Holocaust History Archive

Book of the week:

Special Treatment in Auschwitz

COPY OF REPLY TO Ernst Zündel re Howard Fertig edition of Hitler Speeches

Hello Ernst,

Thanks for the letter, I will send it to Ingrid. I have noted the books. I had heard of Suvorov but not the other Russian. I compile book lists, I have a very long one on Communism, another one on the Middle East.

MEIN KAMPF is OK in small doses, but if you try to read it all the way through you get bored and rush it, which means you miss the occasional gems of wisdom which it does contain. Anyway anything Hitler wrote in 1924 was obsolete by 1928 or so at the latest, and Hitler was always the first person to say so.

I have heard of HITLER'S SECOND BOOK, I can buy it for about 15 dollars, I'll wait for a cheaper copy, usually you can wait and get them cheaper, I just got SCIENCE AND REPARATIONS: POSTWAR PLUNDER IN GERMANY by John Gimbel for 7 dollars, it's a 60 dollar book, I've never seen it cheaper than 40 dollars. Very hard to read, but good documentation.

I have always agreed with you about Hitler. I have about 12 or 15 speeches by him on tape, some of which I have been listening to for over 20 years and it was only recently that I realized that his real message is the opposite of what everybody thinks: it is a message of peace and understanding, reconciliation and disarmament. His vision was of a society in perfect fulfilment of all Christian ideals, of peace and justice. I am not a Christian so I don't care whether he was a Christian or not, but his vision is the only thing that makes me feel there is any real value in Christianity at all. Hoggan's great virtue is that he shows this, with or without Jews, that Hitler sought reconciliation.

I am amazed that many revisionists don't see this, [DELETION] even quoted the "thousand-year Reich" lie recently. There ain't no such animal, as the Americans used to say. How is that possible for an intelligent person? And of course the so-called neo-Nazis, with the possible exception of Gerhard Lauck, will be the last people to see this, that Hitler's message was one of peace and reconciliation. In some ways, it may be that the neo-Nazis are right and that Hitler was wrong, but they are certainly not the same. Hitler was not an advocate of war and violence, of domination, not at all.

I don't think Howard Fertig is the translator of the other volume, I think the translator is Normal H. Baynes, Fertig was the American publisher, if it is the same set of books it was originally published by Oxford University Press. It has been reissued by Gordon Press but is almost unobtainable, usually 250 or so, I can get it for 120 plus postage, 4 volumes instead of 2.

Max Domarus compiled Hitler Speeches and Proclamations, in 4 volumes, available in both English and German from Borchazy-Carducci publishers NY, 688 dollars in English and 455 dollars in German. In this case I would go for the German, I don't trust some of

their goddamn translations.

I wasn't sure the Baynes translations were good, but I can see from Ingrid's excerpts that they are very good. Gerhard Lauck sold a booklet of quotations by subject matter and the translations were so bad they were very hard to read. Probably one of Lauck's amateurish friends did the booklet translations.

Hitler was certainly one of the greatest thinkers in history, a universal genius, he could have done anything he wanted. Listening to him is like hearing one of the great Shakespearean actors of the 18th or 19th centuries, Edmund Keane or Junius Brutus Booth, or the like, I'd love to have heard Hitler as Richard II, one of my favourite plays. Hitler could put so much emotion into anything, Junius Brutus Booth was the same way, people thought he was slightly mad, his acting partners had to fight for their lives whenever there was a swordfight. Sometimes Hitler sounds hysterical, but it is all acting, transcribed, his speeches are totally logical, very well written, brilliantly constructed, every sentence leads logically to the next sentence. In English they seem long-winded, but in German they are very clear. There are so many people who write bad German, but not Hitler! In English, I refuse to translate speeches, from any language, they are always incomprehensible—the worst French I ever had to translate was a speech by an educated African, so pretentious it was incomprehensible, but speeches are almost always that way...

I refuse to translate speeches, but Hitler is very clear, he wasn't a madman or hysterical at all. There are very good translations into German of Shakespeare plays by Schiller etc, imagine Hitler doing the "scept'red isle" speech, wow! (The use of repetition in this speech has had a great impact on the English language, the first time I read the "speech" of "Chief Seattle", it immediately struck me that whoever wrote it had a knowledge of the works of Shakespeare, because of the use of repetition).

I am planning a short article on Hitler speeches for the Internet. Anyway the whole situation is incredible. Here is a guy whose career in politics lasted 25 years, he wrote a 500-page book and made 5000 speeches collected in at least 2 or 3 different 4-volume editions (there is also an edition published by the Institut fuer Zeitgeschichte containing the complete records of his 1924 treason trial, but it cuts off at 1928, and the only quotations ever trotted out to prove how wicked he was consist of 3 short paragraphs out of context from MEIN KAMPF (the "Big Lie", "15,000 Jews held under poison gas", "a maggot exposed to the light"), 2 short sentences taken out of context from a 1938 speech on Czechoslovakia ("My last territorial demand", "We don't want any Czechs") and a single sentence taken out of context from a 1939 speech on Poland ("the destruction of the Jewish race in Europe"). All the rest are from proven forgeries (L-3, the Hossbach protocol, Hermann Rauschning) and a few notes from other people ("The victor in war will not be asked whether he told the truth", from Ra-27). One must assume that evidence of his wickedness would be voluminous, but it is not; all the voluminous material must be assumed to be exculpatory or innocuous, as indeed it is. And anyway, if he was so wicked, what do the so-called democracies need lies like the "thousand-year Reich" for?

That one cheap lie probably CAUSED THE WAR. That's the first thing you hear from anybody who is anti-Hitler, they really think they had to go to war in self-defense to prevent a "thousand year Reich", when there's no such corresponding phrase in German, it doesn't exist. Anybody with a knowledge of German knows that, elementary my dear Watson.

Incidentally, I have never run across the phrase Herrenvolk in any speech or article by any National Socialist. Do you happen to know whether or not that phrase was ever used? Hitler occasionally uses the word "Aryan", but he much more often speaks of "the white race", or "white races", plural, or European culture, European civilization, as did most Englishmen at the same time. This is why I want to get the Domarus series in German... There is a book called PAN-AMERICA by Carlton Beale, 1940, about National Socialist barter

trade with South America, I have it, their system was extraordinarily complicated and intelligent, very original. The British had a similar system but not nearly as imaginative. The Americans just made a mess out of everything. Just as they do today.

I think the same thing (British provocation of the war) would have happened even without the Jews, more or less because of the influence of Soviet communism on the British intellectual classes and British snobbery, but of course Hoggan leaves Jews out of the equation entirely, which is going too far. All anti-Communists are always smeared, always, even Goldwater, who was part Jewish. Of course, making a distinction between Jews and Communists is like taking an apple pie and cutting it in half. But just the same... Hoggan is right on one very important point: he hates the British. This allows him to see certain things very clearly. In DER UNNOETIGE KRIEG he says the British have followed one principle throughout history: never keep your word "das gegebene Wort nie zu halten" [never to keep their pledged word]. Of course the book has never been published in the English original. He also speaks of "Millionen" von amerikanischen Narren"... [millions of American fools] etc.

Proof of the Soviet origin of nearly all anti-Hitler propaganda is that we are always hearing about "We don't want any Czechs", which is supposed to prove that Hitler was a "liar", "inconsistent", "illogical", etc., but we are never told that "We have always wished the best possible relations with all nations, insofar as possible. There is only country with which we have no relations at all, nor do we wish any: Soviet Russia". This is because the Communist sympathizers don't want to remind everybody of the Molotov-Ribbentrop Pact (satirized by George Orwell in "1984" as the war between Oceania and Eastasia: anybody who remembers that Oceania and Eastasia were ever at peace is a "Thought Criminal").

Hitler's opinions changed as the situation changed, like everybody else. If you open a bank account and get good service, you say it's a good bank. Later you get bad service and close the account and say it's a bad bank. Does this make you a "liar"?

Do you happen to know what happened to all the speeches Hitler made between 1939 and 1945? It's not the Domarus. The defense lawyers at Nuremberg said Hitler didn't want people pointing out contradictions to him all the time if he changed his mind, so no official compendium of Hitler speeches was ever drawn up until 1941. But where the hell is it?

Ingrid mentioned that you said that a book by JFC Fuller said why the Allies had to go to war to prevent Hitler's economic system from getting off the ground and being imitated, but she didn't mention the title of the book. It can't be DECISIVE BATTLES OF THE WESTERN WORLD, can it? [Apparently, yes] Fuller wrote so many books it's really important to know exactly which one to get. Anyway Fuller quoted the Benjamin Franklin quote in the 1920s, which makes me wonder how reliable he is really, a more obvious fake you never saw, but anyway...

I am disgusted at the treatment of Hoggan by Elmer Barnes and others, Barnes disagreed with Hoggan on a few minor points so he sabotaged the publication of the book FORCED WAR in English for almost 30 years, Devin-Adair was going to publish it. If Barnes doesn't like the book, why the hell didn't he write his own book, or pan it in a book review? No, he insisted that certain changes be made or it couldn't get published, Hoggan got mad, and said, the hell with it, no changes. So the world lost the benefit of this priceless book in English for 30 years because of a bitchy cat fight between a couple of so-called intellectuals (forgive the mixed metaphor, mea culpa). Hoggan was right, and anyway when they DID publish it in English it wasn't even complete, there are whole paragraphs missing. Plus an introduction full of boring essays on "what is revisionism", running down the book they were trying to sell, superapologetic, etc., saying the critics didn't like the footnotes, etc. What kind of sales manship is that? Answer: Typical IHR. They acted like they were ashamed of the product. They deleted whole sections. The original two-page introduction in German is dynamite, but the IHR introduction is just typical IHR: wish-washy, apologetic, pretentious and boring.

I think you are right about your legal case, the consolation is, they can't take away what you've already done, but I think the main reason they did this to you is to waste as much money as possible, which would otherwise have gone into publication or research. The next time they get after me, I'm making it very plain, do what you like but I'm not wasting any money on lawyers. That way they've lost half the battle before they start. Whatever they do to me by putting me in jail isn't half as bad as what they can do by making me pay for lawyers. That's their game, jail is only half of it. Pierre Laval had the right idea when he said, "si vous allez me lyncher, lynchez-moi maintenant, ce sera plus claire" ["if you're going to lynch me, lynch me now, it will be more obvious"].

Anyway, will be in touch, will contact Ingrid. Incidentally your Internet site absolutely does not work for donations by credit card. Paypal doesn't work, and it's a waste of time to try.

There is more going on here... Somebody I know is in the British Army, I hate the British, I hate Britain, and I hate the war, I think anybody who goes to Irak deserves to get killed, but I don't say anything. I'm waiting for him to come to the same conclusion, I think it's a question of time. He KNOWS what the truth is. Anybody with brains can see what the situation is. I just hope it's before he gets birth defects and cancer from DU or gets his arms and legs blown off. Those guys are all fools, and what's more they are all murderers. He says, "I hate the Arabs, I hate the Chinese", I say, "Well, OK, but to me, the niggers and Jews are worse, why pick on the Arabs?", he says, "If yuh wanna kill'um you just wanna kill'um, yuh don't care how yuh do it", I say, "look, there's such a thing as international law, there are certain things you can't do to people, because they'll do the same things to you". He doesn't seem to understand what I am talking about. Whenever anybody ELSE does anything, it's a big huge moral issue, but when the British or Americans do anything, like dropping phosphorous or napalm on children or poisoning them with DU or bombing their sewage plants, they just say "U'm just doin' muh job, it's the job I signed on to do", like a cop writing a ticket, and they really think that's good enough, for the whole world. The funny thing is, he's a nice boy, everybody likes him. The military mentality is something I'll never understand. They seem to think war is a— ing game. Like in Viet Nam, they hated the Vietnamese, but they were happy enough to go over there and fight, in a war they knew they would never win, to keep the Vietnamese from "going Communist". It was just an excuse, the real reason was to "be there with your buddies". Well, OK, there's a time and a place for that, too, but not 8,000 miles from home in a war that's none of your——ing business and that you'll never even win anyway... They called me in 1966, I missed it, by some unfortunate accident, isn't that just too bad. If they thought they were drafting me they had another think coming. A friend of mine from Belgium just went to Viet Nam on holiday, it's a beautiful country, with a very interesting culture 1000 years old. I said, "Say hello for me, I was meaning to come pay them a visit in 1966, but gosh darn it, I just missed the boat or something, don't guite know what happened, say hello for me". He said, "I sure will".

I knew what would happen, because I know the Americans. I was only wrong about one thing: I expected them to fight 3 years and give everything away to the Communists, instead they fought 9 years and gave everything away to the Communists. I foresaw everything else.

America is finished, it's the fall of the Roman Empire, they had all the same problems, without TV, without newspapers, without elections, with very few Jews, they had all the same problems: the refusal of the middle and upper classes to reproduce, desertion of the countryside and regions, the decay of industries, huge influx of foreigners, huge masses of the population living off welfare, popularity of weird religions, all sorts of partying, pleasure-seeking and sexual perversions, public corruption, mercenary armies engaged in all sorts of wars of conquest in a far-flung empire, the decline of all traditional values and religion, pane et circenses. Nero was one of the most popular emperors of all time. Bush reminds me of Caligula. Let's hope he gets the Caligula treatment. Anything is

possible, anything at all. I knew he would win: during the 2000 election, according to an official 100-page paper by the Massachussetts Institute of Technology, between 4 and 6 million votes were "lost". The 2004 election was rigged by computer, so there was nothing to "lose", but I expected him to win anyway, the Americans love that kind of——, booting the world in the groin while their own country sinks into a cesspool, is their idea of fun... Just like the British. Do Americans REALLY want to have to worry about getting their throats cut everywhere they go, anywhere in the world, just to please the Jews? One billion Moslems, and everyone of them has a lifetime of experience slaughtering animals; it only takes a second. Ever see the film BATTLE OF ALGIERS? Coming soon to a neighbourhood near you. When the pro-war idiots are all puking their life's blood out in a gutter somewhere, with just seconds left to live, I just want to be there and say, "I told you so". "Land where my fathers died, land of the Pilgrim's Pride"... ha! How long ago? The average "American's" father is probably a Hmong tribesman or Fiji Islander and if you mention the Pilgrims you're a "racist". And they've got to go to war 8,000 miles away. It's like Cuba, 90 miles away, but no, we've got to Viet Nam to "stop Communism". Like hell. I've got more respect for Fidel, even if he did wreck the economy of Cuba in a year, at least he is a man of principle. When he dies there will be a "luna de miel" [honeymoon] for about a year, then they will be worse off than ever, like the Russians. Coming from me, that's something, because I hate Communists. Only Hitler had the right idea, but only when correctly understood. BEST WISHES.

CARLOS

BOOKS REFERRED TO:

Otto Wagner Memoirs of a Confidant [Hitler aus naechster Naehe, Aufzeichnungen eines Vertrauten 1929-1932] available from www.abebooks.com

Collected Hitler Speeches 1919 –1939 includes articles and interviews, in 2 volumes, originally published by Oxford Press in 1941, republished in 4 volumes by Gordon Press, www.runforcoverbooks.com 120 dollars + shipping

Kubicez [spelling?] Hitler: My Boyhood Friend

Pavel Sudoplatov and Anatoli Sudoplatov with Jerrold L. Schechtor and Leona Schechter, foreword by Robert Conquest "SPECIAL" TASKS: Memoirs of an Unwanted Witness: A Soviet Spy Master", available very inexpensively (synopsis: many, if not most, of the atrocities in Russia for which the Germans were blamed were in fact committed by Soviet provocateurs. This job was referred to as "Special Tasks"). NOTE: I predict that this will become a very valuable book one day, as a substitute for Suvorov's "Icebreaker".

EISBRECHER aka ICEBREAKER (Who Really Started WWII?) by Viktor Suvorov

TAG M-STALINS VERHINDERTER ERSTSCHLAG both by Suvorov (same comment as above) (never translated into English). Suvorov also wrote INSIDE SOVIET MILITARY INTELLIGENCE, INSIDE THE SOVIET ARMY and THE LIBERATORS: MY LIFE IN THE SOVIET ARMY and apparently many other books not translated from Russian. Icebreaker is unobtainable in English at any reasonable price (hundreds of dollars) and is best read in German. Available in German from Arndt Buchdienst or www.abebooks.com. All three of these books, plus others, such as DER UNNOETIGE KRIEG by Hoggan or KRIEGSURSACHEN-KRIEGSSCHULD by Dr. Helmut Schroecke, reveal that many of the other atrocities in Russia for which the Germans were blamed were committed by German traitors, as well as by Soviet provocateurs. Many books on the subject of German treason are available from Ardnt Buchdienst. THE FORCED WAR is almost unobtainable in English, but is very cheap in German from www.abebooks.com.

DOMARUS Walter Hitler Speeches and Proclamations: 4 volumes Bolchazy-Carducci German and English, German 445 dollars from

New York, available in either German or English, translations not particularly brilliant in places. Available from www.bolchazy.com, 688 dollars in English, 455 dollars in German. The German is in Roman print.

Hitler's Second Book (Hitlers Zweites Buch; unobtainable in German at a reasonable price, about 15 dollars in English)

Hitler's Table Talk (Hitlers Tischgespraeche) edited by Dr. Henry Picker about 8 dollars.

My New Order by Hitler (collection of Hitler speeches, a good 1000 page book, always available for about 17 dollars). www.abebooks.com

Nationalist book sellers:

Arndt Buchdienst, Postfach 3603, D-24035 Kiel, Germany FZ VERLAG, Paosostrasse 2, 8000 München 60, Germany Buchdienst Nation-Europa, Postfach 2554, D-96414 Coburg, Germany.

[END]



PLEASE NOTE!

For those who either do not understand or agree with the principle of freedom of speech, We believe that the dissemination of this material is protected by the following laws and statutes: in the United States, by the First Amendment to the Constitution; in Canada, by Section 2b of the Charter of Rights and Freedoms; and worldwide by Article 19 of the United Nations Conference on Human Rights.

Discussions

Validate > CSS | XHTML 1.0 Strict! © 2004-2012 HHA > Design Luka Cvrk