



MOBILE TOWER/ MOBILE PHONE RADIATION HAZARDS!!

Prof. Girish Kumar

Electrical Engineering Department

IIT Bombay, Powai, Mumbai

(022) 25767436

gkumar@ee.iitb.ac.in

Outline of Presentation


- **Cell Phones – Advantages and Disadvantages**
- **Microwave Heating Principle**
- **Cell Phone – Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)**
- **Radiation Pattern of Cell tower Antenna**
- **International Radiation Norms**
- **Implementation of safe radiation norms**
- **Conclusion**

Cell Phones - Advantages and Disadvantages



Cell phones have several advantages.

Cell phones and cell towers have several disadvantages including electromagnetic radiation, which has **several harmful effects.**




Electromagnetic Radiations



Radiation emitted from Cell Phones, Cell phone towers, Wi-Fi, TV and FM towers, microwave ovens, etc. are called Electromagnetic radiations (EMR).


EMR causes significant health hazards on human, animals, birds, plants and environment.



Cell Phone and Tower Statistics in India



India Population –
1.2 billion



Mobile Towers –
4.5 lakhs



Mobile subscribers –
800 Millions

Microwave Radiation

Microwave radiation effects are classified as:

- Thermal
- Non-thermal

The current exposure safety standards are mainly based on the thermal effects, which are inadequate.

Non-thermal effects are several times more harmful than thermal effects.

Microwave Heating Concept

4.2 KW (4200 W) of microwave power raises temperature of 1 Litre of water by 1°C in 1 second.

In energy absorption term, 4.2 KW-sec microwave energy will increase the temperature of 1 Litre by 1°C .

For example, in a microwave oven, temperature of one cup of water increases from 30°C to 100°C in approx. 70 seconds with 500W of microwave power.

With 1W power (same as output power of cell phones), temp. will increase by 1°C in 500 seconds.

Sun Heating vs Microwave Heating




- ❑ Outside to inside heating
- ❑ Skin heating
- ❑ Sweating happens



- ❑ Inside to outside heating
- ❑ Internal heating
- ❑ Heat trapped

Cell Phone - Ear Warming?

Have you ever noticed warm sensation in ear after using mobile phone for a long time?



Temp. of ear lobes increases by 1°C when cell phone is used for approx. 20 minutes.

Warm sensation/pain > tinnitus > irreversible hearing loss



All these effects lead to Ear Tumor

Tinnitus or “Ringxiety”- sensation of cell phone ring

SAR and Cell phone use time limit



6 minutes/day usage.

A Cell phone transmits
1 to 2 Watts of power

SAR (Specific absorption rate) - Rate at which radiation is absorbed by human body, measured in watts per kg (W/kg).

In USA, max. SAR limit for cell phones is **1.6W/Kg** which is for **6 minutes**. It has a safety margin of 3 to 4, so a person should not use cell phone for more than **18 to 24 minutes per day**.

This information is not given to people in India.

Warning from Blackberry

BlackBerry device keep the BlackBerry device at least 0.98 in. (25 mm) from your body when the BlackBerry device is transmitting. When using any data feature of the BlackBerry device, with or without a USB cable, hold the BlackBerry device at least 0.98 in. (25 mm) from your body. If you use a body-worn accessory not supplied by RIM when you carry the BlackBerry device, verify that the accessory does not contain metal and keep the BlackBerry device at least 0.98 in. (25 mm) from your body when the BlackBerry device is transmitting.

To reduce radio frequency (RF) exposure consider these safety guidelines:

- Use the BlackBerry device in areas where there is a strong wireless signal. The indicator that provides information about the strength of the wireless signal is located in the upper-right corner of the Home screen and displays five ascending bars. Three or more bars indicate a strong signal. A reduced signal display, which might occur in areas such as an underground parking structure or if you are traveling by train or car, might indicate increased power output from your BlackBerry device as it attempts to connect to a weak signal.
- Use hands-free operation if it is available and keep the BlackBerry device at least 0.98 in. (25 mm) from your body (including the abdomen of pregnant women and the lower abdomen of teenagers) when the BlackBerry device is turned on and connected to the wireless network. For more information about carrying your BlackBerry device, see the holster information in the "Additional safety guidelines" section of this document.
- Reduce the amount of time spent on calls.

Results of Re-evaluation of Interphone Study

INTERPHONE – WHO -10 years, 13 countries, largest (5,117 brain tumor cases), \$25 million dollars to evaluate risk on brain tumors.

Conclusion - no overall ↑ risk, but suggestions of ↑ glioma - heavy users & ipsilateral exposures

Re-evaluation - Risk underestimated by at least 25%

- For every 100 hours of use -26% ↑ risk of meningioma
- Initial 24% risk of glioma ↑ to 55% - regular users are taken as people who use it for **2hrs/month**.
- Doubled - quadrupled brain tumor risk - heavy users (**1/2 hour/day**).
- Children, young adults– excluded. New study - Mobi-kids

WHO: Cell phone use can increase cancer risk

International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), a part of **WHO designates cell phones as "possible human carcinogen" [Class 2B]**



World Health Organization

Found evidence of increase in glioma and acoustic neuroma brain cancer for mobile phone

International Agency for Research on Cancer



World Health
Organization

PRESS RELEASE
N° 208

31 May 2011

IARC CLASSIFIES RADIOFREQUENCY ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS AS
POSSIBLY CARCINOGENIC TO HUMANS

Cell Tower Transmit Frequencies

Antennas on Cell tower transmit in the frequency range of:

- 869 - 890 MHz (CDMA)
- 935 - 960 MHz (GSM900)
- 1805 – 1880 MHz (GSM1800)
- 2110 – 2170 MHz (3G)




Cell Towers Installed in Mumbai




Radiation Pattern of Antenna

Horizontal plane




Radiation is max. in front and reduces in the other direction

Vertical plane



Radiation is max. in main lobe and less in the minor lobe.

Radiation Pattern of a Cell Tower Antenna



Propagation of "main beam" from antenna mounted on a tower or roof top

People living within 50 to 300 meter radius are in the high radiation zone (dark blue) and are more prone to ill-effects of electromagnetic radiation.

CASE STUDY

Usha Kiran Building, Worli, Mumbai



The cell phone towers installed on the Vijay Apartments terrace at Carmichael Road **pic/Bipin Kokate**



Usha Kiran Building

Six cancer cases in consecutive floors (5th, 6th, 7th, 8th and 10th) directly facing and at similar height as the mobile phone towers of four telecom companies placed on the roof of opposite building.

250,000 Swedes allergic to mobile phone radiation



- ❑ Around 230,000 - 290,000 Swedish men and women - Out of a population of 9,000,000 are now electro hypersensitive (EHS)
- ❑ One of the first countries where mobile technology was introduced (approx. 15 years ago).

Power Density Calculations

Power density P_d at a distance R is given by

$$P_d = \left(\frac{P_t \times G_t}{4\pi R^2} \right) \text{ Watt/m}^2$$

P_t = Transmitter power in Watts

G_t = Gain of transmitting antenna

R = Distance from the antenna in meters

Power Density at distance from cell tower

For $P_t = 20 \text{ W}$, $G_t = 17 \text{ dB} = 50$

Distance R (m)	P_d (W/m ²)	P_d ($\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$)
1	79.6	79,600,000
3	8.84	8,840,000
5	3.18	3,180,000
10	0.796	796,000
50	0.0318	31,800
100	0.008	7,960
500	0.000318	318

Above values are for a **single carrier and a single operator.**

Power Density for multiple carriers and operators

For $P_t = 20 \text{ W}$, $G_t = 17 \text{ dB} = 50$

No. of carriers = 5, No. of operators = 3

Distance R (m)	P_d (W/m ²)	P_d ($\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$)
1	1194.0	1194,000,000
3	126.0	126,000,000
5	47.7	47,700,000
10	11.94	11,940,000
50	0.477	477,000
100	0.1194	119,400
500	0.00477	4,770

For **5 carriers** and **3 operators** on the same roof top or tower, radiation level is extremely high.

Cell Tower Radiation Exposure Guidelines in $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$

(If not otherwise stated, limits apply to entire frequency range: ca. 600 to 3000 MHz)

<p>10,000,000 10,000,000 ~5,300,000</p>	<p>Canada Safety Code 6 (2009) 2400 MHz http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ewh-semt/pubs/radiation/radio_guide_lignes_direct-esp.php 1900 MHz 800 MHz</p> <p>ICNIRP International Guidelines (1998) http://www.icnirp.de/documents/emfgdl.pdf 2400 MHz 1900 MHz 800 MHz</p> <p>These guidelines are based on biological effects of short-term, high-level exposures only, also referred to as thermal effects. Germany (1996), USA (1997), Japan (1997), Switzerland (2000), Australia (2002), Finland (2002), Sweden (2002), UK (2004), Austria (2006), etc.</p>
<p>~2,000,000 ~1,000,000</p>	<p>Belgium Guidelines (2001) 1900 MHz 800 MHz In 2009 a ruling of the constitutional court concluded that the setting of exposure levels for cell towers lies with the regional not the federal government. See further below. http://www.who.int/docstore/peh-emf/EMFStandards/who-0102/Europe/Belgium_files/table_be.htm</p>
<p>~100,000 (6 V/m)</p>	<p>China Ministry of Health Standard (1987) Exposure limit for "first grade environment" or sensitive areas http://www.who.int/docstore/peh-emf/EMFStandards/who-0102/Asia/China_files/table_ch.htm</p> <p>Toronto Board of Health, Canada (1999) Prudent Avoidance Policy for Siting of Cell Phone Base Stations: http://www.toronto.ca/health/hp/he/pdf/rf_bon_update.pdf</p> <p>Russia Ministry of Health Standard SanPin 2.1.8. (2003) Exposure limit for general public http://www.tesla.ru/english/protection/standards.html</p> <p>Italy Decree (2003): Precautionary attention level not to be exceeded in sensitive areas http://www.who.int/docstore/peh-emf/EMFStandards/who-0102/Europe/Italy_files/table_dato/teke/Italy_DPCM_BF_eng.pdf</p>
<p>~95,500 ~66,000 ~42,500</p>	<p>Switzerland Ordinance (NISV 2000) http://www.bafu.admin.ch/elektrosmog/01100/01101/index.html?lang=de Precautionary cell tower exposure limit for sensitive areas 1800 MHz 900 MHz and 1800 MHz combined on transmitter site 900 MHz</p>
<p>~24,000 (3 V/m)</p>	<p>Regional Ordinances in Brussels (2007), Wallonia (2009), Flanders (2010) http://www.who.int/peh-emf/project/mapnatreps/BELGIUM_EMF_report_2008_2009.pdf http://www.jokeschauvlieg.be/upload/indepers/persbericht.pdf</p>
<p>~10,000 (2 V/m)</p>	<p>ECOLOG Institute in Germany (2000) Precautionary recommendation based on review of scientific literature Emissions from single RF sources (e.g. cell tower) at max. 30% of precautionary limit http://www.hese-project.org/hese-uk/en/papers/ecolog2000.pdf</p>

Cell Tower Radiation Exposure Guidelines in $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$

(If not otherwise stated, limits apply to entire frequency range: ca. 600 to 3000 MHz)

1,000-100,000	In the vicinity of cell towers (up to 400 m)
2,000-20,000	Cordless phones (DECT/GHz technology) (1 m distance)
1,000-10,000	Wi-Fi router/access point/PC card (50 cm distance)
1,000	Salzburg Resolution on Mobile Telecommunication Base Stations (2000) Precautionary recommendation by leading scientists http://www.salzburg.gv.at/salzburg_resolution_e.htm BioInitiative Working Group (2007) Precautionary recommendation for outdoor environment http://www.bioinitiative.org/report/index.htm
≥ 500 -1,000	Health effects observed in populations near cell towers (Kundi 2009)
170	Seletun Consensus Statement (2010) Precautionary recommendation http://iemfa.org/index.php/publications/seletun-resolution
100	Working Group of EU STOA Panel (2001) Precautionary recommendation http://www.europarl.europa.eu/stoa/publications/studies/20000703_en.pdf BioInitiative Working Group (2007) Precautionary recommendation for indoor environment http://www.bioinitiative.org/report/index.htm BUND (Friends of the Earth Germany) (2008) Precautionary recommendation for hazard protection http://www.bund.net/fileadmin/bundnet/publikationen/sonstiges/20081028_sonstiges_funktechnologien_position.pdf
10	Health Department of the Federal State of Salzburg (Austria 2002) Precautionary recommendation for outdoor environment (GSM sum total) http://www.salzburg.gv.at/konfliktmanagement_salzburger_modell.pdf
1	Health Department of the Federal State of Salzburg (Austria 2002) Precautionary recommendation for indoor environment (GSM sum total) http://www.salzburg.gv.at/konfliktmanagement_salzburger_modell.pdf BUND (Friends of the Earth Germany) (2008) Precautionary recommendation for general protection http://www.bund.net/fileadmin/bundnet/publikationen/sonstiges/20081028_sonstiges_funktechnologien_position.pdf
< 0.1	Building Biology Evaluation Guidelines (SBM-2008) "No Concern" Specifically designed for sleeping areas associated with long-term risks http://www.baubiologie.de/downloads/english/richtwerte_2008_englisch.pdf
0.001	Minimum level required to maintain connection with cell phone handset
< 0.000 0001	Natural background

FCC Guidelines – Human exposure to RF fields

Cellular cell site towers are typically 50-200 feet high.


Majority of cellular or PCS cell sites in urban and suburban areas operate at an ERP of 100 watts per channel or less. An ERP of 100 watts corresponds to an actual radiated power of 5-10 watts, depending on the type of antenna used.

In urban areas, cell sites commonly emit an ERP of 10 watts per channel or less.

<http://www.fcc.gov/guides/human-exposure-rf-fields-guidelines-cellular-and-pcs-sites>

In INDIA, cell sites transmit 100's of Watts of power with antenna gain of 50, so ERP = 5000 Watts

GSM cell tower power density levels – percentiles



Other Standards and Guidelines

- BioInitiative Report 2007 (610 pages)

1000 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ for outdoor, cumulative RF exposure.

100 $\mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ for indoor, cumulative RF exposure.

- Building Biology Institute, Germany

a. $<0.1 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ - no concern

b. $0.1 - 10 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ - slight concern

c. $10 - 1000 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ - severe concern

d. $> 1000 \mu\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ - extreme concern

ICNIRP Guidelines

India adopts ICNIRP guideline for Power density (P_d)
= Frequency /200, frequency is in MHz

For GSM900 (935-960 MHz), $P_d = 4.7\text{W/m}^2$ and
GSM1800 (1810-1880 MHz), $P_d = 9.2\text{W/m}^2$.


ICNIRP has given following disclosure:

ICNIRP is only intended to protect the public against short term gross heating effects and NOT against 'biological' effects such as cancer and genetic damage from long term low level microwave exposure from mobile phones, masts and many other wireless devices.

<http://ww.icnirp.de/documents/emfgdl.pdf>

Power Absorbed by Human Body

Microwave power absorbed by human body if exposed to so called safe radiation level adopted in India, which is $f/200$, where f is in MHz?



Area = 1.43 m²

ICNIRP Guideline –
At 940 MHz, Power density (P_d) is 4.7W/m²

Power received (P_r) by human body will be
 $[P_r = P_d \times \text{Area}] = 6.75$ Watts in one sec.



Microwave oven: 700 to 1000 W.
With say 60% efficiency, microwave power output is say 500 W.

In one day, microwave energy absorbed will be $[6.75 \text{ Watts} \times 60 \times 60 \times 24 \text{ sec}] = \underline{583.2 \text{ KW-sec.}}$

This implies that human body can be safely kept in a microwave oven for 1166 secs = **19 minutes per day**

Power absorbed by human body near cell tower

Can one stand in front of a cell tower at 1 m distance for 4 hours continuously?

For $P_t = 20 \text{ W}$, $G_t = 17 \text{ dB} = 50$

At 1m, Power density = 79.6 W/m^2

Power absorbed in one sec = $P_d \times .7$ (for $\frac{1}{2}$ area) = 55.7 W

Energy absorbed in 1 hour = $55.7 \times 3600 = 200.5 \text{ kW-sec}$



For a human body of weight 60 Kg, liquid content at 70% is 42 Litres. So, temp. rise will be 2°F .

In 4 hours, temp. rise will be 8°F . Normal body temp will increase from 98.4 to 106.4°F . Can one survive?

Radiation Measurement at various locations

Cumulative Readings including CDMA, GSM 900, and GSM 1800


Location	Reading in dBm	Readings in W/m ²	Readings in microW/m ²
Delhi-Gurgaon Highway - near Toll (3 towers)	0	0.121	70,686
Vashi Bridge - after Railway Station	-4	0.0481	28,274
Resident 1, 4 th Fl: Sergean House Lady w/cancer	-6	0.0304	17,756
Resident 2, Opposite roof, Rane Society, Powai	-10	0.012	7,069
Near Hub mall, Goregaon	-10	0.012	7,069
Gandhi Nagar Over railway bridge-near building	-12	0.00763	4,460
Ustav Chowk, Kharghar	-12	0.00763	4,460
Vikroli - before Godrej	-14	0.00481	2,814
Govandi- Residential towers - near Indian Oil	-14	0.00481	2,814
Belapur Flyover, near RBI- CIDCO	-16	0.00304	1,776
Vashi Highway – near Turbhe	-18	0.00192	1,120
Nerul Bridge	-20	0.00121	707
Vivero pre School (opposite powai lake)	-22	0.000763	446
Powai police station	-22	0.000763	446
Rajeev Gandhi nagar	-26	0.000304	177
On road near Evita (Hiranandani Building)	-28	0.000192	112
D-Mart,Hiranandani, Powai	-34	0.0000481	28
IIT Bombay School of Management - Entrance	-46	0.00000304	1.78



BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS



BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS



Multiple Resonances - localized heating - results in boils, drying up the fluids around eyes, brain, joints, heart, abdomen, etc.

BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS



Most common complaints:

- Sleep disruption
- Headache
- Concentration
- Forgetful memory
- Depression
- Fatigue
- Dizziness
- Palpitations of the heart
- Visual disorders
- Cardiovascular problems
- Buzzing in the head
- Altered reflexes




Many of these are related to changes in the electrical activity of the brain

BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS

Risk to Children

More vulnerable



RF penetration - Skull of an adult (25%), 10 yr (50%) & 5 yr old (75%)

Risk to Pregnant Women

More vulnerable

Continuously react with the developing embryo and increasing cells



BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS

Neurodegenerative Disorders –Alzheimer, Parkinson's

Immune System Degradation

Tinnitus and Ear Damage

Irreversible infertility

Effect on Skin

DNA Damage

Increase in Cancer risk




Breakdown of Blood Brain Barrier

Increased Risk of Eye Cancers

Increased Risk of Ear Tumors

Increased Risk of Other Cancers


Measurement inside an Apartment



SERGEANT HOUSE Residence (4th Floor) - Lady has been diagnosed with cancer - Cell phone towers few 10 meters away close to window in main beam. Measured Power levels using Radiation Monitor are in dBm, which are very high.

Health concerns with current Safety Guidelines

Guidelines for various countries



Limit to radiation absorption by human body

People are absorbing the harmful EM radiations continuously without even being aware of it, but there is a limit of Radiation absorption.

If a ball pen is slightly pressed on the finger once, nothing happens; but pressed 1000's of times, blood will come out.




Once



Multiple Times



Effect on Environment



Have you ever seen any bird near cell towers?

May be not because birds have more volume and less weight, so heating effect is very fast.



4 cell towers near Gurgaon-Delhi Toll Naka

Output of most of fruit bearing trees drastically reduced to $< 5\%$ after 2.5 years of cell tower installation.

Cell phones – Cigarettes of 21st century

What do they have in common?

- Produced by Multi-Billion \$ Companies
- Products linked to illness
- Industries deny any health problem



Cell tower radiations are even more harmful than cigarettes because

- One can not see it
- One can not smell it
- One can not move away if his house/office is near cell towers

Automobile industry vs Mobile industry

What do they have in common?

- ❑ Both are required, integral part of lifestyle
- ❑ Automobiles create air pollution while cell phones and towers create radiation pollution

What is not common?

- ❑ Automobile industry has accepted that it creates air pollution and people found solutions, such as, unleaded petrol, catalytic converters, CNG, Hybrid vehicles, etc.
- ❑ **Mobile industry is still to accept health problems from cell tower radiations.**

Automobile industry vs Mobile industry



Solutions – Better Radiation Norms

- ❑ With immediate affect, we should adopt safe radiation level as 0.01 W/m^2 , so power transmitted from each tower must be reduced.
- ❑ This will reduce coverage area. There may be some call drops initially.
- ❑ People must be informed about harmful effects of radiation and this is being done to protect them.
- ❑ In 1 to 2 years, the safe radiation level should be reduced to 0.001 W/m^2 , this will give enough time to operators to plan the network for smooth operation.
- ❑ Requires large number of towers with reduced output power, more number of repeaters, fiber optic solutions, etc.
- ❑ **High cost for operators - not more than health of people**

Solutions – How to meet the increased cost?

- ❑ Low power RF output means less heating and power consumption, so cooling cost is reduced, low power solar solution can be adopted, carbon credit can be claimed.
- ❑ Can increase cost per minute from Rs. 0.3 to 0.4.
- ❑ Govt. can reduce the license fee
- ❑ Can be subsidized for 1 to 2 years to recover investment cost.

THE ANALYST MAGAZINE

Viewpoint

The telecom sector is providing lakhs of jobs, but it is also giving cancer and other serious health problems to lakhs of people, besides causing harm to birds, animals, trees, etc. The telecom sector claims that it is the fastest growing industry, but it is also creating the fastest growing health problems, and that is the reason why health and environment ministries are after them. The telecom sector says that it is providing the cheapest services in the world, but it takes money from even the poorest of the people in the country and also gets government subsidy; and then nearly 40% of the total collected money goes to foreign vendors. If the technology had been developed and manufactured in India, the money would have remained within the country and also created millions of jobs.

Recommendations to reduce carbon footprint

- ◆ The government must adopt immediately a policy to reduce the transmitted power to a maximum of 1 to 2W, which will protect the health of the people from the harmful effects of cell tower radiation.

This may create signal problem to the people living near the edge of the circle in the beginning; hence, a public announcement must be made that it is being done to protect the health of the people. The people must be educated about the adverse health effects of cell phone and cell tower radiations.

- ◆ Once the power transmitted is reduced, power amplifiers may not be required at most of the places, and no cooling will be required. This will reduce the energy requirement substantially, which can be easily managed with the renewable energy sources.
- ◆ Once the power requirement is reduced, DG will not be required in most of the places. This will also save the diesel subsidy amount of Rs 1,400 cr/year.
- ◆ The above measures will reduce carbon footprint, thereby generating carbon credits.
- ◆ Self-certification/regulation must not be allowed. The government must enforce stringent policies to monitor the radiation

level, air pollution level, etc. near the cell towers. Monitoring must be done by a third party, and extremely heavy penalty must be handed out in case of any violation, as it is directly related to the health of the people, birds, animals, trees, environment, etc.

- ◆ All the people living close to the tower, who have suffered from high radiation, must be compensated. It should come under the corporate social responsibility.
- ◆ Greater emphasis must be given to R&D to develop better solutions.
- ◆ Indigenous development and Indian manufacturers must be given preference.
- ◆ The government must make a rule that at least 90% of the telecom-related products must be manufactured in India. This will help create millions of jobs in India, and also most of the money will remain within the country.

– **Girish Kumar**

Professor, Electrical Engineering Department
IIT Bombay, Powai, Mumbai

DOT Inter-Ministry Committee accepts cell phone and tower radiation hazard

INTER-MINISTERIAL COMMITTEE (IMC) Report ON EMF RADIATION was uploaded on DOT website in Jan. 2011.

Mentions several health hazards due to radiation on human health and environment (pages 12-27).

Mentioned Bio-initiative report 2007 has recommended 1000 microW/m² for outdoor cumulative RF exposure (Page 32).

Yet recommended RF exposure limits in India may be lowered to 1/10th of the existing reference level, which will be 0.92W/m² for GSM1800 (Page 33)

State to nix cell towers on schools, hospitals

Prafulla Marpakwar | TNN

Mumbai: Taking a cue from the widespread concern about mobile towers installed on school and hospital buildings, the Maharashtra government is all set to amend the Development Control (DC) Rules in this regard. Of the 1,600 mobile towers in Mumbai, nearly 500 (or 30%) are atop schools and hospitals. The remaining are on private or commercial buildings.

“Since fears have been expressed about the radiation from mobile towers, we are readying to remove them from school and hospital buildings,” a senior official told TOI on Monday. “Once the DC



Mobile towers in Mumbai **1,600**

On schools and hospitals **500**

6 metres Proposed distance from schools and hospitals

rules are amended, it will be mandatory for the operators to remove the towers within six months.”

As per the proposed amendment, the operator will have to submit a certificate stating that the emission is within the permissible level and an undertaking that the existing tower will be removed within six months. “New Delhi has already imposed stringent restrictions on mobile towers. We have proposed that they should be at

least six metres away from a school or hospital and that the radar should not face the school or hospital,” the official said.

The proposed measures will be implemented by the concerned civic corporation.



Inform public about health hazard of mobile towers: High Court to Govt

RAGHAV OHRI
CHANDIGARH, JUNE 7

EXPRESSING concern over the effects of radiation from mobile towers installed in residential areas, the Punjab and Haryana High Court has held that it will be the duty of the government and mobile companies to inform residents about the harmful effects. A division bench ruled the government will be duty bound to inform the public living where a mobile tower is to be erected, about the "amount of radiation it will emit" and its harmful effects thereof on the health of people. The information will have to be supplied in the shape of a public notice, the court has ruled, before the mobile tower is erected. The HC has also directed the companies in the business of installing mobile towers to do the same.

The directions were passed on an appeal by M/s Wireless IT Info Services Limited and another which had moved the HC against Haryana, challenging the validity of Haryana Municipal (erection of communication towers) by-laws, 2009. HC has upheld by-laws. The petitioner had challenged the levy of tax, terming it unreasonable.

08.06.11
Ind. Exp.
B-04
Pure
EJW

Making it clear that "there is no absolute right to carry on any business", the Bench ruled that "it (business) is always subject to reasonable restriction and regulation", and highlighted the damage being caused due to the radiation originating from the mobile towers. "It will be the duty of the local authorities to issue a public notice for information of all concerned where the permission for erection of a tower is being considered or granted to apprise the public as to what amount of radiation it will emit and the effect thereof on the health of the people living in the area," read the judgment.

The HC held that "the mobiles emit signals in the form of radio waves...It is also feared that the radio waves can cause changes to the cells in our brain. If the DNA in the brain cells get damaged, they may become cancerous and cause brain tumors...it is also feared that the radio waves can alter chemical and electrical reactions in our brain, changing, in effect, the way the brain cells communicate...Studies conducted revealed that sparrows have declined in most contaminated electromagnetic fields".

Inform public about health hazard of mobile towers: HC to Govt

Chandigarh

Indian Express - Jun 08 2011

Raghav Ohri

Expressing concern over the effects of radiation from mobile towers installed in residential areas, the Punjab and Haryana High Court has held that it is the duty of the government and mobile companies to inform residents about the harmful effects. A division bench ruled the government will be duty bound to inform the public living where a mobile tower is to be erected, about the "amount of radiation it will emit" and its harmful effects thereof on the health of people. The information will have to be supplied in the shape of a public notice, the court ruled, before the mobile tower is erected. The HC also directed the companies in the business of installing mobile towers to do the same.

Actress Juhi Chawla check cell tower radiation

- ❑ Got an independent radiation check



The radiation levels were extremely high all around my house!

This is a cause for concern, not only for my family, but also for all the people living in Malabar Hill.

Cell Phone Radiation? Text, Don't Talk, advises FCC

May 9 2011 - 4:36 pm | 1,586 views | 1 recommendation | 3 comments

How dangerous is your cell phone? The unfortunate reality is that you can't really know. Even so, only one year ago, progressive San Francisco Mayor Gavin Newsome proposed an ordinance that would have required that all retailers inform consumers about the amount of radiation that cell phones emit. Material printed in 11pt. type would have been posted next to phones disclosing their specific absorption rate ("SAR"), which is a measure of radio frequency energy (radiation) absorbed by the body. Last



HALF AN HOUR OF CELLPHONE USE A DAY INCREASES BRAIN CANCER RISK

A landmark 10-year study undertaken by the World Health Organisation has found that people who speak on their handset for more than half an hour a day for over 10 years are at risk of brain cancer...

Cellphone users worried about getting cancer aren't off the hook yet. A major international study into the link between cell phone use and two types of brain cancer has proved inconclusive, according to a report due to be published in the *International Journal of Epidemiology* today.

A 10-year survey of almost 13,000 participants found that phone use didn't increase the risk of developing meningiomas — a common and frequently benign tumour — or gliomas — rarer but deadlier form of cancer.

LONGER CALL TIMES INCREASE CANCER RISK

It found no increased risk of glioma or meningioma tumours after 10 years of using a mobile phone, although it found "suggestive of higher risk" for the heaviest users.

Given that the heaviest users in the study talked an average of half an hour per day on their phones, a figure which is not heavy by today's standards.

The heaviest users who reported using their phones on the side of their heads had a 40 per cent higher risk for gliomas and 15 per cent for meningiomas, but the researchers said "causal" proved making a causal link.

"The study doesn't reveal an increased risk, but we can't conclude that there is no risk because there are enough findings that suggest a possible risk," the study's chief author, Elisabeth Cnatting, said.

Among the factors that weren't examined were the effects of using handset devices during calls or the risk of having phones directly while not making calls — such as in a pocket, or near or in the bed at night.

The authors acknowledged possible inaccuracies in the survey tools due to the fact that participants were asked to remember how much and on which ear they used their mobiles over the past decade.

The authors said further investigation is necessary before they can conclude with certainty that there is no link between phone radiation and brain cancer, partly because people's usage has changed considerably since the start of the study in 2000.

FUTURE STUDIES WILL LOOK AT RISK IN CHILDREN

Scientists are also planning to examine whether phone use increases the risk of tumours in the ear's acoustic nerve and the parotid gland, where saliva is produced. A separate study will look into the effects of cellphone use on children,

who are believed to be more susceptible to the effects of radiation. The 120 million study was completed by researchers in 13 countries whose estimates encompassed 12,846 participants, of which 3,150 had either meningiomas or glioma tumours.

Network operators and handset companies had heavily anticipated the results, which could have dented the development of their business. There was an estimated 4.1 billion phone subscriptions at the end of last year, according to the International Telecommunications Union.

In a statement Sunday, the Mobile Manufacturers Forum welcomed the study. "The phone industry takes all questions regarding the safety of phones seriously and has a strong commitment to supporting ongoing scientific research," the industry group said.

ARAC/3



MORE RESEARCH NEEDED

The older varieties of cell-phones were more likely to cause damage. However, the newer varieties are less likely to emit the tumour-causing radiation, though it is obvious that the cell-phones can cause damage. The extent of it is yet to be determined because most of the studies have been inconclusive.

A long-term study said that three to four hour of everyday cellphone use over a period of ten years will cause brain tumour. However, most of it has been speculation. The causes of most cases of tumour



and similar disorders are genetic, and hence pre-determined. For an outside stimulus to cause such damage, the brain would have to go to specific directions.


Nonetheless, it is advisable to use cellphones that can reduce the risk to almost zero. And the longer the usage, the longer you will be in touch with a harmful communication device, which is not a bad thing at all.

— Dr Nikil Patel,

Head of department of neuro-surgery, Sionby Hospital

India has worst radiation norms: report

Nikita
CNN-IBN



Mumbai: An Inter Ministerial Report submitted to the Department of Telecommunications (DoT) has recommended the cutting down of mobile phone tower radiation by one-tenth of the present level. The 5.4 lakh mobile phone towers in the country pose a huge threat to the health of the citizens. Experts say that the amount of radiation emitted from these towers in a day, is equivalent to putting one's body in an oven for 19 minutes!

India has the worst cell phone tower radiation norms in the world. The upper limit is so high that within 2 years the health of 1 crore Indians could be affected.



IIT expert to help Kolkata City to tackle radiation

The Bengal Post, May 15 2011, Page 5

The Bengal Post CITY 5
Sunday May 15-2011

IIT expert to help city tackle tower radiation

Subhankar Chowdhury

Kolkata: With fears being raised on the effects of electromagnetic radiation from mobile phone towers on the health of humans, an expert from IIT has approached the West Bengal pollution control board and offered his help in tackling the problem. According to a recent report of the PCB, the intensity of electromagnetic radiation in the city has been increasing and could pose a health hazard to residents.

In a bid to deal with the problem effectively, Girish Kumar, a professor of IIT Bombay, who is also a researcher in the field, wrote to the board on Friday, offering to share his expertise with the board in tackling the

menace. The professor, who teaches in the electrical engineering department, has also written to the Kolkata Municipal Corporation (KMC) on this issue.

"I have heard that like in other metros, mobile towers are coming up in residential areas and near schools and hospitals across the city. Despite strict rules and regulations, the WBPCB gets at least 15 to 20 complaints every month. I have been working in this area for several years. I had spoken with Shri Chandrasekhar, secretary in the department of telecommunication (DoT) last December about taking concrete steps in tackling cell tower radiation. I hope to share this expertise with PCB officials," the professor said.

The professor had given a pres-

entation on the hazards posed by mobile tower radiation at the Inter-Ministerial Committee (IMC) meeting, DoT, Delhi on October 8, last year. Subsequently, the IMC came out with a report on the same subject in January, this year.

The professor claimed that as per the International Commission of Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNRP) guidelines, installation of base station antennas within the premises of schools and hospitals must be avoided, because children and patients are more susceptible to electro-magnetic radiation. Installing them in narrow lanes increases the risk of earthquakes or wind related disasters.

The ICNRP is an international

scientific advisory body monitoring cell tower radiation and issuing guidelines in combating the danger. However, these rules have been clearly ignored by mobile companies, alleged the IIT expert.

"The problem is that DoT officials often overlook these guidelines and submit to the interests of mobile companies. There is also a lack of public awareness about the perils of cell tower radiation. The best way is to create pressure through public awareness via bodies such as the PCB of respective states so that the DoT officials in turn compel mobile companies to adhere to the guidelines. Therefore, I want to work with WBPCB and KMC," Kumar said.

REASONS TO WORRY

- ▶ The IIT professor had given a presentation on the hazards posed by cell tower radiation at the inter-ministerial committee meeting in Delhi on October 8, last year
- ▶ Shri Chandrasekhar claimed that as per the ICNRP guidelines, installation of base station antennas within the premises of schools and hospitals must be avoided, because children and patients are more susceptible to electro-magnetic field





Government of West Bengal Department of Environment

Notification on Expert Committee (consisting of professors from IIT Bombay and Kharagpur) on Cell Phone Tower – West Bengal (16.6.2011)

More specifically the committee will advise on the following matters:

- i) The committee will frame policy guidelines in consonance with Government of India / WHO guidelines regarding installation of new tower, modification or shifting of old towers.
- ii) The committee will assess the total number of mobile towers in West Bengal and calculate the towers which are observing norms or not specifically around vulnerable areas like schools, hospitals etc.
- iii) The committee will recommend ameliorating actions which include but not restricted to shifting of tower, taking legal action etc.

Children are the Future of Our Nation

Do we want future of our nation to be deaf or suffer from many health problems due to cell phone and cell tower radiations? Could have been avoided if precautionary steps were taken on time.



Conclusions

- ❑ Only thermal effects show high radiation hazard.
- ❑ In addition to continuous radiation from cell towers and cell phones, there is radiation from computers, laptops, TV & FM towers, microwave ovens, Wi-Fi, etc., which are additive in nature.
- ❑ Stricter radiation norms must be enforced in India.
- ❑ Mobile companies should not be in the denial mode and accept that radiation causes serious health problems. People around the world will carry out research to come out with solutions.

A blue-themed still life composition. A dark blue ribbon is draped across the upper left. In the center, there are several white daisies with yellow centers and a bunch of green, feathery foliage. The scene is set against a light blue background with a grid of translucent blue lines. Several translucent spheres in shades of blue and green are scattered around the base. The text "Thank you" is written in a yellow, cursive font at the bottom center.

Thank you