



WikiLeaks Document Release

<http://wikileaks.org/wiki/CRS-RS22095>

February 2, 2009

Congressional Research Service

Report RS22095

Organization of American States: A Primer

Clare Ribando, Foreign Affairs, Defense, and Trade Division

December 20, 2005

Abstract. The Organization of American States (OAS) is an international organization based in Washington, D.C., comprised of 35 Western Hemisphere states. The OAS works to promote democracy, protect human rights, preserve security, expand trade, and address cross-cutting issues of hemispheric concern. In 2001, OAS member-states adopted the Inter-American Democratic Charter, and the organization has made significant efforts to deal with threats to democracy in Bolivia, Haiti, Venezuela, and, more recently, in Nicaragua and Ecuador. In October 2004, the new Secretary General, Miguel Angel Rodriguez, who had proposed a number of reforms to solve the OAS's chronic budget shortfalls, resigned amid allegations of corruption from his tenure as president of Costa Rica. On May 2, 2005, Jos Miguel Insulza, the former Chilean minister of government, was elected as Secretary General of the OAS. Insulza faces the challenges of solving the organization's budget crisis, preventing further democratic crises in the hemisphere, and implementing the initiatives of the fourth Summit of the Americas held in Mar del Plata, Argentina in November 2005. The United States is the main contributor to the OAS. The FY2005 U.S. regular contribution to the OAS was \$55.7 million; the FY2006 contribution is estimated at \$65.9 million.

WikiLeaks

CRS Report for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

Organization of American States: A Primer

Clare Ribando
Analyst in Latin American Affairs
Foreign Affairs, Defense, and Trade Division

Summary

The Organization of American States (OAS) is an international organization based in Washington, D.C., comprised of 35 Western Hemisphere states. The OAS works to promote democracy, protect human rights, preserve security, expand trade, and address cross-cutting issues of hemispheric concern. In 2001, OAS member-states adopted the Inter-American Democratic Charter, and the organization has made significant efforts to deal with threats to democracy in Bolivia, Haiti, Venezuela, and, more recently, in Nicaragua and Ecuador. In October 2004, the new Secretary General, Miguel Angel Rodriguez, who had proposed a number of reforms to solve the OAS's chronic budget shortfalls, resigned amid allegations of corruption from his tenure as president of Costa Rica. On May 2, 2005, José Miguel Insulza, the former Chilean minister of government, was elected as Secretary General of the OAS. Insulza faces the challenges of solving the organization's budget crisis, preventing further democratic crises in the hemisphere, and implementing the initiatives of the fourth Summit of the Americas held in Mar del Plata, Argentina in November 2005. The United States is the main contributor to the OAS. The FY2005 U.S. regular contribution to the OAS was \$55.7 million; the FY2006 contribution is estimated at \$65.9 million. This paper will be updated periodically.

Background

The Organization of American States (OAS) is the oldest regional organization in the world. The OAS evolved from a series of Inter-American conferences that began in the 1820s and led to the creation of its predecessor, the Pan American Union, in 1910. In 1948, following hemispheric cooperation during World War II, the Charter of the Organization of American States was signed in Bogota, Colombia by the United States and 20 Latin American nations. During its first decades, the OAS dealt primarily with border disputes and collective security. Since the 1960s, the OAS has evolved — through four amendments to its Charter — into a political organization of 35 Western Hemisphere states that have pledged to promote democracy, advance human rights, preserve peace and

security, pursue free trade, and tackle difficult problems caused by poverty, drugs, and corruption.¹

During the Cold War, the United States often pursued its primary interest in Latin America — preventing the spread of communism — in a unilateral manner. At the same time, many Latin American countries were ruled by military dictatorships. By the 1980s, the OAS was widely perceived as a weak institution that was “mired in dissent and inaction” as few of its member states were interested in multilateral efforts on behalf of democracy.²

By 1990, the Cold War had ended and the vast majority of OAS member states had returned to democratic rule. As a result of a new, shared commitment to democracy, the OAS developed mechanisms that would enable it to take action to protect democracy in troubled states. Some praised the “unprecedented multilateral efforts [that] have been undertaken” by the OAS to counter anti-democratic developments in the region, especially under the leadership of Secretary General Cesar Gaviria (1994-2004). Others have noted that while the OAS rapidly responded to several crises in the early 1990s, recent practice since 1997 points to a weakened commitment to take action in a timely and well-planned manner. Still others note that OAS efforts have often been hampered by limited resources and by an ongoing tension between the need for collective action and the need to respect state sovereignty.³

Organization. The governing body of the OAS is the General Assembly, which convenes the foreign ministers of all member states annually to make consensus decisions on the structure, funding, and guiding priorities of the organization. The last regular meeting of the OAS General Assembly was held in Ft. Lauderdale, Florida in June 2005. The Permanent Council, which is composed of ambassadors appointed by the member states, meets regularly at OAS headquarters in Washington, D.C. to direct its ongoing actions. The chairmanship of the Permanent Council rotates every three months, and each member state has an equal vote in the decisions of the Council. Another Council of the OAS, the Inter-American Council for Integral Development, is charged with fighting poverty and promoting economic development. Two of the other bodies that currently report directly to the OAS General Assembly are the Inter-American Juridical Committee and the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights.

While the General Assembly and the Permanent Council are political bodies that set broad priorities for the OAS, the General Secretariat implements the ongoing programs and policies of the organization. The General Secretariat is led by the Secretary General

¹ All 35 countries in the Western Hemisphere have signed the OAS Charter and are members of the organization. Although Cuba ratified the OAS charter, its government has been excluded from participation in the organization since 1962.

² Richard E. Feinberg, *Summitry in the Americas: A Progress Report*, Washington, D.C.: Institute for International Economics, 1997.

³ Andrew F. Cooper, “The OAS Democratic Solidarity Paradigm: Questions of Collective and National Leadership,” *Latin American Politics and Society*, Spring 2001; Dexter S. Boniface, “A Democratic Norm for the Western Hemisphere?,” Paper for Latin American Studies Association Conference, October 2004; T.A. Imobighe, *The OAU (AU) and the OAS in Regional Conflict Management: A Comparative Assessment*, Ibadan, Nigeria: Spectrum Books, 2003.

and Assistant Secretary General, who are elected by the member states to five-year terms. Specialized departments within the General Secretariat focus on issue areas such as democracy and political affairs, human rights, integral development and security. In October 2004, then-Secretary General Rodriguez issued an executive order which changed the structure of the General Secretariat. The structural reforms sought to streamline the organization's functioning, and better allocate its limited resources (budget and staff) into priority areas that fulfill the OAS mission. Two entities of particular interest to the U.S. government — the Inter-American Drug Abuse Control Commission (CICAD), and the Inter-American Committee Against Terrorism (CICTE) — retained some autonomy, although they are now under the Department of Multinational Security.

Funding. The OAS budget consists of a regular fund, as well as specific funds (coming from voluntary country contributions) for special programs. The regular fund supports the General Secretariat and is financed by country quotas. As a result of financial difficulties incurred by many member states and a reluctance to increase quotas, the regular fund has remained at approximately \$73.6 million for the past ten years. The United States contributes roughly 59% of the regular fund. For FY2005, the U.S. contribution to the OAS was an estimated \$55.7 million through the Commerce, Justice, State (CJS) appropriation (Title IV of P.L.108-447). Of the \$55.7 million, \$45.9 million was for the regular fund, and \$9.8 million was to pay tax reimbursements for U.S. employees of the OAS. In FY2006, the U.S. contribution to the OAS is an estimated \$65.9 million. The increase is to cover the cost of taxes owed for U.S. employees likely to retire in the coming year.

Since 1997, the OAS has raised specific funds for certain projects and programs in order to supplement gaps in its regular fund. It raised more than \$200 million in specific funds between 2000 and 2004. The primary beneficiaries of those funds include the Unit for the Promotion of Democracy, the Secretariat for Conferences and Meetings, CICAD, and the Unit for Sustainable Development and Environment. For example, in January 2004, the OAS established a Mission of Accompaniment to the Peace Process in Colombia. Secretary General Insulza recently called on member countries to contribute extra funding so that the annual budget of that mission could reach some \$10 million.

The United States has made substantial contributions to a few specific funds developed by the OAS through Foreign Operations appropriations. The *OAS Fund for Strengthening Democracy* supports OAS efforts to promote democracy and the Inter-American Democratic Charter throughout the region; the FY2005 U.S. contribution was \$3 million, and the FY2006 estimated contribution is \$2.5 million. *OAS Development Assistance Programs* focus on Summit of the Americas mandates pertaining to economic prosperity, social well being, and environmental health⁴; the FY2005 U.S. contribution was \$4.9 million, while the FY2006 estimated contribution is \$5.2 million. The *OAS Demining Program (AICMA)* works to ensure that the Western Hemisphere is cleared of all land mine devices; the FY2005 U.S. contribution was \$1.8 million, while the FY2006 estimated contribution is \$1.6 million. These contributions to specific funds give the United States significant leverage over the types of projects undertaken by the OAS.

⁴ The bulk of these contributions support the Inter-American Council for Integral Development (FEMCIDI). FEMCIDI, financed by voluntary contributions from member states and other assets, contributes to national and multinational development projects.

Progress on Key Topics

Protection of Human Rights. In 1969, the Inter-American Convention on Human Rights was adopted, which sets forth the duties of the two autonomous bodies responsible for the protection of human rights: the OAS Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IAHCR) based in Washington, D.C., and the Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IAC) based in San José, Costa Rica. The IACHR meets regularly to monitor the human rights situation in the region, organizes conferences and seminars, and submits cases to the IAC for deliberation. In its 2004 human rights report, the IACHR expressed concern about the general situation of human rights in Bolivia, Cuba, Colombia, Ecuador, Haiti, and Venezuela.⁵ The IAC has seven judges, elected to six-year terms by the General Assembly, who hear cases involving alleged human rights abuses committed by a country against an individual or group. The IAC has asserted jurisdiction over all 35 member states.

Promotion of Democracy. Since 1990, the OAS has taken an active role in defending threats to democracy in the Western Hemisphere. In 1990, the OAS created the Unit for the Promotion of Democracy (UPD), now part of the Department for Democratic and Political Affairs, to assist its member states in strengthening democratic institutions and processes. Between 1994 and 2004, the UPD sent 60 observation missions throughout the region to ensure the fairness and transparency of electoral processes. In 2000, the UPD declared Peru's presidential election to be illegitimate and sent a High-Level Mission to Peru led by Sec. Gaviria to help resolve the country's democratic crisis.⁶

In 1991, the OAS adopted resolution 1080, or the "Santiago Commitment," instructing the Secretary General to convoke the Permanent Council or the General Assembly in the event of "a sudden or irregular interruption" of the democratic process in a member state, and to act to resolve that conflict. In 1992, the OAS ratified the Washington Protocol to the OAS Charter, becoming the first regional political organization to allow suspension of a member state in the event that its democratically elected government is overthrown by force. The OAS has yet to invoke the Washington Protocol. Before resolution 1080 was superseded in 2001, the OAS limited its use to four instances in which either a military coup, self-coup by an elected President, or severe civil-military crisis occurred. Some observers have criticized the OAS's failure to invoke resolution 1080 more frequently as in the case of a successful coup against Jamil Mahuad in Ecuador in 2000. Others have noted that even in cases when the OAS did act under resolution 1080, such as Paraguay in 1996, its delayed response was of limited consequence.⁷

On September 11, 2001, the OAS adopted the Inter-American Democratic Charter (IADC). The IADC broadens the mandate of resolution 1080 and states that the OAS

⁵ Available at [<http://www.cidh.org/Comunicados/English/2005/8.05.htm>].

⁶ For two contrasting views on the OAS efforts in Peru, see Andrew F. Cooper and Thomas Legler, "The OAS in Peru: A Model for the Future?," and Cynthia McClintock, "The OAS in Peru: Room for Improvement," *Journal of Democracy*, vol. 12, no. 4, October 2001.

⁷ Boniface, 2004; Arturo Valenzuela, "Paraguay: The Coup That Didn't Happen," *Journal of Democracy*, vol. 8, no. 1, January 1997.

will respond to any democratic crisis that involves an “unconstitutional alteration of the constitutional regime” of one of its member states.⁸ Since 2001, the OAS has employed a combination of technical assistance through the UPD, high-level missions, conflict resolution techniques, multilateral diplomacy, and, multilateral sanctions, in order to respond to democratic crises in countries such as Bolivia, Haiti, Venezuela, and, more recently, Nicaragua and Ecuador. In April 2002, the IADC was invoked when the OAS condemned the “alteration of the constitutional order” in Venezuela that temporarily forced President Chavez from power.⁹ Although it was utilized in the case of Venezuela, the IADC has been criticized for being vague in defining what conditions constitute a violation of its principles. Those conditions need to be clearly defined, former President Jimmy Carter has said, and automatic responses developed that would help the OAS deal with common violations of the Charter. Although many member countries agree with that general assessment, a majority rejected the U.S. proposal set forth at the last General Assembly in June 2005 to create an independent committee to monitor the exercise of democracy in the region.¹⁰

Summits of the Americas. In 1994, the Clinton Administration hosted the first meeting of regional leaders in more than 27 years at the Miami Summit of the Americas. In Miami, hemispheric leaders signed a comprehensive plan of action with 23 separate initiatives. The Miami Summit was followed by regular ministerial-level meetings, as well as four presidential-level meetings: Summit of the Americas II (Santiago, Chile, April 1998), Summit of the Americas III (Québec, Canada, April 2001), the Special Summit of the Americas (Monterrey, Mexico, January 2004), and, most recently, the Summit of the Americas IV (Mar del Plata, Argentina, November 2005). Each of the summits has focused on a different topic, affording leaders a unique opportunity to discuss issues of common concern and develop initiatives to resolve them. Nevertheless, due to limited funding given to those initiatives or implementing agencies to carry them out, some observers contend that summit initiatives have become “unfunded mandates” given to the OAS and the Inter-American Development Bank.¹¹

Combating Terrorism. In September 2001, the OAS swiftly condemned the terrorist attacks on the United States and invoked the Inter-American Treaty of Reciprocal Assistance (known as the “Rio Treaty”) and pledged to cooperate in counter-terrorism efforts. The Inter-American Committee on Terrorism (CICTE), originally established in 1999, was revitalized and strengthened. CICTE has sponsored five regional meetings, and has cooperated on border security mechanisms, controls to prevent funding of terrorist organizations, law enforcement, and counterterrorism intelligence and information. In

⁸ Adopted by the General Assembly at its special session held in Lima, Peru, on Sept. 11, 2001. Available at [<http://www.oas.org/main/main.asp?sLang=E&sLink=http://www.upd.oas.org>].

⁹ See CRS Report RL32488, *Venezuela: Political Conditions and U.S. Policy*, by Mark P. Sullivan.

¹⁰ Address by Jimmy Carter at the Opening Conference of the Lecture Series of the Americas at the Organization of American States, Washington, D.C., January 25, 2005. See [http://www.oas.org/OASpage/press_releases/press_release.asp?sCodigo=E-014/05]; “Latin States Shun U.S. Plan to Watch Over Democracy,” *New York Times*, June 9, 2005.

¹¹ Richard Feinberg et al., “Unfunded Mandates in the Western Hemisphere,” *FOCAL*, January 2004. Available at [<http://www.sice.oas.org/geograph/westernh/Focal.pdf>].

June 2002, OAS members signed a newly completed Inter-American Convention Against Terrorism, paving the way for increased regional cooperation.

Current Issues

Target Priorities. On May 2, 2005, José Miguel Insulza, the former Chilean minister of government, was elected as Secretary General of the OAS. Secretary General Insulza has maintained the importance of paring down the organization's many projects and mandates and focusing on four main areas of work: political matters, human rights, development, and security. Insulza asserts that the most important problem facing Latin America is governance and that the OAS must work with its member countries to resolve issues such as instability, lack of transparency, and problems with bureaucracy. The new Assistant Secretary General of the OAS, Albert Ramdin, has added that the OAS should not wait until there is a full-blown crisis in a member country to provide assistance, as "many of these [recent governance] crises could have been prevented from escalating. Ecuador is one example of that; Bolivia is another."¹²

Summit of the Americas IV. On November 4-5, 2005, the fourth Summit of the Americas was held in Mar del Plata, Argentina. Although ostensibly planned to focus on employment generation, the Summit quickly shifted into a heated debate on the stalled Free Trade Area of the Americas (FTAA). Amidst large and sometimes violent protests against President George W. Bush and the FTAA, leaders failed to agree on advancing the FTAA talks. Although 29 countries supported a U.S. proposal to renew negotiations in 2006, the Mercosur countries (Brazil, Argentina, Uruguay, and Paraguay) rejected resumption of the talks at this time, and Venezuela lobbied against any further efforts to create the FTAA. Many observers maintain that this high-profile disagreement signaled that the Summit was a failure and that there is ongoing strain in U.S. relations with the region. Despite these trade disagreements, the countries did agree on a number of new proposals, including the funding of a new institution to assess infrastructure project proposals in the region and a Central American and Caribbean Fund. Secretary General Insulza is now tasked with helping implement these and other proposals outlined in the Declaration of Mar del Plata.¹³

Budgetary Limitations. The OAS regular fund has been frozen at roughly \$77 million for more than 10 years, despite increasing operating expenses. The OAS has cut staffing, streamlined its functioning, and rented out part of its building to attempt to cover its operating expenses. Without specific funds to supplement its regular budget, some feel the OAS would no longer be able to function. Some analysts have called for member governments to strengthen the financial solvency of the OAS. Others have questioned whether the OAS, an organization that has been criticized for being slow and inefficient, merits increased funding. In 2003, Brazil, with U.S. support, called for a 3.5% country quota increase, a proposal that was eventually rejected. Quota increases are unlikely to be supported as some countries have not been able to keep up with their current quotas.

¹² Larry Lutner, "New Secretary General Targets Priorities," *Americas*, September 1, 2005; "Assistant Secretary General Reaffirms OAS Aims," *Americas*, September 1, 2005.

¹³ "Summit of Americas Ends in Deadlock," *Chicago Tribune*, November 6, 2005, "White House Lauds Summit of the Americas Accomplishments," White House Press Release, November 5, 2005. See CRS Report RS20864, *A Free Trade Area of the Americas*, by J.F. Hornbeck.