks, lined up with the Clinton-Gore Administration and quickly jumped on the NRA and gun owners in general as being primarily responsible for what happened at Littleton, Colorado this past spring.

With an unrelenting, around-the-clock barrage of comments and finger-pointing at the NRA, the "gun culture," and the like, "talking heads" and federal government officials kept the pot boiling to increase and maintain the emotional fervor and pitch deemed "necessary" to use this occasion to push through more anti-gun controls (population controls) in another blatant act of political prostitution and political ambulance chasing for ulterior motives that had nothing to do with child safety.

Victims and their families and friends were subjected to a media feeding frenzy characterized by a callous disregard of the feelings of those involved in order to be the "first" to have the most, the scoop, etc., and to gain higher ratings than their peers. Again, all of this was also a part of the combined federal government and major media efforts to maintain a high emotional fervor to facilitate the quickness and restrictiveness of more anti-gun legislation. There was no let-up until such legislation was passed.

As in the case of other major events over the past few years, the immediate availability of "ready-to-go" legislation makes it appear that the federal government knew of the probability of these events in advance.

In the case of Littleton, and as noted in the chapter on "Coincidence Clinton," the event occurred just before a National Rifle Association convention in Denver and while two pro-gun bills were being considered in the Colorado Legislature.

The student shooters' backgrounds, attitudes, proclivities for violence, language, sexual dispositions and other factors had been known and reported to school and law enforcement officials prior to the event. The shooters had also made a violent video. Yet, somehow, no school officials or law enforcement officials (not ignoring parents) have been held accountable for their inactions. No federal government or media attention has been focused on this in unrelenting fashion as has been done against the NRA. Did you ever wonder why?

Law enforcement officials made little or no effort to enter the building to intervene as soon as possible to prevent further shootings,

injuries and deaths. One official warned others not to enter the building because of the presence of bombs, when as of that time, no bombs had exploded to give evidence of their presence. Why would such a comment be made?

With the long delay before any law enforcement officials entered the building in which the shots were being fired, the following are the only possible conclusions:

1. Despite being well-armed and protected, there was fear of entering the building because of cowardice.
2. Law enforcement officials were more concerned about their own lives than those of the students.
3. Leaders of the local law enforcement personnel were sorely lacking in the ability to act decisively in a crisis.
4. Law enforcement personnel were not sufficiently prepared to handle such a situation.
5. The fear of political repercussions for possible wrong decisions overrode the concern for the safety of the students.
6. Federal law enforcement officials restricted any action in order to allow for more carnage, which

would increase the magnitude of the event and hence, the emotional level and news coverage of the event to further expedite the federal anti-gun agenda.

7. Some combination of the above.

Are the above harsh and perhaps even unbelievable indictments? Yes. Are they possible? Yes.

Can anyone imagine Israeli commandos waiting outside of a building until the shooting stops or until they thought a situation was "safe" if Israeli school children were being shot? No. What was the real reason for inaction at Littleton? (Note: This writer probably would have been shot by his own men if he had acted cowardly or had waited until a situation seemed "safe" before taking action to pursue or investigate bombings or shooting during the Vietnam War.)

Do we already have evidence of actions by federal agents in the past to undertake actions deemed "advantageous" to the achievement of a particular political agenda? Yes. Among those that the public is aware of, readers only need to recall and review events mentioned elsewhere in this book: Ruby Ridge; Waco; Oklahoma City; World Trade Center; Harvey Weston; Ron Brown; Vince Foster, and many others who died "mysterious" deaths (and who were at one time closely associated with President Clinton) which are conveniently covered up by the mainstream press and overlooked by our sold-out, bought-off and blackmailed Congress.

Has our federal government developed sophisticated mind-control techniques that it uses to achieve certain objectives? Yes.

Could such have been utilized in various ways in the foregoing situations? Yes. Will we ever know the extent of this? No. (The reader is reminded of references to books on mind control elsewhere in this book and of the fact that the Aspen Institute in Colorado is heavily involved in research on mind control techniques.)

After the expected extensive federal government and media campaign to jack up the emotional level of the country, cleverly-crafted questions were drafted for polls geared to generate responses (per the usual pattern under the Clinton-Gore Administration) that appeared to support extensively restrictive and unconstitutional gun control legislation.

Though polls can be constructed and implemented to get people (usually carefully selected people) to tie most violence to guns, "Violence" occurs by virtue of people, regardless of how injuries or deaths occur and the responses also depend upon how "violence" is defined. As for gun-related deaths, only two percent of children's deaths occur from such. Does this make guns a major cause of violence or deaths by anyone's definition? No. Again, why the unrelenting Administration pressure for anti-gun laws and regulations? Child safety is not the real concern — only the safety of those whom the Administration wants to send out to confiscate guns. Period.

Is anyone angry yet that so many people "have" to die by bombings or shootings to help the Clinton-Gore Administration and their new world order cohorts achieve their objectives of increasing control over everyone and everything in our country?

You will never find the federal government or its major media, support team listing all the causes of death in order of frequency by how such deaths occurred, because gun-related deaths would be far down the list, as would gun-related injuries. Other reasons for dying cannot generate as much emotional support as guns, the elimination of which is sought to make things safer for those seeking to exercise control over us, as noted, but repeated for emphasis. No guns = no danger for confiscators and those exercising dictatorial control. What could be more logical?

Don't count on the major media saying anything about this. They are a part of the population-control-through-gun-control crowd salivating for the arrival of the "new world order" that, unbeknownst to them, will leave them as controlled as the rest of us (they don't realize how much they are controlled anyhow) unless we are shot to death for resisting it.

The Clinton-Gore team is quick to point out the effectiveness of "Joe Camel" advertisements being seen by young people and influencing them to smoke. What else have the young people seen and heard about that could influence them just as strongly in other areas⁹ (Remember: the issue was not "Joe Camel." He was just a pawn on the board along the way toward bigger game — suing tobacco companies to set a precedent for suing gun manufacturers. Good strategy, huh?)

Does anyone believe that our young people are not aware of various examples of federal terrorism noted above and elsewhere and the fact that those involved suffered no repercussions for such — all the way up to the President?

"Bomb-'Em-To-Death-Bill" and "Rambo" Reno saw fit to shoot, gas and bum to death around 80 men, women and children with no repercussions and used our own military against fellow citizens. Does anyone believe that our young people and others don't know this, despite the federal spin machine? (If you believe that this couldn't happen to you, you are kidding yourself. In Salon Magazine, 2/1/99, as quoted in The McAlvany Intelligence Advisor in the April 1999 issue, Clinton said, "My only enemy is right-wing religious fundamentalism." P.S. Guess who will be blamed for any Y2K problems?)

Is anyone still stupid enough to believe that our teenagers and others haven't read on the Internet and elsewhere of the dozens and dozens of former close associates of Clinton who died violent deaths from "coincidental" "accidents," "suicides" or "sudden illnesses" or "heart attacks" when there was no history of heart problems? For just one example, read THE STRANGE DEATH OF VINCENT FOSTER, by Christopher Ruddy. See also THE DEATH OF RON BROWN and THE TWA FLIGHT 800 COVER-UP, by the same author (Vortex, PO Box 3000, Denville, NJ 07834). If these, as just a couple examples of high-ranking officials and other things are any indication of what can happen, how important is your life at your level to the Clintonistas?

"Bomb-'Em-To-Death-Bill" used all sorts of bombs and other Weapons to illegally bomb and kill innocent civilians in Yugoslavia under the guise of phony reasons, with no concern for deaths, regardless of what he says. His primary concern was and is himself.

We can also call our federal government to task for promoting a government-run school system (not a Constitutional requirement or right of the federal government anyhow) that has stripped education of God, prayer, our Judeo-Christian heritage in general, along with heroes, real history, moral absolutes and values, etc.

Our federal government has "led" and "set the example" for our young people by stripping our schools and textbooks of heaven heritage, heroes and hope and replacing them with an "I"-centered evolutionistic, selfish, Godless, morally relativistic secular humanism that holds no hope of anything positive in life.

Your fabulous government-run public schools are also promoting the sodomite/lesbian agenda full bore and will do more of this after various showings of the pro-sodomite/lesbian film, "It's Elementary" by public television stations. It is being used in hundreds of teacher-training programs across the country. Teachers will be teaching students that this perverted lifestyle is normal and that it is a "happy" lifestyle. It promotes a "Gay and Lesbian Pride Day" with symbols to be worn and it takes a Gospel song and changes the words to promote "gay pride."

Yes folks, this is just another example of federal "leadership" and "exampleship" in our government-run schools. (Contact your congressman and senators and also contact Concerned Women for America, Dept. 4017, Washington, DC, 20042; or at <http://www.cwfa.org>.)

Our courts, with presidentially-appointed judges, have also had a part in ruling against life, prayer, creation-based education and

the like — in part, pursuing "broadmindedness" or "neutrality" (?) that has become "no-mindedness" and, in essence, an anti-Judeo-Christian agenda.

Our leader of the BAD Gang is more than ready to take credit for an economy that seems to be doing well, but, somehow, he, as a product of the "rights, not responsibilities" generation, is unwilling to recognize his responsibility to take responsibility for anything that would be detrimental to him politically.

Isn't it interesting that no other President has had the number of incidences of school violence that has occurred under President Clinton? Is it not interesting that this regime has had more cases of violence than any previous one, especially when we also consider, besides schools, Ruby Ridge, Waco and the Oklahoma City bombing? Is this all "by accident?"

If you believe that you heard the whole story from the federales or through the major media about the Murrah Building bombing and if you believe that our own federal government was not involved in some way, read: **OTHERS UNKNOWN: THE OKLAHOMA CITY BOMBING CASE AND CONSPIRACY**, by Stephen Jones and Peter Israel and **OKBOMB! CONSPIRACY AND COVER-UP**, by Jim Keith. Among other things, how is it that ATF and FBI agents and any of their children "just happened" to be out of the building when the bombs were detonated? And, if you believe that the bomb was just a fertilizer bomb and that Tim McVeigh set up the inside bombs, you have missed some things.

No bomb experts were allowed to testify publicly about the bombing. No common rules of evidence were followed. The bomb

scene was quickly cleared to prevent additional investigation. A fertilizer bomb is incapable of causing the type of damage that was done, according to a retired FBI demolitions expert (who shall remain unnamed).

Immediately after the bombing, so-called "anti-terrorist" legislation was "ready to go" and with language that was intended to allow the federal government to define anyone as a "terrorist" who spoke out in any way against the federal government, among other things.

Isn't it "neat" how everything has been "worked out?" Let's see.. .promote violence by example at the federal level and in movies and on television, take God and all other absolutes, morality, principles, heritage, prayer, real history (as opposed to "revisionist" or stripped history), etc., out of the schools; promote "rights" over responsibilities; use the courts and "do-gooder" social welfare workers to take away the rights of parents to discipline their children (several thousand parents in jail for this now) and break up families; take away the rights of schools to discipline unruly students; tax families to the point that both parents have to work one or more jobs to survive or one parent has to work two or three jobs to survive, leaving children alone for greater periods of time and parents too exhausted and limited to spend time with them; push NAFTA and other measures through, along with excessive regulations and taxation, to send higher-paying manufacturing jobs overseas, reducing us to a low-wage service-oriented economy that makes economic survival more difficult; under the guise of "sex education" (not a school responsibility anyhow), promote promiscuity, abortion,

perversion and pornography and create more single-parent situations with undereducated mothers who will reproduce more of the same encourage more of this by rewarding additional child production... largely with absentee fathers; wait for guaranteed anarchy (among other things); and then use the situations created largely by our federal government and courts to "justify" excessive controls over every member of society, with the consequent destruction of our Constitutional rights.

Great, huh? It's the ideal approach to creating "perfect" citizens for the one-world government crowd. The whole process works even faster with academic standards being lowered; the downing of patriotism and nationalism in favor of globalism; and similar things. Besides, when enough students are deprived of the ability to read through poor reading programs, the masses eventually won't be able to understand how they have been and are being led to their own destruction and they will be much easier to control.

No matter what you hear from the federal spin machine, the federales are looking for any way to "justify" additional controls over our country, the states and us as individuals. Every act of violence is played up to the hilt with the help of the major media; it is milked for as much emotion as possible; bills are proposed and pushed through on that wave of emotion with no consideration for their constitutionality and long-run implications; the "one-size-fits-all" bills will impose unnecessary and illegal restrictions on the 99 percent of the citizens who are law-abiding; and the criminals will continue to do what they have always done, but with much greater ease.

More crime will then "justify" more laws and police powers and things will continue to go downhill for our rights, freedoms and the like. Eventually, national police power exercised through military forces, state, county and local police forces will reach the point of government crimes against the people and government and the criminals will become indistinguishable from each other.

For a quick review of federal exemplarship and leadership for our students, look at the following:

- ▶ Who took God and prayer out of schools? THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.
- ▶ Who promoted the murder of babies through violent abortions? THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.
- ▶ Whose policies, regulations and taxes have sent manufacturing jobs overseas? THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.
- ▶ Who is stealing our land and turning it over to the UN? THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, through "Benedict Bill."
- ▶ Who destroyed the values (i.e., good ones) in our schools? THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. (Promoted anti-God, immoral, amoral values; promoted and continues to promote perversion,

abortion, promiscuity, etc., under "sex" education, promoted anti-Constitutional, anti-national, anti-patriotic and pro-global agenda.)

- ▶ Who stole your tax dollars (Social Security contributions) to finance an illegal war against Yugoslavia? THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

- ▶ Who stole your tax dollars to bail out big US banks overseas who had made bad loans? THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

- ▶ Who steals your tax dollars to send them around Congress and through the International Monetary Fund to give them to enemies? THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

- ▶ Who is taking away the right and the means for you to defend yourself and your loved ones? THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

- ▶ Who is stealing your tax dollars to finance the training, housing, etc., of foreign troops in this country that are being prepared for attacks against us? THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

- ▶ Who is responsible for setting the pattern for computer programs using two numbers for the date code that is creating the Y2K problem? THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. (More on this under "Y2K: Whose Fault?")

- ▶ After creating the Y2K problem, who is saying little and doing little about it while waiting on a panic that it will blame on Christians, conservatives, etc.? THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

- ▶ Who will use the Y2K crisis to "justify" martial law; the suspension of our Constitutional rights; and the establishment of a stronger dictatorial government? THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, led by "Ban-The-Bill-Of-Rights-Bill"

The list goes on and on and on and on, as do the ways in which our rights are being taken.

If you, the reader, as an elected official or as a citizen not holding office, allow "Ban-The-Bill-Of-Rights-Bill" and his fellow travelers to process any legislation that imposes further restrictions on the 99 percent of the population that is law-abiding and for goals that are a part of the one-world government agenda that is dangerous to the safety of not only our children but all of us, you are telling the federal government the following:

"I'm too dumb, helpless, hopeless, sightless and gutless to do anything. I defer to your superior (?) wisdom, foresight and intelligence to help all of us with as many regulations as you can think of and to take as many of my tax dollars as you need to enforce further restrictions on me and my fellow citizens to keep us 'safe' and 'secure,' whether we have any rights or not."

If you don't want to say that, don't roll over and give up at your level like our representatives and senators have done at the federal level.

Eternal vigilance is still the price of liberty. Bad things still happen because good people do nothing.

When is the last time that you took a stand for your Constitutional rights and wrote or called any of your elected officials and demanded accountability? If you didn't, you deserve what you get.

Chapter 29

Columbine High: Some "What Ifs?"

"Indeed, I tremble for my country when I reflect that
God is just. "

— Thomas Jefferson

No one can change what actually happened at Columbine High recently, but how the situation would have been handled by the major media and the federal government would have been vastly different with some changes in the orientations of the students involved, in light of the agenda of the federal government.

The "what if" situations are reviewed below to show the hypocrisy of our federal government, the major media and other fellow travelers, with the double standards that now prevail in our society.

For example, what if the student shooters at Columbine High had been heterosexuals (instead of sodomites — not mentioned in the major media) who had shot pro-abortion, pro-communist, pro-Satanic, pro-sodomite, pro-lesbian students or teachers? Perish the thought, as the saying goes. We would have reams of so-called "hate crime" legislation being pushed fast and furiously by the BAD gang and the major media.

Isn't it odd that, with most of the victims being Christians (the prime targets, whose Biblical points of view differed from those of the sodomites, since God condemns sodomy), not a word has been

said about "hate crime" legislation for acts against Christians? (This question is not a call for so-called "hate crime" legislation.) Do we have another double standard here that reflects the anti-Christian bias of the BAD gang and the major media?

What would have happened to teachers and administrators if the situation was one in which they knew about students who had a vendetta against sodomite/lesbian students or pro-abortionists and said or did nothing, and then the students who had the vendetta shot others in the school?

If the above had been the case, the teachers and administrators would have been suspended for dereliction of duty, sued by the victims' parents, with the probable help of the ACLU; prosecuted by local, state and federal officials, blasted for weeks or months by the major media, and probably subjected to fines, firings, imprisonment or some combination of those. Do we have another illustration of double standards?

Isn't it interesting that we have heard little or nothing about the teachers or administrators who knew about and observed the dress, language, greetings, etc., of the shooters and did nothing effective about it? Where are the federal and major media blitzes on this⁹

What if Christian students at Columbine High had earlier sought to meet and pray together on school grounds, hold a Bible study or pass out Bibles? What if they had greeted each other in the hallways and on the grounds with the phrase, "Praise God!"

The school probably would have quickly mobilized and taken steps to stop these things because of how "dangerous" and "illegal"

and "hateful" these things were. If non-Christian parents, students or teachers had complained, the ACLU probably would have intervened and the administrators and the school board members probably would have caved in to them. Is something wrong here? (Obviously.)

What if a Christian teacher had attempted to counsel the shooters (or other troubled students) before the shootings and had used the Scriptures to show them God's perspectives on life, morals and other issues, plus prayed with them or for them on school grounds?

The Christian teacher would have been disciplined by the administration, perhaps suspended, probably subjected to prosecution, sued; blasted for being "hateful" or "prejudiced" or something else, and probably encouraged to leave, with no good recommendations for future jobs. Is something wrong here? (Obviously.)

As an aside that has not received much attention, apparently some of the teachers and administrators at Columbine High, as products of our government-run public schools, did not have the discernment, decisiveness and character to act or to act effectively on what they knew already about the student shooters — before anything happened.

Why is it "okay" to wander through school hallways with swastikas and "Sig Heils" but not okay to do or say anything that is representative of Christianity? Is this — among other things — a reflection of the Godless, secular humanist indoctrination in our curricula in our government-run public schools and universities?

Thomas Sowell, a brilliant black journalist, said the following:
"If every parent in America knew what was really going on in the public schools, there would be a revolution."

Chapter 30

More Federal "Exampleship" And "Leadership:" Schools

"There are no necessary evils in government. Its evils exist only in its abuses. If it would confine itself to equal protection, and, as Heaven does its rains, shower its favors alike on the high and the low, the rich and the poor, it would be an unqualified blessing."

— Andrew Jackson

The best thing that the federal government could do with regard to the government-run public school system (on the decline for many decades and going downhill at an increasing rate in all respects) would be to GET OUT!

Parents have been shoved aside and overwhelmed by the federal one-size-fits-all, "4-M" approach to education that is used in every other arena by the federales: Myopic Mass Micro Mismanagement.

Isn't it ironic, besides being pathetic and hypocritical, that the federal government — and especially our current President— has led the way with personal, national and international examples, while making references to "praying for healing" and the like? Isn't it odd that no one mentions the fact that the worst violence committed by a President against his own people has occurred during the Clinton

regime? Isn't it odd that the greatest violence occurring at the hands of students has occurred during the Clinton-Gore Administration? It appears that President Clinton has learned his "lessons" well from his Marxist-Leninist mentors.

To repeat earlier extremely relevant information, look at all the killings (not "accidents" or natural "illnesses") of people formerly close to President Clinton; assassinations of innocent civilians without cause or justification by federal terrorists ("terrorists" is the most accurate description in the context of what happened) at Ruby Ridge; gassing with illegal gas, shooting and burning around 80 men, women and children at Waco by federal terrorists, illegal bombings and killings of thousands, plus property damage, in Yugoslavia; etc. (If you believe that you have seen or will see any objective, full stories of these events on public television or any of the other major networks or other major media, you are showing evidence of how much you have been "dumbed down")

Objective (facts, not opinions) major media coverage of these and similar events falls into one or more of the following categories: nonexistent or biased to preserve and protect the Clinton-Gore Administration and fellow travelers.

None of the major media has the guts, principles, morals, knowledge of history and heritage, etc., to publicly call the Clinton-Gore Administration to task for its actions in the school arena or in any other area.

When it comes to exampleship for our young people, the federal government has a strong influence, but an influence that is decidedly negative. Unfortunately, as noted, the press lets the currentt

Administration off the hook and thus becomes an accessory to the fact.

"War On Drugs?"

A major area in which the federal government has set some bad precedents (at best) and has not yet been exposed by the major media, is the so-called "war on drugs." A primary element of this is the "D.A.R.E." (Drug Awareness Resistance Education) program promoted in our public schools from grades one through 12. (More on this later.)

Aside from the review of the above in this section, it should also be noted that, if the federal government was serious about preventing illegal drugs from coming into the US, it could do so. However, the reader is reminded that more drugs contribute to the decay of our nation and its downward slide (a goal of the globalists); it contributes to more crime, which "justifies" more laws and controls over all individuals (99 percent of whom are law-abiding), which is also a goal of the new world order crowd; and it brings all citizens closer to the elimination of their right to keep and bear arms, which is the last step before a one-world government can be imposed.

Isn't it amazing how all of the above is being done for our "protection" and "safety?" No — it is only for the safety of those who desire to confiscate our guns and to exercise control over every aspect of our lives.

Another element that is now virtually epidemic and one that is supported by default and other means by our government-run public school systems is the widespread use of Ritalin.

The extent to which Ritalin is being pushed as a chemical babysitter is such that what we really have is a "war on children." Can't or don't want to be a responsible parent and discipline your children? No problem. Drug them with Ritalin. Students unruly? Again, no problem. Ritalin to the "rescue."

The federal government, the pharmaceutical companies, the medical profession and psychologists appear to have joined together to enhance drug dependence among as many of our children as possible. This will facilitate state control and will set up those young people placed on Ritalin to be deemed "unqualified" for certain responsibilities and privileges in our society upon leaving school and possibly before that.

Studies have shown that Ritalin increases the propensity for crimes and suicides. It slows down pre-puberty children; speeds up post-puberty children; and has withdrawal symptoms similar to those of cocaine. Yes — and our government and others keep racing for Ritalin as the solution for irresponsibility, among other things. Is something missing here?

Obviously, our government schools are leading the way in the war on children. After all, the more children that there are with "special problems," the more "federal" money that is "available" and hence, the incentive and propensity to place as many students in this category as possible. (Remember: There is no such thing as "federal" money — it is all our tax dollars.)

How many suicides (self-murder) or other murders have been committed over the past five years by young people who were on Ritalin or who were taken off of Ritalin relatively close to the time of these events? Is it possible that this number (don't expect to have government admit it) of deaths far exceeds those that resulted from gun shot injuries? Are there any moves or unrelenting media attention or federal government exhortations against the use of Ritalin as there are against guns? (You already know the answer to this one.)

Isn't it odd that there is no move to ban Ritalin? In a sense, it should not be "odd" at all, when various things are considered. Do doctors make money directly or indirectly on this? Yes. Do drug companies and pharmacies make money from the sale of Ritalin? Yes. Do drug companies contribute substantial sums of money to both major political parties? Yes. Will government-run public schools get more "federal" (i.e., taxpayer money) money for designating more children as "problems," which often means also placing them on Ritalin? Yes.

Has it been demonstrated historically that the federal government and the new world order crowd do not want students who are academically well-qualified and able to read, think analytically and to have the ability to figure out what is going on in our country? Yes. Does putting as many students on drugs as possible (besides the other obvious dumb-down actions) help to produce more dumbed-down students and, subsequently, undiscerning adults? Yes. Are dumbed-down or drugged people easier to confuse, manipulate and control? Yes. Has the trend at the federal and globalist levels been that of exercising increasing control over individuals, families and

virtually every aspect of society and culture? Yes. Does all of this seem to be "working" to the advantage of the Clinton-Gore Administration and their new world order cohorts? Yes. Is the picture becoming any clearer?

If we're going to have lawsuits, they should not be against gun manufacturers. Instead, they should be against all those noted above who are pushing Ritalin under phony guises for nefarious ends There is no "war on drugs." There is, however, an evil war against children by those directly or indirectly pushing certain drug agendas or drug programs for our children. Is the Clinton-Gore Administration really concerned about children? In the vernacular of the day, "Give us a break" or "Who are you kidding?"

D.A.R.E

Isn't it great how the federal government can come up with programs that do one or more of the following or have the following characteristics:

- (1) have little relationship to what the goals or results are (and may be set up to accomplish results far different than those stated up front);
- (2) are sold as the "solution" with much fanfare and major media support;

- (3) end up being little more than a "band-aid" approach (if, in fact, any "first aid" was intended anyhow);
- (4) manifest the federal "one-size-fits-all" approach that is compounded in a negative sense by myopic mass micro-mismanagement,
- (5) result in increasing control over all lives;
- (6) result in increased taxation and a distortion of economic resources,
- (7) never seem to end;
- (8) are taken over by bureaucrats who write and enforce regulations with no accountability or oversight;
- (9) are just a way to temporarily avoid dealing with the real problem(s); and
- (10) are often undermined by other policies and programs that produce results contrary to the alleged objectives of the primary program?

The D.A.R.E. program, which is taught in virtually every government-run public school system from grades one through 12 meets all or most of the above characteristics. In light of the apparent

popularity of this program among police departments and others, such a statement sounds heretical, anti-child and anti-police, who administer the program in most cases.

On May 22, 1999, ISSUES IN EDUCATION, a radio program hosted by Bob and Geri Boyd, Box 12555, Prescott, AZ 86304 (1-888-ISSUES-9), presented a discussion led by Drs. W.R. Coulson and Sherwood Cole on "Curing The Drug Epidemic" Highlights of the discussion are given in the following paragraphs.

Dr. W.R. Coulson, a psychologist familiar with students and drug problems, noted that studies done at the Center for Preventive Research at the University of Kentucky suggested that young people who have gone through the D.A.R.E., program are at a greater risk than if they had not done so.

In the "standard" federal government "one-size-fits-all" approach, all students are introduced to drugs and are taken through a five-step decision-making process.

In one of the lessons given to fifth and sixth grade students, a hypothetical situation is presented and the following question is asked (in the context of a student being bothered by a bully): "Should Johnny bring a gun to school?" Another related question is also asked: "What good things could happen from bringing a gun to school?"

Time out! Readers of this book are asked to consider the following questions relative to the above questions:

1. Why are the above suggestive questions in any kind of school program?

- a. Does it sound as if the government is promoting violence in order to justify more controls, while alleging to teach something else?
- b. Is the D.A.R.E., program being used to "program" children to commit more violence?
- c. Did any of the students who shot others during the Clinton-Gore Administration to date not hear the above suggestive questions on bringing a gun to school?

2. How many parents are aware of the content of the D.A.R.E. program?
3. How many Congressmen, teachers, school board members, school principals and superintendents are fully aware of the content of the D.A.R.E. program?

In the D.A.R.E. program, students are told, "Don't let others push you into doing anything you don't want to do." Although this statement is allegedly to encourage students not to let others push them into taking drugs, it says nothing about drugs.

The above statement is also telling students that there is nothing more important than them doing what they want to do. When

students are told this, they could easily conclude that, if they want to try drugs, they shouldn't let others tell them not to do that.

Let's take this further and tie it to the gun suggestions in the D.A.R.E. program: "Do you want to bring a gun to school to take care of bullies who bother you? Then don't let others tell you not to do that." (Maybe some "good" things could happen???)

Programs taught in a moral vacuum will find the vacuum filled by immature, erroneous and/or dangerous decision-making and actions. The removal of absolutes, combined with making teachers "facilitators" instead of teachers, compounds the problem.

According to Dr. Coulson, Atlanta North Side High School solved its drug problems by getting out of the drug education programs.

Dr. Sherwood Cole, author of NOT IN OUR FAMILY, asserted that the following was the essence of the D.A.R.E. program:

". . .providing information to young people with the assumption that, with the proper information young people will decide not to use drugs...."

"What happens many times is they become more sophisticated users rather than avoid using them."

"I've heard many people tell me that, as a result of the D.A.R.E. program, they have actually been encouraged to experiment with drugs because they feel they know more

about them and they're less frightened by the potential for experimenting with them."

"I think what the D.A.R.E. program lacks is a moral framework for making decisions. Information alone is not enough."

"You need to give young people a reason for not experimenting with drugs."

In response to the above, Bob Boyd of ISSUES IN EDUCATION noted that:

"D.A.R.E. and other drug programs give kids a choice to do or not to do them (drugs) when, in fact, they shouldn't be given a choice."

In light of the foregoing review of the D.A.R.E. program by some of the professionals familiar with it, it appears that it is being given to all students when only a few need to have it. The program's approach is similar to giving everyone in a school antibiotics when only a few are ill. Over a 12-year period (and probably long before that), the antibiotics would soon become ineffective and might even produce more opportunities for illnesses.

Consequently, it cannot be concluded that the D.A.R.E. program actually prevents drug use. In fact, it appears to do just the opposite, producing more drug users, more sophisticated drug users

and students who have not forgotten the suggestive questions about bringing a gun to school to deal with those who bother them and the "good" things that could happen as a result.

Question: Why is no one suing the federal government for promoting guns in schools? Isn't this situation the irony of ironies? The federal government promotes student gun-carrying surreptitiously through its alleged anti-drug program that promotes more drug use that is also likely to promote more student gun use which will result in more violence to justify more anti-gun laws, more controls over schools, more distortion of economic resources to deal with a problem created by the federal government that will take more of our tax dollars and create a host of other negative results, the impact of which would result in more federal laws and controls. (Somehow, this doesn't seem to have an ending.)

Question: How much more of this so-called federal leadership and exampleship can our nation and its children stand?

Where are your senators and representatives on the above?

Chapter 31

Was Ken Starr A Clinton "Enemy?"

"Because sentence against an evil work is not executed speedily, therefore the heart of the sons of men is fully set in them to do evil. " — Ecclesiastes 8:11 (KJV)

Guess what, folks? Most of you were hoodwinked on this one as well. (Sort of makes you wonder what you can believe any more in the major media — if anything — or take at face value.)

If you were "suckered" by apparent harsh verbal exchanges between the Starr camp and the Clinton camp, you witnessed PR at its best — a phony "Starr Wars" that appears to have been perpetrated by Ken Starr, the Clinton-Gore Administration, Democrat fellow travelers and the major media.

The President and his spokespeople — including Democrat fellow travelers — probably should be commended for their "skill" in controlling the areas of investigation (one way or another) of the impeachment trial (in reality, they appeared more skilled or politically savvy because of spineless Republicans); the control of their laughter over how easy it was to do this, and the control of their laughter over how, with media assistance, they absconded with over \$40 million of your tax dollars to buy a ticket to freedom for their leader, all the while pretending to be angry at Republicans for spending the taxpayers' money needlessly.

You say that this doesn't make sense? Look at what the investigation, major media reporting and the emphasis on the sex scandal did for Clinton, among other things:

1. It kept attention on Monica Lewinsky constantly, with the Democrats "complaining" that this was "just about sex and nothing else." (That is what they wanted you to believe anyhow.)
2. No. 1. above was useful to cover up other situations happening nationally and abroad. (Note: Whenever any news item dominates the printed and electronic media, always ask this question. "What else is being covered up?")
3. Traitorous and other criminal activities with far greater implications and significance for our national security were basically ignored, set aside or forgotten:
 - a. selling and giving high-technology equipment and information to the Chinese communists, as well as to the North Korean communists (nuclear weapons were involved) in blatant acts of treason (somehow, the focus has been kept off of North Korea),

- b. accepting campaign funds for the Clinton-Gore campaign in exchange for nuclear information (50 years' worth of research), materials and other high-technology equipment and information that gives both communist countries (and anyone with whom these countries deal) up-to-date nuclear missile striking capabilities against the US, Taiwan or other nations, and

- c. suppressing information on all of the "gates" of the Clinton-Gore Administration (Whitewatergate, travelgate, filegate; Chinagate, North Koreagate, rapegate); and probably many more that will never see the light of day in our major media or in a timely enough fashion to make any difference.

With all of the major issues being purposely ignored and with press support, one could almost raise the question, "Who is on whose side?" We already know that many senators and representatives elected to put their careers above the Constitution, our country and their fellow citizens.

Obviously, we will never know the behind-the-scenes threats, blackmail, payoffs, promises, appointments, etc., that resulted in the outcome of the impeachment trial. We do know, however, that all

Democrats who were aware of any of the foregoing and who failed to act to support the Constitution and who participated in the cover-up by omission or commission and thereby likewise obstructed justice, are just as culpable as the President, the Vice President and Hillary Clinton, as mentioned previously.

By their actions of omission and/or commission, the Democrats demonstrated their support of all of the Administration's actions. The Democrats also showed their total lack of principle and their willingness to sell out the US and the Constitution as well.

The work that should have been done by Starr and Congress is now being done by Larry Klayman of Judicial Watch. However, the major media, as expected, is ignoring all of this. What's new, right? No surprises here.

Is anyone out there still naive enough to believe that Starr was really out to get Clinton? In the final analysis, if there could be one, President Clinton could not have asked for a better buddy to help keep public attention focused away from the far more serious issues of national security.

Do you still believe that they are enemies? If so, turn up the light. You may not like what you see, but maybe you can avoid stepping in the wrong areas and see the next deal before it passes you with a high-speed spin, deception-by-design public relations blast. (Suggestion: Reread "Understanding Clintonese" and don't depend on the major networks or newspapers for your information. These sources are only good for monitoring the extent, intensity and length of propaganda campaigns to promote or cover up things as "deemed necessary" to pursue certain agendas.)

NOTE: Do not expect to hear much or anything about "North Koreagate." The person most knowledgeable about this, besides "Benedict Bill," was the late Patricia Harriman, who had handled his campaign funds for years. Unfortunately, under the umbrella of "Coincidence Clinton," she "just happened" to become ill quickly and die, despite having been the picture of health prior to her fate.

Chapter 32

"Accomplice AI" For President?

"Thou are righteous; him shall the people curse, nations shall abhor him, But to them that rebuke him shall be delight, and a good blessing shall come upon them."

— Proverbs 24: 24-25 (KJV)

If you still want "Accomplice AI" for President, remember that he has supported President Clinton all the way and continues to do so by his words and actions. He also benefitted from the Chinese and North Korean communists' campaign contributions that came from selling out our national security for personal gain and other considerations on the agenda of the one-world government gang. Some campaign funds were raised illegally at a Buddhist temple. (Apparently "separation of church and state" applies only when "necessary," among other things.)

Do you want someone in any office who alleges to be concerned about the lives of children and students, but who hypocritically gives away and sells nuclear information, materials and other high-technology information and equipment to enemies (assume that other enemy nations will get it as well) who are now capable of killing tens of millions of our children, not even counting the adults?

Also, do you want a President who promotes paganism with his exaltation of nature and animals above not only man, but God? When Al Gore talks about bringing "religion" and government into a closer working relationship, don't assume that he is talking about anything resembling Christianity.

Despite what you hear from the major media, his book *EARTH IN THE BALANCE*, is very representative of the left-wing extremist environmental whacko point of view that gets into earth worship and all that junk and bunk, to put it nicely. Is saving one bird more important than dozens or more families being able to keep their jobs and support their families, to keep them together, to stay off the welfare rolls, and to avoid other hardships? If you ask "Accomplice Al," it is.

Isn't it just about "too much?" The Clinton-Gore team talks about getting people off of the welfare rolls, but it applies only if birds, trees, bugs, fish and the like won't be put at a "disadvantage." This is the USA? They talk about getting people off of welfare, increasing wages and jobs, etc., while they promote NAFTA and put over 100,000 people (it is probably much higher now) out of work and/or into low-paying jobs by sending manufacturing jobs overseas. Make sense?

"Accomplice Al's" book was well-read by a man known as the "Unabomber," who was sentenced quickly to avoid a lengthy public trial during which the influence of the book on his life would have been publicized to the detriment of "Accomplice Al" and his fellow environmental extremists. It would have been harmful to his chances to be elected in 2000 (assuming that, by that time, martial law

is not in effect and all elections have not been suspended because of a so-called "crisis")

Do you doubt the significance of the book? If the Unabomber had been a member of any conservative group or one labeled as such by the major media anyhow, the Administration would have cranked up the PR spin machine and would have pushed for a well-publicized public trial for the purpose of vilifying the Unabomber and every group in the country that could be labeled as being "right of center."

Numerous laws would have been proposed and undoubtedly passed — with much major media support — to strongly restrict or eliminate the rights of all people who could be connected to any conservative group by the greatest stretch of reason (or, more appropriately, by the lack of it).

In another possible scenario, if the Unabomber had been an avid reader of any books or magazines that could have been labeled in any possible way by the Administration and media as "right-wing," "militia-oriented," "fundamentalist" or something similar, you can be sure that the major media would have printed and/or discussed on radio and television shows portions of these books or magazines for weeks or months.

"Experts" would have been asked to comment on the "extreme" views of these publications, their implications, their "damaging impact" on all of our "children;" and the "psychological problems" of all readers of those publications would be reviewed ad nauseum. Psychological profiles would have been developed for the

owners and writers. These would have been discussed in every way possible for the greatest emotional impact.

Efforts would have been made to ban such publications or to otherwise indirectly put the publishers out of business. The owners and writers associated with these publications probably would have been subjected to IRS audits as a form of harassment and only for harassment.

Demonstrations would have been led by federal agent provocateurs if enough demonstrators could not have been generated otherwise. Lawsuits would have been filed against the owners and writers of these publications, with the attendant demonization of these people in the major media.

But, with EARTH IN THE BALANCE, not a word. Did you ever wonder why?

By the way, do you recall any age-specific legislation being proposed for people in the age range of the Unabomber? Are people close to this age still allowed, under current law, to mail letters and packages? What legislation did his acts generate in comparison to what is being proposed for the recent student shootings and bombings? Oh well, maybe it was too "dangerous" politically at the time and it certainly would not have had much emotional and major media support, since no children appeared to be involved.

In his book, "Accomplice AI" said, "We must make the rescue of the environment the central organizing principle for civilization." No matter how "good" and "lofty" that might sound to some products of government-run, dumb-down mills (you guessed it — our public schools) and left-wing-social-agenda private schools,

colleges and universities, here are some of the real-life implications and results:

1. Your Constitutional rights, freedoms and liberties are secondary to land, trees, swamps, tadpoles, bugs, fish, bushes and other things found in nature.
2. Because of No. 1., you are regarded as being unimportant to the environmental extremists, most of whom agree with one-world government gang thinking and some of whom are members.
3. If there is a choice between saving you, your job, your family's economic survival, etc., and saving a tree, a bird or something else, you lose.
4. You have no property rights or the right to choose where you live and especially if the government wants to do something else with what you thought was your land, creek, trees, etc. In fact, both President Clinton and Vice President Gore have bypassed Congress in pursuing federal land grabs and rivers and wetlands grabs that put more and more land and water under the control of the UN and the federal government.
5. As much land as possible and at a pace as rapid as possible (politically) will be put under federal control

and/or, ultimately, UN control. (The Clinton-Gore Administration, in a raw, blatant nose-thumbing of Congress and the American people in general, has, without repercussions, turned nearly four dozen areas designated as "Biosphere Reserves" over to UN control in our country — and in violation of our Constitution, which is being destroyed faster and more completely by this regime than by any Administration in US history.)

The Clinton-Gore team has also put nearly two dozen sites designated as "World Heritage Sites" in our country under UN control in violation of our Constitution. That's right. And our sold-out, bought-off and blackmailed House and Senate rolled over again and looked the other way, with few exceptions.

6. As lands and bodies of water are increasingly put "off limits" to us as citizens, more and more people will be forced to move to large cities, where they will be easier to control by the soldiers and other police forces (including foreign troops, many of whom are here now) of the new world order.
7. As water becomes more difficult to obtain; as the means of making a living doing anything associated

with the environment becomes severely limited, as fewer and fewer people have the means left and the land left to grow food, hunger will increase, the prices of food and water will go up, and disease and death rates will increase as well.

The above will contribute to another objective of the one-world government gang: drastic population reduction. Dissidents who attempt to oppose controls will be "put away" in prisons that have already been built for such or, if "necessary," shot to death. They will be hauled "where necessary" by railroad cars specially built for such.

Look at the "dissidents" at Waco, Texas and what happened to them at the hands of "Bomb-'Em-To-Death-Bill" and "Rambo " Reno. Is anyone naive enough to believe that such could not happen again?

8. As more and more areas come under the control of the one-world government gang, travel to and the enjoyment of our parks and forests will be severely restricted.
9. If you are a member of any of the Judeo-Christian religious groups, your freedom to worship God and to practice your religion will be severely limited or

eliminated in favor of earth-oriented or nature-oriented religion. Eventually, even both of these, the proverbial "feet in the door," will be replaced by the forced worship of Satan. This will be the one-world government/one-world religion. Those who hold to their belief to honor God will be persecuted and/or put to death, which is going on extensively around the world now where communist dictatorships rule and/or where extremist Muslim, Hindu or other groups are heading the governments or are being allowed to persecute and kill Christians and with no objections from the Clinton-Gore team.

Look at one of the ironies of the above one-world government/one-world religion situation: Those people now fighting for the "separation of church and state" on the basis of spurious and naive reasoning will find that, under the new world order, the government will control religion and how it will be practiced. You can guess the results for those who still want to fight for the "separation of church and state."

10. Since Bibles and related books require paper, which is made from trees, restrictions may be imposed that allow only "necessary" publications. In fact, because

the Bible takes a strong stand on various matters that are not a part of the philosophy or religion of the one-world government gang, there is a possibility that the Bible will be banned as "hate literature" and that Christians who attempt to practice their faith will meet similar fates.

11. You can expect more arbitrary and ridiculous vehicle emission standards that will be so restrictive that fewer people will be able to drive to worship services at their church or synagogue, lest "mother earth" be further "polluted." In fact, in his book, Al Gore has stated his desire to eliminate the internal combustion engine.
12. Fuels for the production of power and heat, as well as for your vehicle, are likely to be more controlled to "preserve" our natural resources or to minimize alleged pollution of the "mother earth" goddess worshipped by the New Age environmental extremists. All of this will become more important than you keeping warm, fed, employed, going to church or going on vacation.

The foregoing — some of which has happened already; some of which will increase, and some which is going to happen are just the proverbial "tip of the iceberg." Pay attention. See if your

representative or senators at the state or federal level are willing to do anything about all of this. If they and/or your governor have been so busy lapping up "goodies" at the federal trough that they haven't noticed the changes in the water, it's time to tap them on the shoulder, get them to stand up and to do something about what is actually happening in our nation to destroy it and our way of life.

"Accomplice AI," in his capacity as "Internet AI," claims to have "invented" the Internet. Apply the logic of the current regime to this. Let's see.. .the students who were involved in the shootings and bombings at Columbine High claimed that they obtained bomb-making information over the Internet. If gun manufacturers can be sued, should "Internet AI" be sued as the inventor of the Internet? Should he be held liable, subject to fines, prosecuted, sentenced and imprisoned for allowing the bomb information on the Internet? Should he be barred from ever owning a computer again? Make sense?

The above makes about as much sense as holding everyone in the US responsible for the shootings in Colorado, instead of just the two who did it, and punishing everyone in the country for shootings done by two students by imposing more unconstitutional restrictions on everyone. Has anyone got the picture yet?

As a final note for this section, is "Accomplice AI" opposed to violence any more than "Bomb-'Em-To-Death-Bill?" No. He supports the President by words of omission or commission in the acts of violence committed by President Clinton at home and abroad.

"Accomplice AI" commented favorably on the violence-ridden movie "Matrix." Did he condemn the violence of animal rights

activists when they committed destructive acts? No. Did he and the major media or his boss spend much time on this? No.

If you haven't guessed it yet, he is like his boss — he sees a difference between "good violence" and "bad violence." "Good violence" is that which furthers the agenda of the Clinton-Gore team and their new world order cohorts. "Bad violence" is anything that doesn't. What could be more simple? Welcome again to the politics of preservation, perversion and putrefaction for ulterior motives.

In the absence of hearing any objections from Al Gore on President Clinton's political, economic and social policies, as well as his foreign policy, we can only assume that his policies in all of these areas are similar and perhaps even more extreme. Both favor the paternalistic approach, with government being the "big daddy" to everyone in every area, with increased taxes to pay for your increasing loss of freedoms.

Al Gore asks for votes for the year 2000 election so that the "prosperity can continue." Neither he nor his boss have anything to do with anything positive or even apparently positive in our country economically, since the Federal Reserve private bankers control money, interest rates, inflation and the like in the United States and for their benefit — not that of the taxpayers in the United States.

Furthermore, the stock market, which many use as the index of how well our economy is doing, is grossly inflated and is a house of cards waiting to fall when the props are pulled out by the Federal Reserve bankers. When the crash comes, its severity will far exceed that of the 1929 crash, which was also an engineered crisis for the benefit of a few.

Al Gore or any other candidate can promise whatever he wants to promise the people of the US if he is elected, but readers and listeners are reminded of his support of Bill Clinton; his ability to use language skillfully as well for ulterior motives; his acceptance of campaign funds from the Chinese communists; his failure, like that of the President, to do or say anything of consequence since 1992 to help prepare the US for the Y2K computer problem to avoid problems and possible panic, his opposition to national security, as demonstrated by his sell-out of national security for campaign funds and his lack of action to exercise any leadership in an anti-missile defense system, a biological-chemical defense system or civil defense; and, finally, readers are reminded of his allegiance to the new world order crowd, which means that our concerns as US citizens will take second place (at best) to anything that he does.

Question: If Bill Clinton suspends the year 2000 elections and stays on as President in another demonstration of the extent of his dictatorial actions, is there anyone with any concern for the survival of our country who can stand the equivalent of more years of what we have had?

If so, start kissing this country good-bye. (That is said with the realization that no one will be "allowed" to be a "final" candidate of either the Democrat or Republican Party who does not "sell out" to the wishes of the new world order crowd, which includes the Council on Foreign Relations, the Trilateral Commission and the Bilderbergers, among others, and with overlaps among them and Federal Reserve members.)

It is this writer's opinion, based on the track record of President Clinton to date, that he will do whatever he believes is necessary to consolidate his power at an increasing rate for the rest of his term and that he will do whatever he believes is necessary to continue as President beyond his term.

Chapter 33

How Should We Deal With All Of This?

"Our Constitution was designed only for a moral and religious people. It is wholly inadequate for the government of any other. "

— John Adams

With the Clinton-Gore team as a part of the new world order crowd in control of things and with the major media operating as the public relations advance and support team for these folks, it is rare to hear or read of anything other than the official "party line" that is pro-Clinton-Gore, pro-left-wing (naturally), pro-global, pro-abortion, pro-sodomite, pro-lesbian, anti-Christian and anything else that you can think of that is contrary to what made the United States great in the past.

With the pervasiveness of the above and its overwhelming influence in and on our society (assisted by decades of dumbing down our students in our government-run schools), the question of how to deal with it in a constructive and productive fashion becomes more difficult. Below are some considerations.

Complain To Your Representative Or Senators?

You need to do this to let your elected officials know what you want and to stay with it, but be prepared to be disappointed. The

Democrats demonstrated that they have few or no principles by supporting their leader and his actions with their votes during the impeachment trial and the majority of the Republicans have been compromised, blackmailed or threatened and have caved in, rolled over and demonstrated their lack of guts to stand up for our Constitution and, by extension, all of our rights.

There needs to be an immediate, massive groundswell movement for returning to adherence to Biblical principles as the guideline for governing our nation — at a minimum. If there is not and if you do not do your part, you will have no one to blame when all of your rights — including all of your property rights, worship rights, etc., are gone.

With few exceptions, most of our representatives and senators are "in it" for themselves and their careers and they have sold out the Constitution, the country and us as its citizens or they have been, as noted, blackmailed or threatened and are doing (or not doing) whatever is "required" for survival, self-preservation and reelection. This has reduced them to the status of political eunuchs by and large (with more emphasis on the "large") being controlled by the Executive Branch political prostitutes.

And, with "Spent Short" (or is it Trent Lott?) leading (?) the Senate and a new "Weaker of the House" (or is it "Speaker of the House?"), if your contacts with Congress result in anything productive, it will be a near-miracle.

You might get a form letter from an elected official's secretary that alleges appreciation and interest in your concerns, but it may be only a "shove-off" to avoid doing anything effective. Unless

you have a rare Congressman, the letter won't mean much. When you get one of these letters, review it, contact your elected official and ask for evidence of his action taken to protect your Constitutional rights. If you have not yet read the Constitution, please read it so that you will know what your rights are.

We are, in effect, down to two branches of government at the federal level — the Executive Branch and the Supreme Court, both of which appear to be in a race (or working together?) to take away our rights with the support of the new world order crowd. This goes on while the Senate and the House cowardly languish in stupefaction, inaction and ineptness unequaled in our history.

Perhaps we are, in reality, down to one branch comprised of the Supreme Court and the Executive Branch, since Supreme Court rulings seem to lean more and more toward supporting the goals of the Clinton-Gore Administration and their new world order cohorts. Maybe we should call the "Executive Branch" the "Axecutive Branch" to reflect how it is chopping away at our Constitutional rights. If we take the first letters of these branches and combine them, they spell "SCAB" (Supreme Court + Axecutive Branch). No more needs to be said on that.

Among our elected officials at the federal level (and perhaps other levels as well), it appears that only a handful have read, understand, believe in and are willing to fight for our Constitutional rights and form of government: a republic; not a democracy. To preserve our republic, the attitudes and actions of all elected officials and the citizens should reflect this: **THE CONSTITUTION IS NOT NEGOTIABLE IN ANY RESPECT.** When erosion starts, it is

difficult to stop and it requires much more effort to return something to its original state — if such a thing were possible.

As citizens, we are still responsible for becoming acquainted with the issues and demanding accountability and real representation from our elected representatives. A few people doing this will not change things. Everyone needs to do his part. Remember: Although we should not have to compete with over two dozen lobbyists per Congressman, in effect, we do.

Unless we can ever get a Congress that is willing to return the printing and control of money to our Congress and to take control of our money and the economy away from the privately-owned and controlled Federal Reserve, we will not be able to do anything about this area.

Unfortunately, we do not really have any opposition to the Democrat Party any more in light of what has been happening lately in Congress and despite the Republican numerical majority in both houses. If proof was ever needed that numbers don't always mean anything, the evidence is now overwhelming. Apparently, after 40 years of feeling worthless, the Republicans can't stand to be successful or winners in any way.

Among other things, they have succumbed to the Democrats perversion of the language. When the Democrats were in control, "partisanship" on their part was defined as "good" and all opposition was defined as "evil." Since the Republicans have been in the majority, the Democrats have defined "partisanship" as "evil" in those rare cases where it was practiced by Republicans and "partisanship" as "good" where they have been able to cajole — frequently — the

Republicans into supporting them and the Administration. All of this is assisted by well-publicized shots of "Clintonese" input from the top.

Most of the Republicans are like blind little boys or girls (sad enough by itself and no disparagement intended for those who are blind physically) who got lost in a crowd and are looking for mommy's or daddy's hand to hold onto and end up going with any hand accompanied by a similar sounding voice that deceptively cons them or, alternatively, end up being grabbed strongly and warned not to try to run away or they'll be punished. (Tsk.. .tsk.. .some children never learn.)

The Republicans should replace their symbol of the elephant (apparently suffering from blindness, amnesia, Alzheimer's and unable to move away from the stake that held them as young elephants) with a chicken and, more specifically, a chick "peep" with a bright yellow stripe down the middle of its back.

All pictures of this new symbol should show the "peep's" back, with the "peep" running downhill at breakneck speed (as a lemming headed to the sea) every time that Clinton and his fellow travelers "crow." This image of running away and going downhill reflects the direction and the speed of the Republicans in doing their part — despite knowing (?) better — in letting our Constitution, rights, freedoms and the country go.

Isn't it amazing how things are going? We're effectively down to a consolidated Executive Branch and Supreme Court in philosophy and unity and now we're effectively down to one political party — Socialist at best — with people only wearing different labels to make it look to the voters as if they have a real choice and as if

there is a difference between Parties. This whole situation has been exacerbated by the two major Parties and the major media doing what they can to keep any other Parties from gaining strength or popularity

To top it off, it even seems that, at this point in history except for a few token and staged appearances of differences, the Executive Branch, the Congress and the Supreme Court have combined to operate as one monolithic entity, joined by and with the full support of the major media, which has been controlled since the early part of this century, when the major newspapers were bought up by J.P. Morgan and his people in order to control them.

To put it more succinctly, there is only one big ball of government in Washington. DC. and it is rolling downhill. If there are any citizens in the way with their rights and freedoms, too bad — they're history. Do you know what compounds this sad scene? Citizens who see the big ball coming and do nothing but allow themselves to be rolled over or just attempt to scatter and get out of the way instead of taking a stand and holding their elected representatives accountable for taking a stand on not only a Constitutional basis, but on a Biblical basis as well.

Well, as it turns out, the big-ball folks — and especially their leader and backers — figured out how to mix in some "multi-cultural diversity" and create special classes of "victims" and other special groups to keep everyone's attention on each other so that they wouldn't notice the big ball coming their way. These diversionary tactics have been supplemented by occasional provoked "crises."

Just a note: The big ball isn't run entirely by the apparent leader — he only takes credit for an economy that is kept boosted up

(i.e., the stock market in this case) by massive infusions of cash from the Federal Reserve, the privately-owned central bank that controls our economy, as noted earlier.

It is not "federal" (as pertaining to the federal government), nor is it a "reserve," because there are no reserves backing "federal reserve notes," which are not really notes, since there is no due date. Our income taxes are used to pay interest to the international bankers on the "funny money" that they have printed and loaned for circulation.

The falsely-labeled "Federal Reserve" has a predominance of foreign bankers. It buys so-called "notes" from the US Treasury for around three cents apiece (regardless of face value, whether it be a dollar or \$100,000). The Federal Reserve then sells these bills to us for face value and then charges us interest on the loan. Have any of you wondered why the national debt is so large? What a racket!

This means that we don't have any real money of our own. Our government, in violation of the Constitution, cannot, under present arrangements, print its own money, which, if it could, would drastically change the national debt picture.

Former President Kennedy bypassed the Federal Reserve and issued real US notes with no debt or interest attached. Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution says that only US Notes are legal tender. President Kennedy was assassinated and these legal notes were pulled from circulation and have not been printed since that time. Coincidence? Below are some notable quotes pertaining to the Federal Reserve and money:

"The Federal Reserve is one of the most corrupt institutions the world has ever known."

— Senator Louis T.
McFadden (served as
Chairman, Currency
Commission, for 22 years.)

"If the people of this nation understood our banking and monetary system, I believe there would be a revolution before tomorrow morning."

— Henry Ford, Sr.

"Permit me to issue and control the money of a nation, and I care not who makes its laws."

— Mayer Anselm Rothschild

According to the Congressional Record, the US government can buy back the Federal Reserve whenever it wants for \$450 million, but such requires Congressional action. What is the position of your federal representative and senators on this issue?

Complain To Newspaper Or Magazine Editors, Or Write To Or Call The Major Networks?

Take your shots at it, but, unless you are dealing with one of the few remaining small, independent newspapers or magazines, you may have the satisfaction of having your letter published and not much beyond that.

The major electronic and printed media are owned by people who are a part of or who are fully supportive of the new world order, with memberships in or affiliations with the Bilderbergers, the Trilateral Commission, the Council on Foreign Relations, The Club of Rome, the Royal Institute of International Affairs (England), the Fabian Socialists, United World Federalists, the Illuminati, Skull & Bones, the Aspen Institute (emphasis on mind control), etc. Don't expect any of these or other socialist/communist/New Age groups to turn against one of their own.

The major printed media and electronic media are controlled to ensure that everyone is subjected to the "party line" and its objectives. Never in US history has the major media been so obviously aligned with a President and the agenda of the new world order.

We need a Constitutionally-oriented national printed and electronic media that is so pervasive and influential that we can turn around and start regaining ground for our republic. Even more than this, we need a spiritual revival and a return to God and Biblical principles upon which our Constitution was based. Without this, there is no hope, as noted earlier.

For a few examples of how bad things are without the above, do you really believe that the American people will ever get the full story on the Littleton school shootings any more than we did on Ruby Ridge, Waco, Oklahoma City, the World Trade Center bombing, etc.? The answer is a resounding "NO!"

As alluded to earlier, the major media and the Clintonites went out of their way not to mention that the killers at Littleton were known sodomites. This kind of publicity is contrary to what they and their major media buddies want us to hear, since both are supporters of the sodomite/lesbian agendas. Somehow, no one called for "hate crime" legislation to protect Christians — the main targets in Littleton — from verbal abuse, physical harm or death.

For some additional examples of jaundiced journalism, control of the media and the like, consider the following:

1. How many things have you read in this book that the media had never told you?
2. Close to 90 percent of so-called "news" of the major TV networks comes from government or quasi-government sources. (Translation: You are being fed only what the Feds want you to know; it is all geared to further the agenda of the federal government and the new world order in terms of content, timing, slant, etc., and it should not be accepted without question. Caveat: Don't depend on the TV networks

for news. Only carefully-screened and spun opinion and propaganda is allowed to be shown or spoken.)

3. Were you told that over 4,000 people from Communist China (mostly military personnel and scientists) have been allowed to tour and visit our three major weapons laboratories and various nuclear sites by the Clinton-Gore Administration since 1994?
4. Were you told that over 20,000 of our military personnel have died so far (besides many more being permanently harmed) from the Gulf War Syndrome and that French soldiers who did not have the same shots did not become ill or die with this disease?
5. Were you told that the military can spray civilian populations with chemical or biological toxic weapons as long as local officials are given 30 days' notice and that this has been happening for decades, causing much suffering and deaths?
6. Were you told about the attempt by the Federal Reserve System to destroy credit unions?
7. Were you told that 24 of our generals resigned on July 27, 1998 in protest against President Clinton's military policies and that, if they did not agree to sign

a nondisclosure statement, they would be court-martialed and lose their retirement benefits?

8. Were you told that over 50 House and Senate members resigned in 1995 and 1996 because they did not want to be exposed and prosecuted for having around \$3 billion in questionably-gained funds in secret foreign bank accounts?

By this time, it should be apparent that books could be written on "were you told?" items that have been kept out of the mainstream media.

A good indication of the problem with our major media was presented as early as 1914 by John Swinton, then editor of the New York News:

"There is no such thing as an independent press in America, if we expect that of a little country town. It is the duty of the New York and other journalists to lie, to revile, to toady at the feet of mammon, to sell his country and his race for his daily bread, or what amounts to the same thing, his salary. We are tools and vassals of the rich behind the scenes. We are marionettes. These men pull the strings and we dance. Our time, our talents, our lives and our capacities are all the property of these men. We are intellectual prostitutes!"

Is it any wonder we are in trouble? We have political eunuchs in Congress, political prostitutes running the country; and "intellectual"(?) prostitutes writing and promoting the "party line" in support of the political prostitutes who run roughshod over the political eunuchs at will.

It's amazing how much the above still rings true after most of a century has passed.

Radio Talk Shows

There are some good talk shows that present a counterbalance to the "party line" heard on the major networks. You can call in and perhaps get to speak about your interests, but it also requires hosts who are not afraid to cover all the issues, and not just those deemed to be safe enough for them to keep their broadcast positions and sponsors.

Also keep in mind that not all apparently "conservative-sounding" radio talk show hosts are necessarily as patriotic as they might sound. Some of them may be out there and "allowed" to go so far in their statements to make most of their listeners believe that there is someone out there "on their side." Consider that there might be some phony conservatives, some of whom are occasionally criticized by the President or his spokespeople to give the impression that these talk show hosts are being "effective."

Contact Your Governor Or State Legislature?

Good luck here as well. With few exceptions, you can assume that many governors are too wrapped up in pursuing their political careers, and perhaps as a stepping stone to the US Senate, in which case they might fear sounding too much like a "maverick" or rocking the boat.

Don't necessarily expect governors to fight strongly for states' rights — especially if there are goodly sums of our tax dollars coming back from Washington, DC, to their states. Governors taking large slurps at the federal trough of largesse are not likely to be very attentive to states' rights as long as the dollars are coming back to their states.

When are we going to have more elected officials, such as Governor Jesse Ventura of Minnesota, with the guts to tell people that government cannot and should not do everything and be everything for everybody and that people need to start taking personal responsibility for their own actions and situations?

Obviously, this writer does not agree with him on all issues, but the above attitude on personal responsibility vs. government "doing all" and "being all" to all people would be good to have among all of our elected officials. We need to stop looking to government individually and as a nation to "solve" our perceived "problems," which, in many cases, government has defined for us and/or created for us.

Politicians are willing to "solve" so-called "problems" This only increases their power and control. When you ask for government

help, you are saying, "Tax me and control me all you want — just take care of it." Remember: A government that can give you all that you want can take all that you have.

It is past time for our governors and other state elected officials to reread the Constitution; to stand up for individual and states' rights; and to remove the federal government from those areas not required of it or allowed to it by the Constitution.

In case you have been "too busy" to see the rising tide of federal encroachment into every area of our lives, it's past time to wake up. Our federal government is running full-speed to "federalize" everything possible and to "globalize" it as well for the new world order as soon as possible. (Yes, fellow frogs, the water is getting even hotter...)

Question: Are our state legislative representatives, as well as our governors, representing us and our state and individual rights or heeding the call of the federal government in every arena without question and caving in to the federal government just as the federal Legislative Branch has caved in to the Executive Branch?

A good start would be that of eliminating the Department of Education, which is basically a propaganda machine promoting dumb-down; pro-globalism; perversion, promiscuity, pornography, abortion, sodomy and lesbianism through the so-called "sex education" programs; promoting anti-God, anti-Christian, anti-prayer, anti-parents, anti-authority and about anything else that you can think of under the umbrella of moral relativism, the destruction of absolutes and the like that, together, work toward the destruction of our youth and eventually, the destruction of our country.

Let's also let the states compete for the best education programs and do likewise in the areas of health and welfare. Ultimately, education is the responsibility of parents, who should be in control of it, not the government. How much longer are we going to allow our tax dollars to be used to contribute to the destruction of our young people, who are "taught" in a moral vacuum founded on an evolutionistic system bereft of hope, heaven, and God and the like, not even mentioning the fact that evolution is an unproven and unprovable theory?

When we ask government to solve our problems, we are asking to be taxed more; we are saying that security is more important than freedom; we are saying that elected officials are smarter than we are; we are saying that they have more principles than we do; we are saying that they can spend our money more wisely than we can; and we are giving up our responsibilities as citizens and parents. When we quit fulfilling our responsibilities as citizens, we are, in essence, giving up our rights at the same time.

More often than not, **GOVERNMENT IS THE PROBLEM**, and especially **TOO MUCH GOVERNMENT**, which means more laws, rules, regulations, bureaucracy, taxes, restrictions in every respect and the general loss of freedoms and rights.

Call Your Pastor, Priest Or Rabbi?

The best thing that you can do for your leaders in this area is to be faithful and pray for them. We are exhorted in the Bible to pray for all those in authority over us — including government officials

Beyond that, perhaps one of the best things that you could do would be to talk to him about these issues and get him a copy of *ABANDONMENT THEOLOGY: AN ACTION GUIDE TO SAVE AMERICA*, by John Chalfant. After this has been done, ask him what his response to the book is and what he is going to do, if anything.

In a brief review of this book by Donald S. McAlvany in *The McAlvany Intelligence Advisor* (February 1999), he noted that:

"Abandonment Theology consists of three basic parts: (1) the total-involvement Christian faith of the founding fathers which gave America God's blessings through her Constitutional Republic, (2) what happened to that faith, wherein it became compromised and watered down to impotency, resulting in perils we face today; and (3) how to turn the tide and restore our country to unprecedented greatness."

".. .all of the civil laws of our Constitution came directly out of the Bible. . .We have often heard it said, 'This is a government of laws, not of men.' Well, that's a half-truth. Properly stated: 'The American Constitutional Republic is a government of God's laws, not the laws of fallen man'"

".. .Today's America, having repeatedly mocked and defied an extremely patient God in recent decades, is in peril of perishing, led by a nation of silent, abdicating pulpits."

". . .America's clergy and Christians must understand that freedom is not a secular concept. On the contrary, its origin is spiritual as recited in the Declaration of Independence and in countless thousands of writings by the Christian founders of America, even by the U.S. Supreme Court which in 1892 identified the Author of our freedoms as 'the Redeemer of mankind, that is, as Jesus Christ.'"

'What warning does God give us if we choose to mock Him through our disobedience of Him? 'All nations that forget God shall be turned into hell.' (Ps. 9:17)"

""We wrestle not against flesh and blood, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in high places.' (Eph. 6:12)"

"Abandonment Theology thoroughly examines these issues and concludes that in our God-given American republic the citizens are the boss, the government is our servant; and both of us are under the laws of God. Every aspect of our government is the business of the Christian citizen (and every other citizen — the writer, CCCCC.) Accordingly, the concept of a 'two-way wall of separation between church and state' is an unsupportable fabrication of the 1947 U.S. Supreme Court with no foundation in law or the writings of the founding fathers."

"IS THERE HOPE FOR AMERICA TODAY? The Abandonment clergy say 'no.' But here's what the Lord says: 'When thou are in tribulation, and all these things are come upon thee, even in the latter days, if thou turn to the Lord thy God, and shalt be obedient unto his voice, (For the Lord thy God is a merciful God;) he will not forsake thee, neither destroy thee....' (Deuteronomy 4:30-31)"

The above book can be ordered by calling 1-800-951-4444, facsimile to (407) 629-5019, or by letter to: America — A Call to Greatness, P.O. Box 297, Winter Park, FL 32970.

To the above, this writer would add, "If my people, which are called by my name, shall humble themselves, and pray, and seek my face, and turn from their wicked ways; then will I hear from heaven, and will forgive their sin, and will heal their land." (II Chronicles 7:14).

In the final analysis, each of us as citizens must do as much as he can individually, in groups and as a nation to put God first. That and that only is ultimately and immediately our only hope. That you may not believe this does not change the truth of it.

Immediately after this chapter is a questionnaire that you as the reader of this book and as a citizen who has a concern for our nation's survival should copy and send to your elected representatives and demand a response within 10 days. If you don't receive a response, stay with the situation until you get one. If you get a response that includes answers the implementation of which is or would be detrimental to individual and states' rights and freedoms, as

well as the survival of our nation, it is time to take concerted action with your fellow citizens to follow all the legal steps necessary to remove such representatives from office as soon as possible.

Chapter 34

Questionnaire For Your Senators & Representatives

"The dirty little secret is that both houses of Congress are irrelevant. . . .America's domestic policy is now being run by Alan Greenspan and the Federal Reserve and America's foreign policy is now being run by the International Monetary Fund (IMF) . . .when the president decides to go to war, he no longer needs a declaration of war from Congress." — Robert Reich (Former Sec. of Labor under President Clinton, USA Today. January 7, 1999)

As a beginning step to demand accountability and responsibility from your elected officials and to determine the extent to which they represent your interests and those other interests that are of vital concern to most US citizens, the questionnaire on the following pages is suggested and may be copied and modified as necessary.

It is important to not only send it by the quickest means possible, but to follow up on it and to get a hard copy of the responses. Encourage others that you know to do likewise.

Ask your elected officials to explain any negative responses. If you do not follow up on things, no one will do it for you and you

will have abdicated your responsibility as a citizen of the republic.

You have no time to waste. Your survival, and that of your family and our nation are at stake.

Dear

I am writing to you as one of your constituents who is deeply concerned about what is going on in our country — including what is being covered up in various ways.

I have recently finished reading a copy of CLINTON'S CARNAGE OF CONGRESS, CONSTITUTION & COUNTRY, by Paul E. Hadinger (THINC Corporation, Publishing Division, P.O. Box 14, Batesville, MS 38606 — \$25.00).

Based upon my reading of that book, which I recommend to you as well (though you won't like what it says about Congressmen and others), I would like you, as one of my elected representatives being paid with my tax dollars, to respond to the questions in this letter and to do so within the next 10 days.

I will be sharing the results with my friends and the local media. I will also be following up on your responses, for which I will hold you accountable in your capacity as an elected government official. Please answer the questions with a "Yes" or a "No." If you answer "No," please let me know why. The questions are as follows:

1. I will take action to rescind the War Powers Act of 1917, as amended:
2. I will take action to rescind all Executive Orders and Presidential Decision Directives to date so that we can start with a clean slate:

3. I will carefully review any proposed EOs and PDDs and vote to oppose those that infringe upon our Constitutional rights:
4. I will take action to rescind the "CAPS" program (arbitrary airport passenger screening and profiling):
5. I will take action to return the United States to its pre-eminence as the most powerful nation in the world:
6. I will take action to bring home all US troops not necessary elsewhere for "national security" purposes (this includes most nations where US troops are now stationed — including those in Europe):
7. I will take action to rescind the unilateral actions of President Clinton that gave the UN control over areas of the US:
8. I will take action to remove all US troops from under the command of any foreign individual, country or organization, which is a violation of the Constitution that I have sworn to uphold:
9. I will take action to remove all foreign troops and military equipment from US soil and shores within the

next 30 days and to prohibit the entry of additional foreign troops and equipment:

10. I will take action to pressure Canada and Mexico to do likewise in order to remove ground troop threats from the north and south.
11. I will take action to immediately stop assaults against our citizens and cities by US and/or foreign military troops, which is also a violation of the 1882 Posse Comitatus Act:
12. I will take action to rescind all actions taken by President Clinton to turn US military equipment and bases over to the UN:
13. I will take action to return the control of our monetary system and the printing of money to Congress, per the Constitution that I have sworn to uphold:
14. I will take action to hold the President likewise responsible for upholding the Constitution in all respects:
15. I will take action to reestablish gold as the standard to back our currency:

16. I will take action to establish a defense system against all forms of weaponry, including nuclear, electromagnetic, chemical and biological weapons:
17. I will take action to establish a national civil defense system:
18. I will take action to rescind gun-control laws, which laws are a violation of the Constitution that I have sworn to uphold and to declare all state and local gun control laws as unconstitutional (with restrictions against felons excepted):
19. I will take action to rescind "most favored nation" status to any nation that does not provide freedom of religion:
20. I will take action to abolish all federal departments not required by the Constitution, beginning with the Department of Education:
21. I will take action to return rights to individuals and states allowed to them by the Constitution and not required of the federal government:
22. I will take action to shut down prisons and other detention facilities that have been set up for those

who oppose the imposition of a one-world government:

23. I will take action to eliminate underground military bases that have been established for use by the new world order:
24. I will take action to oppose unnecessary and unconstitutional so-called "hate crime" legislation, since we have laws to cover any crimes committed:
25. I will take action to reduce individual, family and business taxes:
26. I will take action to rescind and/or to vote against any legislation that confers privileges or rights on people by virtue of their sexual preferences:
27. I will take action to restore the right of the unborn to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness:
28. I will take action to maintain the control of the Long Beach Naval Facility by the United States:
29. I will take action to ensure continued control of the Panama Canal by the US after 12/31/99:

30. I will take action to rescind all treaties or agreements that place the US under the control of any other nation(s) or international organizations in violation of our Constitution:
31. I will take action to eliminate the IRS, which was never fully ratified anyhow by the required number of states:
32. From now on, I will never vote on a bill that I have not read in its entirety:
33. Inasmuch as the National Security Act of 1947 is used primarily to cover up illegal activities of the federal government, I will take action to have this rescinded:
34. I will take action to remove the lid of secrecy that the federal government has on UFOs and related phenomena:

I will expect bi-monthly updates of your progress regarding the above. As a service to me and your other constituents, please send your progress reports to other media outlets in the geographical area that you represent. I do not believe that this is an unreasonable request and especially in light of the fact that the future of our nation is at stake.

Though some of the foregoing action requests may seem "impossible," I am also aware that the Legislative Branch can pass laws to enable it to do what it wants — including overriding any vetoes from the President.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

RECOMMENDED SOURCES FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

1. What Are They Teaching Our Children?, Mel & Norman Gabler.
Original Intent, David Barton.
Source: Issues in Education P.O. Box 12555 Prescott, AZ 86304
Tel: 1-888-ISSUES-9
Web: <http://www.audiocentral.com>
2. Phyllis Schlafly
Eagle Forum
P.O. Box 618
Alton, IL 62002
Tel.: 618-462-5415
Fax: 618-462-8909
Web: <http://www.eagleforum.org>
3. Enroute To Global Occupation:
The New World Religion. Dr. Gary Kah.
Dr. Gary Kah, Executive Editor
Hope For The World Update
P.O. Box 899
Noblesville, IN 46061
Tel: 317-290-4673
Fax: 317-576-1053
4. Not In Our Family. Dr. Sherwood Cole.
5. The Shadow University: The Betrayal of Liberty on America's
Campuses, Alan Charles Koss and Harvey Silvergate.

6. Family Research Council
801 G Street, N.W.
Washington, DC. 20077
Tel: 1-800-225-4008
202-393-2100
Fax: 202-393-2134
Web: <http://www.frc.org>
e: corrdept@frc.org

7. Focus On The Family
8605 Explorer Avenue
Colorado Springs, CO 80920
Tel: 1-800-232-6459
Fax: 719-531-3424
Web: <http://www.corrdept@fotf.org>

8. Beverly LaHaye
Concerned Women For America Legislative Action Committee Dept.
4025
Washington, DC. 20042
Tel: 202-224-3121
Fax: 202-488-0806
Web: <http://www.cwfa.org>

9. D. James Kennedy, Ph.D.
Coral Ridge Ministries
P.O. Box 407132
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33340

10. Abandonment Theology: An Action Guide To Save America. John Chalfant.

11. Parents Television Council
600 Wilshire Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA 90017

12. Power of Prophecy
Texe Marrs
1708 Patterson Road
Austin, TX 798733
Tel: 1-800-234-9673
Web: <http://www.texemarrs.com>
e: prophecy@texemarrs.com

13. The McAlvany Intelligence Advisor
P.O. Box 84904
Phoenix, AZ 85071
Tel: 1-800-528-0559
Web: <http://www.mcalvany.com>

14. Computers In Crisis: How To Avert The Coming Worldwide
Computer System Collapse. Jerome T. Murray & Marilyn J. Murray.

15. Year 2000 Survival Newsletter, Jim Lord.

16. Spiritual Survival During The Y2K Crisis. Dr. Steve Farrar.

17. Point of View P.O. Box 30 Dallas, TX 75221
Tel.: 1-800-347-5151
Web: <http://www.pointofview.net>
e: pov@usaradio.com

18. Koinonia House
P.O. Box D
Coeurd'Alene, ID 83816
Tel: 1-800-KHOUSE-1
Web: <http://www.khouse.org>

19. Premonitions of an American Holocaust. Pam Schuffert.
Pam Schuffert
107 S. West St.,PMB 112
Alexandria, VA 22314
Tel.: 1-703-780-1557

20. Underground Bases And Tunnels: What Is The Government Trying To Hide. Dr. Richard Souder.

21. The Weekly Standard P.O Box 96153 Washington, DC, 20090
Tel: 1-800-274-7293
e.: editor@weeklystandard.com

22. The American Spectator
2020 N. 14th St., Ste. 750
Arlington, VA 22201
Tel: 1-800-524-3469
e.: correspondence@spectator.org

23. Human Events
Eagle Publishing
1 Massachusetts Ave., N.W.
P.O. Box 97215
Washington, DC, 20090
Tel: 1-888-GO-RIGHT
202-216-0600

24. Insight
Box 96450
Washington, DC, 20077
Web: <http://www.insightmag.com>
25. America On The Eve Of Destruction. David J. Smith.
Newswatch Magazine
1420 W. Ross
Waxahatchie, TX 75165
Tel: 1-800-516-8736
Fax: 972-937-2666
Web: <http://www.newswatchmagazine.org>
26. The Voice of the Martyrs
P.O Box 443
Bartlesville, OK 74005
Tel.: 1-800-747-0085
918-337-8015
Fax: 918-338-0819
Web: <http://www.persecution.com>
e: thevoice@vom-usa.org
27. The Washington Times
3600 New York Ave., N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20002
Tel.: 1-800-636-3699
Fax: 202-832-8285
Web: <http://www.WashTimes-Weekly.com>
e: nated@wt.infi.net

28. Judicial Watch
501 School Street, S.W., Ste. 725
Washington, DC. 20024
Tel.: 1-888-JW-ETHIC
202-646-5172
Fax: 202-646-5199
Web: <http://www.JudicialWatch.org>
e: information@judicialwatch.org
29. NewsMax.Com
P.O. Box 20989
West Palm Beach, FL 33416
Tel: 1-800-NEWSMAX
1-800-639-7629
30. Monetary And Economic Review
3500 JFK Parkway
Fort Collins, CO 80525
Tel: 1-800-325-0919
303-223-4962
Fax: 303-223-4996
31. American Justice Federation 3850 So. Emerson Ave. Indianapolis, IN
46203
32. Alliance Defense Fund P.O. Box 54370 Phoenix, AZ 85078

33. Wake-Up Call America
P.O. Box 2180488
Lakewood, CO 80228
Tel: 303-526-5023
Fax: 303-526-2043
Web: <http://www.eazy.net/users/wakeup>
e: Wakeup@eazy.net
34. Why A Bankrupt America?
Blind Loyalty. Dewy Kidd.
Dewy Kidd
P.O.Box 60543
Sacramento, CA 95860
Web: <http://www.dewy.com>
35. United Broadcasting Network
3 River Street
White Springs, FL 32096
Tel.: 1-800-888-9999
Fax: 904-397-4149
Web: <http://www.audionet.com>
36. Ron Paul's Freedom Report
P.O. Box 1776
Lake Jackson, TX 77566
37. Joseph Banister
P.O. Box 90239
San Jose, CA 95109
e: freedomabovefortune@yahoo.com

38. Geoff Metcalf
KSFO
900 Front Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
Web: <http://www.geofimetcalf.com>

39. Softwar
7707 Whirlaway Drive
Midlothian, VA 23112
Tel: 1-877-639-1608
Web: <http://www.softwar.net>
e: softwar@softwar.net

40. Gun Owners of America
8001 Forbes Place, Ste. 102
Springfield, VA 22151
Tel: 703-321-8585
Web: <http://www.gunowners.org>

41. More Guns, Less Crime. John Lott.

42. G. Gordon Liddy
P.O. Box 3649
Washington, D.C. 20007
Tel: 1-800-GG-LIDDY
Fax: 1-800-937-GFAX
e: potent357@aol.com

43. Col. Robert K. Brown
Soldier of Fortune, Inc.
P.O. Box 693
Boulder, CO 80306
Tel: 303-449-3750
e: editor@sofinag.com

44. Reading Between The Lines: The Rise, of Communism Under William Jefferson Clinton

Victory Publishing 1202 Orange Road Culpepper, VA 22701

45. <http://www.americanfreedompress.com>

46. <http://www.gulrwarvets.com>

47. <http://www.globalfreedom.com>

48. <http://www.eco-freedom.org/el/>

49. The Year of the Rat How Bill Clinton Compromised U.S. National Security for Chinese Cash. Edward Timperlake, William C. Triplett II.

50. Betrayal: How The Clinton Administration Sold Out American Security, Bill Gertz.

51. Incident At Sakhalin: The True Mission of KAL Flight 007. Michael Brown.

Liberty Lobby

300 Independence Ave., S.E.

Washington, DC. 20003

Tel.: 1-800-522-6292

52. None Dare Call It Murder (Video), Anthony J. Hilder.
Redo, Inc., P.O. Box 4005, Joplin, MO 64803, 1-800-201-7892
53. Economic Democracy: The Challenge of the 1980s. Derek Schearer,
with Martin Carnoy.
54. Ken Hamblin
Tel.: 1-800-462-6479
303-758-1363
Fax: 303-758-9423
Web: <http://www.hamblin.com>
55. Mike Reagan
Web: <http://www.reagan.com>
56. The Secret World of Money. Andrew M. Gause.
Andrew M. Gause
Box 198
Hawthorne, NJ 07507
Tel.: 201-423-2206
57. Earth In The Balance. Al Gore.
58. Tom Davis Books P.O. Box 1107 Aptos, CA 95001
Tel.: 805-931-0622
Web: <http://www.tdbooks.com>
e: tomdavis@webtv.net
59. Through The Eyes Of An Enemy. Stanislav Lunev.

60. New World Order: The Ancient Plan Of Secret Societies, William T. Still.
61. Secret Records Revealed: The Men, The Money, The Methods Behind The New World Order. Dennis Cuddy.
62. Black Helicopters Over America: Strikeforce For The New World Order. Jim Keith.

Dr. Holleman Booksellers
381 Casa Lindes Plaza, Ste. 228
Dallas, TX 75218
Web: <http://www.drjohnholleman.com/>

63. Defrauding America: Encyclopedia Of Secret Operations By The CIA, PEA. And Other Covert Agencies. Rodney Stich.
64. HAARP, The Ultimate Weapon Of The Conspiracy. Jerry E. Smith.

Adventures Unlimited P.O. Box 74 Kempton, IL 60946
65. The Tatum Chronicles. Chip Tatum.

Chip & Nancy Tatum P.O. Box 895082 Leesburg, FL 34789
66. Trance-Formation Of America. Cathy O'Brien, with Mark Phillips.
67. <http://www.angelfire.com/ab/PatriotTruth/Index.html>

68. <http://www.freedomdomain.com/monarchmind.html>
69. <http://www.leadingedgenews.com/cathyo.html>
70. <http://www.ra-info.com/bookstore/mind.html>
71. <http://www.preferrednetwork.com/newrelease.htm>
72. <http://www.home.earthlink.net/~glyph/YHNR/mkultra-yhnr.html>
73. <http://www.realtime.net/~dream/trance.htm>
74. <http://www.parascope.com/articles/1196/orbien.htm>
75. <http://www.io.net/~everett/mkultra.html>
76. <http://www.mt.net/~watcher/conspir.html>
77. <http://www.2flatlandbooks.com/flatland/mindcontbks.html>
78. <http://www.altnews.com.au/nexus/MindControlNWO.html>
79. <http://www.kimsoft.com/kim-spy.htm>
80. <http://www.4link.net/~plutomind.html>
81. <http://www.weirdvideos.com/sam.html>
82. Virtual Government: CIA Mind Control Operations In America: Psychic Disturbances In The U.S.A.. Alex Constantine.
83. Others Unknown: The Oklahoma Bombing Case And Conspiracy. Stephen Jones and Peter Israel.
84. OKBomb! Conspiracy And Cover-Up. Jim Keith.

85. The Strange Death Of Vincent Foster: The Death Of Ron Brown: The TWA 800 Flight Cover-up. Christopher Ruddy.
- Vortex
P.O. Box 3000
Denville, NJ 07839
86. The Coming Conflict With China. Richard Bernstein & Ross H. Munro.
87. Death Knoll Of The Panama Canal. G. Russell Evans.
88. The Cato Institute
Web: <http://www.cato.org/>
89. Joseph Farah
Western Journalism Center
P.O. Box 2450
Fair Oaks, CA 95628
Tel: 916-852-9700
Web: <http://www.worldnetdaily.com>
e: jfarah@bm.net
90. Who's Who Of The Elite. Gaylon Ross.
91. Accuracy In Media
4455 Connecticut Ave., N.W., Ste. 330 Washington,DC. 20008
Web: <http://www.aim.org/>
e: aim@freemarket.net
92. Committee To Restore The Constitution, Inc.
P.O. Box 986
Fort Collins, CO 80522