

3:00 - 5:00PM Services & Special Operations Perspectives

- Army

LTG William H. Forster, USA  
Military Assistant to the Assistant Secretary of the Army, (Research, Development & Acquisition)

- Navy

VADM William A. Owens, USN  
Chief of Naval Operations (Resources, Warfare Requirements & Assessments)

- Air Force

Maj Gen Kenneth Minihan, USAF  
Commander, Air Force Intelligence Command

- Special Operations

GEN Carl Stiner, USA (Ret.) (invited)  
Former CINC Special Operations Command

6:00PM Reception in the Exhibit Area  
Kossiakoff Center

7:00PM Banquet  
Guest Speaker: Dr. Edward Teller

WEDNESDAY, 17 NOVEMBER 1993

7:00AM Registration Opens  
Exhibits Open

8:30AM Technical Evaluation - Strategies to Tasks  
Dr. David Ochmanek, Deputy Assistant Secretary Defense for Strategy

9:00AM RF Weapons: A Very Attractive Non-Lethal Option  
Dr. George Baker, Assistant for Program Development, Defense Nuclear Agency

9:30AM ARPA Perspective  
Dr. James Richardson, Special Assistant to the Director

10:00 BREAK

10:30AM Future Technology Conference Report (Quantico, VA 31 Aug-2 Sep 93)  
Mr. Steve Small, Arms Program Liaison, Joint Services Small Arms Program

10:50AM Simulation & Modelling: Key to Non-Lethal Weapons  
Mr. Andy Andrews, Non-Lethal Project Leader, Los Alamos National Laboratory

11:10AM Technology Presentations

- Acoustic Technology

Dr. John Dering, Scientific Applications Research Associates (SARA)

- High Power Microwave Technology

Dr. Henry Brisker, U.S. Army Research Laboratory

- Battlefield Optical Munitions

Dr. Martin Piltch, Los Alamos National Laboratory

- One Container Sticky Foams

Dr. Peter B. Rand, Sandia National Laboratory

- Application of Extremely Low Frequency

Electromagnetic Fields to Non-Lethal Weapons  
Dr. Clay Easterly, Oak Ridge National Laboratory

- Non-Lethal Weapons

Dr. Milt Finger, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

12:00PM LUNCH

Speaker: The Honorable Janet Reno  
Attorney General of the United States

1:30PM Technology Presentations

- Voice Synthesis

Dr. Dave Morgan, Lockheed-Sanders

- Airbag Restraint Technology

Ms. Donna Marts, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory

2:30PM BREAK

Association of National Security Alumni  
Electronic Surveillance Project  
P.O. Box 13625  
Silver Spring, MD 20911-3625  
(301) 608-0143

October 31, 1993

Attorney General Janet Reno  
U.S. Department of Justice  
5th Floor  
10th Street & Constitution Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Attorney General Reno:

I am enclosing two items for your consideration; viz., a copy of my letter to "Mack" McLarty, concerning, in part, your pending appearance before a conference focusing on the law enforcement applications of directed-energy weapons technologies; and a copy of a letter sent to you by Attorney Paul D. Wilcher shortly prior to his assassination.

My letter to Mr. McLarty speaks for itself. The weapons technologies which you are about to endorse in your address to the Los Alamos National Laboratory's conference are already being tested on U.S. citizens under circumstances which qualify as "government-sponsored terrorism."

I am asking for permission to attend this upcoming event to ensure that the fate of human and civil rights in this country are not ultimately decided by a second Wannsee Conference. Directed-energy technologies are not the "final solution" to crime in this country. Quite the contrary, these technologies are being used to perpetrate crime in this country under experimental circumstances.

As to the letter from Paul Wilcher, you may wish to look into the circumstances of his bizarre death so soon after he had dispatched this letter to you. I did not know this man personally, nor am I personally acquainted with his (former) client, Gunther Russbacher, who is a primary subject of this letter. Had Mr. Wilcher not been found murdered in so grotesque a fashion, my first inclination would have been to dismiss this letter simply because of its style. His death invites a closer reading.

Regarding Mr. Russbacher, it would seem reasonable that, given his persistence in the matters addressed in this letter, he should be accorded the courtesy of a complete Justice

---

"...Covert actions are counterproductive and damaging to the national interest of the United States. They are inimical to the operation of an effective national intelligence system and corruptive of civil liberties, including the functioning of the judiciary and a free press. Most importantly, they contradict the principles of democracy, national self-determination and international law to which the United States is publicly committed." (Credo of the Association of National Security Alumni)

---

October 31, 1993

Department debriefing. It seems appropriate, as well, that immunity should be extended to others identified by Mr. Russbacher as being privy to the same information, so that they, too, might testify.

If the so-called "October Surprise" involved acts of treason on the part of officials of this government, those involved should be made to appreciate the full force of the Law. Mr. Wilcher's death suggests that Mr. Russbacher is not engaged in a "scam." Accordingly, on behalf of Mr. Russbacher and those who have brought Mr. Wilcher's letter to my attention, this is to respectfully request that Mr. Russbacher be given a fair hearing by the Department of Justice, and that his allegations be properly and impartially investigated.

In closing, I should point out that Mr. Wilcher was clearly unaware of the fact that this government had contemplated using Russian acoustic psycho-correction techniques on David Koresh. You are on record as having opposed use of these technologies. What you might not know is that the government's plans to use directed-energy systems on Koresh are now a source of public titillation in certain circles.

I am informed by one of my contacts that Janet Morris, of the U.S. Global Strategy Council, had recently bragged to him about her knowledge of these (presumably classified) plans, in a manner which my contact found to be literally "chilling." Ms. Morris claims that the use of synthetic telepathy (aka voice synthesis) had been considered as a means of leading Koresh to believe that he was hearing his mother's voice in his head -- a technique which had to be ruled out, apparently, since it would have required an override of the Voice of God, being transmitted to Koresh by the same technological means.

Unless I am mistaken, Ms. Morris is not a cleared federal employee. If the information which she has furnished to my contact is correct, a question arises as to why she was made privy to this information, and by whom.

Your serious consideration of the foregoing will be greatly appreciated. A copy of this letter is being relayed to Mr. Russbacher, for such future disposition as he might deem appropriate.

Sincerely,

/Original Signed/

JULIANNE MCKINNEY  
Director,  
Electronic Surveillance Project

Encls .

Association of National Security Alumni  
Electronic Surveillance Project  
P.O. Box 13625  
Silver Spring, MD 20911-3625  
(301) 608-0143

October 31, 1993

*Jimmy  
Boots*  
~~Jim [unclear]~~  
~~P.O. Box 378~~  
~~Island Falls, ME 04747~~

Dear Jim:

As discussed, I am enclosing a copy of my letter to Janet Reno regarding, in part, Paul Wilcher and Gunther Russbacher (and, in part, her plans to address what appears to be a second Wannsee Conference regarding the use of directed-energy systems as a "final solution" to crime).

My knowledge of Russbacher, up to this point, was limited to David MacMichael's portrayals of him in *Unclassified*, some 12 months ago. I had not made it a point to independently look into Russbacher's claims simply because, as you know, I have been pursuing other matters.

My first reaction to Wilcher's letter, based on his style of attempting to hold the reader's attention, was that Russbacher was being represented by some sort of snake oil salesman. As noted in my letter to Reno, Wilcher's murder is what invites attention to this letter. His murder also give credence to Russbacher's claims. Russbacher deserves an indepth debriefing, as a minimum, under the circumstances.

If you would relay a copy of this letter to Russbacher, I'd appreciate it. You can characterize me as a newly interested party, when doing so.

Quite frankly, I find it curious that it was considered necessary to assassinate Wilcher, or, for that matter, Danny Casalaro. Both Wilcher and Casalaro had personalities which invited discrediting by other means, which should have been a simple-enough process. Their deaths have served merely to enhance their credibility.

---

"...Covert actions are counterproductive and damaging to the national interest of the United States. They are inimical to the operation of an effective national intelligence system and corruptive of civil liberties, including the functioning of the judiciary and a free press. Most importantly, they contradict the principles of democracy, national self-determination and international law to which the United States is publicly committed." (Credo of the Association of National Security Alumni)

---

October 31, 1993

I have not yet had an opportunity to read the paper furnished by Jim ~~xxxx~~<sup>✓</sup>. I am curious, of course, as to why the author of this paper has chosen to remain anonymous.

Jim asked me, in an accompanying note, if I am aware of the mind control operations dubbed, "BROWNSTONE" and "SLAMMER." I know nothing about "BROWNSTONE"; however, I am well aware of "SLAMMER." It was I who stumbled across documents concerning this operation, while serving out the remainder of my military tour up at Fort Meade. I passed this information on to David MacMichael shortly before resigning from the Army. ...So, what can you tell me about "BROWNSTONE?"

Okay, Jim, this is just a brief note. I will look forward to receiving those additional materials.

Regards,



JULIANNE MCKINNEY  
Director,  
Electronic Surveillance Project

Encls