## DISCOURSES

CONCERNING

## GOVERNMENT;

BY

#### ALGERNON SIDNEY,

Son to ROBERT Earl of LEICESTER.

AND

Ambassador from the Commonwealth of England to Charles Gustavus King of Sweden.

Published from an Original Manuscript of the AUTHOR.

To which is added,

A Short ACCOUNT of the AUTHOR'S LIFE.

And a Copious Index.

VOL. II.

EDINBURGH:

Printed for G. Hamilton and J. Balfour.

M. DCC. L.

## BIRCOURIG

0.114.14.051.05

# GOVERNICHEL

ALGERNON SIDNE Son to Rockets The



Ambaffador fily to Citaria

A Sealing

A Short Account of the UTERUZ.

And a Course structure.

Lav

Printed for G. H. a min on said. But a said of the sai

### The CONTENTS of VOL. II.

## CHAP. III.

CECT. 1. Kings not being fath	ers of their
D people, nor excelling all others in	virtue, can
have no other just power than what t	
nor any title to the privileges of the Lor	
ord of the Lines of Ifeed and India	Page 1.
Sect. 2. The kings of Ifrael and Judah	
law not safely to be transgressed,	
Bect, 3. Samuel did not describe to the	
glory of a free monarchy, but the ev	ils the people
Should suffer, that he might divert the	em from de-
firing a king,	p. 27.
Sect. 4. No people can be obliged to Suffe	r from their
kings what they have not a right to do.	p. 31.
Sect. 5. The mischiefs suffer'd from wic	ked kings are
fuch as render it both reasonable an	
nations that have virtue and power,	
in repelling them,	p. 38.
Sect. 6. 'Tis not good for fuch nations	
kings, to suffer them to be glorious,	power jus or
abounding in riches,	p. 45.
Sect. 7. When the Israelites asked for s	uch a king as
the nations about them had, they afke	a for a tyrant
tho they did not call him fo,	P. 52.
Sect. 8. Under the name of tribute no	nore is under-
flood, than what the law of each no	ation gives to
the supreme magistrate for the defra	ying of public
charges; to which the customs of the	Romans, or
fufferings of the Jews have no relation	on, p. 61.
Sect. 9. Our own laws confirm to us the	e enjoyment of
our native rights,	b. 72.
Sect. To. The words of St. Paul enjoi	ning obedience
to bigger powers, favour all forts of	f governments
no les than monarchy,	p. 78.
	The same of the sa

IN THE TOTAL STATE OF STATE
Sect. 11. That which is not just is not law, and that
which is not law ought not to be obeyed, p. 93
Sect. 12. The right and power of a magistrate
depends upon his institution, not upon his name
P. 97
Sect. 13. Laws were made to direct and instruct
magistrates, and if they will not be directed, to
restrain them
restrain them,  Sect. 14. Laws are not made by kings, not because
Sect. 14. Laws are not made by kings, not because
they are busied in greater matters than doing justice
but because nations will be governed by rule, and not
arbitrarily, p. 110
sect. 15. A general presumption that kings will
govern well, is not a sufficient security to the people
p. 119
Sect. 16. The observation of the laws of nature is
absurdly expected from tyrants, who set themselve
up against all laws: and be that subjects kings to
no other law than what is common to tyrants, destroy.
their being,  Sect. 17. Kings cannot be the interpreters of the oath they take,  p. 125
Sect. 17. Kings cannot be the interpreters of the oath.
they take, p. 134
Sect. 18. The next in blood to decealed kings, cannot
generally be faid to be kings till they are crowned
p. 149
Sect. 19. The greatest enemy of a just magistrate is
he who endeavours to invalidate the contract between
him and the people, or to corrupt their manners
p. 170
Sect. 20. Unjust commands are not to be obey'd; and
no man is obliged to suffer for not obeying such as are
against law, p. 176
Sect. 21. It cannot be for the good of the people, that
the magistrate have a power above the law: and
he is not a magistrate who has not his power by
law, p. 182.
Sect. 22. The rigour of the law is to be temper'd by
men

men of known integrity and judgment, and not by the prince, who may be ignorant or vicious, p. 193. Sect. 23. Aristotle proves, that no man is to be intrusted with an absolute power, by shewing that no one knows bow to execute it, but fuch a man as is not to be found, p. 201. Sect. 24. The power of Augustus Cæsar was not given, but usurped, Sect. 25. The regal power was not the first in this nation, nor necessarily to be continued, tho' it had been the first, p. 207. Sect. 26. Tho' the king may be entrusted with the power of choosing judges; yet that by which they act is from the law, p. 221. Sect. 27. Magna Charta was not the original, but a declaration of the English liberties. The king's power is not restrained, but created by that and other laws; and the nation that made them, can only correct the defects of them, p. 234. Sect. 28. The English nation bas always been governed by itself, or its representatives, Sect. 29. The king was never master of the foil, p. 262. Sect. 30. Henry the first was king of England by as good a title as any of bis predecessors or successors. p. 268. Sect. 31. Free nations have a right of meeting, when and where they please, unless they deprive themselves of it, Sect. 32. The powers of kings are so various, according to the constitutions of several states, that no consequence can be drawn to the prejudice or advantage of any one, merely from the name, p. 284. Sect. 33. The liberty of a people is the gift of God and

nature, p. 288.
Sect. 34. No veneration paid, or bonour confer'd upon a just and lawful magistrate, can diminish the liberty of a nation, p. 293.

Sect.

Sect. 35. The authority given by our law to the acts
from the peoples right of creating whom they pleafe,
p. 297.
Sect. 36. The general revolt of a nation cannot be
called a rebellion, p. 300.
Sect. 37. The English government was not ill confti-
tuted, the defects more lately observed proceeding
from the change of manners and corruption of the
Sect. 38. The power of calling and dissolving parlia-
ments is not simply in the king. The variety of
customs in choosing parliament-men, and the errors a
people may commit, neither prove that kings are or
ought to be absolute, p. 314.
Sect. 39. Those kings only are heads of the people,
who are good, wife, and feek to advance no interest
but that of the public, p. 324.
Sect. 40. Good laws prescribe easy and safe remedies
against the evils proceeding from the vices or infir-
mities of the magistrate; and when they fail they
must be supplied, p. 335.
mities of the magistrate; and when they fail they must be supplied, p. 335. Sect. 41. The people for whom and by whom the
magistrate is created, con only judge robether he
rightly perform his office, or not, p. 342.
Sect. 42. The person that wears the crown cannot
determine the affairs which the law refers to the
king b. 252.
Sect. 43. Proclamations are not laws, p. 359.
Sect. 44. No people that is not free, can substitute
delegates, p. 368.
Sect. 45. The legislative power is always arbitrary,
and not to be trusted in the hands of any, who are
not bound to obey the laws they make, p. 376.
Sect. 46. The coercive power of the law proceeds from
the authority of parliament, p. 381.
the manualist of boundaries

## DISCOURSES

CONCERNING

### GOVERNMENT.

CHAP. III.

### SECTION I.

Kings not being fathers of their people, nor excelling all others in virtue, can have no other just power than what the laws give; nor any title to the privileges of the Lord's anointed.

e

be

3.

9.

te

re

6.

m

1.

from nature, and incommunicable, it must follow, that every man doth perpetually owe all love, respect, service, and obedience to him that did beget, nourish, and educate him, and to no other under that name. No man therefore can claim the right of a father over any, except one that is so; no man can serve two masters; the extent and perpetuity of the duty which every man owes to his father, renders it impossible for him to owe the same to any other; this right of father cannot be devolved to the heir of the father, otherwise than as every son by the law of nature is seir to his father, and has the same right of commanding his children, as Vol. II.

his father had of commanding him when he was a child: no man can owe to his brother that which he owed to his father, because he cannot receive that from him which he had from his father; but the utmost of all absurdities that can enter into the heart of man is, for one to exact the rights due to a father, who has no other title than force and usurpation, it being no less than to say, that I owe as much to one who has done me the greatest of all injuries, as to him who has conferred upon me the greatest benefits: or, which is yet worse, if possible, that as these usurpations cannot be made but by robbing, fpoiling, imprisoning, or killing the person in possession; that duty, which by the eternal law of nature I owe to my father, should oblige me to pay the same veneration, obedience, and service to the man that has spoiled, imprisoned, or killed my father, as I owed to him; or that the same law, which obliged me to obey and defend my father, because he was so, should oblige me to obey and defend his enemy, because he has imprison'd or kill'd him; and not only to pass over the law of God, which makes me the avenger of my father's blood, but to reward his murderer with the rights that comprehend all that is most tender and sacred in nature, and to look upon one that has done me the greatest of all injustices and injuries, as upon him to whom I owe my birth and education. This being evident to all those who have any measure of common fense, I suppose it may be safely concluded, that what right foever a father may have over his family, it cannot relate to that which a king has over his people; unless he, like the man in the island of Pines, mention'd before, be also the father of them all. That which is absolutely unlike in manner and substance, institution and exercise, must

be unlike in all respects; and the conclusions, which have their strength from similitude and parity, can have none when there is not the least fimilitude of either. And tho' it were true, that fathers are held by no contracts (which generally 'tis not; for when the fon is of age, and does fomething for the father to which he is not obliged, or gives him that which he is not bound to give, suppose an inheritance received from a friend, goods of his own acquifition, or that he be emancipated all good laws look upon those things as a valuable confideration, and give the same force to contracts thereupon made, as to those that pass between strangers) it could have no relation to our question concerning kings. One principal reason that renders it very little necessary by the laws of nations, to restrain the power of parents over their children is, because 'tis presumed they cannot abuse it: they are thought to have a law in their bowels, obliging them more strictly to feek their good, than all those that can be laid upon them by another power; and yet if they depart from it, fo as inhumanly to abuse or kill their children, they are punished with as much rigour, and accounted more unpardonable than other men. Ignorance or wilful malice perfuading our author to pass over all this, he boldly affirms, "That the father of a fa-" mily governs it by no other law than his own " will;" and from thence infers, that the condition of kings is the fame. He would feem to foften the harshness of this proposition by saying, "That a " king is always tied by the fame law of nature to " keep this general ground, that the fafety of the " kingdom is his chief law." But he spoils it in the next page, by afferting, "That it is not right " for kings to do injury, but it is right that they go " unpunished by the people if they do; so that in B 2

h

to nd

we all the

ole, by

fon law

e to

my

her, and

or w of

her's

ights ed in

e the

im to being

com-

uded,

er his

n the

father ike in

must

be

" this point it is all one, whether Samuel describe a " king or a tyrant, for patient obedience is due unto " both; no remedy in the text against tyrants, but " crying and praying unto God in that day." this our author, according to the custom of theatres, runs round in a circle, pretends to grant that which is true, and then by a lie endeavours to destroy all again. Kings by the law of nature are obliged to feek chiefly the good of the kingdom; but there is no remedy if they do it not; which is no less than to put all upon the conscience of those who manifestly have none. But if God has appointed that all other transgressions of the laws of nature, by which a private man receives damage, should be punished in this world, notwithstanding the right reserved to himself of a future punishment; I desire to know, why this alone, by which whole nations may be, and often are destroy'd, should escape the hands of justice? If he presume no law to be necessary in this case, because it cannot be thought that kings will trangress, as there was no law in Sparta against adultery, because it was not thought possible for men educated under that discipline to be guilty of fuch a crime; and as divers nations left a liberty to fathers to dispose of their children as they thought fit, because it could not be imagined that any one would abuse that power, he ought to remember that the Spartans were mistaken, and for want of that law which they esteemed useless, adulteries became as common there as in any part of the world: and the other error being almost every where discovered, the laws of all civilized nations make it capital for a man to kill his children; and give redrefs to children if they fuffer any other extreme injuries from their parents, as well as other persons. But tho' this were not fo, i: would be nothing to our question, unit

n

s,

h

11

to

is

an

st-

all

ich

ned

to

W,

be,

s of

y in

ings

ainst

for

y of

ty to

ught

one

r that

f that

came

and

vered,

for a

chil-

from

o' this

n, un-

less

less it could be supposed, that whoever gets the power of a nation into his hands, must be immediately filled with the same tenderness of affection to the people under him, as a father naturally has towards the children he hath begotten. He that is of this opinion may examine the lives of Herod, Tiberius, Caligula, and some later princes of like inclinations, and conclude it to be true, if he find that the whole course of their actions, in relation to the people under them, do well fuit with the tender and facred name of father; and altogether false, if he find the contrary. But as every man that confiders what has been, or fees what is every day done in the world, must confess, that princes, or those who govern them, do most frequently so utterly reject all thoughts of tenderness and pity towards the nations under them, as rather to feek what can be drawn from them, than what should be done for them, and fometimes become their most bitter and public enemies: 'tis ridiculous to make the fafety of nations to depend upon a supposition, which by daily experience we find to be false; and impious, to prefer the lusts of a man who violates the most facred laws of nature, by destroying those he is obliged to preferve, before the welfare of that people for whose good he is made to be what he is, if there be any thing of justice in the power he exercises.

Our author foolishly thinks to cover the enormity of this nonsense, by turning Salutem populi into Salutem regni: for the Regnum may be taken for the power of commanding, in which sense the preservation of it is the usual object of the care of princes; yet it does more rightly signify the body of that nation which is governed by a king. And therefore if the maxim be true, as he acknowledges it to be, then Salus populi est lex suprema; and the

B 3

first

ai

ed

th

lav

first thing we are to inquire is, whether the government of this or that man do conduce to the accomplishment of that supreme law, or not; for otherwise it ought to have been said, Salus regis est lex fuprema, which certainly never entred into the head of a wifer or better man than Filmer.

His reasons are as good as his doctrine: "No " law, fays he, can be imposed on kings, because " there were kings before any laws were made." This would not follow, tho' the proposition were true; for they, who imposed no laws upon the kings they at first made, from an opinion of their virtue, as in those called by the antients Heroum regna, might lay restrictions upon them, when they were found not to answer the expectation conceived of them, or that their fucceffors degenerated from their virtue. Other nations also being instructed by the ill effects of an unlimited power given to some kings (if there was any fuch) might wifely avoid the rock upon which their neighbours had split, and justly moderate that power which had been pernicious to others. However a proposition of so great importance ought to be proved; but that being hard, and perhaps impossible, because the original of nations is almost wholly unknown to us, and their practice feems to have been fo various, that what is true in one, is not so in another; he is pleased only to affirm it, without giving the least shadow of a reason to perfuade us to believe him. This might justify me, if I should reject his affertion as a thing said gratis: but I may fafely go a step farther, and affirm, that men lived under laws before there were any kings; which cannot be denied, if fuch a power necessarily belongs to kings as he ascribes to them. For Nimrod, who established his kingdom in Babel, is the first who by the scripture is faid to have been a mighty one in the

the earth. He was therefore the first king, or kings were not mighty; and he being the first king, mankind must have lived till his time without laws, or else laws were made before kings. To say that there was then no law, is in many respects most absurd; for the nature of man cannot be without it, and the violences committed by ill men before the flood, could not have been blamed if there had been no law; for that which is not cannot be transgressed. Cain could not have feared that every man who met him would flay him, if there had not been a law to flay him that had flain another. But in this case the scripture is clear, at least from the time that Noah went out of the ark; for God then gave him a law fufficient for the state of things at that time, if all violence was prohibited under the name of shedding blood, tho' not under the fame penalty as murder. But penal laws being in vain, if there be none to execute them, fuch as know God does nothing in vain, may conclude that he who gave this law, did appoint fome way for its execution, tho' unknown to us. There is therefore a law not given by kings, but laid upon such as should be kings, as well as on any other persons, by one who is above them; and perhaps I may fay, that this law presseth most upon them, because they who have most power, do most frequently break out into acts of violence, and most of all disdain to have their will restrained: and he that will exempt kings from this law, must either find that they are exempted in the text, or that God who gave it has not a power over them.

Moreover, it has been proved at the beginning of this treatife, that the first kings were of the accursed race, and reigned over the accursed nations, whilst the holy seed had none. If therefore there was no law where there was no king, the accursed posterity

B 4

of

of Ham had laws, when the bleffed descendants of Shem had none, which is most absurd; the word Outlaw, or Lawless, being often given to the wick-

ed, but never to the just and righteous.

The impious folly of fuch affertions goes farther than our author perhaps suspected: for if there be no law where there is no king, the Ifraelites had no law till Saul was made king, and then the law they had was from him. They had no king before, for they asked one. They could not have asked one of Samuel, if he had been a king. He had not been offended, and God had not imputed to them the fin of rejecting him, if they had asked that only which he had fet over them. If Samuel were not king, Moses, Joshua, and other judges, were not kings; for they were no more than he. They had therefore no king, and consequently, if our author say true, no law. If they had no law till Saul was king, they never had any; for he gave them none; and the prophets were to blame for denouncing judgments against them for receding from, or breaking their law, if they had none. He cannot fay that Samuel gave them a law; for that which he wrote in a book, and laid up before the Lord \*, was not a law to the people, but to the king. If it had been a law to the people, it must have been made public; but as it was only to the king, he laid it up before God, to testify against him if he should adventure to break it. Or if it was a law to the people, the matter is not mended; for it was given in the time of a king by one who was not king. But in truth it was the law of the kingdom by which he was king, and had been wholly impertinent, if it was not to bind him; for it was given to no other person, and to no other end,

Our author's affertion upon which all his doctrine is grounded, "That there is no nation that allows " children any action or remedy for being unjustly " governed," is as impudently false as any other proposed by him: for tho' a child will not be heard that complains of the rod; yet our own law gives relief to children against their fathers, as well as against other persons that do them injuries, upon which we fee many ill effects, and I do rather relate than commend the practice. In other places the law gives relief against the extravagancies of which fathers may be guilty in relation to their children, tho' not to that excess as to bring them so near to an equality as in England; they cannot imprison, fell, or kill their children, without exposing themselves to the same punishments with other men; and if they take their estates from them, the law is open, and gives relief against them: but on the other fide, children are punished with death, if they strike or outragiously abuse their parents; which is not so with us.

Now, if the laws of nations take fuch care to preserve private men from being too hardly used by their true and natural fathers, who have fuch a love and tenderness for them in their own blood, that the most wicked and barbarous do much more frequently commit crimes for them than against them; how much more necessary is it to restrain the fury that kings, who at the best are but phantastical fathers, may exercise to the destruction of the whole people? 'Tis a folly to fay that David and some other kings have had, or that all should have a tenderness of affection towards their people as towards their children; for besides that even the first propofition is not acknowledged, and will be hardly verified in any one instance, there is a vast distance between

Every man ought to be, and what they are. Every man ought to be just, true, and charitable; and if they were so, laws would be of no use: but it were a madness to abolish them upon a supposition that they are so; or to leave them to a suture punishment, which many do not believe, or not regard. I am not obliged to believe that David loved every Israelite as well as his son Absalom; but tho he had, I could not from thence infer that all kings do so, unless I were sure that all of them were as wise and virtuous as he.

But to come more close to the matter: do we not know of many kings who have come to their power by the most wicked means that can enter into the heart of man, even by the most outragious injuries done to the people, fometimes by a foreign aid? as kings were by the power of the Romans imposed upon the Britons, that they might waste the forces, and break the spirits of that fierce people. This Tacitus \* acknowledges, and fays, "That amongst " other instruments of enslaving nations, they im-" posed kings upon them." The Medices were made masters of Florence by the force of Charles the fifth's army. Sometimes by a corrupt party in their own country they have destroy'd the best men, and subdued the rest; as Agathocles, Dionysius, and Cæsar did at Rome and Syracuse. Others taking upon them to defend a people, have turned the arms with which they were entrusted against their own masters; as Francesco Sforza, who being chosen by those of Milan to be their general against the Venetians, made peace with them, and by their affiftance made himself prince, or, in our author's phrase, father of that great city. If these be acts of tenderness, love, justice, and charity, those who com-

<sup>\*</sup> Inter instrumenta servitutis reges habuere. Tacit.

mit them may well think they have gained the affections of their people, and grow to love those from whom they fear nothing, and by whom they think they are loved. But if on the other hand they know they have attained to their greatness by the worst of all villanies, and that they are on that account become the object of the public hatred, they can do no less than hate and fear those by whom they know themselves to be hated. The Italians \* ordinarily say, that he who does an injury never pardons, because he thinks he is never pardoned: but he that enslaves and oppresses a people does an injury which can never be pardoned, and therefore fears it will be revenged.

Other princes who come their thrones by better ways, and are not contented with the power that the law allows, draw the fame hatred upon themfelves when they endeavour by force or fraud to enlarge it; and must necessarily fear and hate their own people as much as he who by the ways before-mention'd has betray'd or subdued them. Our author makes nothing of this; but taking it for granted that it was all one whether Samuel spoke of a king or a tyrant, declares that the same patient obedience is due to both; but not being pleased to give any reason why we should believe him, I intend to of-

fer some why we should not.

First, there is nothing in the nature or institution of monarchy that obliges nations to bear the exorbitances of it when it degenerates into tyranny.

In the fecond place, we have no precept for

Thirdly, we have many approved examples, and occasional particular commands to the contrary.

<sup>\*</sup> Chi fa injuria non perdona mai.

1. To the first: The point of paternity being explain'd; the duty of children to parents proved to proceed from the benefits received from them, and that the power over them, which at the first feems to have been left at large, because it was thought they would never abuse it, has long since been much restrain'd in all civilized nations, and particularly in our own, we may conclude that men are all made of the same paste, and that one owes no more to another than another to him, unless for some benefit received, or by virtue of some promise made. The duty arifing from a benefit received must be proportionable to it: that which grows from a promife is determined by the promife or contract made, according to the true fense and meaning of it. He therefore that would know what the Babylonians, Hebrews, Athenians, or Romans did owe to Nimrod, Saul, Thefeus or Romulus, must enquire what benefits were received from them, or what was promised to them. It cannot be said that any thing was due to them for the fake of their parents; they could have no prerogative by birth: Nimrod was the fixth fon of Chush the son of Ham, who was the youngest fon of Noah: his kingdom was erected whilst Noah and his eldest sons Shem and Japhet, as well as Ham, Chush, and his elder sons were still living. Saul was the fon of Chish, a man of Benjamin, who was the youngest son of Jacob; and he was chosen in the most democratical way by lot amongst the whole people. Theseus according to the custom of the times pretended to be the son of Neptune; and Rhea was fo well pleased with the foldier that had gotten her with child, that she resolved to think or fay that Mars was the father of the children, that is to fay they were bastards; and therefore whatever was due to them was upon their own perproved

the

fonal account, without any regard to their progenitors. This must be measured according to what they did for those nations before they were kings, or by the manner of their advancement. Nothing can be pretended before they were kings: Nimrod rofe up after the confusion of languages, and the people that understood the tongue he spoke, follow'd him; Saul was a young man unknown in Ifrael; Thefeus and Romulus had nothing to recommend them beforeother Athenians and Romans, except the reputation of their valour; and the honours conferred upon them for that reason, must proceed from expectation or hope, and not from gratitude or obligation. It must therefore proceed from the manner by which they came to be kings. He that neither is nor has any title to be a king, can come to be fo only by force or by confent. If by force, he does not confer a benefit upon the people, but injures them in the most outragious manner. If it be possible therefore or reasonable to imagine that one man did ever subdue a multitude, he can no otherwise resemble a father, than the worst of all enemies who does the greatest mischiefs, resembles the best of all friends who confers the most inestimable benefits, and confequently does as justly deserve the utmost effects of hatred, as the other does of love, respect, and service. If by consent, he who is raised from amongst the people, and placed above his brethren, receives great honours and advantages, but confers none. The obligations of gratitude are on his fide, and whatfoever he does in acknowledgement to his benefactors for their love to him, is no more than his duty; and he can demand no more from them than what they think fit to add to the favours already received. If more be pretended, it must be by virtue of that contract, and can no otherwise be

proved than by producing it to be examined, that the true fense, meaning, and intention of it may be known.

This contract must be in form and substance according to a general rule given to all mankind, or fuch as is left to the will of every nation. If a general one be pretended, it ought to be shown, that by enquiring into the contents, we may understand the force and extent of it. If this cannot be done, it may justly pass for a fiction, no conclusion can be drawn from it; and we may be fure, that what contracts foever have been made between nations and their kings, have been framed according to the will of those nations; and consequently how many foever they are, and whatfoever the fense of any or all of them may be, they can oblige no man, except those, or at most the descendants of those that made them. Whoever therefore would persuade us, that one or more nations are, by virtue of those contracts, bound to bear all the infolences of tyrants, is obliged to show, that by those contracts they did for ever indefinitely bind themfelves fo to do, how great foever they might be.

I may justly go a step farther, and affirm, that if any such should appear in the world, the solly and turpitude of the thing would be a sufficient evidence of the madness of those that made it, and utterly destroy the contents of it: but no such having been as yet produced nor any reason given to persuade a wise man that there has ever been any such, at least among civilized nations, (for whom only we are concerned) it may be concluded there never was any; or if there were, they do not at all relate to our subject; and consequently that nations still continue in their native liberty, and are no otherwise

obliged

obliged to endure the infolence of tyrants, than they,

or each of them may esteem them tolerable.

2. To the second: tho' the words of Samuel had implied a necessity incumbent upon the Hebrews to bear all the injuries that their kings should do to them, it could no way relate to us; for he does not fpeak of all kings, but of fuch as they had asked, even fuch as reigned over the flavish Asiatics their neighbours, who are no less infamous in the world for their baseness and cowardice, than detestable for their idolatry and vices. It was not a plot or trick of Samuel to keep the government in himself and family: fuch fcurrilous expressions or thoughts are fit only for Filmer, Heylin, and their disciples: but the prophet being troubled at the folly and wickedness of the people, who chose rather to subject themselves to the irregular will of a man, than to be governed by God and his law, did, by the immediate command of God, declare to them what would be the event of their fury; that fince they would be like to their neighbours in fin and folly, he told them they should be like to them in shame and misery; fince they defired to cast off the thing that was good, they should suffer evil as the product of their own counsels; and that when they should cry to the Lord from a fense of their miseries, he does not tell them, as our author falfly fays, they should have no other remedy against tyrants but crying and praying, but that their cries and prayers should not be heard. It was just that when they had rejected God, he should reject them, and leave them under the weight of the calamities they had brought upon themselves. In all other cases God had ever said, that when his people returned to him, he would hear and fave them. When they cried by reason of the oppressions they suffered under the Egyptians, Canaanites, Midianites, Philiftines.

listines, and others, tho' their crimes had deserved them all, yet God heard and relieved them. But when they meditated this final defection from his law, and rejection of his government, God feemed to change his nature, and forget to be gracious; When ye shall cry to me by reason of your king, " I will not hear you:" this was the strongest dehortation from their wicked intention that can be imagined; but being not enough to reclaim them, they answered, "Nay, but we will have a king." They were like to their neighbours in folly and vice, and would be like to them in government; which brought all the calamities upon them that the others fuffer'd. But I know not what conclusion can be drawn from hence in favour of our author's doctrine, unless all nations are obliged furiously to run into the same crimes with the Israelites, or to take upon themfelves the same punishment, tho' they do not commit the same crimes.

If this was not a precept to the Israelites, instructing them what they should do, but a denunciation of what they should suffer for the evil which they had committed, the old testament will afford none; and I hope in due time to answer such as he alledges from the new. Nay, we may conclude there can be none there, because being dictated by the same spirit, which is always uniform and constant to it self, it could not agree with the 17th of Deuteron. which so extremely restrains such a king as God allowed, as not to suffer him in any manner to raise his heart above his brethren; and was said in vain, if at the same time it gave him a power which might not be resisted, or forbad others to resist him if he would not obey the law.

ec

pr

3. To the third: Whatsoever was done by the command of God against Pharaoh king of Egypt, and against

against the kings of the Canaanites, Midianites, Moabites, Edomites, Amorites or Philistines, by Moses, Joshua, Ehud, Barak, Gideon, Sampson, Tephtha, Samuel, and the rest of the judges, comes expresly under the particular precepts and examples promifed by me, to show, that God had occasionally commanded, and his fervants executed his commands in refifting and destroying the persons of kings, who were their own kings also, if possession was only to be regarded. And tho' this be fufficient to overthrow our author's doctrine; "That we are " not to examine the titles of lings, whether they " be from usurpation, or any other means; but on-" ly to look upon the power:" yet they who feek truth, ought not to content themselves merely with victory; or to esteem that a victory, which is obtained by what the schools call Argumentum ad hominem, grounded upon a false proposition, and is of no force except against those who are so ill advised to advance it. Therefore laying afide the advantages that may be justly taken against Filmer, for the folly of afferting the same right to be in an usurper, as in a lawful prince; and confessing that tho' such as have no title, may and ought to be suppressed as enemies and robbers, when respect and obedience is due to those who are rightly instituted; I say, that none can be claimed by a prince lawfully inftituted, if he affume to himself a power which is not granted to him by the law of his institution, because, as Grotius fays \*, "his legal power does not extend " fo far;" or turn the power that is given him, to ends contrary to those for which it was given, because he thereby destroys it, and puts himself into the fame condition as if it had never been. This is proved by the example of Saul; tho' the people

VOL. II.

e

n

d

st

<sup>\*</sup> Quia eatenus non habet imperium. De jur. bel.

finned grievously in asking a king, yet God affenting to their demand, no prince was ever more folemnly inftituted than he. The people chose him by lot from amongst all the tribes, and he was plac'd in the throne by the general confent of the whole nation: but he turning his lawful power into tyranny, disobeying the word of the prophet, slaying the priests, sparing the Amalekites, and oppressing the innocent, overthrew his own right; and God declared the kingdom, which had been given him under a conditional promise of perpetuity, to be intirely abrogated. This did not only give a right to the whole people of oppofing him, but to every particular man; and upon this account David did not only fly from his fury, but refifted it. He made himself head of all the discontented persons that would follow him: he had at first four, and afterwards fix hundred men; he kept these in arms against Saul, and lived upon the country; and refolved to destroy Nabal with all his house, only for refusing to send provisions for his men. Finding himself weak and unsafe, he went to Achish the Philistine, and offered his service even against Israel. This was never reputed a fin in David, or in those that followed him, by any except the wicked courtflatterer Doeg the Edomite, and the drunken fool Nabal, who is faid to have been a man of Belial.

If it be objected, that this was rather a flight than a war, in as much as he neither killed Saul nor his men, or that he made war as a king anointed by Samuel; I answer, that he who had fix hundred men, and entertained as many as came to him, sufficiently shew'd his intention rather to resist than to fly: and no other reason can be given why he did not farther pursue that intention, than that he had no greater power: and he who arms fix hundred men against his

his prince, when he can have no more, can no more be faid to obey patiently, than if he had so many hundreds of thousands. This holds, tho' he kill no man, for that is not the war, but the manner of making it: and 'twere as abfurd to fay David made no war, because he killed no men, as that Charles the eighth made no war in Italy, because Guicciardin fays, he conquered Naples without breaking a lance. But as David's strength increased, he grew to be less sparing of blood. Those who say kings never die, but that the right is immediately transfered to the next heirs, cannot deny that Ishbosheth inherited the right of Saul, and that David had no other right of making war against him, than against Saul, unless it were conferred upon him by the tribe of Judah that made him king. If this be true, it must be confessed that not only a whole people, but a part of them, may at their own pleasure abrogate a kingdom, tho' never fo well established by common confent; for none was ever more folemnly instituted than that of Saul; and few subjects have more strongly obliged themselves to be obedient. If it be not true, the example of Nabal is to be followed; and David, tho' guided by the spirit of God, deferves to be condemned as a fellow that rose up against his master.

n

-

0

ot

le

at

r-

as

e-

or

g

he

el.

se

rt-

ool

an

his

Sa-

en,

tly

nd

her

nft his If to elude this it be faid, that God instituted and abrogated Saul's kingdom, and that David to whom the right was transmitted, might therefore proceed against him and his heirs as private men: I answer, that if the obedience due to Saul proceeded from God's institution, it can extend to none but those who are so peculiarly instituted and anointed by his command, and the hand of his prophet, which will be of little advantage to the kings that can give no

C 2

tefti-

testimony of such an institution or unction; and an indisputable right will remain to every nation of abrogating the kingdoms which are instituted by and for themselves. But as David did resist the authority of Saul and Ishbosheth, without assuming the power of a king, tho' designed by God, and anointed by the prophet, till he was made king of Judah by that tribe; or arrogating to himself a power over the other tribes till he was made king by them, and had entered into a covenant with them; 'tis much more certain that the persons and authority of ill kings, who have no title to the privileges due to Saul by virtue of his institution, may be justly resisted; which is as much as is necessary

to my purpose.

Object. But \* David's heart fmote him when he had cut off the skirt of Saul's garment, and he would not fuffer Abishai to kill him. This might be of fome force, if it were pretended that every man was obliged to kill an ill king, whenfoever he could do it, which I think no man ever did fay; and no man having ever affirmed it, no more can be concluded than is confessed by all. But how is it possible that a man of a generous spirit, like to David, could see a great and valiant king, chosen from amongst all the tribes of Israel, anointed by the command of God and the hand of the prophet, famous for victories obtained against the enemies of Israel, and a wonderful deliverance thereby purchased to that people, cast at his feet to receive life or death from the hand of one whom he had fo furiously perfecuted, and from whom he least deserved, and could least expect mercy, without extraordinary commotion of mind, most especially when Abishai, who

ar

<sup>\*</sup> I Sam. xxvi.

faw all that he did, and thereby ought best to have known his thoughts, expressed so great a readiness to kill him? This could not but make him reslect upon the instability of all that seemed to be most glorious in men, and shew him that if Saul, who had been named even among the prophets, and assisted in an extraordinary manner to accomplish such great things, was so abandoned and given over to sury, misery and shame; he that seemed to be most firmly established ought to take care lest he should fall.

Surely these things are neither to be thought strange in relation to Saul, who was God's anointed, nor communicable to such as are not: some may suppose he was king by virtue of God's unction (tho' if that were true, he had never been chosen and made king by the people) but it were madness to think he became God's anointed by being king: for if that were so, the same right and title would belong to every king, even to those who by his command were accursed and destroyed by his servants Moses, Joshua and Samuel. The same men, at the same time, and in the same sense, would be both his anointed and accursed, loved and detested by him; and the most sacred privileges made to extend to the worst of his enemies.

Again; the war made by David was not upon the account of being king, as anointed by Samuel, but upon the common natural right of defending himself against the violence and sury of a wicked man; he trusted to the promise, "that he should be king," but knew that as yet he was not so: and when Saul sound he had spared his life, he said, "I now know well that thou shalt surely be king, and that the kingdom of Israel shall surely be established in thy hand \*;" not that it was al-

a

it

n

d

)-

W

<sup>.</sup> Sam, xxiv.

already. Nay David himself was so far from taking upon him to be king, till the tribe of Judah had chosen him, that he often acknowledged Saul to be his lord. When Baanah and Rechab brought the head of Ishbosheth to him, he commanded them to be flain; " because they had killed a righteous man " upon his bed, in his own house ";' which he could not have faid, if Ishbosheth had unjustly detained from him the ten tribes, and that he had a right to reign over them before they had chosen him. word of God did not make him king, but only foretold that he should be king; and by such ways as he pleased prepared the hearts of the people to set him up; and till the time defigned by God for that work was accomplished, he pretended to no other authority, than what the fix hundred men who first followed him, afterwards the tribe of Judah, and at last all the rest of the people, conferred upon him.

I in no way defend Abfalom's revolt; he was wicked, and acted wickedly; but after his death no man was ever blamed or questioned for siding with him: and Amasa who commanded his army, is represented in scripture as a good man, even David saying, that Joab by flaying Abner and Amasa, had killed "two men who were better than himfelf +; which could not have been, unless the people had a right of looking into matters of government, and of redrefting abuses: tho' being deceived by Absalom, they fo far erred, as to prefer him, who was in all respects wicked, before the man, who, except in the matter of Uriah, is faid to be after God's own heart. This right was acknowledged by David himself, when he commanded Hushai to say to Absalom, " ‡ I will be thy servant O king;"

and by Hushai in the following chapter, "Nay, "but whom the Lord and his people, and all the "men of Israel choose, his will I be, and with him "will I abide;" which could have no sense in it, unless the people had a right of choosing, and that the choice in which they generally concurred, was esteemed to be from God.

But if Saul who was made king by the whole people, and anointed by the command of God, might be lawfully refifted when he departed from the law of his inftitution; it cannot be doubted that any other for the like reason may be resisted. If David, tho' designed by God to be king, and anointed by the hand of the prophet, was not king till the people had chosen him, and he had made a covenant with them; it will, if I mistake not, be hard to find a man who can claim a right which is not originally from them. And if the people of Israel could erect and pull down, institute, abrogate, or transfer to other persons or families, kingdoms more firmly established than any we know, the same right cannot be denied to other nations.

#### SECT. II.

The kings of Israel and Judah were under a law not safely to be transgress'd.

UR author might be pardon'd if he only vented his own follies; but he aggravates his own crime, by imputing them to men of more credit; and tho' I cannot look upon Sir Walter Raleigh as a very good interpreter of scripture, he had too much understanding to say, "That if practice declare the "greatness of authority, even the best kings of Israel" and Judah were not tied to any law, but they did whatsoever they pleased in the greatest mat-

" ters;" for there is no sense in those words. If practice declares the greatness of authority, even the best were tied to no law, signifies nothing, for practice cannot declare the greatness of authority. Peter the cruel of Castille, and Christiern the second of Denmark, kill'd whom they pleas'd; but no man ever thought they had therefore a right to do fo: and if there was a law, all were tied by it, and the best were less likely to break it than the worst. But if Sir Walter Raleigh's opinion\*, which he calls a conjecture, be taken there was so great a difference between the kings of Israel and Judah, that as to their general pro eedings in point of power, hardly any thing can be faid which may rightly be applied to both; and he there endeavours to show, that the reason why the ten tribes did not return to the house of David, after the destruction of the houses of Jeroboam and Baasha, was, because they would not endure a power so absolute as that which was exercifed by the house of David. If he has therefore any where faid that the kings did what they pleased, it must be in the sense that Moses Maimonides fays, the kings of Israel committed many extravagancies, because they were " + insolent, impi-" ous, and despisers of the law." But whatsoever Sir Walter Raleigh may fay (for I do not remember his words, and have not leifure to feek whether any fuch are found in his books) 'tis most evident that they did not what they pleased. The tribes that did not submit to David, nor crown him till they thought fit, and then made a covenant with him, took care it might be observed whether he would or not. Abfalom's rebellion follow'd by almost all Israel, was a terrible check to his will.

<sup>\* 2</sup> L. hift. cap. 19.

<sup>†</sup> Quia superbi erant corde, impii, & spretores legis. Mor. Nemoch.

That of Sheba, the son of Bichri, was like to have been worse, if it had not been suppressed by Joab's diligence; and David often confessed the sons of Zerviah were too hard for him. Solomon indeed overthrowing the law given by Moses, multiplying gold and filver, wives and horses, introducing idolatry, and lifting up his heart above his brethren, did what he pleased; but Rehoboam paid for all: the ten tribes revolted from him, by reason of the heavy burdens laid upon them; stoned Adoram who was fent to levy the tributes, and fet up Jeroboam, who, as Sir Walter Raleigh fays in the place before cited, had no other title than the curtefy of the people, and utterly rejected the house of David. If practice therefore declares a right, the practice of the people to avenge the injuries they suffered from their kings, as foon as they found a man fit to be their leader, shews they had a right of doing it.

'Tis true, the best of the kings, with Moses, Joshua, and Samuel, may in one fense be faid to have done what they pleased, because they defired to do that only which was good. But this will hardly be brought to confer a right upon all kings: and I deny that even the kings of Judah did what they pleafed, or that it were any thing to our question if they did. Zedekiah professed to the great men (that is, to the Sanhedrin) "That without them he could do no-"thing "." When Amaziah, by his folly, had brought a great flaughter upon the tribe of Judah, they conspired against him in public council; whereupon he fled to Lahish +, and they pursuing him thither, killed him, avowed the fact, and it was neither question'd nor blamed: which examples agree with the paraphrase of Josephus; on Deut 17. " He shall do nothing without the confent of the

<sup>·</sup> Jerem. xxxviii.

<sup>† 2</sup> Kings xiv.

<sup>‡</sup> Antiq. Jud.

" Sanhedrin; and if he attempt it they shall hinder " him." This was the law of God, not to be abrogated by man; a law of liberty directly opposite to the necessity of submitting to the will of a man. This was a gift bestowed by God upon his children and people; whereas flavery was a great part of the curse denounced against Ham for his wickedness, and perpetually incumbent upon his posterity. The great Sanhedrin were constituted judges, as Grotius fays, most particularly of such matters as concerned their kings; and Maimonides affirms, that the kings were judged by them: the distribution of the power to the inferior Sanhedrins, in every tribe and city, with the right of calling the people together in general affemblies as often as occasion required, were the foundations of their liberty; and being added to the law of the kingdom prescribed in the 17th of Deuetronomy (if they should think fit to have a king) established the freedom of that people upon a solid foundation. And tho' they in their fury did in a great measure wave the benefits God had bestowed upon them; yet there was enough left to restrain the lusts of their kings. Ahab did not treat with Naboth as a fervant, whose person and estate depended upon his will, and does not feem to have been so tender-hearted to grieve much for his refusal, if by virtue of his royal authority he could have taken away his vineyard and his life: but that failing, he had no other way of accomplishing his defign, than by the fraud of his accurfed wife, and the perfidious wretches she employed. And no better proof that it did fail, can reasonably be required, than that he was obliged to have recourse to such fordid, odious, and dangerous remedies: but we are furnished with one that is more unquestionable; Haft

" Hast thou killed, and also taken possession? In " the place where dogs licked the blood of Naboth, " shall they lick thy blood, even thine \*." This shews that the kings were not only under a law, but under a law of equality with the rest of the people, even that of retaliation. He had raifed his heart above his brethren; but God brought him down, and made him to fuffer what he had done; he was in all respects wicked, but the justice of this fentence confifted in the law he had broken, which could not have been, if he had been fubject to none. But as this retaliation was the fum of all the judicial law given by God to his people, the fentence pronounced against Ahab in conformity to it, and the execution committed to Jehu, shews, that the kings were no less obliged to perform the law than other men, tho' they were not fo eafily punished for transgressing it as others were; and if many of them did escape, it perfectly agrees with what had been foretold by Samuel.

#### S E C T. III.

Samuel did not describe to the Israelites the glory of a free monarchy; but the evils the people should suffer, that he might divert them from desiring a king.

Tho' no restraint had been put upon the lusts of the Hebrew kings, it could be no prejudice to any other nation. They deslected from the law of God; and rejecting him that he should reign over them no longer, they fell into that misery which could affect none but those who enjoy the same blessings, and with the same sury despise them. If their kings had more power than consisted with their welfare,

<sup>\* 1</sup> Kings xxi.

they gave it, and God renounces the institution of \*fuch. He gave them a law of liberty; and if they fell into the shame and misery that accompanies flavery, it was their own work. They were not obliged to have any king; and could not without a crime have any but one, who must not raise his heart above the rest of them. This was taught by Moses: and Samuel who spoke by the same spirit could not contradict him; and in telling the people what fuch a king as they defired would do when he should be established, he did announce to them the misery they would bring upon themselves, by choosing such a one as he had forbidden. This free monarchy, which our author thinks to be so majestically described, was not only displeasing to the prophet, but declared by God to be a rejection of him, and inconfiftent with his reign over them. This might have been fufficient to divert any other people from their furious resolution; but the prophet farther enforcing his diffuation, told them, that God (who had in all other cases been their helper) would not hear them when they should cry to him by reason of their king. This is the majestic description of that free monarchy with which our author is fo much pleased: it was displeasing to the prophet, hateful to God, an aggravation of all the crimes they had committed fince they came out of Egypt, and that which would bring (as it did) most certain and irreparable destruction upon themselves.

But it feems the regal majesty in that age was in its infancy, and little in comparison of that which we find described by Tacitus, Suetonius, and others in later times. He shall take your sons, says Samuel, and set them over his chariots, and your daughters

<sup>\*</sup> Ye have chosen kings, but not by me; and princes, but I know them not. Hos.

to make them confectioners and cooks; but the majesty of the Roman emperors was carried to a higher pitch of glory. Ahab could not, without employing treachery and fraud, get a small spot of ground for his money to make a garden of herbs : but Tiberius, Caligula and Nero killed whom they pleafed, and took what they pleased of their estates. When they had fatiated their cruelty and avarice by the murders-and confiscations of the most eminent and best men, they commonly exposed their children to the lust of their flaves. If the power of doing evil be glorious, the utmost excess is its perfection; and 'tis pity that Samuel knew no more of the effects produced by unrestrained lust, that he might have made the description yet more majestic: and as nothing can be fuffer'd by man beyond constupration, torments and death, instead of such trifles as he mention'd, he might have shew'd them the effects of fury in its greatest exaltation.

If it be good for a nation to live under fuch a power, why did not God of his own goodness inflitute it? Did his wisdom and love to his people fail? Or if he himself had not set up the best government over them, could he be displeased with them for asking it? Did he separate that nation from the rest of mankind, to make their condition worse than that of others? Or can they be faid to have finned and rejected God, when they defir'd nothing but the government, which by a perpetual ordinance he had established over all the nations of the world? Is not the law of nature a rule which he has given to things? and the law of man's nature, which is reason, an emanation of the divine wisdom, or fome footsteps of divine light remaining in us? Is it possible that this which is from God, can be contrary to his will; and can he be offended with those who

who defire to live in a conformity to that law? Or could it justly be said, the people had chosen that which is not good, if nothing in government be good

but what they chose?

But as the worst men delight in the worst things, and fools are pleafed with the most extreme absurdities, he (i. e. Filmer) not only gives the highest praises to that which bears so many marks of God's hatred; but after having faid that Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, and Moses were kings, he goes on, and says, " The Ifraelites begged a king of Samuel;" which had been impertinent, if the magistrates instituted by the law were kings: and tho' it might be a folly in them to ask what they had already, it could be no fin to defire that which they enjoyed by the ordinance of God. If they were not kings, it follows that the only government fet up by God amongst men wanted the principal part, even the head and foundation, from whence all the other parts have their action and being; that is, God's law is against God's law, and destroys it self.

But if God did neither by a general and perpetual ordinance establish over all nations the monarchy which Samuel describes, nor prescribe it to his own people by a particular command, it was purely the peoples creature, the production of their own fancy, conceived in wickedness, and brought forth in iniquity, an idol fet up by themselves to their own destruction, in imitation of their accurfed neighbours; and their reward was no better than the concession of an impious petition, which is one of God's heaviest judgments. Samuel's words are acknowledged by all interpreters, who were not malicious or mad, to be a diffuation from their wicked purpose; not a defcription of what a king might justly do by virtue of his office, but what those who should be set up against

against God and his law would do when they should have the power in their hands: and I leave such as have the understandings of men, and are not abandoned by God, to judge what influence this ought to have upon other nations, either as to obligation or imitation.

# S E C T. IV.

No people can be obliged to Suffer from their kings what they have not a right to do.

UR author's next work is to tell us, that " the scope of Samuel was to teach the people " a dutiful obedience to their king, even in the " things that they think mischievous or inconvenient: " for by telling them what the king would do, he " indeed instructs them what a subject must suffer: " yet not so that it is right for kings to do injury, " but it is right for them to go unpunished by the " people if they do it; fo that in this point it is all " one whether Samuel describe a king or a tyrant." This is hard, but the conclusion is grounded upon nothing. There is no relation between a prediction that a thing shall be attempted or done to me, and a precept that I shall not defend my felf, or punish the person that attempts or does it. If a prophet should say that a thief lay in the way to kill me, it might reasonably persuade me not to go, or to go in fuch a manner as to be able to defend myfelf; but can no way oblige me to fubmit to the violence that shall be offer'd, or my friends and children not to avenge my death if I fall; much less can other men be deprived of the natural right of defending themselves by my imprudence or obstinacy in not taking the warning given, whereby I might have preserved my life. For every man has a right of refift-

refifting fome way or other that which ought not to be done to him; and tho' human laws do not in all cases make men judges and avengers of the injuries offer'd to them, I think there is none that does not justify the man who kills another that offers violence to him, if it appear that the way prescribed by the law for the preservation of the innocent cannot be taken. This is not only true in the case of outragious attempts to affaffinate or rob upon the highway, but in divers others of less moment. knew a man who being appointed to keep his master's park, killed three men in one night that came to destroy his deer; and putting himself into the hands of the magistrate, and confessing the fact both in matter and manner, he was at the public affizes not only acquitted, but commended for having done his duty; and this in a time when 'tis well known justice was feverely administred, and little favour expected by him or his master. Nay, all laws must fall, human focieties that fubfift by them be diffolved, and all innocent persons be exposed to the violence of the most wicked, if men might not justly defend themfelves against injustice by their own natural right, when the ways prescribed by public authority cannot be taken.

Our author may perhaps fay, this is true in all except the king: and I defire to know why, if it be true in all except the king, it should not be true in relation to him? Is it possible that he who is instituted for the obtaining of justice, should claim the liberty of doing injustice as a privilege? Were it not better for a people to be without law, than that a power should be established by law to commit all manner of violences with impunity? Did not David refift those of Saul? Did he not make himself head of the tribe of Judah, when they revolted against his fon,

fon, and afterwards of the ten tribes, that rejected his posterity? Did not the Israelites stone Adoram who collected the taxes, revolt from the house of David, set up Jeroboam; and did not the prophet say it was from the Lord? If it was from the Lord, was it not good? If it was good then, is it not so for ever? Did good proceed from one root then, and from another now? If God had avenged the blood of Naboth by sire from heaven, and destroy'd the house of Ahab, as he did the two captains and their men who were sent to apprehend Elijah, it might be said, he reserv'd that vengeance to himself; but he did it by the sword of Jehu and the army (which was the people who had set him up) for an example to others.

But 'tis good to examine what this " dutiful obe-" dience" is that our author mentions. Men usually owe no more than they receive. 'Tis hard to know what the Israelites owed to Saul, David, Jeroboam, Ahab or any other king, whether good or bad, till they were made kings: and the act of the people by which so great a dignity was conferr'd, feems to have laid a duty upon them, who did receive more than they had to give: fo that fomething must be due from them unless it were releas'd by virtue of a covenant or promise made; and none could accrue to them from the people afterwards, unless from the merit of the person in rightly executing his office. If a covenant or promise be pretended, the nature and extent of the obligation can only be known by the contents expressed, or the true intention of it. If there be a general form of covenant set and agreed upon, to which all nations must submit, it were good to know where it may be found, and by whose authority it is established, and then we may examine the sense of it. If no

VOL. II.

fuch do appear, we may rationally look upon those to be impostors who should go about from thence to derive a right: and as that which does not appear, is as if it were not, we may justly conclude there is no other, or none that can have any effect, but fuch as have been made by particular nations with their princes; which can be of no force or obligation to others, nor to themselves, any farther than according to the true intention of those that made them. There is no fuch thing therefore as a dutiful obedience, or duty of being obedient, incumbent upon all nations by virtue of any covenant; nor upon any particular nation, unless it be expressed by a covenant: and whoever pretends to a right of taking our fons and daughters, lands or goods, or to go unpunished if he do, must show that these things are expressed or intended by the covenant.

But the nations for the most part owe nothing to kings, till they are kings, and that it can hardly be conceived, that any people did ever owe fo much to a man, as might not be'fully repaid by the honour and advantages of fuch an advancement; yet 'tis possible that when they are made kings, they may by their good government lay fuch obligations upon their subjects, as ought to be recompensed by obedience and fervice. There is no mortal creature that deserves so well from mankind, as a wise, valiant, diligent and just king, who as a father cherishes his people; as a shepherd feeds, defends, and is ready to lay down his life for his flock; who is a terror to evil-doers, and a praise to those that do well. This is a glorious prerogative, and he who has it is happy. But before this can be adjudged to belong to all, it must be proved that all have the virtues that deserve it; and he that exacts the dutiful obedience that arises from them, must prove that they are in

him.

him. He that does this, need not plead for impunity when he does injuries; for if he do them, he is not the man we speak of: not being so, he can have no title to the duty by human institution or covenant; nor by divine law, since, as is already proved, God has neither established kings over all nations by precept, nor recommended them by example, in setting them over his own people. He has not therefore done it at all; there is no such thing in nature; and nations can owe nothing to kings meerly as kings, but what they owe by the contract made with them.

As these contracts are made voluntarily, without any previous obligation, 'tis evident men make them in confideration of their own good; and they can be of force no longer, than he with whom they are made perform his part in procuring it; and that if he turn the power which was given to him for the public good, to the public inconvenience and damage, he must necessarily lose the benefit he was to receive by it. The word "think" is foolishly and affectedly put in by our author; for those matters are very often so evident, that even the weakest know them. No great fagacity is requir'd to understand that lewd, flothful, ignorant, false, unjust, covetous and cruel princes bring inconveniencies and mifchiefs upon nations; and many of them are so evidently guilty of some or all these vices, that no man can be mistaken in imputing them; and the utmost calamities may rationally be expected from them, unless a remedy be applied.

r

n

ıt

t,

is

y

or

is

0-

to

at

ce

in

n.

But, fays he, Samuel by telling them "what the "king would do, instructs them what the subjects "must fuffer, and that 'tis right he should go un-"punished:" but, by his favour, Samuel says no such thing; neither is it to be concluded, that be-

D 2

cause

cause a king will do wickedly, he must be suffer'd, any more than a private man, who should take the fame resolution. But he told them, that "when " they should cry to the Lord by reason of their " king, he would not hear them." This was as much as to fay, their ruin was unavoidable; and that, having put the power into the hands of those, who instead of protecting would oppress them; and thereby having provoked God against them, so as he would not hearken to their cries, they could have no relief. But this was no fecurity to the authors of their calamity. The houses of Jeroboam, Baasha and Omri, escaped not unpunished, tho' the people did not thereby recover their liberty. The kings had introduced a corruption that was inconfistent with it. But they who could not fettle upon a right foundation to prevent future mischiefs, could avenge fuch as they had fuffered, upon the heads of those who had caused them, and frequently did it most feverely. The like befel the Romans, when by the violence of tyranny all good order was overthrown, good discipline extinguished, and the people corrupted. Ill princes could be cut in pieces, and mischiefs might be revenged, tho' not prevented. But 'tis not fo every where, nor at all times; and nothing is more irrational, than from one or a few examples to conclude a general necessity of future events. They alter according to circumstances: and as some nations by destroying tyrants could not destroy tyranny; others in removing the tyrant, have cut up tyranny by the roots. This variety has been feen in the same nation at different times: the Romans recovered their liberty by expelling Tarquin; but remained flaves notwithstanding the flaughter of Cæfar. Whilst the body of the people was uncorrupted, they cured the evil wrought by the person, in taking

re

an

no

be:

ha

of

ftro

vig

гер

wh

ma

mies,

taking him away. It was no hard matter to take the regal power that by one man had been enjoy'd for life, and to place it in the hands of two annual magistrates, whilst the nobility and people were, according to the condition of that age, strong and ready to maintain it. But when the mischief had taken deeper root; when the best part of the people had perished in the civil wars; when all their eminent men had fallen in battle, or by the profcriptions; when their discipline was lost, and virtue abolished, the poor remains of the distressed people were brought under the power of a mercenary foldiery, and found no relief. When they kill'd one tyrant, they often made room for a worfe: it availed them nothing to cut off a rotten branch, whilst the accurfed root remained, and fent forth new fprouts of the same nature to their destruction. Other generous nations have been subdued beyond a possibility of recovery; and those that are naturally base, flide into the like mifery without the impulse of an exterior power. They are flaves by nature, and have neither the understanding nor courage that is required for the constitution and management of a government within themselves. They can no more subsist without a master, than a slock without a shepherd. They have no comprehension of liberty, and can neither defire the good they do not know, nor enjoy it if it were bestowed upon them. They bear all burdens; and whatever they suffer, they have no other remedy or refuge, than in the mercy of their lord. But fuch nations as are naturally itrong, flout, and of good understanding, whose vigour remains unbroken, manners uncorrupted, reputation unblemished, and increasing in numbers; who neither want men to make up such armies as may defend them against foreign or domestic ene-

is

is

es

S.

ne

y-

ap

in

e-

re-

æ-

pt-

111

ng

mies, nor leaders to head them, do ordinarily set limits to their patience. They know how to preferve their liberty, or to vindicate the violation of it; and the more patient they have been, the more inflexible they are when they resolve to be so no longer. Those who are so soolish to put them upon such courses, do to their cost find that there is a difference between lions and asses; and he is a sool who knows not that \*swords were given to men, that none might be slaves, but such as know not how to use them.

## SECT. V.

The mischiefs suffer'd from wicked kings are such as render it both reasonable and just for all nations that have virtue and power to exert both in repelling them.

F our author deserve credit, we need not examine whether nations have a right of refifting, or a reasonable hope of succeeding in their endeavours to prevent or avenge the mischiefs that are feared or suffered, for 'tis not worth their pains. "The inconveniencies, fays he, and miferies which " are reckoned up by Samuel, as belonging unto " kingly government, were not intolerable, but fuch " as have been and are still born by the subjects " free consent from their princes. Nay at this day, " and in this land, many tenants by their tenures " are tied unto the same subjection, even unto sub-" ordinate and inferior lords." He is an excellent advocate for kingly government, that accounts inconveniencies and miseries to be some of the effentials of it, which others esteem to be only incidents. Tho' many princes are violent and wicked, yet some

<sup>\*</sup> Ignoratque datos ne quisquam serviat enses.

have been gentle and just: tho' many have brought mifery upon nations, some have been beneficial to them: and they who are esteemed most severe against monarchy, think the evils that are often fuffer'd under that form of government, proceed from the corruption of it, or deviation from the principle of its institution; and that they are rather to be imputed to the vices of the person, than to the thing itself; but if our author speak truth it is universally and eternally naught, inconvenience and mifery be-

long to it.

a

V

75 75

2-

a-

g,

a-

re

ıs.

ch

to

ch

ets

ıy,

res

b-

ent

in-

en-

its.

me

ve

He thinks to mend this by faying, they are not intolerable: but what is intolerable if inconveniencies and miseries be not? For what end can he think governments to have been established, unless to prevent or remove inconveniencies and miseries? or how can that be called a government which does not only permit, but cause them? What can incline nations to fet up governments? Is it that they may fuffer. inconveniencies, and be brought to mifery? or if it be to enjoy happiness, how can that subsist under a government, which not by action, deflection or corruption, but by a necessity inherent in it self, causes inconveniencies and miseries? If it be pretended that no human constitution can be altogether free from inconveniencies; I answer, that the best may to some degree fall into them, because they may be corrupted; but evil and mifery can properly belong to none that is not evil in its own nature. If Samuel deserve credit, or may be thought to have spoken sense, he could not have enumerated the evils, which he forefaw the people should suffer from their kings, norfay, that they should cry to the Lord by reason of them, unless they were in themselves grievous, and in comparison greater than what they had suffer'd or known; fince that would not have diverted them D 4 from

from their intention, but rather have confirmed them in it. And I leave it to our author to show, why any people should for the pleasure of one or a few men, erect or suffer that which brings more of

evil with it than any others.

Moreover, there is a great difference between that which nations fometimes fuffer under kings, and that which they willingly fuffer; most especially if our author's maxim be received, that all laws are the mandates of kings, and the subjects liberties and privileges no more than their gracious concessions; for how patient soever they are under the evils they fuffer, it might reasonably be believ'd they are so because they know not how to help it: and this is certainly the case of too many places that are known Whoever doubts of this, if he will not put himself to the trouble of going to Turky or Morocco, let him pass only into Normandy, and ask the naked, barefooted and half-starved people whether they are willing to fuffer the miseries under which they groan; and whether the magnificence of Versailles, and the pomp of their haughty master, do any way alleviate their calamities. If this also be a matter of too much pains, the wretches that come hither every day will inform him, that it is not by their own confent they are deprived of all honours and offices in the commonwealth, even of those, which by a corrupt custom that had gained the force of a law, they had dearly bought; prohibited to exercise any trade; exposed to the utmost effects of fraud and violence, if they refuse to adore their master's idols. They will tell him, that 'tis not willingly they leave their lands and estates to seek a shelter in the most remote parts of the world; but because they are under a force which they are not able to refift; and because

as

et

in

one part of the nation, which is enriched with the spoils of the other, have foolishly contributed to lay

a voke upon them which they cannot break.

To what he fays concerning tenures, I answer, no man in England owes any fervice to his lord, unless by virtue of a contract made by himself or his predecessors, under which he holds the land granted to him on that condition by the proprietor. There may be fomething of hardship, but nothing of injustice. 'Tis a voluntary act in the beginning and continuance; and all men know that what is done to one who is willing \* is no injury. He who did not like the conditions, was not obliged to take the land; and he might leave it, if afterwards he came to diflike them. If any man fay, the like may be done by any one in the kingdom, I answer, that it is not always true; the protestants now in France cannot without extreme hazard go out of that country, tho' they are contented to lose their estates. 'Tis accounted a crime, for which they are condemned perpetually to the gallies, and fuch as are aiding to them to grievous fines. But before this be acknowledged to have any fimilitude or relation to our difcourse concerning kings, it must be proved, that the present king, or those under whom he claims, is or were proprietors of all the lands in England, and granted the feveral parcels under the condition of fuffering patiently such inconveniencies and miseries as are above-mentioned: or that they who did confer the crown upon any of them, did also give a propriety in the land; which I do not find in any of the fifteen or fixteen titles that have been fince the coming in of the Normans: and if it was not done to the first of every one, it cannot accrue to the others,

<sup>·</sup> Volenti non fit injuria.

unless by some new act to the same purpose, which

will not eafily be produced.

It will be no less difficult to prove that any thing unworthy of free men is by any tenures imposed in England, unless it be the offering up of the wives and daughters of tenants to the lust of abbots and monks; and they are so far from being willingly suffer'd, that fince the dens and nurseries of those beasts were abolished, no man that succeeds them has had impudence sufficient to exact the performance; and tho' the letter of the law may savour them, the turpitude of the thing has extinguished the usage.

But even the kings of Ifrael and Judah, who brought upon the people those evils that had been foretold by Samuel, did not think they had a right to the powers they exercised. If the law had given a right to Ahab to take the best of their vineyards, he might without ceremony have taken that of Naboth, and by the majestic power of an absolute monarch, have chastized the churlish clown, who refused to sell or change it for another: but for want of it, he was obliged to take a very different course. If the lives of fubjects had in the like manner depended upon the will of kings, David might without scruple have killed Uriah, rather than to place him in the front of the army that he might fall by his own courage. The malice and treachery of fuch proceedings argues a defect of power; and he that acts in such an oblique manner, shews that his actions are not warranted by the law, which is boldly executed in the face of the fun. This shews the interpretation put upon the words, "against thee only have I sinned \*," by court-flatterers, to be false. If he had not sinned against Bathsheba whom he corrupted, Uriah whom

he caused to be killed, the people that he scandalized, and the law which he violated, he had never endeavoured to cover his guilt by so vile a fraud. And as he did not thereby fly the sight of God, but of men, 'tis evident that he in that action seared men more than God.

If by the examples of Israel and Judah, we may judge whether the inconveniencies and miseries brought upon nations by their kings be tolerable or intolerable, it will be enough to confider the madness of Saul's cruelty towards his fubjects, and the flaughter brought upon them by the hand of the Philistines on mount Gilboa, where he fell with the flower of all Ifrael; the civil wars that happened in the time of David, and the plague brought upon the people by his wickedness, the heavy burdens laid upon them by Solomon, and the idolatry favour'd by him; the wretched folly of Rehoboam, and the defection of the ten tribes caused by it; the idolatry established by Jeroboam and the kings of Ifrael, and that of many of those of Judah also: the frequent wars and unheard of flaughters enfuing thereupon between the tribes; the daily devastations of the country by all forts of strangers; the murders of the prophets; the abolition of God's worship; the desolation of towns and and provinces; the captivity of the ten tribes carried away into unknown countries; and in the end the abolition of both kingdoms, with the captivity of the tribe of Judah, and the utter destruction of the city. It cannot be faid that these things were suffer'd under kings, and not from or by them: for the desolation of the cities, people and country is in many places of scripture imputed to the kings that taught Israel to fin, as appears by what was denounced against Jeroboam, Jehu, Ahaz, Manasseh, Zedekiah, and others \*. Nay the captivity of Babylon with

<sup>\* 1</sup> King. xiv. 2 King. xxi. 2 King. xx.

the evils ensuing, were first announced to Hezekiah for his vanity; and Josiah by the like, brought a great slaughter upon himself and people. But if mischiefs fell upon the people by the frailty of these, who after David were the best, nothing surely less than the utmost of all miseries could be expected from such as were set to do evil, and to make the nation like to themselves, in which they met with

too great fuccess.

If it be pretended that God's people living under an extraordinary dispensation can be no example to us, I defire other histories may be examined; for I confess I know no nation so great, happy and profperous, nor any power so well established that two or three ill kings immediately fucceeding each other, have not been able to destroy and bring to such a condition, that it appeared the nations must perish, unless the senates, diets, and other assemblies of state had put a stop to the mischief, by restraining or deposing them; and tho' this might be proved by innumerable testimonies, I shall content myself with that of the Roman empire, which perished by the vices, corruption, and baseness of their princes: the noble kingdom of the Goths in Spain overthrown by the tyranny of Witza and Rodrigo: the present state of Spain now languishing and threatning ruin from the same causes: France brought to the last degree of misery and weakness by the degenerate races of Pharamond and Charles, preserved and restored by the virtues of Pepin and Capet; to which may be added those of our own country, which are so well known that I need not mention them.

## S E C T. VI.

'Tis not good' for Such nations as will have kings, to Suffer them to be glorious, powerful or abounding in riches.

UR author having hitherto spoken of all nations, as born under a necessity of being subject to absolute monarchy, which he pretends to have been fet up by the universal and indispensible law of God and nature, now feems to leave to their difcretion, whether they will have a king or not; but fays, that those " who will have a king, are bound " to allow him royal maintenance, by providing " revenues for the crown; fince it is for the honour, " profit and fafety of the people to have their king " glorious, powerful, and abounding in riches." If there be any thing of sense in this clause, there is nothing of truth in the foundation or principle of his whole book. For as the right and being of a father is natural or inherent, and no ways depending upon the will of the child; that of a king is so also, if he be, and ought to enjoy the rights belonging to the father of the people: and 'tis not less ridiculous to fay, "those who will have a king," than it would be to fay, " he that will have a father;" for every one must have one whether he will or not. But if the king be a father, as our author from thence infers that all laws are from him, none can be imposed upon him; and whatfoever the fubject enjoys is by his concessions: 'tis absurd to speak of an obligation lying upon the people to allow him royal maintenance, by providing revenues, fince he has all in himself, and they have nothing that is not from him, and depending upon his will. For this reason a worthy gentleman of the house of commons in the

year 1640. defired that the business of the judges, who in the star-chamber had given for their opinion concerning ship-money, " that in cases of necessity " the king might provide it by his own authority, " and that he was judge of that necessity," might be first examined, that they might know whether they had any thing to give, before they should speak of giving. And as 'tis certain, that if the sentence of those perjur'd wretches had stood, the subjects of England by consequence would have been found to have nothing to give; 'tis no less sure, that if our author's principle concerning the paternal and absolute power of kings be true, it will by a more compendious way appear, that it is not left to the choice of any nation, whether they will have a king or not; for they must have him, and can have nothing to allow him, but must receive all from him.

But if those only who will have a king, are bound to have one, and to allow this royal maintenance, such as will not have a king, are by one and the same act delivered from the necessity of having one, and from providing maintenance for him; which utterly overthrows the magnificent sabric of paternal monarchy; and the kings who were lately represented by our author, placed on the throne by God and nature, and endow'd with an absolute power over all, appear to be purely the creatures of the people, and to have nothing but what is receiv-

ed from them.

From hence it may be rationally inferred, that\*he who makes a thing to be, makes it to be only what he pleases. This must hold in relation to kings as well as other magistrates; and as they who made consuls, dictators, and military tribunes, gave them only such power, and for such a time as best pleased

in

in

in

pr

be

cip

<sup>\*</sup> Qui dat effe, dat modum effe.

themselves, 'tis impossible they should not have the fame right in relation to kings, in making them what they please, as well as not to make unless they please; except there be a charm belonging to the name, or the letters that compose it; which cannot belong to all nations, for they are different in every

one according to the feveral languages.

But, fays our author, 'tis" for the honour, pro-" fit, and fafety of the people that the king should " be glorious, powerful, and abounding in riches." There is therefore no obligation upon them, and they are to judge whether it be fo or not. The scripture says plainly the contrary: " He shall not " multiply filver and gold, wives and horses: he " shall not lift up his heart above his brethren \*." He shall not therefore be glorious, powerful, or abounding in riches. Reason and experience teach us the same thing: if those nations that have been proud, luxurious and vicious, have defired by pomp and riches to foment the vices of their princes, thereby to cherish their own; such as have excelled in virtue and good discipline have abhorred it, and except the immediate exercise of their office have kept their supreme magistrates to a manner of living little different from that of private men: and it had been imposible to maintain that frugality, in which the integrity of their manners did chiefly confift, if they had fet up an example directly contrary to it, in him who was to be an example to others; or to provide for their own fafety, if they had overthrown that integrity of manners by which it could only be obtained and preserved. There is a necessity incumbent upon every nation that lives in the like principle, to put a stop to the entrance of those vices that arise from the superfluity of riches, by keeping

<sup>\*</sup> Dent xvii.

their kings in that honest poverty, which is the mother and nurse of modesty, sobriety and all manner of virtue: and no man can deny this to be well done, unless he will affirm that pride, luxury and vice is more profitable to a nation than the virtues

that are upheld by frugality.

There is another reason of no less importance to those nations, who tho' they think fit to have kings, yet defire to preferve their liberty, which obliges them to fet limits to the glory, power and riches of their kings; and that is, that they can no otherwise be kept within the rules of the law. Men are naturally propense to corruption; and if he whose will and interest it is to corrupt them, be furnished with the means, he will never fail to do it. Power, honours, riches, and the pleasures that attend them, are the baits by which men are drawn to prefer a personal interest before the public good; and the number of those who covet them is so great, that he who abounds in them will be able to gain fo many to his fervice as shall be sufficient to subdue the rest. 'Tis hard to find a tyranny in the world that has not been introduced this way; for no man by his own strength could ever subdue a multitude; none could ever bring many to be subservient to his ill designs, but by the rewards they received or hoped. By this means Cæfar accomplished his work, and overthrew the liberty of his country, and with it all that was then good in the world. They who were corrupted in their minds, defired to put all the power and riches into his hands, that he might diftribute them to fuch as ferved him. And he who was nothing less than covetous in his own nature, defired riches, that he might gain followers; and by the plunder of Gaul he corrupted those that betray'd Rome to him. And tho' I do not delight to fpeak

peak of the affairs of our own time, I defire those who know the present state of France to tell me, whether it were possible for the king to keep that nation under servitude, if a vast revenue did not enable him to gain so many to his particular service as are sufficient to keep the rest in subjection: and if this be not enough, let them consider whether all the dangers that now threaten us at home, do not proceed from the madness of those who gave such a revenue, as is utterly unproportionable to the riches of the nation, unsuitable to the modest behaviour expected from our kings, and which in time will render parliaments unnecessary to them.

On the other hand, the poverty and simplicity of the Spartan kings was no less safe and profitable to the people, than truly glorious to them. Agefilaus denied that Artaxerxes was greater than he, unless he were more temperate or more valiant; and he made good his words fo well, that without any other affistance than what his wisdom and valour did afford, he struck such a terror into that great, rich, powerful and absolute monarch, that he did not think himself safe in Babylon or Ecbatan, till the poor Spartan was, by a captain of as great valour, and greater poverty, obliged to return from Asia to the defence of his own country. This was not peculiar to the fevere Laconic discipline. When the Roman kings were expelled, a few carts were prepared to transport their goods: and their lands which were confecrated to Mars, and now go under the name of Campus Martius, hardly contain ten acres of ground. Nay the kings of Israel, who led such vast armies into the field (that is, were followed by all the people who were able to bear arms) feem to have possessed little. Ahab, one of the most powerful, was fo fond of Naboth's vineyard (which being VOL. II.

the inheritance of his fathers, according to their equal division of lands, could not be above two acres)

that he grew fick when it was refused.

But if an allowance be to be made to every king, it must be either according to an universal rule or standard, or must depend upon the judgment of nations. If the first, they who have it, may do well to produce it; if the other, every nation proceeding according to the measure of their own discretion, is free from blame.

It may also be worth observation, whether the revenue given to a king be in fuch manner committed to his care, that he is obliged to employ it for the public fervice without the power of alienation; or whether it be granted as a propriety, to be fpent as he thinks fit. When some of the antient Jews and Christians scrupled the payment of tribute to the emperors, the reasons alledged to persuade them to a compliance, feem to be grounded upon a supposition of the first: for, said they, the defence of the state lies upon them, which cannot be perform'd without armies and garifons; these cannot be maintained without pay, nor money raifed to pay them without tributes and customs. This carries a face of reason with it, especially in those countries which are perpetually or frequently subject to invafions; but this will not content our author. He speaks of employing the revenue in keeping his house, and looks upon it as a propriety to be fpent as he thinks convenient; which is no less than to cast it into a pit, of which no man ever knew the bottom. That which is given in one day, is fquandred away the next: the people is always oppress'd with impositions, to foment the vices of the court: these daily increasing, they grow insatiable, and the miserable nations are compelled to hard labour in order

Sect. 6. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 51 order to fatiate those lusts that tend to their own ruin.

It may be confider'd that the virtuous \* Pagans, by the light of nature, discovered the truth of this. Poverty grew odious in Rome, when great men by defiring riches put a value upon them, and introduced that pomp and luxury which could not be borne by men of small fortunes. From thence all furies and mischiefs seem'd to break loose: the base, slavish, and fo often subdued Asia, by the basest of men revenged the defeats they had received from the bravest; and by infufing into them a delight in pomp and luxury, in a short time rendred the strongest and bravest of nations the weakest and basest. I wish our own experience did not too plainly manifest, that these evils were never more prevalent than in our days, when the luxury, majestic pomp, and absolute power of a neighbouring king must be supported by an abundance of riches torn out of the bowels of his subjects, which renders them, in the best country of the world, and at a time when the crown most flourishes, the poorest and most miserable of all the nations under the fun. We too well know who are most apt to learn from them, and by what means and steps they endeavour to lead us into the like mifery. But the bird is fafe when the fnare is discover'd; and if we are not abandoned by God to destruction, we shall never be brought to confent to the fettling of that pomp, which is against the practice of all virtuous people, and has brought all the nations that have been taken with it into the ruin that is intended for us.

Luxuria incubuit, victumque ulcifcitur orbem.

Nullum crimen abest, facinusque lib dinis, ex quo

Paupertas Romana perit. Juvenal. Sat. 6. 292.

### S E C T. VII.

When the Israelites asked for such a king as the nations about them had, they asked for a tyrant, tho' they did not call him so.

TOW that Saul was no tyrant," fays our author, " note, that the people asked a " king as all nations had: God answers, and bids " Samuel to hear the voice of the people in all things " which they spake, and appoint them a king. "They did not ask a tyrant; and to give them a " tyrant when they asked a king, had not been to " hear their voice in all things, but rather when they " asked an egg to have given them a scorpion; " unless we will say that all nations had tyrants." But before he drew such a conclusion, he should have observed, that God did not give them a scorpion when they asked an egg, but told them that was a fcorpion which they called an egg: they would have a king to judge them, to go out before them, and to fight their battels: but God in effect told them he would overthrow all justice, and turn the power that was given him, to the ruin of them and their posterity. But fince they would have it so, he commanded Samuel to hearken to their voice, and for the punishment of their in and folly, to give them fuch a king as they aske, that is, one who would turn to his own profit and their mifery, the power with which he should be entrusted; and this truly denominates a tyrant. Aristotle makes no other distinction between a king and a tyrant, than that the king governs for the good of the people, the tyrant for his own pleasure and profit: and they who asked fuch a one, asked a tyrant, tho' they called him a king. This is all could be done in their language: for

for as they who are skilled in the oriental tongues affure me, there is no name for a tyrant in any of them, or any other way of expressing the thing than by circumlocution, and adding proud, infolent, luftful, cruel, violent, or the like epithets, to the word lord, or king. They did in effect ask a tyrant: they would not have such a king as God had ordain'd, but fuch a one as the nations had. Not that all nations had tyrants; but those who were round about them, of whom they had knowledge, and which in their manner of speaking went under the name of all, were bleffed with fuch masters. This way of expression was used by Lot's daughters, who faid, there was not a man in all the earth to come in to them; because there was none in the neighbourhood, with whom it was thought fit they should accompany. Now, that the eastern nations were then, and are still under the government of those which all free people call tyrants, is evident to all men. God therefore in giving them a tyrant, or rather a government that would turn into tyranny, gave them what they asked under another name; and without any blemish to the mercy promifed to their fathers, suffered them to bear the penalty of their wickedness and folly in rejecting him that he should not reign over them.

But tho' the name of tyrant was unknown to them, yet in Greece, from whence the word comes, it fignified no more than one who governed according to his own will, diffinguished from kings that governed by law; and was not taken in an ill sense, till those who had been advanced for their justice, wisdom and valour, or their descendants, were found to depart from the ends of their institution, and to turn that power to the oppression of the people, which had been given for their protection: but by

y

e

nt

ed

or

these means it grew odious, and that kind of government came to be thought only tolerable by the basest of men; and those who destroy'd it, were in all places esteemed to be the best.

If monarchy had been universally evil, God had not in the 17th of Deuteronomy given leave to the Israelites to set up a king; and if that kind of king had been asked, he had not been displeased: and they could not have been faid to reject God, if they had not asked that which was evil; for nothing that is good is contrary, or inconfistent with a peoples obedience to him. The monarchy they asked was displeasing to God, it was therefore evil. But a tyrant is no more than an evil or corrupted monarch: the king therefore that they demanded was a tyrant: God in granting one who would prove a tyrant, gave them what they asked; and that they might know what they did, and what he would be, he told them they rejected him, and should cry by reason of the king they defired.

This denotes him to be a tyrant: for as the government of a king ought to be gentle and easy, tending to the good of the people, resembling the tender care of a father to his family; if he who is set up to be a king, and to be like to that father, do lay a neavy yoke upon the people, and use them as slaves and not as children, he must renounce all resemblance of a father, and be accounted an enemy.

"But," fays our author, "whereas the peoples crying argues fome tyrannical oppression, we may remember that the peoples cries are not always an argument of their living under a tyrant. No man will say Solomon was a tyrant, yet all the congregation complain'd that Solomon made their yoke grievous." Tis strange, that when children, nay when whelps cry, it should be accounted a mark that

that they are troubled, and that the cry of the whole people should be none: or that the government which is erected for their ease, should not be esteemed tyrannical if it prove grievous to those it should relieve. But as I know no example of a people that did generally complain without cause, our adversaries must alledge some other than that of Solomon, before I believe it of any. We are to speak reverently of him: he was excellent in wisdom; he built the temple, and God appeared twice to him: but it must be confess'd, that during a great part of his life he acted directly contrary to the law given by God to kings, and that his ways were evil and oppressive to the people, if those of God were good. Kings were forbidden to multiply horses, wives, filver and gold: but he brought together more filver and gold, and provided more horses, wives and concubines than any man is known to have had: and tho' he did not actually return to Egypt, yet he introduced their abominable idolatry, and so far raised his heart above his brethren, that he made them fubfervient to his pomp and glory. The people might probably be pleased with a great part of this; but when the yoke became grievous, and his foolish son would not render it more easy, they threw it off; and the thing being from the Lord, it was good, unless he be evil.

But as just governments are established for the good of the governed, and the Israelites desir'd a king, that it might be well with them, not with him, who was not yet known to them; that which exalts one to the prejudice of those that made him, must always be evil, and the people that suffers the prejudice must needs know it better than any other. He that denies this, may think the state of France might have been best known from Bulion the late treasurer,

E 4

who

who finding Lewis the thirteenth to be troubled at the peoples misery, told him they were too happy, since they were not reduced to eat grass. But if words are to be understood as they are ordinarily used, and we have no other than that of tyranny to express a monarchy that is either evil in the institution, or fallen into corruption, we may justly call that tyranny which the scripture calls a grievous yoke, and which neither the old nor the new counsellors of Rehoboam could deny to be so: for tho' the first advised him to promise amendment, and the others to do worse, yet all agreed that what the people said was true.

This yoke is always odious to fuch as are not by natural stupidity and baseness fitted for it; but those who are so, never complain. An ass will bear a multitude of blows patiently, but the least of them drives a lion into rage. He that said, the rod is made for the back of sools, confessed that oppression will make a wise man mad. And the most unnatural of all oppressions is to use lions like asses, and to lay that yoke upon a generous nation, which only the basest can deserve; and for want of a better word

we call this tyranny.

Our author is not contented to vindicate Solomon only, but extends his indulgence to Saul. His custom is to patronize all that is detestable, and no better testimony could be given of it. "It is true, says he, "Saul lost his kingdom, but not for being too cruel or tyrannical unto his subjects, but for being too merciful unto his enemies:" but he alledges no other reason, than that the slaughter of the priests is not blamed; not observing that the writers of the scripture in relating those things that are known to be abominable by the light of nature, frequently say no more of them: and if this be not so, Lot's drunkenness and incest, Reuben's pollution of his father's

father's bed, Abimelech's flaughter of his feventy brothers, and many of the most wicked acts that ever were committed, may pass for laudable and innocent. But if Saul were not to be blamed for killing the priefts, why was David blamed for the death of \* Uriah? Why were the dogs to lick the blood of Ahab and Jezebel, if they did nothing more than kings might do without blame? Now if the flaughter of one man was fo feverely avenged upon the authors and their families, none but such as Filmer can think that of fo many innocent men, with their wives and children, could escape unreproved or unpunished. But the whole series of the history of Saul shewing evidently that his life and reign were full of the most violent cruelty and madness, we are to feek no other reason for the ruin threatned and brought upon him and his family. And as those princes who are most barbarously favage against their own people, are usually most gentle to the enemies of their country, he could not give a more certain testimony of his hatred to those he ought to have protected, than by preferving those nations, who were their most irreconcileable enemies. This is proved by reason as well as by experience; for every man knows he cannot bear the hatred of all mankind: fuch as know they have enemies abroad, endeavour to get friends at home: those who command powerful nations, and are beloved by them, fear not to offend strangers. But if they have rendred their own people enemies to them, they cannot hope for help in a time of diffress, nor. fo much as a place of retreat or refuge, unless from strangers, nor from them unless they deserve it, by favouring them to the prejudice of their own coun-

0

is

try.

<sup>\*</sup> Thou hast killed Uriah with the sword of the children of Ammon: now therefore the sword shall never depart from thy house:

try. As no man can serve two masters, no man can pursue two contrary interests: Moses, Joshua, Gideon and Samuel, were severe to the Amorites, Midianites and Canaanites, but mild and gentle to the Hebrews. Saul, who was cruel to the Hebrews, spared the Amalekites, whose preservation was their destruction: and whilst he destroyed those he should have saved, and saved those that by a general and particular command of God he should have destroyed, he lost his ill-govern'd kingdom, and lest an example to posterity of the end that may be expected

from pride, folly and tyranny.

The matter would not be much alter'd, if I should confess, that in the time of Saul all nations were governed by tyrants (tho' it is not true, for Greece did then flourish in liberty, and we have reason to believe that other nations did so also) for the' they might not think of a good government at the first. nothing can oblige men to continue under one that is bad, when they discover the evils of it, and know how to mend it. They who trusted men that appeared to have great virtues, with fuch a power as might eafily be turned into tyranny, might justly retract, limit or abolish it, when they found it to be abused. And tho' no condition had been reserved. the public good, which is the end of all \* government, had been fufficient to abrogate all that should tend to the contrary. As the malice of men and their inventions to do mischief increase daily, all would foon be brought under the power of the worst, if care were not taken, and opportunities embraced to find new ways of preventing it. He that should make war at this day as the best commanders did two hundred years past, would be beaten by the meanest foldier. The places then accounted im-

<sup>·</sup> Salus populi fuprema lex.

pregnable are now flighted as indefensible; and if the arts of defending were not improved as well as those of affaulting, none would be able to hold out a day. Men were sent into the world rude and ignorant, and if they might not have used their natural faculties to find out that which is good for themselves, all must have been condemn'd to continue in the ignorance of our first fathers, and to make no use of their understanding to the ends for

which it was given.

The bestial barbarity in which many nations, especially of Africa, America and Afia, do now live, shews what human nature is, if it be not improved by art and discipline; and if the first errors, committed through ignorance, might not be corrected, all would be obliged to continue in them, and for any thing I know, we must return to the religion, manners and policy that were found in our country at Cafar's landing. To affirm this is no less than to deftroy all that is commendable in the world, and to render the understanding given to men utterly useless. But if it be lawful for us by the use of that understanding to build houses, ships and forts better than our ancestors, to make such arms as are most fit for our defence, and to invent printing, with an infinite number of other arts beneficial to mankind, why have we not the same right in matters of government, upon which all others do almost absolutely depend? If men are not obliged to live in caves and hollow trees, to eat acorns, and to go naked, why should they be for ever obliged to continue under the fame form of government that their ancestors happened to set up in the time of their ignorance? Or if they were not so ignorant to set up one that was not good enough for the age in which they lived, why should it not be altered,

when tricks are found out to turn that to the prejudice of nations, which was erected for their good? From whence should malice and wickedness gain a privilege of putting new inventions to do mischief every day in practice? and who is it that so far protects them, as to forbid good and innocent men to find new ways also of defending themselves from it? If there be any that do this, they must be such as live in the same principle; who whilst they pretend to exercise justice, provide only for the indemnity of their own crimes, and the advancement of unjust defigns. They would have a right of attacking us with all the advantages of the arms now in use, and the arts which by the practice of fo many ages have been wonderfully refined, whilst we should be obliged to imploy no others in our just defence, than fuch as were known to our naked ancestors when Cæfar invaded them, or to the Indians when they fell under the dominion of the Spaniards. would be a compendious way of placing uncontrol'd iniquity in all the kingdoms of the world, and to overthrow all that deserves the name of good by the introduction of fuch accurfed maxims. if no man dares to acknowledge any fuch, except those whose acknowledgement is a discredit, we ought not to fuffer them to be obliquely obtruded. upon us, nor to think that God has so far abandoned us into the hands of our enemies, as not to leave us the liberty of using the same arms in our defence as they do to offend and injure us.

We shall be told, that prayers and tears were the only arms of the first Christians, and that Christ commanded his disciples to pray for those that perfecuted them: but besides that those precepts of the most extreme lenity do ill suit with the violent practices of those who attempt to enslave nations, and who

who by alledging them do plainly shew either that they do not extend to all Christians, or that they themselves are none whilst they act contrary to them, they are to know, that those precepts were merely temporary, and directed to the persons of the apostles, who were armed only with the fword of the spirit; that the primitive Christians used prayers and tears only no longer than whilft they had no other arms. But knowing that by lifting themselves under the ensigns of Christianity they had not lost the rights belonging to all mankind, when nations came to be converted, they no way thought themselves obliged to give their enemies a certain opportunity of destroying them, when God had put means into their hands of defending themselves; and proceeded so far in this way, that the Christian valour soon became no less famous and remarkable than that of the Pagans. They did with the utmost vigour defend both their civil and religious rights against all the powers of earth and hell, who by force and fraud endeavoured to destroy them.

### S E C T. VIII.

Under the name of tribute no more is understood than what the law of each nation gives to the supreme magistrate for the defraying of public charges; to which the eustoms of the Romans, or sufferings of the Jews have no relation.

"If any defire the directions of the new testament," fays our author," "he may find our Saviour "limiting and distinguishing royal power, by "giving to Cæsar those things that are Cæsar's, and to "God the things that are God's." But that will be of no advantage to him in this contest. We do not deny to any man that which is his due; but do not

fo well know who is Cæsar, nor what it is that can truly be faid to be due to him. I grant that when those words were spoken, the power of the Romans exercifed by Tiberius was then expressed by the name of Cæfar, which he without any title had affumed. The Jews amongst many other nations having been fubdued, fubmitted to it; and being no way competent judges of the rights belonging to the fenate or people of Rome, were obliged to acknowledge that power which their masters were under. They had no commonwealth of their own, nor any other government amongst themselves, that was not precarious. They thought Christ was to have restored their kingdom, and by them to have reigned over the nations; but he shewed them they were to be subject to the Gentiles and that within few years their city and temple should be destroy'd. Their commonwealth must needs expire when all that was prefigured by it was accomplished. It was not for them at fuch a time to prefume upon their abrogated privileges, nor the promifes made to them, which were then fulfilled. Nay, they had by their fins profaned themselves, and given to the Gentiles a right over them, which none could have had, if they had continued in their obedience to the law of God. This was the foundation of the Cæsars dominion over them, but can have no influence upon us. The first of the Cæfars had not been fet up by them: the feries of them had not been continued by their confent: they had not interrupted the fuccession by placing or displacing such as they pleased: they had not brought in strangers or bastards, nor preferred the remotest in blood before the nearest: they had no part in making the laws by which they were governed, nor had the Cæfars fworn to them: they had no great charter acknowledging their liberties

R

de

if

to

We

vir

We

is c

berties to be innate or inherent in them, confirmed by immemorial custom, and strengthen'd by thirty acts of their own general affemblies, with the affent of the Romans: the Cæfar who then governed came not to the power by their confent: the question, " will ye have this man to reign?" had never been asked; but he being imposed upon them, they were to fubmit to the laws by which he governed their masters. This can be nothing to us, whose case is in every respect most unlike to theirs. We have no dictatorian power over us; and neither we nor our fathers have render'd or owed obedience to any human laws but our own, nor to any other magistracy than what we have established. We have a king who reigns by law. His power is from the \* " law " that makes him king:" and we can know only from thence what he is to command, and what we are obliged to obey. We know the power of the Cæfars was usurped, maintained and exercised with the most detestable violence, injustice and cruelty. But tho' it had been established by the consent of the Romans from an opinion that it was good for them in that state of affairs, it were nothing to us: and we could be no more obliged to follow their example in that, than to be governed by confuls, tribunes, and decemviri, or to constitute such a government as they fet up when they expelled their kings. Their authority was as good at one time as at the other; or if a difference ought to be made, the preference is to be given to what they did when their manners were most pure, the people most free, and when virtue was most flourishing among them. But if we are not obliged to fet up fuch a magistracy as they had, 'tis ridiculous to think that fuch an obedience is due to one who is not in being as they paid to him

y y :

<sup>\*</sup> Lex facit ut fit rex. Bradon,

that was. And if I should confess that Casar holding the fenate and people of Rome under the power of the fword, imposed what tribute he pleased upon the provinces; and that the Jews, who had no part in the government, were obliged to submit to his will, our liberty of paying nothing, except what the parliament appoints, and yielding obedience to no laws but fuch as are made to be fo by their authority, or by our own immemorial customs, could not be thereby infringed. But we may justly affirm, that the tribute imposed was not, as our author infers, " all their coin," nor a confiderable part of it, nor more than what was understood to go for the defraying of the public charges. Christ by asking whose image and superscription was stampt upon their money, and thereupon commanding them to give to Cæsar that which was Cæsar's, did not imply that all was his; but that Cæsar's money being current amongst them, it was a continual and evident testimony, that they acknowledged themselves to be under his jurisdiction, and therefore could not refuse to pay the tribute laid upon them by the same authority, as other nations did.

It may also be observed, that Christ did not so much say this to determine the questions that might arise concerning Cæsar's power: for he plainly says, that was not his work; but to put the Pharises to silence who tempted him. According to the opinion of the Jews, that the Messias would restore the kingdom of Israel, they thought his first work would be to throw off the Roman yoke; and not believing him to be the man, they would have brought him to avow the thing, that they might destroy him. But as that was not his business, and that his time was not yet come, it was not necessary to give them any other answer, than such as might disappoint

fo

an

in

tul

COL

disappoint their purpose. This shews that, without detracting from the honour due to Austin, Ambrose or Tertullian, I may justly say, that the decision of fuch questions as arise concerning our government must be decided by our laws, and not by their writings. They were excellent men, but living in another time, under a very different government, and applying themselves to other matters, they had no knowledge at all of those that concern us. They knew what government they were under, and thereupon judged what a broken and dispersed people ow'd to that which had given law to the best part of the world before they were in being, under which they had been educated, and which after a most cruel persecution was become propitious to them. They knew that the word of the emperor was a law to the fenate and people, who were under the power of that man that could get the best army; but perhaps had never heard of fuch mixed governments as ours, tho' about that time they began to appear in the world. And it might be as reasonably concluded, that there ought to be no rule in the fuccession or election of princes, because the Roman emperors were fet up by the violence of the foldiers, and for the most part by the slaughter of him who was in possession of the power, as that all other princes must be absolute when they have it, and do what they please, till another more strong and more happy, may by the like means wrest the fame power from them.

I am much mistaken if this be not true; but without prejudice to our cause, we may take that which they say, according to their true meaning, in the utmost extent. And to begin with Tertullian: 'tis good to consider the subject of his discourse, and to whom he wrote. The treatise cited

Vol. II.

r

o

it

-

ne

rek

ot

ve ht

nd

ry

nt

F

by

by our author is the Apologetic, and tends to perfuade the Pagans, that civil magistrates might not intermeddle with religion; and that the laws made by them touching those matters, were of no value, as relating to things of which they had no cognifance, " \* 'Tis not, fays he, length of time, " nor the dignity of the legislators, but equity only " that can commend laws; and when any are found " to be unjust, they are deservedly condemned." By which words he denied that the magistratical power which the Romans acknowledged in Cæsar, had any thing to do in spiritual things. And little advantage can be taken by Christian princes from what he says concerning the Roman emperors; for he expresly declares, "+ That the Cæfars would have believed " in Christ, if they had either not been necessary " to the fecular government, or that Christians " might have been Cæfars." This feems to have proceeded from an opinion received by Christians in the first ages, that the use of the civil as well as the military fword was equally accurfed: that " Christians " were to be ‡ fons of peace, enemies to no man; and " that Christ by commanding Peter to put up his " fword, did for ever disarm all Christians." He proceeds to fay, " || We cannot fight to defend our " goods, having in our baptism renounced the world, " and all that is in it; nor to gain honours, account-" ing nothing more foreign to us than public affairs, " and acknowledging no other commonwealth than

† Filii pacis, nullius hostes; & Christus exarmando Petrum, om-

nem Christianum militem in æternum descinxit. Ibid.

<sup>\*</sup> Leges non annorum numerus, nec conditorum dignitas, fed fola asquitas commendat, atque ideo si iniquæ cognoscuntur merito damnaotur. Tertul. Ap.

<sup>+</sup> Sed & Casares super Christo credidissent, si aut Casares non essent sæculo necessarii, aut Christiani potuissent esse Cæsares. Ibid.

Nobis omnis gloriæ & dignitatis ardore frigentibus, &c. Nec alia res est nobis magis aliena quam publica: unam nobis rempublicam mundum agnoscimus. " that

" that of the whole world;" nor to fave our lives, because we account it a happiness to be killed. He diffuades the Pagans from executing Christians, rather from charity to them in keeping them from the crime of flaughtering the innocent, than that they were unwilling to fuffer: and gives no other reasons of their prayers for the emperors, than that they were commanded to love their enemies, and to pray for those who persecuted them, except such as he drew from a mistake, that the world was shortly to finish with the dissolution of the empire. his works, as well those that were written before he fell into Montanism, as those published afterwards, are full of the like opinions; and if Filmer acknowledges them to be true, he must confess, that princes are not fathers, but \* enemies: and not only they, but all those who render themselves ministers of the powers they execute, in taking upon them the fword that Christ had cursed, do renounce him; and we may confider how to proceed with fuch as do fo. If our author will not acknowledge this, then no man was ever guilty of a more vile prevarication than he, who alledges those words in favour of his cause, which have their only strength in opinions that he thinks false, and in the authority of a man whom in that very thing he condemns; and must do so, or overthrow all that he endeavours to fupport. But Tertullian's opinions concerning these matters have no relation to our present question. The defign of his apology, and the treatife to Scapula almost upon the same subject, was to show, that the civil magistracy which he comprehends under the name of Cæfar, had nothing to do with matters of religion; and that, as no man could be

<sup>\*</sup> Qui enim magis inimici Christianorum, quam de quorum majestate convenimur in crimen. Tertul. ib.

a Christian who would undertake the work of a magistrate, they who were jealous the public offices might be taken out of their hands, had nothing to fear from Christians who refolved not to meddle with them. Whereas our question is only, whether that magistratical power, which by law or usurpation was then in Cæsar, must necessarily in all times, and in all places, be in one man, or may be divided and balanced according to the laws of every country, concerning which he fays nothing: or whether we, who do not renounce the use of the civil or military fword, who have a part in the government, and think it our duty to apply ourselves to public cares, should lay them aside because the antient Christians every hour expecting death, did not trouble themfelves with them.

If Ambrose after he was a bishop, employ'd the ferocity of a foldier which he still retained, rather in advancing the power of the clergy, than the good of mankind by restraining the rage of tyrants, it can be no prejudice to our cause, of which he had no cognisance. He spoke of the violent and despotical government, to which he had been a minister before his baptism, and seems to have had no knowledge of the Gothic polity, that within a few years grew famous by the overthrow of the Roman tyranny, and delivering the world from the yoke which it could no longer bear. And if Austin might fay, that " the emperor is subject to no laws, because he " has a power of making laws," I may as justly fay, that our kings are subject to laws because they can make no law, and have no power but what is given by the laws. If this be not the case, I defire to know who made the laws, to which they and their predecessors have fworn; and whether they can according to their own will abrogate those antient

tient laws by which they are made to be what they are, and by which we enjoy what we have; or whether they can make new laws by their own power? If no man but our author have impudence enough to affert any fuch thing; and if all the kings we ever had, except Richard the fecond, did renounce it, we may conclude that Austin's words have no relation to our dispute; and that 'twere to no purpose to examine, whether the fathers mention any refervation of power to the laws of the land, or to the people, it being as lawful for all nations, if they think fit, to frame governments different from those that were then in being, as to build bastions, halfmoons, hornworks, ravelins or counterscarps, or to make use of muskets, cannon, mortars, carabines or pistols which were unknown to them.

What Solomon fays of the Hebrew kings, does as little concern us. We have already proved their power not to have been absolute, tho' greater than that which the law allows to ours. It might upon occasion be a prudent advice to private persons living under fuch governments as were usual in eastern countries, " to keep the king's commandments, " and not to fay, what dost thou? because where the " word of a king is, there is power, and all that he " pleafeth he will do." But all these words are not his; and those that are, must not be taken in a general fense; for tho' his son was a king, yet in his words there was no power: he could not do what he pleafed, nor hinder others from doing what they pleased: he would have added weight to the yoke that lay upon the necks of the Israelites, but he could not; and we do not find him to have been mafter of much more than his own tongue, to speak as many foolish things as he pleased. In other things, whether he

had to deal with his own people, or with strangers, he was weak and impotent; and the wretches who flatter'd him in his follies, could be of no help to him. The like has befallen many others: those who are wife, virtuous, valiant, just, and lovers of their people, have and ought to have power; but fuch as are lewd, vicious, foolish, and haters of their people, ought to have none, and are often deprived of all. This was well known to Solomon, who fays, that " a wife child is better than an old and foolish king " that will not be advised." When Nabuchodonofor fet himfelf in the place of God, his kingdom was taken from him, and he was driven from the fociety of men to herd with beafts. There was power for a time in the word of Nero: he murdered many excellent men; but he was call'd to account, and the world abandon'd the monster it had too long endur'd. He found none to defend him, nor any better help when he defir'd to die, than the hand of a flave. Besides this, some kings by their institution have little power; fome have been deprived of what they had, for abufing, or rendring themselves unworthy of it; and histories afford us innumerable examples of both forts.

But the I should confess that there is always power in the word of a king, it would be nothing to us who dispute concerning right, and have no regard to that power which is void of it. A thief or a pirate may have power; but that avails him not, when, as often befel the Cæsars, he meets with one who has more, and is always unsafe, since having no effect upon the consciences of men, every one may destroy him that can: and I leave it to kings to consider how much they stand obliged to those, who placing their rights upon the same foot, expose their persons to

the fame dangers.

But if kings defire that in their word there should be power, let them take care that it be always accompanied with truth and justice. Let them seek the good of their people, and the hands of all good men will be with them. Let them not exalt themselves insolently, and every one will defire to exalt them. Let them acknowledge themselves to be the fervants of the public, and all men will betheirs. Let fuch as are most addicted to them, talk no more of Cæsars, nor the tributes due to them. We have nothing to do with the name of Cæfar. They who at this day live under it, reject the prerogatives antiently usurped by those that had it, and are govern'd by no other laws than their own. We know no law to which we owe obedience but that of God, and ourselves. Asiatic slaves usually pay fuch tributes as are imposed upon them; and whilst braver nations lay under the Roman tyranny, they were forced to submit to the same burdens. But even those tributes were paid for maintaining armies, fleets and garrisons, without which the poor and abject life they led could not have been preserved. We owe none but what we freely give. None is or can be imposed upon us, unless by ourselves. We measure our grants according to our own will, or the prefent occasions, for our own fafety. Our ancestors were born free, and, as the best provision they could ma e for us, they left us that liberty intire, with the best laws they could devise to defend it. 'Tis no way impair'd by the opinions of the fathers, The words of Solomon do rather confirm it. The happiness of those who enjoy the like, and the shameful misery they lie under, who have suffer'd themselves to be forced or cheated out of it, may persuade, and the justice of the cause encourage us to F 4 think

of it.

72

#### S E C T. IX.

Our own laws confirm to us the enjoyment of our native rights.

TF that which our author calls divinity did reach the things in dispute between us, or that the opinions of the fathers which he alledges, related to them, he might have spared the pains of examining our laws: for a municipal fanction were of little force to confirm a perpetual and universal law given by God to mankind, and of no value against it, fince man cannot abrogate what God hath instituted, nor one nation free itself from a law that is given to But having abused the scriptures, and the writings of the fathers, (whose opinions are to be valued only fo far as they rightly interpret them) he feems defirous to try whether he can as well put a false sense upon our law, and has fully compassed his defign. According to his custom he takes pieces of paffages from good books, and turns them directly against the plain meaning of the authors, expressed in the whole scope and design of their writings. To show that he intends to spare none, he is not ashamed to cite Bracton, who of all our antient law-writers is most opposite to his maxims. He lived, says he, in Henry the third's time, fince parliaments were instituted: as if there had been a time when England had wanted them; or that the establishment of our liberty had been made by the Normans, who, if we will believe our author, came in by force of arms, and oppressed us. But we have already proved the effence of parliaments to be as antient as our nation, and that there was no time in which there were

were not fuch councils or affemblies of the people as had the power of the whole, and made or unmade fuch laws as best pleased themselves. We have indeed a French word from a people that came from France, but the power was always in ourselves; and the Norman kings were obliged to fwear they would govern according to the laws that had been made by those affemblies. It imports little whether Bracton lived before or after they came amongst us. His words are, "Omnes fub eo, & ipse sub nullo, " fed tantum fub Deo; all are under him and he " under none but God only. If he offend, fince " no writ can go out against him, their remedy is " by petitioning him to amend his faults; which if " he will not do, it is punishment enough for him " to expect God as an avenger. Let none prefume " to look into his deeds, much less to oppose him." Here is a mixture of fense and nonsense, truth and falshood, the words of Bracton with our author's foolish inferences from them. Bracton spoke of the politic capacity of the king, when no law had forbidden him to divide it from his natural. He gave the name of king to the fovereign power of the nation, as Jacob called that of his descendants the sceptre; which he said should not depart from Judah till Shiloh came, tho' all men know that his race did not reign the third part of that time over his own tribe, nor full fourfcore years over the whole nation. The fame manner of speech is used in all parts of the world. Tertullian under the name of Cæsar comprehended all magistratical power, and imputed to him the acts of which in his person he never had any knowledge. The French fay, their king is always present, " fur fon lit de justice," in all the fovereign courts of the kingdom, which are not easily numbered; and that maxim could have in it neither

ther sense nor truth, if by it they meant a man, who can be but in one place at one time, and is always comprehended within the dimensions of his own fkin. These things could not be unknown to Bracton, the like being in use amongst us; and he thought it no offence so far to follow the dictates of reason prohibited by no law, as to make a difference between the invifible and omnipresent king, who never dies, and the person that wears the crown, whom no man without the guilt of treason may endeavour to kill, fince there is an act of parliament in the case. I will not determine whether he spoke properly or no as to England; but if he did not, all that he said being upon a false supposition, is nothing to our purpose. The same Bracton says " the " king doth no wrong," in as much as he doth nothing but by law. "\* The power of the king is " the power of the law, a power of right not of wrong." Again, " If + the king does injustice, " he is not king." In another place he has these words; " The king therefore ought to exercise " the power of the law, as becomes the vicar and " minister of God upon earth, because that power " is the power of God alone; but the power of "doing wrong is the power of the devil, and not of "God. And the king is his minister whose work " he does: whilft he does justice, he is the vicar of " the eternal king; but if he deflect from it to act " unjustly, he is the minister of the devil." He also says that the king is "fingulis major, universis

+ Qui si facit injuriam, non est rex. Ibid.

<sup>\*</sup> Potestas regis est potestas legis, potestas juris non injuriæ.

Braa. de leg. Angl.

<sup>†</sup> Exercere igitur debet rex potestatem juris sicut Dei vicarius & minister in terra, quia illa potestas solius Dei est, potestas autem injuriæ diaboli est non Dei; & cujus horum opera secerit rex, ejus minister erit: igitur dum facit justitiam, vicarius est regis æterni: minister autem diaboli dum declinet ad injuriam. Ibid. 1. 3.

"" minor;"

" minor;" and that he who is " in justitia exequen-" da omnibus major, in justitia recipienda cuilibet " ex plebe fit equalis." I shall not say Bracton is in the right when he speaks in this manner; but 'tis a strange impudence in Filmer to cite him as a patron of the absolute power of kings, who does so extremely depress them. But the groffest of his follies is yet more pardonable than his deteftable fraud in falfifying Bracton's words, and leaving out fuch as are not for his purpose, which shew his meaning to be directly contrary to the fense put upon them. That this may appear, I shall fet down the words as they are found in Bracton: " Ipfe " autem rex non debet effe sub homine, sed sub " Deo, & sub lege, quia lex facit regem. Attri-" buat ergo rex legi quod lex attribuit ei, id est do-" minationem & potestatem: non est enim rex ubi " dominatur voluntas & non lex; & quod fub lege " effe debeat, cum sit Dei vicarius, evidenter apparet." If Bracton therefore be a competent judge, the king is under the law; and he is not a king, nor God's vicegerent unless he be so; and we all know how to proceed with those who being under the law, offend against it. For the law is not made in vain. In this case something more is to be done than petitioning; and 'tis ridiculous to fay, " that if " he will not amend, 'tis punishment " enough for him to expect God an avenger;" for the same may be said of all malesactors. God can fufficiently punish thieves and murderers: but the future judgment, of which perhaps they have no belief, is not fufficient to restrain them from committing more crimes, nor to deter others from following their example. God was always able to punish murderers, but yet by his law he commands man to shed the blood of him who should shed man's

man's biood; and declares that the land cannot be purged of the guilt by any other means. He had judgments in store for Jeroboam, Ahab, and those that were like them; but yet he commanded that, according to that law, their houses should be destroy'd from the earth. The dogs lick'd up the blood of Ahab, where they had licked that of Naboth, and eat Jezebel who had contrived his murder. " But," fays our author, "we must not look into his deeds, " much less oppose them." Must not David look into Saul's deeds, nor oppose them? Why did he then bring together as many men as he could to oppose, and make foreign alliances against him, even with the Moabites and the accurfed Philistines? Why did Jehu not only destroy Ahab's house but kill the king of Judah and his forty brothers, only for going to vifit his children? Our author may perhaps fay, because God commanded them. But if God commanded them to do fo, he did not command them and all mankind not to do fo; and if he did not forbid, they have nothing to restrain them from doing the like, unless they have made municipal laws of their own to the contrary, which our author and his followers may produce when they can find them.

His next work is to go back again to the tribute paid by Christ to Cæsar, and judiciously to infer, that all nations must pay the same duty to their magistrates, as the Jews did to the Romans who had subdued them. "Christ did not," says he, "ask what the "law of the land was, nor inquire whether there "was a statute against it, nor whether the tribute were given by the consent of the people, but up-"on sight of the superscription concluded, &c." It had been strange if Christ had inquired after their laws, statutes or consent, when he knew that their commonwealth, with all the laws by which it had substituted.

fubfisted, was abolished; and that Israel was become a servant to those who exercised a most violent domination over them; which being a peculiar punishment for their peculiar sins, can have no influence upon nations that are not under the same circumstances.

But of all that he says, nothing is more incomprehensible, than what he can mean by lawful kings to whom all is due that was due to the Roman usurpers. For lawful kings are kings by the law: In being kings by the law, they are such kings as the law makes them, and that law only must tell us what is due to them; or by an universal patriarchical right, to which no man can have a title, as is said before, till he prove himself to be the right heir of Noah. If neither of these are to be regarded, but that right follows possession, there is no such thing as an usurper; he who has the power has the right, as indeed Filmer says, and his wisdom as well as his integrity is sufficiently declared by the affertion.

This wicked extravagancy is followed by an attempt of as fingular ignorance and stupidity, to shuffle together usurpers and conquerors, as if they were the same; whereas there have been many usurpers who were not conquerors, and conquerors that deserved not the name of usurpers. No wise man ever said that Agathocles or Dionysius conquer'd Syracuse; Tarquin, Galba or Otho, Rome; Cromwel, England; or that the Magi, who seiz'd the government of Persia after the death of Cambyses, conquer'd that country. When Moses and Joshua had overthrown the kingdoms of the Amorites, Moabites and Canaanites; or when David subdued the Ammonites, Edomites, and others, none, as I suppose, but such

divines as Filmer, will say they usurped a dominion over them. There is such a thing amongst men as just war, or else true valour would not be a virtue but a crime; and instead of glory, the utmost insamy would always be the companion of victory. There are, (says \* Grotius,) laws of war as well as of peace. He who for a just cause, and by just means, carries on a just war, has as clear a right to what is acquired as can be enjoy'd by man, but all usurpation is detestable and abominable.

# SECT. X.

The words of St. Paul enjoining obedience to higher powers, favour all forts of governments no less than monarchy.

UR author's next quarrel is with St. Paul, " who did not," as he fays, " in enjoining " fubjection to the higher powers, fignify the laws " of the land, or mean the highest powers, as well " aristocratical and democratical as regal, but a " monarch that carries the fword, &c." But what if there be no monarch in the place? or what if he do not carry the fword? Had the apostle spoken in vain, if the liberty of the Romans had not been overthrown by the fraud and violence of Cæfar? Was no obedience to be exacted whilft that people enjoy'd the benefit of their own laws, and virtue flourished under the moderate government of a legal and just magistracy, established for the common good, by the common confent of all? Had God no minister amongst them till law and justice was overthrown, the best part of the people destroy'd by the fury of a corrupt mercenary foldiery, and the world fubdued under the tyranny of the worst

fc

<sup>\*</sup> Belli æque ac pacis jura. De jur. bel. & pac.

monsters that it had ever produced? Are these the ways of establishing God's vicegerents? and will he patronize no governors or governments but fuch as these? Does God uphold evil, and that only? If the world has been hitherto mistaken, in giving the name of evil to that which is good, and calling that good which is evil; I defire to know what can be call'd good amongst men, if the government of the Romans, till they entred Greece and Afia, and were corrupted by the luxury of both, do not deferve that name? or what is to be esteemed evil, if the establishment and exercise of the Cæsars power were not fo? But fays he, "Wilt thou not be afraid of the " power?" And was there no power in the governments that had no monarchs? Were the Carthaginians, Romans, Grecians, Gauls, Germans and Spaniards without power? Was there no fword in that nation and their magistrates, who overthrew the kingdoms of Armenia, Egypt, Numidia, Macedon, and many others, whom none of the monarchs were able to refift? Are the Venetians, Switzers, Grisons and Hollanders now left in the fame weakness, and no obedience at all due to their magistrates? If this be so, how comes it to pass that justice is so well administred amongst them? Who is it that defends the Holl anders in fuch a manner, that the greatest monarchs with all their fwords have had no great reason to boast of any advantages gained against them? at least till we (whom they could not refift when we had no monarch, tho' we have been difgracefully beaten by them fince we had one) by making leagues against them, and sowing divisions amongst them, instigated and affisted the greatest power now in the world to their destruction and our own. But our author is so accustom'd to fraud, that he never cites a passage of scripture which he does not abuse or vitiate; and

that he may do the same in this place, he leaves out the following words, "For there is no power "but of God," that he might intitle one fort only to his protection. If therefore the people and popular magistrates of Athens; the two kings, Ephori and senate of Sparta; the Sanhedrins amongst the Hebrews; the consuls, tribunes, pretors and senate of Rome; the magistrates of Holland, Switzerland and Venice, have or had power, we may conclude that they also were ordained by God; and that according to the precept of the apostle, the same obedience for the same reason is due to them as to any monarch.

The apostle farther explaining himself, and shewing who may be accounted a magistrate, and what the duty of fuch an one is, informs us when we should fear, and on what account. "Rulers, fays " he, are not a terror to good works, but to the " evil: wilt thou then not be afraid of the power? do " that which is good, and thou shalt have praise of " the same; for he is the minister of God, a re-" venger to execute wrath upon him that doth evil." He therefore is only the minister of God, who is not a terror to good works, but to evil; who executes wrath upon those that do evil, and is a praise to those that do well. And he who doth well, ought not to be afraid of the power, for he shall receive praise. Now if our author were alive, tho' he was a man of a hard forehead, I would ask him, whether in his conscience he believed, that Tiberius, Caligula, Claudius, Nero, and the rabble of fucceeding monsters, were a praise to those who did well, and a terror to those who did ill; and not the contrary, a praise to the worst, and a terror to the best men of the world? or for what reason.

Tacitus

\* Tacitus could fay, that virtue brought men who lived under them to certain destruction, and recite fo many examples of the brave and good, who were murder'd by them for being fo, unless they had endeavour'd to extinguish all that was good, and to + tear up virtue by the roots? Why did he call Domitian an ‡ enemy to virtue, if he was a terror only to those that did evil? If the world has hitherto been misled in these things, and given the name of virtue to vice, and of vice to virtue, then Germanicus, Valerius Afiaticus, Corbulo, Helvidius Prifcus, Thraseas, Soranus and others that refembled them, who fell under the rage of those beafts, nay Paul himself and his disciples were evil doers; and Macro, Narciffus, Pallas, Vinnius, Laco and Tigellinus were virtuous and good men. If this be fo, we are beholden to Filmer for admonishing mankind of the error in which they had fo long continued. If not, those who persecuted and murder'd them for their virtues, were not a terror to fuch as did evil, and a praise to those who did well. The worst men had no need to fear them; but the best had, because they were the best, all princes therefore that have power are not to be esteemed equally the ministers of God. They that are so, must receive their dignity from a title that is not common to all, even from a just employment of their power to the encouragement of virtue, and to the discouragement of vice. He that pretends to the veneration and obedience due to the minifters of God, must by his actions manifest that he is fo. And the I am unwilling to advance a proposition that may found harshly to tender ears, I am inclined to believe, that the same rule, which

<sup>·</sup> Ob virtutes certissimum exitium.

<sup>+</sup> Ipfam excindere virtutem.

Virtutibus infestum.

obliges us to yield obedience to the good magistrate who is the minister of God, and assures us that in obeying him we obey God, does equally oblige us not to obey those who make themselves the ministers of the devil, lest in obeying them we obey the devil, whose works they do.

That none but fuch as are wilfully ignorant may mistake Paul's meaning, Peter who was directed by the same spirit, says distinctly, "Submit your selves " to every ordinance of man for the Lord's fake." If therefore there be feveral ordinances of men tending to the same end, that is, the obtaining of justice, by being a terror to the evil and a praise to the good, the like obedience is for conscience sake enjoined to all, and upon the fame condition. But as no man dares to fay, that Athens and Perfia, Carthage and Egypt, Switzerland and France, Venice and Turky were and are under the same government; the same obedience is due to the magistrate in every one of those places, and all others on the same account, whilst they continue to be the ministers of God.

If our author fay, that Peter cannot comprehend kings under the name of human ordinances, fince Paul fays they are the ordinance of God, I may as well fay that Paul cannot call that the ordinance of God, which Peter calls the ordinance of man. But as it was faid of Moses and Samuel, that they who spoke by the same spirit could not contradict each other, Peter and Paul being full of wisdom and sanctity, and inspir'd by the same spirit, must need say the same thing; and Grotius shews that they perfectly agree, tho' the one calls kings, rulers and governors the ordinance of man, and the other the ordinance of God; inasmuch as God having from the beginning ordained that men should not live like wolves

wolves in woods, every man by himself, but together in civil societies, left to every one a liberty of joining with that society which best pleas'd him, and to every society to create such magistrates, and frame such laws as should seem most conducing to their own good, according to the measure of light and reason they might have. And every magistracy so instituted might rightly be called the ordinance of man, who was the instituter, and the ordinance of God, according to which it was instituted; "because," says he, "God approved and ratified the salutary "constitutions of government made by men\*."

But, fays our author, Peter expounds his own words of the human ordinance to be the king, who is the " lex loquens;" but he fays no fuch thing, and I do not find that any fuch thought ever enter'd into the apostle's mind. The words are often found in the works of Plato and Aristotle, but applied only to fuch a man as is a king by nature, who is endow'd with all the virtues that tend to the good of human focieties in a greater measure than any or all those that compose them; which character I think, will be ill applied to all kings. And that this may appear to be true, I defire to know whether it would well have agreed with Nero, Caligula. Domitian, or others like to them; and if not with them, then not with all, but only with those who are endow'd with such virtues. But if the king be made by man, he must be fuch as man makes him to be; and if the power of a law had been given by any human fanction to the word of a foolish, mad, or wicked man (which I hardly believe) it would be destroy'd by its own iniquity and turpitude, and the people left under the obligation of rendring obedience to those, who so use

n

e

<sup>\*</sup> Quia salubrem hominum constitutionem Deus probavit & sanxit.

De jur. bel. & pac.

G 2 the

the fword that the nations under them may live

foberly, peaceably and honeftly.

This obliges me a little to examine what is meant by the fword. The pope fays there are two fwords, the one temporal, the other spiritual, and that both of them were given to Peter and to his fuccessors. Others more rightly understand the two swords to be that of war and that of justice, which according to feveral constitutions of governments have been committed to feveral hands, under feveral conditions and limitations. The fword of justice comprehends the legislative and the executive power: the one is exercifed in making laws, the other in judging controversies according to such as are made. The military fword is used by those magistrates who have it, in making war or peace with whom they think fit, and fometimes by others who have it not, in pursuing fuch wars as are refolved upon by another power. The Jewish doctors generally agree that the kings of Judah could make no law, because there was a curse denounced against those who should add to, or detract from that which God had given by the hand of Moses; that they might fit in judgment with the high priest and sanhedrin, but could not judge by themselves unless the sanhedrin did plainly fail of performing their duty. Upon this account Maimonides excuses David for commanding Solomon not to fuffer the grey hairs of Joab to go down to the grave in peace, and Solomon for appointing him to be kill'd at the foot of the altar: for he having killed Abner and Amasa, and by those actions shed the blood of war in the time of peace, the fanhedrin should have punished him; but being protected by favour or power, and even David himself fearing him, Solomon was put in mind of his duty, which he performed, tho' Joab laid hold upon the horns of the

the altar, which by the express words of the law gave

no protection to wilful murderers.

The use of the military sword amongst them was also moderated. Their kings might make war upon the seven accursed nations that they were commanded to destroy, and so might any other man; for no peace was to be made with them; but not against any other nation, without the assent of the sanhedrin. And when Amaziah contrary to that law had soolishly made war upon Joash king of Israel, and thereby brought a great slaughter upon Judah, the princes, that is the sanhedrin, combined against him, pursued him to Lachish, and killed him there.

The legislative power of Sparta was evidently in the people. The laws that go under the name of Lycurgus\*, were proposed by him to the general assembly of the people, and from them received their authority: But the discipline they contained was of such efficacy for framing the minds of men to virtue, and by banishing filver and gold they so far banished all manner of crimes, that from the institution of those laws to the times of their corruption, which was more than eight hundred years, we hardly find that three men were put to death. of whom two were kings; fo that it feems difficult to determine where the power of judging did refide, tho' 'tis most probable, confidering the nature of their government, that it was in the fenate, and in cases extraordinary in the Ephori, with a right of appealing to the people. Their kings therefore could have little to do with the fword of justice, neither the legislative nor the judicial power being any ways in them.

The military fword was not much more in their power, unless the excellency of their virtues

Plut. vit. Lycus.

gave them the credit of persuading, when the law denied the right of commanding. They were obliged to make war against those, and those only, who were declared enemies by the senate and Ephori, and in the manner, place and time they directed: so that Agesslaus, tho' carrying on a glorious war in Persia, no sooner received the parchment roll, wherein he was commanded by the Ephori to come home for the desence of his own country, than he immediately returned, and is on that account called by no less a man than Xenophon\*, a good and faithful king, rendring obedience to the laws of his country.

By this it appears that there are kings who may be feared by those that do ill, and not by such as do well; for having no more power than what the law gives, and being obliged to execute it as the law directs, they cannot depart from the precept of the Apostle. My wan actions therefore, or the sense of my own guilt arising from them, is to be the measure of my fear of that magistrate who is the minister of God,

and not his power.

The like may be said of almost all the nations of the world, that have had any thing of civil order amongst them. The supreme magistrate, under what name soever he was known, whether king, emperor, asymnetes, suffetes, consul, dictator, or archon, has usually a part affigned to him in the administration of justice and making war; but that he may know it to be affigned and not inherent, and so affigned as to be employ'd for the public good, not to his own profit or pleasure, it is circumscribed by such rules as he cannot safely transgress. This is above all seen in the German nations, from whom we draw our original and government, and is so well

De Reg. Agefil.

described by Tacitus\* in his treatise of their customs and manners, that I shall content myself to refer to it, and to what I have cited from him in the former part of this work. The Saxons coming into our country retain'd to themselves the same rights. They had no kings but fuch as were fet up by themfelves, and they abrogated their power when they pleased. + Offa acknowledged " that he was chosen " for the defence of their liberty, not from his own " merit, but by their favour;" and in the Conventus Pananglicus, at which all the chief men as well fecular as ecclefiaftical were prefent, it was decreed by the king, archbishops, bishops, abbots, dukes and fenators, that the kings should be chosen by the priefts, and by the elders of the people. In purfuance of which, Egbert, who had no right to the fuccession, was made king. Ethelwerd was chosen in the same manner ‡ by the consent of all. Ethelwolf a monk, for want of a better, was advanced to the same honour. His son Alfred, tho' crowned by the pope, and marrying without the confent of the nobility and kingdom || against their customs and statutes, acknowledged that he had received the crown from the bounty of the princes, elders and people; and in his will declared that he left the people as he had found them, free as the inward thoughts of man. His fon Edward & was elected to be his successor. Ethelstan, tho' a bastard, and, without all title, was elected by the confent of the nobility and people. Eldred by the fame authority was elected and preferred before the fons of Edmond his predeceffor.

house

<sup>\*</sup> De morib. Germ.

<sup>+</sup> Ad libertatis vestræ tuitionem non meis meritis, sed sola liberalitate vestra.

<sup>†</sup> Omnium consensu.

Contra morem & statuta.

Successor monarchiæ electus.

Edwin, tho' rightly chosen, was deposed for his ill life, and Edgar \* elected king, by "the will of God, " and confent of the people." But he also was deprived of the crown for the rape of a nun, and after feven years restored by the whole people, " coram " omni multitudine populi Anglorum." Ethelred who is faid to have been + cruel in the beginning, wretched in the course, and infamous in the end of his reign, was deposed by the same power that had advanced him. Canutus made a ‡ contract with the princes and the whole people, and thereupon was by general confent crown'd king over all England. After him Harold was chosen in the usual manner. He being dead, a message was fent to Hardi Canute with an offer of the crown, which he accepted, and accordingly was received, Edward the confessor was || elected king with the consent of the clergy and people at London; and Harold excused himself for not performing his oath to William the Norman, because he said he had made it unduly and prefumptuoufly, § without confulting the nobility and people, and without their authority. William was received with great joy by the clergy and people, and faluted king by all, fwearing to observe the antient good and approved laws of England: and tho' he did but ill perform his oath, yet before his death he feemed to repent of the ways he had taken, and only wishing his fon might be king of England, he confessed in his last will made at Caen in Normandy, 4 that he neither

\* Et eligerunt Deo dictante Edgarum in regem annuente populo.

+ Sævus in principio, miser in medio, turpis in exitu.

Annuente clero & populo Londini in regem eligitur. Absque generali senatus & populi conventu & edicto.

Matth. Parif. Gul. Gemit. &c.

<sup>‡</sup> Canutus fœdus cum principibus & omni populo, & illi cum ipso percufferunt.

<sup>4</sup> Neminem Anglici regni constituo hæredem, non enim tantum decus hæreditario jure possedi. Ibid. found

found nor left the kingdom as an inheritance. If he possessed no right except what was conferred upon him, no more was conferred than had been enjoy'd by the antient kings according to the approved laws which he fwore to observe. Those laws gave no power to any, till he was elected; and that which they did then give was fo limited, that the nobility and people referved to themselves the dispofition of the greatest affairs, even to the deposition and expulsion of such as should not well perform the duty of their oaths and office. And I leave it to our author to prove, how they can be faid to have had the fword and the power so as to be feared, otherwise than, as the apostle says, by those that do evil; which we acknowledge to be not only in the king, but in the lowest officer of justice in the world.

If it be pretended that our later kings are more to be feared than William the Norman, or his predeceffors, it must not be, as has been proved, either from the general right of kings, or from the doctrine of the apostle, but from something else that is peculiar and fubfequent, which I leave our author's disciples to prove, and an answer may be found in due time. But to show that our ancestors did not mistake the words of the apostle, 'tis good to confider when, to whom, and upon what occasion he spoke. The Christian religion was then in its infancy; his discourses were addressed to the professors of it, who tho' they foon grew to be confiderable in number, were for the most part of the meanest fort of people, fervants or inhabitants of the cities, rather than citizens and freemen; joined in no civil body or fociety, nor fuch as had or could have any part in the government, The occasion was to suppress the dangerous mistake of many converted Jews and others,

who knowing themselves to be freed from the power of sin and the devil, presumed they were also freed from the obligation of human laws. And if this error had not been crop'd in the bud, it would have given occasion to their enemies, (who desired nothing more) to destroy them all; and who knowing that such notions were stirring among them, would have been glad, that they who were not easily to be discovered, had by that means discovered themselves.

This induced a necessity of diverting a poor, mean, featter'd people from fuch thoughts concerning the state; to convince them of the error into which they were fallen, that Christians did not owe the same obedience to civil laws and magistrates as other men, and to keep them from drawing destruction upon themselves by such ways, as not being warranted by God, had no promise of his protection. St. Paul's work was to preserve the professors of Christianity, as appears by his own words; " \* I exhort, that " first of all, supplications, prayers, intercessions, " and giving of thanks be made for all men: for " kings, and for all that are in authority, that we " may live a quiet and peaceable life in all godliness " and honesty. + Put them in mind to be subject to " principalities and powers, to obey magistrates, " to be ready for every good work." St. Peter agrees with him fully in describing the magistrate and his duty; shewing the reasons why obedience should be pay'd to him, and teaching Christians to be humble and contented with their condition, as free, yet not using their liberty for a cover to malice; and not only to fear God and honour the king (of which conjunction of words fuch as Filmer are very proud) but to honour all men, as is faid in the same verse.

This was in a peculiar manner the work of that time, in which those who were to preach and propagate the gospel, were not to be diverted from that duty, by entangling themselves in the care of state-affairs; but it does in some sense agree with all times: for it can never be the duty of a good man to oppose such a magistrate as is the minister of God, in the exercise of his office, nor to deny to any man that which is his due.

But as the Christian law exempts no man from the duty he owes to his father, mafter, or the magistrate, it does not make him more a slave than he was before, nor deprive him of any natural or civil right; and if we are obliged to pay tribute, honour, or any other thing where it is not due, it must be by some precept very different from that which commands us to give to Cæfar that which is Cæfar's. If he define the magistrate to be the minister of God doing justice, and from thence draws the reafons he gives for rendring obedience to him, we are to inquire whose minister he is who overthrows it, and look for some other reason for rendring obedience to him than the words of the apostles. If David, who was willing to lay down his life for the people, who "hated iniquity," and would not " fuffer a liar to come into his presence," was the minister of God, I defire to know whose minister Caligula was who fet up himfelf to be worshipped for a God, and would at once have destroyed all the people that he ought to have protected? Whose minister was Nero, who, besides the abominable impurities of his life, and hatred to all virtue, as contrary to his person and government, set fire to the great city? If it be true, that "contrariorum contraria est ratio," these questions are easily decided; and if the reasons of things are eternal, the

fame distinction grounded upon truth will be good for ever. Every magistrate, and every man by his works, will for ever declare whose minister he is, in what spirit he lives, and consequently what obedience is due to him according to the precept of the apostle. If any man ask what I mean by justice, I answer, that the law of the land, as far as it is "Sanctio recta, jubens honesta, prohibens contra-" ria \*," declares what it is. But there have been and are laws that are neither just nor commendable. There was a law in Rome, that no God should be worshipped without the consent of the senate: upon which Tertullian fays scoffingly, "+ That God " Ihall not be God unless he please man;" and by virtue of this law the first Christians were exposed to all manner of cruelties; and some of the emperors (in other respects excellent men) most foully polluted themselves and their government with innocent blood. Antoninus Pius was taken in this fnare; and Tertullian bitterly derides Trajan for glorying in his clemency, when he had commanded Pliny, who was proconful in Asia, not to make any fearch for Christians, but only to punish them according to law when they should be brought before No municipal law can be more firmly established by human authority, than that of the inquifition in Spain, and other places: and those accurfed tribunals, which have shed more Christian blood than all the Pagans that ever were in the world, is commonly called The holy office. If a gentleman in Poland kill a peafant, he is by a law now in use free from punishment, if he lay a ducat upon the dead body. Evenus the third of Scotland, caufed a law to pass, by which the wives and daughters of

† Nisi homini Deus placuerit Deus non erit.

bu

my

con

be t

for

and

that

the

<sup>\*</sup> Cicero.

noblemen were exposed to his lust, and those of the commons to the lust of the nobility. These, and an infinite number of others like to them, were not right fanctions, but such as have produced unspeakable mischiefs and calamities. They were not therefore laws: the name of justice is abusively attributed to them: those that govern by them cannot be the ministers of God: and the apostle commanding our obedience to the minister of God for our good, commands us not to be obedient to the minister of the devil to our hurt; for we cannot serve two masters.

## S E C T. XI.

That which is not just, is not law; and that which is not law, ought not to be obeyed.

UR author having for a long time pretended conscience, now pulls off his mask, and plainly tells us, that 'tis not on account of conscience, but for fear of punishment, or hopes of reward, that laws are to be obeyed. " That familiar distinction " of the schoolmen, says he, whereby they subject " kings to the directive, but not to the coactive " power of the law, is a confession, that kings are " not bound by the positive laws of any nation, since " the compulfory power of laws is that which pro-" perly makes laws to be laws." Not troubling myself with this distinction of the schoolmen, nor acknowledging any truth to be in it, or that they are competent judges of fuch matters, I fay, that if it be true, our author's conclusion is altogether false; for the directive power of the law, which is certain, and grounded upon the inherent good and rectitude that is in it, is that alone which has a power over the conscience, whereas the coercive is merely contingent; tingent; and the most just powers commanding the most just things, have so often fallen under the violence of the most unjust men, commanding the most execrable villanies, that if they were therefore to be obeyed, the consciences of men must be regulated by the fuccess of a battle or conspiracy, than which nothing can be affirmed more impious and abfurd. By this rule David was not to be obeyed, when by the wickedness of his son he was driven from Jerusalem, and deprived of all coercive power; and the conscientious obedience that had been due to him was transfer'd to Absalom who sought his life. And in St. Paul's time it was not from him who was guided only by the spirit of God, and had no manner of coercive power, that christians were to learn their duty, but from Caligula, Claudius, and Nero, who had that power well established by the mercenary legions. If this were fo, the governments of the world might be justly called Magna Latrocinia; and men laying afide all confiderations of reason or justice, ought only to follow those who can inflict the greatest punishments, or give the greatest rewards. But fince the reception of fuch opinions would be the extirpation of all that can be called good, we must look for another rule of our obedience, and shall find that to be the law, which being, as I said before, Sanctio Recta, must be founded upon that eternal principle of reason and truth, from whence the rule of justice which is facred and pure ought to be deduced, and not from the depraved will of man, which fluctuating according to the different interests, humours and passions that at several times reign in feveral nations, one day abrogates what had been enacted the other. The fanction therefore that deferves the name of a law, " which derives not its " excellency

fc

m

th

hait

gi

the

it i

N

aga

hir der

28

excellency from antiquity, or from the dignity of the legislators, but from on intrinsic equity and " justice \*," ought to be made in pursuance of that universal reason to which all nations at all times owe an equal veneration and obedience. By this we may know whether he who has the power does justice or not: whether he be the minister of God to our good, a protector of good, and a terror to ill men; or the minister of the devil to our hurt, by encouraging all manner of evil, and endeavouring by vice and corruption to make the people worse, that they may be miserable, and miserable that they may be worse. I dare not fay I shall never fear such a man if he be armed with power: but I am fure I shall never esteem him to be the minister of God, and shall think I do ill if I fear him. If he has therefore a coercive power over me, 'tis through my weakness; " for + " he that will fuffer himself to be compell'd, knows " not how to die." If therefore he who does not follow the directive power of the law, be not the minister of God, he is not a king, at least not such a king as the apostle commands us to obey: and if that fanction which is not just be not a law, and can have no obligation upon us, by what power foever it be established, it may well fall out that the magistrate who will not follow the directive power of the law, may fall under the coercive, and then the fear is turned upon him, with this aggravation, that it is not only actual, but just. This was the case of Nero; the coercive power was no longer in him, but against him. He that was forced to fly and to hide himself, that was abandoned by all men, and condemned to die " according to antient custom ;" did, as I suppose, fear, and was no way to be feared. The

<sup>\*</sup> Tertul. † Qui cogi potest nescit mori. ‡ More Majo um. Sueton.

like may be faid of Amaziah king of Judah, when he fled to Lachish; of Nabuchodonosor, when he was driven from the fociety of men; and of many emperors and kings of the greatest nations in the world, who have been so utterly deprived of allpower, that they have been imprisoned, deposed. confined to monastries, kill'd, drawn through the streets, cut in pieces, thrown into rivers, and indeed fuffer'd all that could be fuffer'd by the vileft flaves.

If any man fay these things ought not to have been done, an answer may be given in a proper place; though 'twere enough to fay, that the justice of the world is not to be overthrown by a meer affertion without proof; but that is nothing to the present question: for if it was ill done to drive Nero to despair, or to throw Vitellius into the common shore, it was not because they were the ministers of God; for their lives were no way conformable to the character which the apostle gives to those who deserve that sacred name. If those only are to be feared who have the power, there was a time when they were not to be feared, for they had none; and if those princes are not obliged by the law, who are not under the coercive power, it gave no exemption to those, for they fell under it: and as we know not what will befal others who walk in their steps, till they are dead, we cannot till then know whether they are free from it or not.

### SECT. XII.

The right and power of a magistrate depends upon his institution, not upon his name.

IS usual with impostors to obtrude their deceits upon men, by putting false names upon things, by which they may perplex mens minds, and from thence deduce false conclusions. But the points above-mention'd being fettled, it imports little whether the governors to whom Peter enjoins obedience, were only kings, and fuch as are employ'd by them, or all fuch magistrates as are the ministers of God; for he informs us of their works that we may know them, and accordingly yield obedience to them. This is that therefore which distinguishes the magistrate to whom obedience is due, from him to whom none is due, and not the name that he either affumes, or others put upon him. But if there be any virtue in the word king, and that the admirable prerogatives, of which our author dreams, were annexed to that name, they could not be applied to the Roman emperors, nor their fubflituted officers, for they had it not. 'Tis true, Mark Anthony, in a drunken fit, at the celebration of the impure Lupercalia, did offer a diadem to. Julius Cæsar, which some flatterers pressed him to accept, (as our great lawyers did Cromwell) but he durst not think of putting it upon his head. Caligula's affectation of that title, and the enfigns of royalty he wore, were taken for the most evident marks of his madness: and tho' the greatest and bravest of their men had fallen by the wars or proscriptions; tho' the best part of the senate had perished in Theffaly; tho' the great city was exhausted, and Italy brought to desolation, yet they VOL. II. were

were not reduced so low as to endure a king. Pifo was fufficiently addicted to Tiberius, yet he could not fuffer that Germanicus should be treated as the fon of a king; " Principis Romani non Parthorum " regis filio has epulas dari \*." And whoever understands the Latin tongue, and the history of those times, will eafily perceive that the word Princeps fignified no more than a principal or eminent man, as has been already proved: and the words of Pifo could have no other meaning, than that the fon of a Roman ought not to be distinguished from others, as the fons of the Parthian kings were, This is verified by his letter to Tiberius, under the name of friend, and the answer of Tiberius promising to him " whatsoever one friend could do for another +." Here was no mention of his majesty or sovereign lord, nor the base subscriptions of servant, subject, or creature. And I fear, that as the last of those words was introduced amongst us by our bishops, the rest of them had been also invented by such christians as were too much addicted to the Afiatic flavery. However, the name of king was never folemnly affumed by, nor conferred upon those emperors, and could have conferred no right, if it had. They exercised as they pleased, or as they durst, the power that had been gained by violence or fraud. The exorbitances they committed, could not have been justified by a title, any more than those of a pirate who should take the same. It was no otherwise given to them than by way of affimilation, when they were guilty of the greatest crimes: and Tacitus describing the detestable lust of Tiberius, fays, " Quibus adeo indomitis exarferat, ut more " regio pubem ingenuam stupris pollueret; nec for-

fe

th

<sup>\*</sup> Tacit. Ann. 2, c. 57. † Quod amicus amico præstare potest. Tacit.

" mam tantum & decora corporis, fed in his modeftam pueritiam, in aliis majorum imagines, incita-" mentum eupiditatis habebat \*." He also informs us that Nero took his time to put Bareas Soranus to death, who was one of the most virtuous men of . that age, when Tiridates king of Armenia was at Rome; "That he might shew the imperial gran-" deur by the flaughter of the most illustrious men. " which he accounted a royal action +." I leave it to the judgment of all wife men, whether it be probable that the apostles should distinguish such as these from other magistrates; and dignify those only with the title of God's ministers, who distinguished themselves by such ways; or that the succeeding . emperors should be ennobled with the same prerogative, who had no other title to the name than by refembling those that had it in such things as these, if this be too absurd and abominable to enter into the heart of a man, it must be concluded, that their intention was only to divert the poor people to whom they preached, from involving themselves in the care of civil matters, to which they had no call. And the counsel would have been good (as things stood with them) if they had been under the power of a pirate, or any other villain substituted by him.

But tho' the apostles had looked upon the officers set over the provinces belonging to the Roman empire, as sent by kings, I desire to know whether it can be imagined, that they could think the subordinate governors to be sent by kings, in the countries that had no kings; or that obedience became due to the magistrates in Greece, Italy, or other provinces under the jurisdiction of Rome, only after they had

\* Annal. 1. 6. c. 1.

<sup>†</sup> Ut magnitudinem imperatoriam cale infignium virorum quafi regio facinore oftentaret. An. L. 16 c. 23.

emperors, and that none was due to them before? The Germans had then no king: the brave Arminius had been lately kill'd for aiming at a crown. When he had blemish'd all his virtues by that attempt, they forgot his former fervices. They never confidered how many Roman legions he had cut in pieces, nor how many thousands of their allies he had deftroyed. His valour was a crime deferving death, when he fought to make a prey of his country, which he had so bravely defended, and to enflave those who with him had fought for the public liberty. But if the apostles were to be understood to give the name of God's ministers only to kings, and those who are employed by them, and that obedience is due to no other, a domestic tyrant had been their greatest benefactor. He had set up the only government that is authorized by God, and to which a conscientious obedience is due. Agathocles, Dionyfius, Phalaris, Phæreus, Pifistratus, Nabis, Machanidas, and an infinite number of the most detestable villains that the world has ever produced, did confer the same benefits upon the countries they enflaved. But if this be equally false, fottish, absurd, and execrable, all those epithets belong to our author and his doctrine, for attempting to depress all modest and regular magistracies, and endeavouring to corrupt the scripture to patronize the greatest of crimes. No man therefore who does not delight in error, can think that the apostle designed precisely to determine fuch questions as might arise concerning any one man's right, or in the least degree to prefer any one form of government before another. In acknowledging the magistrate to be man's ordinance, he declares that man who makes him to be, may make him to be what he pleafeth; and tho' there is found more prudence and virtue in one nation

C

re

th

be

tir

on

tion than in another, that magistracy which is established in any one ought to be obeyed, till they who made the establishment think fit to alter it. All therefore whilft they continue, are to be looked upon with the same respect. Every nation acting freely, has an equal right to frame their own government, and to employ fuch officers as they pleafe. The authority, right and power of these must be regulated by the judgment, right and power of those who appoint them, without any relation at all to the name that is given; for that is no way effential to the thing. The same name is frequently given to those, who differ exceedingly in right and power; and the same right and power is as often annexed to magistracies that differ in name. The same power which had been in the Roman kings, was given to the confuls; and that which had been legally in the dictators for a time not exceeding fix months, was afterwards usurped by the Cæsars, and made perpe-The fupreme power (which fome pretend belongs to all kings) has been and is enjoyed in the fullest extent by such as never had the name; and no magistracy was ever more restrained than those that had the name of kings in Sparta, Arragon, England, Poland and other places. They therefore that did thus institute, regulate and restrain, create magistracies, and give them names and powers as seemed best to them, could not but have in themselves the coercive as well as the directive over them; for the regulation and restriction is coercion; but most of all the institution, by which they could make them to be or not to be. As to the exterior force, 'tis sometimes on the fide of the magistrate, and sometimes on that of the people; and as magistrates under several names have the fame work incumbent upon them, and the same power to perform it, the same

n th

W

fu

duty is to be exacted from them, and rendred to them: which being diffinctly proportion'd by the laws of every country, I may conclude, that all magistratical power being the ordinance of man in pursuance of the ordinance of God, receives its being and measure from the legislative power of every nation. And whether the power be placed fimply in one, a few, or many men; or in one body composed of the three simple fpecies; whether the fingle person be called king, duke, marquiss, emperor, sultan, mogul, or grand fignior; or the number go under the name of fenate, council, pregadi, diet, affembly of estates and the like, 'tis the fame thing. The fame obedience is equally due to all, whilft, according to the precept of the apostle, they do the work of God for our good ; and if they depart from it, no one of them has a better title than the other to our obedience.

# S E C T. XIII.

a ses were made to direct and instruct magistrates, and, if they will not be directed, to restrain them.

Know not who they are that our author intro-duces to fay, that "the first invention of laws " was to bridle or moderate the overgreat power of " kings;" and unless they give some better proof of their judgment in other things, shall little esteem They should have considered, that there are laws in many places where there are no kings; that there were laws in many before there were kings, as in Israel the law was given three hundred years before they had any; but most especially, that as no man can be a rightful king except by law, nor have any just power but from the law, if that power be found to be overgreat, the law that gave it must have

have been before that which was to moderate or restrain it; for that could not be moderated which was not in being. Leaving therefore our author to fight with these adversaries if he please when he finds them, I shall proceed to examine his own pofitions. " The truth is, fays he, the original of " laws was for the keeping of the multitude in order. "Popular estates could not subsist at all without " laws, whereas kingdoms were govern'd many " ages without them. The people of Athens, as " foon as they gave over kings, were forced to give " power to Draco first, then to Solon to make them " laws." If we will believe him therefore, wherefoever there is a king, or a man who by having power in his hands, is in the place of a king, there is no need of law. He takes them all to be fo wife, just, and good, that they are laws to themselves, Leges viventes. This was certainly verified by the whole fuccession of the Cæsars, the ten last kings of Pharamond's race, all the fucceffors of Charles the great, and others that I am not willing to name; but referring myself to history, I desire all reasonable men to confider, whether the piety and tender care that was natural to Caligula, Nero or Domitian, was fuch a fecurity to the nations that lived under them, as without law to be fufficient for their prefervation: for if the contrary appear to be true, and that their government was a perpetual exercise of rage, malice and madness, by which the worst of men were armed with power to destroy the best, so that the empire could only be faved by their deftruction, 'tis most certain, that mankind can never fall into. a condition which stands more in need of laws to protect the innocent, than when fuch monfters reign who endeavour their extirpation, and are too well furnished with means to accomplish their detestable H 4 defigns.

defigns. Without any prejudice therefore to the cause that I defend, I might confess that all nations were at the first governed by kings, and that no laws were imposed upon those kings, till they, or the successors of those who had been advanced for their virtues. by falling into vice and corruption, did manifeftly discover the inconveniencies of depending upon their will. Besides these, there are also children, women and fools, that often come to the fuccession of kingdoms, whose weakness and ignorance stands in as great need of support and direction, as the desperate fury of the others can do of restriction. And if fome nations had been fo fottish, not to foresee the mischief of leaving them to their will, others, or the same in succeeding ages discovering them, could no more be obliged to continue in so pernicious a folly, than we are to live in that wretched barbarity in which the Romans found our ancestors, when they first entred this island.

If any man fay, that Filmer does not speak of monsters, nor of children, women or fools, but of wife, just and good princes; I answer, that if there be a right inherent in kings, as kings, of doing what they please; and in those who are next in blood, to fucceed them and inherit the fame, it must belong to all kings, and fuch as upon title of blood would be kings. And as there is no family that may not, and does not often produce fuch as I mentioned, it must also be acknowledged in them; and that power which is left to the wife, just and good, upon a fupposition that they will not make an ill use of it, must be devolved to those who will not or cannot make a good one; but will either maliciously turn it to the destruction of those they ought to protect, or through weakness suffer it to fall into the hands of those that govern them, who are found by experience

to be for the most part the worst of all, most apt to use the basest arts, and to flatter the humours, and foment the vices that are most prevalent in weak and vicious princes. Germanicus, Corbulo, Valerius Afiaticus, Thraseas, Soranus, Helvidius Priscus, Julius Agricola, and other excellent men lived in the times of Tiberius, Caligula, Claudius and Nero; but the power was put into the hands of Sejanus, Macro, Tigellinus, and other villains like to them: and I wish there were not too many modern examples to shew that weak and vicious princes will never choose such as shall preserve nations from the mischiefs that would ensue upon their own incapacity or malice; but that they must be imposed upon them by fome other power, or nations be ruined for want of them. This imposition must be by law or by force. But as laws are made to keep things in good order without the necessity of having recourse to force, it would be a dangerous extravagance to arm that prince with force, which probably in a short time must be opposed by force; and those who have been guilty of this error, as the kingdoms of the east, and the antient Roman empire, where no provision was made by law against ill-governing princes, have found no other remedy than to kill them, when by extreme fufferings they were driven beyond patience: and this fell out so often, that few of their princes were observed to die by a common death. But fince the empire was transmitted to Germany, and the emperors restrain'd by laws, that nation has never been brought to the odious extremities of fuffering all manner of indignities, or revenging them upon the heads of princes. And if the pope had not diffurb'd them upon the account of religion, nor driven their princes to diffurb others, they might have paffed many

many ages without any civil diffention, and all their emperors might have lived happily, and died peace-

ably, as most of them have done.

This might be fufficient to my purpose: for if all princes without diffinction, whether good or bad, wife or foolish, young or old, sober or mad, cannot be intrusted with an unlimited power; and if the power they have, ought to be limited by law, that nations may not, with danger to themselves as well as to the prince, have recourse to the last remedy, this law must be given to all, and the good can be no otherwise distinguished from the bad, and the wife from the foolish, than by the observation or violation of it. But I may justly go a step farther, and affirm, that this law which by restraining the lusts of the vicious and foolish, frequently preserves them from the destruction they would bring upon themselves or people, and sometimes upon both, is an affistance and direction to the wifest and best; so that they also as well as the nations under them are gainers by it. This will appear strange only to those who know not "\* how difficult and insup-, " portable the government of great nations is," and how unable the best man is to bear it. And if it furpass the strength of the best, it may easily be determined how ordinary men will behave themselves under it, or what use the worst will make of it. know there have been wife and good kings; but they had not an absolute power, nor would have accepted it, tho' it had been offer'd: much less can I believe that any of them would have transmitted fuch a power to their posterity, when none of them could know any more than Solomon, whether his fon would be a wife man or a fool. But if the best might have defired, and had been able to bear it

to

ar

be

bu

W

ha

of

the

Quam grave & intolerandum fit cuncla regendi onus. Tacit.

(tho' Moses by his own confession was not that) could be no reason why it should be given to the worst and weakest, or those who probably will be fo. Since the affurance that it will not be abused during the life of one man, is nothing to the constitution of a state which aims at perpetuity. And no man knowing what men will be, especially if they come to the power by fuccession, which may properly enough be called by chance, 'tis reasonably to be feared they will be bad, and confequently neceffary so to limit their power, that if they prove to be fo, the commonwealth may not be destroy'd, which they were inflituted to preserve. The law provides for this in leaving to the king a full and ample power of doing as much good as his heart can wish, and in restraining his power so, that if he should depart from the duty of his office, the nation may not perish. This is a help to those who are wife and good, by directing them what they are to do, more certainly than any one man's personal judgment can do; and no prejudice at all, fince no fuch man did ever complain he was not fuffer'd to do the evil which he would abhor if it were in his power; and is a most necessary curb to the fury of bad princes, preventing them from bringing destruction upon the people. Men are so subject to vices and passions, that they stand in need of some restraint in every condition; but most especially when they are in power. The rage of a private man may be pernicious to one or a few of his neighbours; but the fury of an unlimited prince would drive whole nations into ruin: and those very men who have lived modeftly when they had little power have often proved the most savage of all monsters, when they thought nothing able to refift their rage. 'Tis faid

faid of Caligula, that no man ever knew " \* a bet-" ter fervant, nor a worse master." The want of restraint made him a beast, who might have continued to be a man. And tho' I cannot fay, that our law necessarily admits the next in blood to the fuccession (for the contrary is proved) yet the facility of our ancestors, in receiving children, women, or such men as were not more able than themselves to bear the weight of a crown, convinces me fully, that they had fo framed our laws, that even children, women, or ill men, might either perform as much as was necessarily required of them, or be brought to reason if they transgressed, and arrogated to themfelves more than was allow'd. For 'tis not to be imagined, that a company of men should so far degenerate from their own nature, which is reason, to give up themselves and their posterity, with all their concernments in the world, to depend upon the will of a child, a woman, an ill man, or a fool.

If therefore laws are necessary to popular states, they are no less to monarchies; or rather, that is not a state or government which has them not: and it is no less impossible for any to subsist without them, than for the body of a man to be, and perform its functions without nerves and bones. And if any people had ever been so foolish to establish that which they called a government, without laws to support and regulate it, the impossibility of subsisting would evidence the madness of the constitution, and ought to deter all others from following

their example.

'Tis no less incredible, that those nations which rejected kings, did put themselves into the power of one man, to prescribe to them such laws as he

Tac. An. l. 6. c. 20. pleased.

<sup>\*</sup> Nec meliorem fervum, nec deteriorem dominum.

pleased. But the instances alledged by our author are evidently false. The Athenians were not without laws when they had kings: Ægeus was fubject to the laws, and did nothing of importance without the consent of the people; and Theseus not being able to please them, died a banished man: Draco and Solon \* did not make, but propose laws, and they were of no force till they were established by the authority of the people. The Spartans dealt in the same manner with Lycurgus; he invented their laws, but the people made them: and when the affembly of all the citizens had approved and fworn to observe them till his return from Crete, he refolved rather to die in a voluntary banishment, than by his return to absolve them from the oath they had taken. The Romans also had laws during the government of their kings; but not finding in them that perfection they defired, the decemviri were chosen to frame others, which yet were of no value till they were passed by the people in the + Comitia Centuriata; and being so approved, they were established. But this sanction, to which every man, whether magistrate or private citizen, was subject, did no way bind the whole body of the people, who still retained in themselves the power of changing both the matter and the form of their government, as appears by their instituting and abrogating kings, confuls, dictators, tribunes with confular power, and decemviri, when they thought good for the commonwealth. And if they had this power, I leave our author to shew, why the like is not in other nations.

SECT.

<sup>\*</sup> Plut. vit. Solon.

<sup>†</sup> Ingenti hominum expectatione propositis decem tabulis populum ad concionem convocarunt, & quod bonum, faustum solixque sit republica, ipsis, liberisque corum esset, ire & legere leges propositas justere. T. Liv. 1. 3. c. 34.

## S E C T. XIV.

Laws are not made by kings, not because they are busied in greater matters than doing justice, but because nations will be governed by rule, and not arbitrarily.

UR author pursuing the mistakes to which he feems perpetually condemned, fays, that "when kings were either busied in war, or dif-" tracted with publick cares, fo that every private " man could not have access unto their persons, to " learn their wills and pleasures, then of necessity " were laws invented, that so every particular sub-" ject might find his prince's pleasure." I have often heard that governments were established for the obtaining of justice; and if that be true, 'tis hard to imagine what business a supreme magistrate can have to divert him from accomplishing the principal end of his institution. And 'tis as commonly faid, that this distribution of justice to a people, is a work furpassing the strength of any one man. \* Jethro feems to have been a wife man, and 'tis probable he thought Moses to be so also; but he found the work of judging the people to be too heavy for him, and therefore advised him to leave the judgment of causes to others who should be chosen for that purpose; which advice Moses accepted, and God approved. The governing power was as insupportable to him as the judicial. He defired rather to die than to bear so great a burden; and God neither accusing him of sloth or impatience, gave him feventy affiftants. But if we may believe our author, the powers judicial and legiflative, that of judging as well as that of governing,

in

an

th

vir

fan

me

thei

in :

beir to b

<sup>\*</sup> Exod. xviii.

is not too much for any man, woman, or child whatfoever: and that he stands in no need, either of God's statutes to direct him, or man's counsel to affift him, unless it be when he is otherwise employ'd; and his will alone is sufficient for all. But what if he be not busied in greater matters, or diftracted with public cares; is every prince capable of this work? Tho' Moses had not found it too great for him, or it should be granted that a man of excellent natural endowments, great wisdom, learning, experience, industry, and integrity might perform it, is it certain that all those who happen to be born in reigning families are so? If Moses had the law of God before his eyes, and could repair to God himfelf for the application or explanation of it; have all princes the same affistance? Do they all speak with God face to face, or can they do what he did, without the affistance he had? If all kings of mature years are of that perfection, are we affured that none shall die before his heir arrive to the same? Or shall he have the same ripeness of judgment in his infancy? If a child come to a crown, does that immediately infuse the most admirable endowments and graces? Have we any promise from heaven, that women shall enjoy the same prerogatives in those countries where they are made capable of the fuccession? Or does that law which renders them capable, defend them, not only against the frailty of their own nature, but confer the most sublime virtues upon them? But who knows not, that no families do more frequently produce weak or ill men, than the greatest? and that which is worse, their greatness is a snare to them; so that they who in a low condition might have passed unregarded, being advanced to the highest, have often appeared to be, or became the worst of all beasts; and they who

who advance them are like to them: for if the power be in the multitude, as our author is forced to confess (otherwise the Athenians and Romans could not have given all, as he fays, nor a part, as I fay, to Draco, Solon, or the decemviri) they must be beafts also, who should have given away their right and liberty, in hopes of receiving justice from such as probably will neither understand nor regard it, or protection from those who will not be able to help themselves, and expect such virtue, wisdom, and integrity should be, and for ever remain in the family they fet up as was never known to continue in any. If the power be not conferred upon them, they have it not; and if they have it not, their want of leifure to do justice, cannot have been the cause for which laws are made; and they cannot be the fignification of their will, but are that to which the prince owes obedience, as well as the meanest fubject. This is that which Bracton calls " effe fub " lege," and fays, that " Rex in regno superiores " habet Deum & legem." Fortescue says, the kings of England cannot change the laws \*: and indeed, they are so far from having any such power, that the judges fwear to have no regard to the king's letters or commands, but if they receive any, to proceed according to law, as if they had not been. And the breach of this oath does not only bring a blemish upon their reputation, but exposes them to capital punishments, as many of them have found. 'Tis not therefore the king that makes the law, but the law that makes the king. It gives the rule for fucceffion, making kingdoms fometimes hereditary, and sometimes elective, and (more often than either fimply) hereditary under condition. In some places males only are capable of inheriting, in others fe-

do

ce

po

us

Wa

foli

thin

till

<sup>\*</sup> De laud. leg. Angl. c. 9.

males are admitted. Where the monarchy is regular, as in Germany, England, &c. the kings can neither make nor change laws: they are under the law, and the law is not under them; their letters or commands are not to be regarded: in the administration of justice, the question is not what pleases them, but what the law declares to be right, which must have its course, whether the king be busy or at leifure, whether he will or not. The king who never dies, is always present in the supreme courts, and neither knows nor regards the pleasure of the man that wears the crown. But left he by his riches and power might have fome influence upon judicial proceedings, the great charter that recapitulates and acknowledges our antient inherent liberties, obliges him to fwear, that he will neither fell, delay, nor deny justice to any man, according to the laws of the land: which were ridiculous and abfurd, if those laws were only the fignification of his pleasure, or any way depended upon his will. This charter having been confirmed by more than thirty parliaments, all fucceeding kings are under the obligation of the same oath, or must renounce the benefit they receive from our laws, which if they do, they will be found to be equal to every one of us.

Our author, according to his custom, having laid down a false proposition, goes about to justify it by false examples, as those of Draco, Solon, the decemviri, and Moses, of whom no one had the power he attributes to them, and it were nothing to us if they had. The Athenians and Romans, as was faid before, were fo far from refigning the abfolute power without appeal to themselves, that nothing done by their magistrates was of any force, till it was enacted by the people. And the power Vol. II. given

given to the decemviri, fine provocatione, was only in private cases, there being no superior magistrate then in being, to whom appeals could be made. They were vested with the same power the kings and dictators enjoy'd, from whom there lay no appeal, but to the people, and always to them; as appears by the case of Horatius in the time of Tullus Hostilius, that of \* Marcus Fabius when Papirius Curfor was dictator, and of + Nenius the tribune during that of Q. Fabius Maximus, all which I have cited already, and refer to them. There was therefore a refervation of the supreme power in the people, notwithstanding the creation of magistrates without appeal; and as it was quietly exercised in making strangers, or whom they pleased kings, restraining the power of dictators to fix months, and that of the decemviri to two years; when the last did, contrary to law, endeavour by force to continue their power, the people did by force destroy it and them.

The case of Moses is yet more clear: he was the most humble and gentle of all men: he never raised his heart above his brethren, and commanded kings to live in the same modesty: he never desired the people should depend upon his will: in giving laws to them he fulfill'd the will of God, not his own; and those laws were not the signification of his will, but the will of God. They were the production of God's wisdom and goodness, not the invention of man; given to purify the people, not to advance the glory of their leader. He was not proud and insolent, nor pleas'd with that oftentation of pomp, to which sools give the name of majesty; and whoever so far exalts the power of a man, to make shations depend upon his pleasure, does not only lay

ta

lu

<sup>\*</sup> T. Liv. l. 1. 4 L. 8.

a burden upon him, which neither Moses, nor any other could ever bear, and every wise man will always abhor, but with an impious fury, endeavours to set up a government contrary to the laws of God, presumes to accuse him of want of wisdom, or goodness to his own people, and to correct his errors, which is a work sit to be undertaken by such as our author.

From hence, as upon a folid foundation, he proceeds, and making use of king James's words, infers, that kings are above the laws, because he so teaches us. But he might have remembred, that having affirmed the people could not judge of the disputes that might happen between them and kings, because they must not be judges in their own case, 'tis abfurd to make a king judge of a case so nearly concerning himself, in the decision of which his own passions and interests may probably lead him into errors. And if it be pretended that I do the fame, in giving the judgment of those matters to the people, the case is utterly different, both in the nature and confequences. The king's judgment is merely for himself; and if that were to take place, all the passions and vices that have most power upon men, would concur to corrupt it. He that is fet up for the public good, can have no contest with the whole people whose good he is to procure, unless he deflect from the end of his institution, and fet up an interest of his own in opposition to it. This is in its nature the highest of all delinquencies; and if such an one may be judge of his own crimes, he is not only fure to avoid punishment, but to obtain all that he fought by them; and the worse he is, the more violent will his defires be, to get all the power into his hands, that he may gratify his lusts, and execute his pernicious designs. On the other

other fide, in a popular affembly, no man judges for himself, otherwise than as his good is comprehended in that of the public: nothing hurts him, but what is prejudicial to the commonwealth: fuch amongst them as may have received private injuries, are so far only considered by others, as their sufferings may have influence upon the public; if they be few, and the matters not great, others will not fuffer their quiet to be disturbed by them; if they are many and grievous, the tyranny thereby appears to be fo cruel, that the nation cannot fubfift, unless it be corrected or suppress'd. Corruption of judgment proceeds from private passions, which in these cases never govern: and tho' a zeal for the public good may possibly be misguided, yet till it be so, it can never be capable of excess. The last Tarquin, and his lewd fon, exercised their fury and lust in the murders of the best men in Rome, and the rape of Lucretia. Appius Claudius was filled with the like madness. Caligula and Nero were so well established in the power of committing the worst of villanies, that we do not hear of any man that offer'd to defend himself, or woman that presumed to refuse them. If they had been judges in these cases, the utmost of all villanies and mischiefs had been established by law: but as long as the judgment of these matters was in the people, no private or corrupt paffion could take place. Lucius Brutus, Valerius, Horatius and Virginius, with the people that followed them, did not by the expulsion of the kings, or the suppression of the decemveri, assume to themselves a power of committing rapes and murders, nor any advantages beyond what their equals might think they deserved by their virtues, and fervices to the commonwealth; nor had they more credit than others for any other reason, than

pe

na

the

or

that they shewed themselves most forward in procuring the public good, and by their valour and con-

duct best able to promote it.

Whatsoever happen'd after the overthrow of their liberty, belongs not to my fubject, for there was nothing of popularity in the judgments that were made. One tyrant destroy'd another; the same passions and vices for the most part reigned in both: the last was often as bad as his predecessor whom he had overthrown; and one was fometimes approved by the people for no other reason, than that it was thought impossible for him to be worse than he who was in possession of the power. But if one instance can be of force amongst an infinite number of various accidents, the words of Valerius Afiaticus, who by wishing he had been the man that had kill'd Caligula, did in a moment pacify the fury of the foldiers who were looking for those that had done it, shew, that as long as men retain any thing of that reason which is truly their nature, they never fail of judging rightly of virtue and vice; whereas violent and ill princes have always done the contrary, and even the best do often deflect from the rules of justice, as appears not only by the examples of Edward the first and third, who were brought to confess it, but even those of David and Solomon.

Moreover to shew that the decision of these controversies cannot belong to any king, but to the people, we are only to consider, that as kings and all other magistrates, whether supreme or subordinate, are constituted only for the good of the people, the people only can be fit to judge whether the end be accomplished. A physician does not exercise his art for himself, but for his patients; and when I am, or think I shall be sick, I send for him of whom I

have

have the best opinion, that he may help me to recover, or preserve my health; but I lay him aside if I find him to be negligent, ignorant, or unfaithful; and it would be ridiculous for him to fay, I make my felf judge in my own case, for I only, or such as I shall consult, am fit to be judge of it. He may be treacherous, and thro' corruption or malice endeavour to poison me, or have other defects that render him unfit to be trufted: but I cannot by any corrupt passion be led wilfully to do him injustice, and if Imistake, 'tis only to my own hurt. The like may be faid of lawyers, stewards, pilots, and generally of all that do not act for themselves, but for those who employ them. And if a company going to the Indies, should find that their pilot was mad, drunk, or treacherous, they whose lives and goods are concerned, can only be fit to judge, whether he ought to be trusted or not, fince he cannot have a right to destroy those he was chosen to preserve; and they cannot be thought to judge perverfely, because they have nothing to lead them but an opinion of truth, and cannot err but to their own prejudice. In the like manner, not only Solon and Draco, but Romulus, Numa, Hostilius, the consuls, dictators, and decemviri, were not distinguished from others, that it might be well with them, " fed ut bonum, fælix, " faustumque sit populo Romano," but that the prosperity and happiness of the people might be procured; which being the thing always intended, it were absurd to refer the judgment of the performance to him who is suspected of a design to overthrow it, and whose passions, interests, and vices, if he has any, lead him that way. If king James faid any thing contrary to this, he might be answered with some of his own words; "I was," says he, " sworn to to

Sect. 15. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 119

"to maintain the laws of the land, and therefore "had been perjured if I had broken them\*." It may also be presumed, he had not forgotten what his master Buchanan had taught in the books he wrote chiefly for his instruction+, that the violation of the laws of Scotland could not have been so fatal to most of his predecessors, kings of that country (nor as he himself had made them to his mother) if kings as kings were above them\*.

## S E C T. XV.

A general presumption that kings will govern well, is not a sufficient security to the people.

"BUT," fays our author, " yet will they rule their subjects by the law; and a king govern-" ing in a fettled kingdom, leaves to be a king, " and degenerates into a tyrant, fo foon as he ceases " to rule according unto his laws: yet where he fees " them rigorous or doubtful, he may mitigate or " interpret." This is therefore an effect of their goodness; they are above laws, but will rule by law, we have Filmer's word for it. But I know not how nations can be affured their princes will always be to good: goodness is always accompanied with wisdom, and I do not find those admirable qualities to be generally inherent or entail'd upon supreme magis-They do not feem to be all alike, and we trates. have not hitherto found them all to live in the fame spirit and principle. I can see no resemblance between Mofes and Caligula, Joshua and Claudius, Gideon and Nero, Samson and Vitellius, Samuel and Otho, David and Domitian; nor indeed between the best of these and their own children. If the

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Speech in star chamber, 1616." † Hist. S.ot. ‡ De jure reg. apud Scot.

fons of Moses and Joshua had been like to them in wifdom, valour and integrity, 'tis probable they had been chosen to succeed them; if they were not, the like is less to be presumed of others. No man has yet observed the moderation of Gideon to have been in Abimelech; the piety of Eli in Hophni and Phineas; the purity and integrity of Samuel in Joel and Abiah, nor the wisdom of Solomon in Rehoboam. And if there was fo vast a difference between them and their children, who doubtless were instructed by those excellent men in the ways of wisdom and justice, as well by precept as example, were it not madness to be confident, that they who have neither precept nor good example to guide them, but on the contrary are educated in an utter ignorance or abhorrence of all virtue, will always be just and good; or to put the whole power into the hands of every man, woman, or child that shall be born in governing families, upon a supposition, that a thing will happen, which never did; or that the weakest and worst will perform all that can be hoped, and was feldom accomplished by the wifest and best, exposing whole nations to be destroy'd without remedy, if they do it not? And if this be madness in all extremity, 'tis to be prefumed that nations never intended any fuch thing, unless our author prove that all nations have been mad from the beginning, and must always continue to be so. To cure this, he fays, "They degenerate into tyrants;" and if he meant as he speaks, it would be enough. For a king cannot degenerate into a tyrant by departing from that law, which is only the product of his own will. But if he do degenerate, it must be by departing from that which does not depend upon his will, and is a rule prescribed by a power that is above him. This indeed is the doctrine of Bracton, who having

Sect. 15. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT.

faid that the power of the king is the power of the law, because the law makes him king, adds, " \* That if he do injustice, he ceases to be king, " degenerates into a tyrant, and becomes the vice-" gerent of the devil." But I hope this must be understood with temperament, and a due confideration of human frailty, so as to mean only those injuries that are extreme; for otherwise he would

terribly shake all the crowns of the world.

But left our author should be thought once in his life to have dealt fincerely, and spoken truth, the next lines shew the fraud of his last affertion, by giving to the prince a power of "mitigating or in-" terpreting the laws that he fees to be rigorous or "doubtful." But as he cannot degenerate into a tyrant by departing from the law which proceeds from his own will, so he cannot mitigate or interpret that which proceeds from a superior power. unless the right of mitigating or interpreting be conferred upon him by the same. For as all wise men " may institute," and that all mitigation and interpretation varying from the true fense is an alteration, that alteration is an abrogation; for ‡ whatfoever is changed is diffolved, and therefore the power of mitigating is inseparable from that of instituting. This is fufficiently evidenced by Henry the eighth's answer to the speech made to him by the speaker of the house of commons 1545, in which he, tho' one of the most violent princes we ever had, confesses the parliament to be the law-makers, and that an obligation lay upon him rightly to use the power with which he was entrusted. The right therefore

<sup>\*</sup> Quia si faciat injuriam definit esse rex, & degenerat in tyrannum? & fit vicarius diaboli. Brad.

<sup>+</sup> Cujus est instituere, ejus est abrogare. 1 Quicquid mutatur dissolvitur, interit ergò.

of altering being inseparable from that of making laws, the one being in the parliament, the other must be so also. Fortescue says plainly, the king cannot change any law: Magna Charta casts all upon \* the laws of the land and customs of England: but to fay that the king can by his will make that to be a custom or an antient law, which is not, or that not to be so which is, is most absurd. He must therefore take the laws and customs as he finds them, and can neither detract from, nor add any thing to them. The ways are prescribed as well as the end. Judgments are given by equals, per pares. The judges who may be affifting to those, are fworn to proceed according to law, and not to regard the king's letters or commands. The doubtful cases are reserved, and to be referred to the parliament, as in the statute of 35 Edw. III. concerning treafons, but never to the king. The law intending that these parliaments should be annual, and leaving to the king a power of calling them more often, if occasion require, takes away all pretence of a neceffity that there should be any other power to interpret or mitigate laws. For 'tis not to imagined that there should be such a pestilent evil in any antient law, custom, or later act of parliament, which being on the fudden discover'd may not without any great prejudice continue for forty days, till a parliament may be called; whereas the force and effence of all laws would be subverted, if under colour of mitigating and interpreting, the power of altering were allow'd to kings, who often want the inclination, and for the most part the capacity of doing it rightly. 'Tis not therefore upon the uncertain will or understanding of a prince, that the safety of a nation ought to depend. He is fometimes a child,

Leges terræ & consuctudines Angliæ.

and fometimes overburden'd with years. Some are weak, negligent, flothful, foolish or vicious: others, who may have fomething of rectitude, in their intentions, and naturally are not incapable of doing well, are drawn out of the right way by the subtilty of ill men who gain credit with them. That rule must always be uncertain, and subject to be distorted, which depends upon the fancy of such a man. He always fluctuates, and every passion that arifes in his mind, or is infused by others, disorders him. The good of a people ought to be established upon a more folid foundation. For this reason the law is established, which no passion can disturb. 'Tis void of defire and fear, lust and anger. 'Tis Mens fine affectu, written reason, retaining some measure of the divine perfection. It does not enjoin that which pleases a weak, frail man, but without any regard to persons commands that which is good, and punishes evil in all, whether rich or poor, high or low. 'Tis deaf, inexorable, inflexible.

By this means every man knows when he is fafe or in danger, because he knows whether he has done good or evil. But if all depended upon the will of a man, the worst would be often the most safe, and the best in the greatest hazard: slaves would be often advanced, the good and the brave scorn'd and neglected. The most generous nations have above all things sought to avoid this evil: and the virtue, wisdom and generosity of each may be discern'd by the right fixing of the rule that must be the guide of every man's life, and so constituting their magistracy that it may be duly observed. Such as have attained to this perfection, have always slourished in virtue and happiness: They are, as Aristotle says, governed by God, rather than by

men, whilst those who subjected themselves to the

will of a man were governed by a beaft.

This being fo, our author's next clause, that " tho' a king do frame all his actions to be accord-" ing unto law, yet he is not bound thereunto, " but as his good will, and for good example, or fo " far forth as the general law for the fafety of the " commonwealth doth naturally bind him," is wholly impertinent. For if the king who governs not according to law, degenerates into a tyrant, he is obliged to frame his actions according to law, or not to be a king; for a tyrant is none, but as contrary to him, as the worst of men is to the best. But if these obligations were untied, we may easily guess what fecurity our author's word can be to us, that the king of his own good will, and for a good example, will frame his actions according to the laws; when experience instructs us, that notwithstanding the strictest laws, and most exquisite constitutions, that men of the best abilities in the world could ever invent to restrain the irregular appetites of those in power, with the dreadful examples of vengeance taken against such as would not be restrained, they have frequently broken out; and the most powerful have for the most part no otherwise distinguished themselves from the rest of men, than by the enormity of their vices, and being the most forward in leading others to all manner of crimes by their example.

## S E C T. XVI.

The observation of the laws of nature is absurdly expected from tyrants, who set themselves up against all laws: and he that subjects kings to no other law than what is common to tyrants, destroys their being.

UR author's last clause acknowledging kings to be bound by a general law to provide for the fafety of the people, would be fufficient for my purpose if it were fincere; for municipal laws do only shew how that should be performed: and if the king by departing from that rule degenerates, as he fays, into a tyrant, 'tis eafily determined what ought then to be done by the people. But his whole book being a heap of contradictions and frauds, we can rely upon nothing that he fays: and his following words, which under the same law comprehend both kings and tyrants, shew that he intends kings should be no otherwise obliged than tyrants, which is, not at all. " By this means," fays he, " are all " kings, even tyrants and conquerors, bound to " preserve the lands, goods, liberties and lives of " all their subjects, not by any municipal law of the " land, so much as by the natural law of a father, " which obligeth them to ratify the acts of their " forefathers and predecessors in things necessary for " the public good of their fubjects." If he be therefore in the right, tyrants and conquerors are kings and fathers. The words that have been always thought to comprehend the most irreconcileable contrariety, the one expressing the most tender love and care, evidently testified by the greatest obligations conferred upon those that are under it; the other the utmost of all injuries that can be offer'd to men, fignify

fignify the same thing: there is no difference between a magistrate who is what he is by law, and a public enemy, who by force or fraud sets himself up against all law: and what he said before, that kings degenerated into tyrants, signifies nothing, for tyrants also

are kings.

His next words are no less incomprehensible; for neither king nor tyrant can be obliged to preserve the lands, goods and liberties of their subjects if they have none. But as liberty confifts only in being fubject to no man's will, and nothing denotes a flave but a dependence upon the will of another; if there be no other law in a kingdom than the will of a prince, there is no fuch thing as liberty. Property also is an appendage to liberty; and 'tis as impossible for a man to have a right to lands or goods, if he has no liberty, and enjoys his life only at the pleasure of another, as it is to enjoy either when he is deprived of them. He therefore who fays kings and tyrants are bound to preserve their subjects lands, liberties, goods and lives, and yet lays for a foundation, that laws are no more than the fignifications of their pleafure, feeks to delude the world with words which fignify nothing.

The vanity of these whimseys will farther appear, if it be considered, that as kings are kings by law, and tyrants are tyrants by overthrowing the law, they are most absurdly joined together; and 'tis not more ridiculous to set him above the law, who is what he is by the law, than to expect the observation of the laws that enjoin the preservation of the lands, liberties, goods and lives of the people, from one who by fraud or violence makes himself master of all, that he may be restrain'd by no law, and is what he is by

fubverting all law.

Besides,

Besides, if the safety of the people be the supreme law, and this fafety extend to, and confift in the preservation of their liberties, goods, lands and lives, that law must necessarily be the root and beginning, as well as the end and limit of all magistratical power, and all laws must be subservient and subordinate to it. The question will not then be what pleases the king. but what is good for the people; not what conduces to his profit or glory, but what best secures the liberties he is bound to preserve: he does not therefore reign for himself, but for the people, he is not the master, but the servant of the commonwealth; and the utmost extent of his prerogative is to be able to do more good than any private man. If this be his work and duty, 'tis eafily feen whether he is to judge of his own performance, or they by whom and for whom he reigns; and whether in order to this he be to give laws, or to receive them. 'Tis ordinarily faid in France, " Il faut que chacun soit " fervi a sa mode;" every man's business must be done according to his own mind: and if this be true in particular persons, 'tis more plainly so in whole nations. Many eyes fee more than one: the collected wisdom of a people much surpasses that of a fingle person; and tho' he should truly seek that which is best, 'tis not probable he would so easily find it, as the body of a nation, or the principal men chosen to represent the whole. This may be faid with justice of the best and wisest princes that ever were; but another language is to be used when we fpeak of those who may succeed, and who very often through the defects of age, person, or sex, are neither fit to judge of other mens affairs, nor of their own; and are so far from being capable of the highest concernments relating to the safety of whole nations, that the most trivial cannot reasonably be referred to them.

There are few men (except fuch as are like Filmer, who by bidding defiance to the laws of God and man, feems to declare war against both) whom I would not trust to determine whether a people, that can never fall into nonage or dotage, and can never fail of having men of wisdom and virtue amongst them, be not more fit to judge in their own persons, or by representatives, what conduces to their own good, than one who at a venture may be born in a certain family, and who, befides his own infirmities, passions, vices, or interests, is continually furrounded by fuch as endeavour to divert him from the ways of truth and justice. And if no reasonable man dare prefer the latter before the former, we must rely upon the laws made by our forefathers, and interpreted by the nation, and not upon the will of a man.

'Tis in vain to fay that a wife and good council may supply the defects, or correct the vices of a young, foolish, or ill disposed king. For Filmer denies that a king, whatever he be without exception, (for he attributes profound wisdom to all) is obliged to follow the advice of his council; and even he would hardly have had the impudence to fay, that good council given to a foolish or wicked prince were of any value, unless he were obliged to follow it. This council must be chosen by him, or imposed upon him: if it be imposed upon him, it must be by a power that is above him, which he fays cannot be. If chosen by him who is weak, foolish, or wicked, it can never be good; because such virtue and wisdom is requir'd to discern and choose a few good and wife men, from a multitude of foolish and bad, as he has not. And

in

in

ri

ėv

of

CO

fro

ob

not

mo

liev

nar

Sect. 16. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 120 it will generally fall out, that he will take for his

counsellors rather those he believes to be addicted to his person or interests, than such as are fitly qualified to perform the duty of their places. But if he should by chance, or contrary to his intentions, make choice of some good and wise men, the matter would not be much mended, for they will certainly differ in opinion from the worst. And tho' the prince should intend well, of which there is no affurance; nor any reason to put so great a power into his hands if there be none, 'tis almost impossible for him to avoid the snares that will be laid to feduce him. I know not how to put a better face upon this matter; for if I examine rather what is probable than possible, foolish or ill princes will never chuse such as are wise and good; but favouring those who are most like to themselves, will prefer fuch as fecond their vices, humours, and personal interests, and by so doing will rather fortify and rivet the evils that are brought upon the nation through their defects, than cure them. This was evident in Rehoboam: he had good counsel, but he would not hearken to it. We know too many of the same fort; and tho' it were not impossible (as Macchiavelli fays it is) for a weak prince to receive any benefit from a good council, we may certainly conclude, that a people can never expect any good from a council chosen by one who is weak or vicious.

If a council be imposed upon him, and he be obliged to follow their advice, it must be imposed by a power that is above him; his will therefore is not a law, but must be regulated by the law: the monarchy is not above the law; and if we will believe our author, 'tis no monarchy, because the monarch has not his will, and perhaps he says true.

Vol. II. K For

For if that be not an Aristocracy, where those that are, or are reputed to be the best do govern, then that is certainly a mixed state, in which the will of one man does not prevail. But if princes are not obliged by the law, all that is founded upon that fupposition falls to the ground: they will always follow their own humours, or the fuggestions of those who second them. Tiberius hearkned to none but Chaldeans, or the ministers of his impurities and cruelties: Claudius was governed by flaves, and the profligate strumpets his wives. were many wife and good men in the fenate during the reigns of Caligula, Nero and Domitian; but instead of following their counsel, they endeavour'd to deftroy them all, left they should head the people against them; and such princes as resemble them will always follow the like courfes.

If I often repeat these hateful names, 'tis not for want of fresher examples of the same nature; but I choose such as mankind has universally condemn'd, against whom I have no other cause of hatred than what is common to all those who have any love to virtue, and which can have no other relation to the controversies of later ages, than what may flow from the fimilitude of their causes, rather than such as are too well known to us, and which every man, according to the measure of his experience, may call to mind in reading these. I may also add, that as nothing is to be received as a general maxim, which is not generally true, I need no more to overthrow fuch as Filmer proposes, than to prove how frequently they have been found falle, and what desperate mischiefs have been brought upon the world as often as they have been practifed, and exceffive powers put into the hands of fuch as had neither

Sect. 16. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 131 neither inclination nor ability to make a good use of them.

which governments are instituted, such as take upon them to govern, by what title soever, are by the law of nature bound to procure it; and in order to this, to preserve the lives, lands, liberties and goods of every one of their subjects: and he that upon any title whatsoever pretends, assumes, or exercises a power of disposing of them according to his will, violates the laws of nature in the highest degree.

2. If all princes are obliged by the law of nature to preserve the lands, goods, lives and liberties of their subjects, those subjects have by the law of nature a right to their liberties, lands, goods, &c. and cannot depend upon the will of any man, for that

dependance destroys liberty, &c.

3. Ill men will not, and weak men cannot provide for the safety of the people; nay the work is of such extreme difficulty, that the greatest and wisest men that have been in the world are not able by themselves to perform it; and the assistance of counsel is of no use unless princes are obliged to follow it. There must be therefore a power in every state to restrain the ill, and to instruct weak princes by obliging them to follow the counsels given, else the ends of government cannot be accomplished, nor the rights of a nation preserved.

All this being no more than is faid by our author, or necessarily to be deduced from his propositions, one would think he were become as good a commonwealth's-man as Cato; but the washed swine will return to the mire. He overthrows all by a preposterous conjunction of the rights of kings which are just and by law, with those of tyrants which are

K

utterly

utterly against law; and gives the sacred and gentle name of father to those beafts, who by their actions declare themselves enemies not only to all law and justice, but to mankind that cannot fubfift without them. This requires no other proof, than to examine whether Attila or Tamerlane did well deserve to be called fathers of the countries they destroy'd. The first of these was usually called the scourge of God, and he gloried in the name. The other being reproved for the detestable cruelties he exercised, made answer, " \* You speak to me as to a man; " I am not a man, but the scourge of God and " plague of mankind." This is certainly fweet and gentle language, favouring much of a fatherly tenderness: there is no doubt that those who use it will provide for the safety of the nations under them, and the preservation of the laws of nature is rightly referred to them; and 'tis very probable, that they who came to burn the countries, and destroy the nations that fell under their power, should make it their business to preserve them, and look upon the former governors " as their fathers, whose acts they " were obliged to confirm," tho' they feldom attained to the dominion by any other means than the flaughter of them and their families.

But if the enmity be not against the nation, and the cause of the war be only for dominion against the ruling person or family, as that of Baasha against the house of Jeroboam, of Zimri against that of Baasha, of Omri against Zimri, and Jehu against Joram, the prosecution of it is a strange way of becoming the son of the person destroyed. And Filmer alone is subtil enough to discover, that Jehu by extinguishing the house of Ahab, drew an obligation upon himself, of looking on him as his father,

al

<sup>\*</sup> Vit. Tamerl, hift. Thuan.

and confirming his acts. If this be true, Moses was obliged to confirm the acts of the kings of the Amalekites, Moabites and Amorites that he destroy'd; the same duty lay upon Joshua, in relation to the Canaanites: but 'tis not so easily decided, to which of them he did owe that deference; for the fame could not be due to all, and 'tis hard to believe, that by killing above thirty kings, he should purchase to himself so many fathers; and the like may be said of divers others.

Moreover, there is a fort of tyrant who has no father, as Agathocles, Dionysius, Cæsar, generally all those who subvert the liberties of their own country. And if they stood obliged to look upon the former magistrates as their predecessors, and to confirm their acts, the first should have been to give impunity and reward to any that would kill them, it having been a fundamental maxim in those states, that any man might kill a tyrant \*.

This being in all respects ridiculous and absurd, 'tis evident that our author, who by proposing such a false security to nations for their liberties, endeavours to betray them, is not less treacherous to kings. when under a pretence of defending their rights. he makes them to be the same with those of tyrants, who are known to have none (and are tyrants because they have none) and gives no other hopes to nations of being preserved by the kings they set up for that end, than what upon the same account may be expected from tyrants, whom all wife men have ever abhorr'd, and affirmed to have been " produced to " bring destruction upon the world +," and whose lives have verified the fentence.

Unicuique licere tyrannum occidere. † In generis humani exitium natos.

This is truly to depose and abolish kings, by abolishing that by which and for which they are fo. The greatness of their power, riches, state, and the pleasures that accompany them cannot but create enemies. Some will envy that which is accounted happiness; others may dislike the use they make of their power: fome may be unjustly exasperated by the best of their actions when they find themselves incommoded by them; others may be too fevere judges of flight miscarriages. These things may reasonably temper the joys of those who delight most in the advantages of crowns. But the worst and most dangerous of all their enemies are these accurfed fycophants, who by making those that ought to be the best of men, like to the worst, destroy their being; and by persuading the world they aim at the fame things, and are bound to no other rule than is common to all tyrants, give a fair pretence to ill men to fay, they are all of one kind. And if this should be received for truth, even they who think the miscarriages of their governors may be eafily redreffed, and defire no more, would be the most fierce in procuring the destruction of that which is naught in principle, and cannot be corrected.

## S E C T. XVII.

Kings cannot be the interpreters of the oaths they take.

UR author's book is fo full of absurdaties and contradictions, that it would be a rope of fand, if a continued series of frauds did not, like a string of poisons running through the whole, give it some consistence with it self, and shew it to be the work of one and the same hand. After having endeavoured

endeavoured to subvert the laws of God, nature, and nations, most especially our own, by abusing the scriptures, falsly alledging the authority of many good writers, and feeking to obtrude upon mankind an universal law, that would take from every nation the right of constituting such governments within themfelves as feem most convenient for them, and giving rules for the administration of such as they had established, he gives us a full view of his religion and morals, by destroying the force of the oath taken by our kings at their coronation. "Others," fays he, " affirm that although laws of themselves " do not bind kings, yet the oaths of kings at their " coronation tie them to keep all the laws of their "kingdoms. How far this is true, let us but ex-" amine the oath of the kings of England at their " coronation, the words whereof are these. Art " thou pleased to cause to be administred in all thy " judgments, indifferent and upright justice, and to " use discretion with mercy and verity? Art thou " pleased that our upright laws and customs be ob-" ferved, and dost thou promise that those shall be " protected and maintained by thee? &c." To which the king " answers in the affirmative, being " first demanded by the archbishop of Canterbury, " pleafeth it you to confirm and observe the laws " and customs of the antient times, granted from " God by just and devout kings unto the English " nation, by oath unto the faid people, especially " the laws, liberties and customs granted unto the " clergy and laity by the famous king Edward?" From this he infers, that the king " is not to ob-" ferve all laws, but fuch as are upright, because " he finds evil laws mention'd in the oath of " Richard the II. which he swears to abolish: Now " what laws are upright and what evil, who shall " judge K 4

" judge but the king? &c. So that in effect the " king doth fwear to keep no laws but fuch as in his " judgment are upright, &c. And if he did strictly swear to observe all laws, he could not without " perjury give his confent to the repealing or abro-" gating of any statute by act of parliament, &c." And again, " But let it be supposed for truth, that " kings do fwear to observe all laws of their king-" doms; yet no man can think it reason, that the " kings should be more bound by their voluntary " oaths than common persons: now if a private " person make a contract, either with oath or without oath, he is no farther bound than the equity " and justice of the contract ties him; for a man " may have relief against an unreasonable and unjust " promise, if either deceit or error, force or fear " induced him thereunto: or if it be hurtful or " grievous in the performance, fince the law in " many cases gives the king a prerogative above " common persons." Lest I should be thought to infift upon small advantages, I will not oblige any man to shew where Filmer found this oath, nor observe the faults committed in the translation; but notwithstanding his falserepresentation, I find enough for my purpose, and intend to take it in his own words. But first I shall take leave to remark, that those who for private interests addict themselves to the personal service of princes, tho' to the ruin of their country, find it impossible to persuade mankind that kings may govern as they please, when all men know there are laws to direct and restrain them, unless they can make men believe they have their power from an universal and superior law; or that princes can attempt to diffolve the obligations laid upon them by the laws, which they fo folemnly fwear :

fwear to observe, without rendring themselves detestable to God and man, and subject to the revenging hands of both, unless they can invalidate those Mr. Hobbes \* I think was the first, who very ingeniously contrived a compendious way of justifying the most abominable perjuries, and all the mischiefs ensuing thereupon, by pretending, that as the king's oath is made to the people, the people may absolve him from the obligation; and that the people having conferred upon him all the power they had, he can do all that they could: he can therefore absolve himself, and is actually free, since he is so when he pleases. This is only false in the minor: for the people not having conferred upon him all, but only a part of their power, that of absolving him remains in themselves, otherwise they would never have obliged him to take the oath. He cannot therefore absolve himself. The pope finds a help for this, and as Christ's vicar pretends the power of absolution to be in him, and exercised it in absolving king John. But our author despairing to impose either of these upon our age and nation, with more impudence and less wit, would enervate all coronation oaths by subjecting them to the difcretion of the taker; whereas all men have hitherto thought their force to confift in the declared sense of those who give them. This doctrine is so new; that it surpasses the subtilty of the schoolmen, who, as an ingenious person said of them, had minced oaths fo fine, that a million of them, as well as angels, may stand upon the point of a needle; and were never yet equalled but by the Jesuits, who have overthrown them by mental refervations, which is fo clearly demonstrated from their books, that it cannot be denied, but so horrible, that even those of their

<sup>·</sup> Lib, de Cive.

own order who have the least spark of common honesty condemn the practice. And one of them, being a gentleman of a good family, told me, he would go the next day and take all the oaths that should be offer'd, if he could satisfy his conscience in using any manner of equivocation or mental refervation; or that he might put any other fense upon them, than he knew to be intended by those who offer'd them. And if our author's conscience were not more corrupted than that of the Jesuit, who had lived fifty years under the worst discipline that I think ever was in the world, I would ask him seriously, if he truly believe, that the nobility, clergy and commonalty of England, who have been always fo zealous for their antient laws, and fo resolute in defending them, did mean no more by the oaths they so folemnly imposed, and upon which they laid so much weight, than that the king should swear to keep them, so far only as he should think fit. But " he swears only to observe those that are upright, &c." How can that be understood otherwise, than that those who give the oath, do declare their laws and customs to be upright and good, and he by taking the oath affirms them to be so? Or how can they be more precifely specified than by the ensuing clause, " Granted from God by just and devout kings by " oath, especially those of the famous king Edward?" But, fays he, by the fame oath Richard the II. " was bound to abolish those that were evil." If any fuch had crept in through error, or been obtruded by malice, the evil being discovered and declared by the nobility and commons who were concerned, he was not to take advantage of them, or by his refusal to evade the abolition, but to join with his people in annulling them, according to the

Sect. 17. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 1

the general clause of affenting to those " Quas vulgus

" elegerit."

Magna Charta being only an abridgment of our antient laws and customs, the king that swears to it, fwears to them all; and not being admitted to be the interpreter of it, or to determine what is good or evil, fit to be observed or annulled in it, can have no more power over the rest. This having been confirmed by more parliaments than we have had kings fince that time, the fame obligation must still lie upon them all, as upon John and Henry, in whose time that claim of right was compiled. The act was no less solemn than important; and the most dreadful curses that could be conceived in words, which were denounced against such as should any way infringe it, by the clergy in Westminster-hall, in the presence and with the affent of King Henry III. many of the principal nobility, and all the estates of the kingdom, shew whether it was referred to the king's judgment or not; when 'tis evident they feared the violation from no other than himfelf, and fuch as he should employ. I confess the church (as they then called the clergy) was fallen into fuch corruption, that their arms were not much to be feared by one who had his conscience clear; but that could not be in the case of perjury: and our ancestors could do no better, than to employ the spiritual sword, reserving to themselves the use of the other in case that he should be despised. Tho' the pope's excommunications proved fometimes to be but bruta fulmina, when a just cause was wanting, it may be eafily judged what a prince could expect from his subjects, when every man knew he had by perjury drawn the most heavy curses upon himself. King John was certainly wicked, but he durst not break these bonds till he had procured the pope's

pope's absolution for a cover; and when he had done fo, he found himself unsafe under it, and could not make good what he had promifed to the pope to obtain it, the parliament declaring that his grants to the pope were unjust, illegal, contrary to his coronation-oath, and that they would not be held by them. This went fo far in that king's time, that writs were iffued out to men of all conditions to oblige themselves by oath to keep the great charter; and if other means failed, " \* to compel the king "to perform the conditions." 'Tis expresly said in his charter, " + That the barons and commonalty " of the land shall streighten and compel us by all " means possible, as by seizing our towns, lands, " and possessions, or any other way, till satisfac-" tion be made according to their pleasure." And in the charter of his fon Henry, 'tis, upon the same supposition of not performing the agreement, said, " It shall be lawful for all men in our kingdom " to rife up against us, and to do all things that " may be grievous to us, as if they were absolutely " free from any engagements to our person." These words feem to have been contrived to be fo full and strong propter duplicitatem regis, which was with too much reason suspected. And 'tis not, as I suppose, the language of flaves and villains begging fomething from their lord, but of noble and free men, who knew their lord was no more than what they made him, and had nothing but what they

\* Et quod ipfum regem per captionem distringerent & gravarent ad

præfata exequenda.

Licet omnibus de regno nostro contra nos insurgere, & omnia facere quæ gravamen nostrum respiciant, ac si nobis in nullo teneren-

<sup>+</sup> Et ipfi Barones cum communitate totius terræ distringent & gravabunt nos modis omnibus quibus poterunt, scilicet per captionem castrorum, terrarum, possessionum, & aliis modis quibus potuerint, donec emendatum fuerit secundum arbitrium eorum,

that

gave him: nor the language of a lord treating with fuch as enjoy'd their liberties by his favour, but with those whom he acknowledged to be the judges of his performing what had been stipulated; and equals the agreements made between the kings and people of Arragon, which I cited before from the relations of Antonio Perez. This is as far as men can go; and the experience of all ages manifests, that princes performing their office, and observing these stipulations, have lived glorious, happy and beloved: and I can hardly find an example of any who have notoriously broken these oaths, and been adjudged to have incurred the penalties, who have not lived miserably, died shamefully, and left an abominable memory to posterity.

"But, fays our author, kings cannot be more " obliged by voluntary oaths than other men, and " may be relieved from unjust and unreasonable " promises, if they be induced by deceit, error, " force or fear, or the performance be grievous." Which is to fay, that no oath is of any obligation: for there is none that is not voluntary or involuntary. and there never was any upon which fome fuch thing may not be pretended, which would be the fame if fuch as Filmer had the direction of their consciences who take the oaths, and of those who are to exact the performance. This would foon destroy all confidence between king and people, and not only unhinge the best established governments, but by a detestable practice of annihilating the force of oaths and most solemn contracts that can be made by men, overthrow all focieties that fubfift by them. I leave it to all reasonable men to judge how fit a work this would be for the supreme magistrate who is advanced to the highest degree of human glory and happiness, that he may preserve them; and how

that justice, for the obtaining of which governments are constituted, can be administred, if he who is to exact it from others, do in his own person utterly subvert it; and what they deserve, who by fuch base prevarications would teach them to pervert and abolish the most facred of all contracts. A worthy person of our age was accustomed to say that contracts in writing were invented only to bind villains, who having no law, justice or truth within themselves, would not keep their words, unless such testimonies were given as might compel them. But if our author's doctrine were received, no contract would be of more value than a cobweb. Such as are not absolutely of a profligate conscience, so far reverence the religion of an oath, to think that even those which are most unjustly and violently imposed ought to be observed; and Julius Cæsar, who I think was not over-scrupulous, when he was taken by pirates, and fet at liberty upon his word, caufed the ransom he had promised to be paid to them. We fee the like is practifed every day by prisoners taken in unjust as well as just wars: and there is no honest man that would not abhor a person, who being taken by the pirates of Algier should not pay what he had promised for his liberty. 'Twere in vain to fay they had no right of exacting, or that the performance was grievous; he must return to chains, or pay. And tho' the people of Artois, Alfatia, or Flanders, do perhaps with reason think the king of France has no right to impose oaths of allegiance upon them, no man doubts, that if they choose rather to take those oaths, than to fuffer what might enfue upon their refusal, they are as much bound to be faithful to him as his antient subjects. The

The like may be faid of promises extorted by fraud; and no other example is necessary to prove they are to be performed than that of Joshua made to the Gibeonites. They were an accursed nation, which he was commanded to destroy: they came to him with lies, and by deceit induced him to make a league with them, which he ought not to have done; but being made, it was to be performed; and on that account he did not only spare but defend them, and the action was approved by God. When Saul by a preposterous zeal violated that league, the anger of God for that breach of faith could no otherwise be appealed than by the death of seven of his children. This case is so full, so precise, and of fuch undoubted authority, that I shall not trouble myself with any other. But if we believe our man of good morals, voluntary oaths and promises are of no more value than those gained by force or deceit, that is to fay, none are of any. For voluntary fignifying nothing but free, all human acts are either free or not free, that is, from the will of the person, or some impulse from without. If therefore there be no force in those that are free, nor in those that are not free, there is none in any.

No better use can be made of any "pretension of "error," or that the "performance was grievous;" for no man ought to be grieved at the performance of his contract. David assures us, that a good man performs his agreement tho' he lose by it; and the lord chancellor Egerton told a gentleman, who desired relief against his own deed, upon an allegation that he knew not what he did when he signed it, that he did

not fit to relieve fools.

But the voluntary promises or oaths, when, to use the lawyers language, there is not a valuable consideration, were of no obligation; or that men brought brought by force, fear or error, into fuch contracts as are grievous in the performance, might be relieved; this would not at all reach the cases of princes, in the contracts made between them and their subjects, and confirmed by their oaths, there being no colour of force or fraud, fear or error for them to alledge; nor any thing to be pretended that can be grievous to perform, otherwise than as it may be grievous to an ill man not to do the mischiefs he had conceived.

Nations according to their own will frame the laws by which they refolve to be governed; and if they do it not wisely, the damage is only to themfelves: but'tis hard to find an example of any people that did by force oblige a man to take upon him the government of them. Gideon was indeed much pressed by the Israelites to be their king; and the army of Germanicus in a mutiny more fiercely urged him to be emperor; but both defisted when their offers were refused. If our kings have been more modest, and our ancestors more pertinacious in compelling them to accept the crowns they offer'd, I shall upon proof of the matter change my opinion. But till that do appear, I may be pardoned if I think there was no fuch thing. William the Norman was not by force brought into England, but came voluntarily, and defired to be king: the nobility, clergy, and commons proposed the conditions up-on which they would receive him. These conditions were to govern according to their antient laws, especially those that had been granted, or rather collected in the time of the famous king Edward. Here was neither force nor fraud; if he had disliked the terms, he might have retired as freely as he came. But he did like them; and tho' he was not perhaps

fo modest, to say with the brave Saxon king Offa, " Ad libertatis vestræ tuitionem, non meis meritis. " fed fola liberalitate vestra unanimiter me convo-" castis\*," he accepted the crown upon the conditions offer'd, and fwore upon the evangelists to observe them. Not much valuing this, he pretended to govern according to his own will; but finding the people would not endure it, he renewed his oath upon the same evangelists, and the reliques of S. Alban, which he needed not to have done, but might have departed to his dutchy of Normandy if he had not lik'd the conditions, or thought not fit to observe them. 'Tis probable he examined the contents of Edward's laws before he + fwore to them. and could not imagine, that a free nation which never had any other kings than fuch as had been chosen by themselves for the preservation of their liberty, and from whose liberality the best of their kings acknowledged the crowns they wore, did intend to give up their persons, liberties and estates to him, who was a stranger, most especially when they would not receive him till he had fworn to the fame laws by which the others had reigned, of which one was (as appears by the act of the Conventus Pananglicus) that "Reges à sacerdotibus & senioribus populi eli-" gantur, the kings should be elected by the clergy " and elders of the people." By these means he was advanced to the crown, to which he could have no title, unless they had the right of conferring it upon him. Here was therefore no force, deceit or error; and whatfoever equity there might be to relieve one that had been forced, frighted or circumvented, it was nothing to this case. We do not find

<sup>&</sup>quot; Addit. Mat. Par.

<sup>+</sup> Bonas & approbates antiquas regni leges, quas fancti & pii reges ejus antecessores, & maxime Edwardus samur, inviolabiliter observare.

that William the second, or Henry, were forced to be kings; no fword was put to their throats; and for any thing we know, 'the English nation was not then fo contemptible but men might have been found in the world, who would willingly have accepted the crown, and even their elder brother Robert would not have refused: but the nobility and commons trusting to their oaths and promifes, thought fit to prefer them before him; and when he endeavoured to impose himself upon the nation by force, they so severely punished him, that no better proof can be required to flew that they were accustomed to have no other kings than fuch as they approved. And this was one of the customs that all their kings swore to maintain, it being as antient, just, and well approved as any other.

Having already proved, that all the kings we have had fince that time, have come in upon the fame title; that the Saxon laws to which all have fworn, continue to be of force amongst us, and that the words pronounced four times on the four fides of the scaffold by the archbishop, "Will ye have this "man to reign?" do testify it; I may spare the pains of a repetition, and justly conclude, that if there was neither force nor fraud, fear nor error to be pretended by the first, there could be none in those that followed.

But the "observation of this oath may be grievous." If I received money the last year upon bond, promise, or sale of a manor or farm, can it be thought grievous to me to be compelled to repay, or to make over the land according to my agreement? Or if I did not seal the bond till I had the money, must not I perform the condition, or at the least restore what I had received? If it be grievous to any king to preserve the liberties, lives, and estates of his subjects,

and to govern according to their laws, let him refign the crown, and the people to whom the oath. was made, will probably release him. Others may possibly be found who will not think it grievous: or if none will accept a crown unless they may do what they please, the people must bear the misfortune of being obliged to govern themselves, or to institute some other fort of magistracy that will be fatisfied with a less exorbitant power. Perhaps they may fucceed as well as fome others have done, who without being brought to that necessity, have voluntarily cast themselves into the misery of living without the majestic splendor of a monarch: or if that fail, they may, as their last refuge, surrender up themselves to slavery. When that is done, we will acknowledge that whatfoever we have is derived from the favour of our master. But no such thing yet appearing amongst us, we may be pardoned if we think we are free-men governed by our own laws, and that no man has a power over us, which is not given and regulated by them; nor that any thing but a new law made by our felves, can exempt our kings from the obligation of performing their oaths taken, to govern according to the old, in the true fense of the words, as they are understood in our language by those who give them, and conducing to the ends for which they are given, which can be no other than to defend us from all manner of arbitrary power, and to fix a rule to which we are to conform our actions, and from which, according to our deserts, we may expect reward or punishment. And those who by prevarications, cavils or equivocations, endeavour to diffolve these obligations, do either maliciously betray the cause of kings, by representing them to the world as men who prefer the fatisfaction of their irregular appetites before the per-L 2 formance

formance of their duty, and trample under foot the most facred bonds of human fociety; or from the groffest ignorance do not see, that by teaching nations how little they can rely upon the oaths of their princes, they instruct them as little to observe their own; and that not only because men are generally inclined to follow the examples of those in power, but from a most certain conclusion, that he who breaks his part of a contract cannot without the utmost impudence and folly expect the performance. of the other; nothing being more known amongst men, than that all contracts are of fuch mutual obligation, that he who fails of his part discharges the other. If this be so between man and man, it must needs be so between one and many millions of men: If he were free, because he says he is, every man must be free also when he pleases; if a private man who receives no benefit, or perhaps prejudice from a contract, be obliged to perform the conditions, much more are kings who receive the greatest advantages the world can give. As they are not by themselves nor for themselves, so they are not different in specie from other men: they are born, live and die as we all do. The same law of truth and justice is given to all by God and nature, and perhaps I may fay the performance of it is most rigorously exacted from the greatest of men. The liberty of perjury cannot be a privilege annexed to crowns; and 'tis abfurd to think that the most venerable authority that can be conferred upon a man is increased by a liberty to commit, or impunity in committing fuch crimes as are the greatest aggravations of infamy to the basest villains in the world.

## S E C T. XVIII.

The next in blood to deceased kings cannot generally be faid to be kings till they are crowned.

not come in by contract nor by oath, but are kings by, or according to proximity of blood, before they are crowned. Tho' this be a bold proposition, I will not say 'tis universally salse. 'Tis possible that in some places the rule of succession may be set down so precisely, that in some cases every man may be able to see and know the sense, as well as the person designed to be the successor: but before I acknowledge it to be universally true, I must desire to know what this rule of succession is,

and from whence it draws its original.

I think I may be excused if I make these scruples, because I find the thing in dispute to be variously adjudged in several places, and have observed five different manners of disposing crowns esteemed hereditary, besides an infinite number of collateral controversies arising from them, of which we have divers examples; and if there be one universal rule appointed, one of these only can be right, and the others must be vicious. The first gives the inheritance to the eldest male of the eldest legitimate line, as in France, according to that which they call the Salique law. The fecond, to the eldest legitimate male of the reigning family, as antiently in Spain, according to which the brother of the deceased king has been often if not always preferr'd before the fon, if he were elder, as may appear by the dispute between Corbis and Orfua, cited before from Titus Livius; and in the same country during the reign of the Goths, the eldest male succeeded, whether le-

L 3

gitimate

gitimate or illegitimate. The fourth receives females or their descendants, without any other condition distinguishing them from males, except that the younger brother is preferr'd before the elder fifter, but the daughter of the elder brother is preferr'd before the fon of the younger. The fifth gives the inheritance to females under a condition, as in Sweden, where they inherit, unless they marry out of the country without the confent of the estates; according to which rule Charles Gustavus was chosen, as any stranger might have been, tho' fon to a fister of Gustavus Adolphus, who by marrying a German prince had forfeited her right. And by the fame act of effates, by which her eldest fon was chosen, and the crown entailed upon the heirs of his body, her fecond fon the prince Adolphus was wholly excluded.

Till these questions are decided by a judge of such an undoubted authority, that all men may fafely fubmit, 'tis hard for any man who really feeks the fatisfaction of his conscience, to know whether the law of God and nature (tho' he should believe there is one general law) do justify the customs of the antient \* Medes and Sabeans, mentioned by the poet, who admitted females, or those of France which totally exclude them as unfit to reign over men, and utterly unable to perform the duty of a supreme magistrate, as we see they are every where excluded from the exercise of all other offices in the commonwealth. If it be faid that we ought to follow the customs of our own country, I answer that those of our own country deserve to be observed, because they are of our own country: but they are no more to be called the laws of God and nature than those of

<sup>\* —</sup> Medis levibusque Sabæis
Imperat hic sexus, reginarumque sub armis
Barbaries pars magna jacet. Lucan,

France or Germany; and tho' I do not believe that any general law is appointed, I wish I were sure that our customs in this point were not more repugnant to the light of nature, and prejudicial to our felves, than those of some other nations. But if I should be so much an Englishman, to think the will of God to have been more particularly revealed to our ancestors, than to any other nation, and that all of them ought to learn from us; yet it would be difficult to decide many questions that may arise. For tho' the parliament in the thirty fixth of Henry VI. made an act in favour of Richard duke of York, descended from a daughter of Mortimer, who married the daughter of the duke of Clarence, elder brother to John of Gaunt, they rather afferted their own power of giving the crown to whom the pleafed, than determined the question. For if they had believed that the crown had belonged to him by a general and eternal law, they must immediately have rejected Henry as an usurper, and put Richard into the possession of his right, which they did not. And tho' they did fomething like to this in the cases of Maud the empress in relation to king Stephen, and her fon Henry the second; and of Henry the seventh in relation to the house of York, both before he had married a daughter of it, and after her death; they did the contrary in the cases of William the first and fecond, Henry the first, Stephen, John, Richard the third, Henry the feventh, Mary, Elizabeth, and others. So that, for any thing I can yet find, 'tis equally difficult to discover the true sense of the law of nature that should be a guide to my conscience. whether I so far submit to the laws of my country, to think that England alone has produced men that rightly understand it, or examine the laws and practices of other nations.

L 4

Whilft

Whilst this remains undecided, 'tis impossible for me to know to whom I owe the obedience that is exacted from me. If I were a Frenchman, I could not tell whether I ow'd allegiance to the king of Spain, duke of Lorrain, duke of Savoy, or many others descended from daughters of the house of Valois, one of whom ought to inherit, if the inheritance belongs to semales; or to the house of Bourbon, whose only title is founded upon the exclusion of them. The like controversies will be in all places; and he that would put mankind upon such enquiries, goes about to subvert all the governments of the world, and arms every man to the

destruction of his neighbour.

We ought to be informed when this right began; if we had the genealogy of every man from Noah, and the crowns of every nation had fince his time continued in one line, we were only to inquire into how many kingdoms he appointed the world to be divided, and how well the division we see at this day agrees with the allotment made by him. But mankind having for many ages lain under fuch a vast confusion, that no man pretends to know his own original, except some Jews, and the princes of the house of Austria, we cannot so easily arrive at the end of our work; and the scriptures making no other mention of this part of the world, than what may induce us to think it was given to the fons of Japhet, we have nothing that can lead us to guess how it was to be subdivided, nor to whom the several parcels were given: so that the difficulties are absolutely inextricable; and tho' it were true, that some one man had a right to every parcel that is known to us, it could be of no use; for that right must necessarily perish which no man can prove, nor indeed claim. as all natural rights by inheritance must be by descent,

this

this descent not being proved, there can be no natural right; and all rights being either natural, created or acquired, this right to crowns not being natural, must be created or acquired, or none at all.

There being no general law common to all nations, creating a right to crowns (as has been proved by the several methods used by several nations in the disposal of them, according to which all those that we know are enjoy'd) we must seek the right concerning which we dispute, from the particular constitutions of every nation, or we shall be able to find none.

Acquir'd rights are obtained, as men fay, either by fair means or by foul, that is, by force or by confent: fuch as are gained by force, may be recovered by force; and the extent of those that are enjoy'd by confent, can only be known by the reasons for which, or the conditions upon which that confent was obtained, that is to fay, by the laws of every people. According to these laws it cannot be said that there is a king in every nation before he is crowned. John Sobietski now reigning in Poland, had no relation in blood to the former kings, nor any title till he was chosen. The last king of Sweden acknowledged he had none, but was freely elected; and the crown being conferred upon him and the heirs of his body, if the present king dies without iffue, the right of electing a fucceffor returns undoubtedly to the estates of the country. The crown of Denmark was elective till it was made hereditary by an act of the general diet, held at Copenhagen in the year 1660; and 'tis impossible that a right should otherwise accrue to a younger brother of the house of Holstien, which is derived from a younger brother of the counts of Oldenburgh. The Roman empire having passed through the hands of

many persons of different nations, no way relating to each other in blood, was by Constantine transferred to Constantinople; and after many revolutions coming to Theodofius, by birth a Spaniard, was divided between his two fons Arcadius and Honorius. From thence passing to such as could gain most credit with the foldiers, the Western empire being brought almost to nothing, was restored by Charles the great of France; and continuing for some time in his defcendants, came to the Germans; who having created several emperors of the houses of Suevia, Saxony, Bavaria and others, as they pleased, about three hundred years past chose Rodolphus of Austria: and tho' fince that time they have not had any emperor who was not of that family; yet fuch as were chosen had nothing to recommend them but the merits of their ancestors, their own personal virtues, or fuch political confiderations as might arise from the power of their hereditary countries, which being joined with those of the empire, might enable them to make the better defence against the Turks. But in this line also they have had little regard to inheritance according to blood; for the elder branch of the family is that which reigns in Spain; and the empire continues in the descendants of Ferdinand younger brother to Charles the fifth, tho' fo unfix'd even to this time, that the present emperor Leopold was in great danger of being rejected.

If it be said that these are elective kingdoms, and our author speaks of such as are hereditary; I answer, that if what he says be true, there can be no elective kingdom, and every nation has a natural lord to whom obedience is due. But if some are elective, all might have been so if they had pleased, unless it can be proved, that God created some under a necessity of subjection, and lest to others the enjoyment

155

ment of their liberty. If this be fo, the nations that are born under that necessity may be said to have a natural lord, who has all the power in himself, before he is crowned, or any part conferred on him by the confent of the people; but it cannot extend to others. And he who pretends a right over any nation upon that account, stands obliged to shew when and how that nation came to be discriminated by God from others, and deprived of that liberty which he in goodness had granted to the rest of mankind. I confess I think there is no such right, and need no better proof than the various ways of disposing inheritances in feveral countries, which not being naturally or univerfally better or worse than another, cannot spring from any other root, than the consent of the several nations where they are in force, and their opinions that such methods were best for them. But if God have made a discrimination of people, he that would thereupon ground a title to the dominion of any one, must prove that nation to be under the curse of slavery, which for any thing I know, was only denounced against Cham: and 'tis as hard to determine whether the fense of it be temporal, spiritual, or both, as to tell precisely what nations by being only descended from him, fall under the penalties threatned,

If these therefore be either intirely false, or impossible to be proved true, there is no discrimination, or not known to us; and every people has a right of disposing of their government, as well as the Polanders, Danes, Swedes, Germans, and such as are or were under the Roman empire. And if any nation has a natural lord before he be admitted by their consent, it must be by a peculiar act of their own, as the crown of France by an act of that nation, which they call the Salique law, is made hereditary

hereditary to males in a direct line, or the nearest to the direct; and others in other places are otherwise

disposed.

I might rest here with full assurance that no difciple of Filmer can prove this of any people in the world, nor give so much as the shadow of a reason to persuade us there is any such thing in any nation, or at least in those where we are concerned; and presume little regard will be had to what he has faid, fince he cannot prove of any that which he fo boldly affirms of all. But because good men ought to have no other object than truth, which in matters of this importance can never be made too evident, I will venture to go farther and affert, that as the various ways by which several nations dispose of the fuccession to their respective crowns, shew they were subject to no other law than their own, which they might have made different, by the same right they made it to be what it is, even those who have the greatest veneration for the reigning families, and the highest regard for proximity of blood, have always preferr'd the fafety of the commonwealth before the concernments of any person or family; and have not only laid afide the nearest in blood, when they were found to be notoriously vicious and wicked, but when they have thought it more convenient to take others: and to prove this I intend to make use of no other examples than those I find in the histories of Spain, France and England.

Whilst the Goths governed Spain, not above four persons in the space of three hundred years were the immediate successors of their fathers, but the brother, cousin german, or some other man of the families of the Balthei or Amalthei was preferred before the children of the deceased king: and if it be said, this was according to the law of that kingdom, I answer, that it was therefore in the power of that nation to

mak e

make laws for themselves, and consequently others have the same right. One of their kings called Wamba \* was deposed and made a monk after he had reigned well many years; but falling into a swound, and his friends thinking him past recovery, cut off his hair, and put a monk's frock upon him, that, according to the superstition of those times, he might die in it; and the cutting off the hair being a most disgraceful thing amongst the Goths +, they would not restore him to his authority. Suintila another of their kings being deprived of the crown for his ill government, his children and brothers were excluded, and Sisinandus crowned in his room ‡.

This kingdom being not long after overthrown by the Moors, a new one arose from its ashes in the person of Don Pelayo first king of the Asturia's, which increasing by degrees, at last came to comprehend all Spain, and fo continues to this day: but not troubling my felf with all the deviations from the common rule in the collateral lines of Navarre. Arragon and Portugal, I find that by fifteen feveral instances in that one series of kings in the Asturia's and Leon (who afterwards came to be kings of Castille) it is fully proved, that what respect soever they shew'd to the next in blood, who by the law were to fucceed, they preferred some other person, as often as the supreme law of " taking care that " the nation might receive no detriment," persuaded them to it.

Don Pelayo enjoy'd for his life the kingdom conferred upon him by the Spaniards, who with him retired into the mountains to defend themselves against the Moors, and was succeeded by his son Favila.

Saavedra Coron. Goth. + Mar. Hift. 1.6.

But the Favila left many fons when he died, Alphonfo firnamed the chafte was advanced to the crown, and they all laid afide. Fruela fon to Alphonfo the catholic, was for his cruelty deposed, put to death, and his fons excluded. \* Aurelio his cousin german succeeded him; and at his death Silo, who married his wife's fifter, was preferred before the males of the blood royal. Alphonfo firnam'd El Casto, was first violently disposses'd of the crown by a bastard of the royal family; but he being dead, the nobility and people thinking Alphonso more fit to be a monk than a king, gave the crown to Bermudo called El Diacono; but Bermudo after several years refigning the kingdom, they conceived a better opinion of Alphonfo, and made him king. Alphonfo dying without iffue, Don Ramiro fon to Bermudo was preferred before the nephews of Alphonfo. Don Ordonno, fourth from Ramiro, left four legitimate fons; but they being young, the estates laid them aside, and made his brother Fruela king. Fruela had many children, but the same estatesgave the crown to Alphonso the fourth, who was his nephew. Alphonfo turning monk, recommended his fon Ordonno to the estates of the kingdom; but they refused him, and made his brother Ramiro king. Ordonno third fon to Ramiro dying, left a fon called Bermudo; but the estates took his brother Sancho, and advanced him to the throne. Henry the first being accidentally killed in his youth, left only two fifters, Blanche married to Lewis fon to Philip August king of France, and Berenguela married to Alphonso king of Leon. The estates made + Ferdinand, fon of Berenguela the youngest fister, king, excluding Blanche, with her husband and children for being strangers, and Berenguela her self, because

<sup>\*</sup> Mariana, l. 13.

they thought not fit that her husband should have any part in the government. Alphonfo El Savio feems to have been a very good prince; but applying himself more to the study of astrology than to affairs of government, his eldest fon Ferdinand de la Cerda dying, and leaving his fons Alphonfo and Ferdinand very young, the nobility, clergy and people deposed him, excluded his grandchildren, and gave the crown to Don Sancho his younger fon, firnamed El Bravo, thinking him more fit to command them against the Moors, than an old aftrologer or a child. Alphonfo and Sancho being dead, Alphonfo El Desheredado laid claim to the crown, but it was given to Ferdinand the fourth, and Alphonfo with his descendants the dukes de Medina Celi remain excluded to this day. Peter firnamed the cruel was twice driven out of the kingdom, and at last killed by Bertrand de Guesclin constable of France. or Henry count of Trastamara his bastard-brother, who was made king without any regard to the daughters of Peter, or to the house of la Cerda. Henry the fourth left a daughter called \* Joan, whom he declared his heir; but the estates gave the kingdom to Isabel his fifter, and crowned her with Ferdinand of Arragon her husband. Joan daughter to this Ferdinand and Isabel falling mad, the estates committed the care of the government to her father Ferdinand, and after his death to Charles her fon.

But the French have taught us, that when a king dies, his next heir is really king before he take his oath, or be crowned. From them we learn that Le mort faifit le vif. And yet I know no history that proves more plainly than theirs, that there neither is nor can be in any man, a right to the government of a people, which does not receive its being, man-

<sup>\*</sup> Mariana, 1, 24.

ner and measure from the law of that country;

which I hope to justify by four reasons.

1. When a king of Pharamond's race died, the kingdom was divided into as many parcels as he had fons: which could not have been, if one certain heir had been affigned by nature, for he ought to have had the whole: and if the kingdom might be divided, they who inhabited the feveral parcels, could not know to whom they owed obedience, till the division was made, unless he who was to be king of Paris, Metz, Soiffons or Orleans, had worn the name of his kingdom upon his forehead. But in truth, if there might be a division, the doctrine is false, and there was no lord of the whole. This wound will not be healed by faying, the father appointed the division, and that by the law of nature every man may dispose of his own as he thinks fit; for we shall soon prove that the kingdom of France neither was, nor is disposeable as a patrimony or chattel. Besides, if that act of kings had been then grounded upon the law of nature, they might do the like at this day. But the law, by which fuch divisions were made, having been abrogated by the affembly of estates in the time of \* Hugh Capet. and never practifed fince, it follows that they were grounded upon a temporary law, and not upon the law of nature which is eternal. If this were not for the pretended certainty could not be; for no man could know to whom the last king had bequeathed the whole kingdom, or parcels of it, till the will were opened; and that must be done before such witnesses as may deserve credit in a matter of this importance, and are able to judge whether the bequest be rightly made; for otherwise no man could know, whether the kingdom was to have one lord

<sup>\*</sup> Hift. de Fr. en la vie de Hugues Capet,

or many, nor who he or they were to be; which intermission must necessarily subvert their polity, and this doctrine. But the truth is, the most monarchical men among them are so far from acknowledging any such right to be in the king, of alienating, bequeathing or dividing the kingdom, that they do not allow him the right of making a will; and that of the last king \* Lewis the thirteenth touching the regency during the minority of his son was of no effect.

2. This matter was made more clear under the fecond race. If a lord had been affigned to them by nature, he must have been of the royal family: but Pepin had no other title to the crown except the merits of his father, and his own, approved by the nobility and people who made him king. He had three fons, the eldest was made king of Italy, and dying before him left a fon called Bernard heir of that kingdom. The estates of France divided what remained between Charles the great and Carloman.+ The last of these dying in few years left many fons, but the nobility made Charles king of all France, and he dispossessed Bernard of the kingdom of Italy inherited from his father: fo that he also was not king of the whole, before the expulsion of Bernard the son of his elder brother; nor of Aquitain, which by inheritance should have belonged to the children of his younger brother, any otherwise than by the will of the estates. Lewis the debonair succeeded upon the same title, was deposed and put into a monastery by his three sons Lothair, Pepin and Lewis, whom he had by his first wife. But the' these left many sons, the kingdom came to Charles the bald. The nobility and people diflik-

<sup>\*</sup> Mem. du Duc. de la Rochefocault.

<sup>+</sup> Paul. Æmil. hift. Franc.

ing the eldest son of Charles, gave the kingdom to Lewis le begue, who had a legitimate son called Charles le fimple; and two bastards, Lewis and Carloman, who were made kings. Carloman had a fon called Lewis le faineant; he was made king, but afterwards deposed for his vicious life. Charles le gros fucceeded him, but for his ill government was also deposed; and Odo, who was a stranger to the royal blood, was made king. The fame nobility that had made five kings fince Lewis le begue, now made Charles le fimple king, who according to his name, was entrapped at Peronne by Ralph duke of Burgundy, and forced to refign his crown, leaving only a fon called Lewis, who fled into England. Ralph being dead, they took Lewis firnamed Outremer, and placed him in the throne: he had two fons, Lothair and Charles. Lothair succeeded him, and died without iffue. Charles had as fair a title as could be by birth, and the estates confessed it; but their ambassadors told him, that he having by an unworthy life render'd himself unworthy of the crown, they, whose principal care was to have a good prince at the head of them, had chosen Hugh Capet; and the crown continues in his race to this day, tho' not altogether without interruption. Robert fon to Hugh Capet fucceeded him. He left two fons, Robert and Henry; but Henry the younger fon appearing to the estates of the kingdom to be more fit to reign than his elder brother, they made him king, Robert and his descendants continuing dukes of Burgundy only for about ten generations, at which time his iffue male failing, that dutchy returned to the crown during the life of king John, who gave it to his fecond fon Philip for an Apannage still depending upon the crown. The fame province of Burgundy was by the treaty of Madrid granted

u

lo

fo

lig kir

pro

and

the

sect. 18. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 163 granted to the emperor Charles the fifth, by Francis the first: but the people refused to be alienated, and the estates of the kingdom approved their refufal. By the fame authority Charles the fixth was removed from the government, when he appeared to be mad; and other examples of a like nature may be alledged. From which we may fafely conclude, that if the death of one king do really invest the next heir with the right and power, or that he who is fo invested, be subject to no law but his own will, all matters relating to that kingdom must have been horribly confused during the reigns of 22 kings of Pharamond's race; they can have had no rightful king from the death of Chilperic to king John: and the succession since that time is very liable to be questioned, if not utterly overthrown by the house of Austria and others, who by the counts of Hapfburg derive their descent from Pharamond, and by the house of Lorrain claiming from Charles, who was excluded by Capet; all which is most absurd, and they who pretend it bring as much confusion into their own laws, and upon the polity of their own nation, as shame and guilt

3. If the next heir be actually king, feifed of the power by the death of his predecessor, so that there is no intermission; then all the solemnities and religious ceremonies, used at the coronations of their kings, with the oaths given and taken, are the most profane abuses of sacred things in contempt of God and man that can be imagined, most especially if the act be (as our author calls it) voluntary, and the

upon the memory of their ancestors, who by the most extreme injustice have rejected their natural lord, or dispossessed those who had been in the most solemn manner placed in the government, and to

whom they had generally fworn allegiance.

M 2

king

king receiving nothing by it, be bound to keep it no longer than he pleases. The prince who is to be fworn, might spare the pains of watching all night in the church, fasting, praying, confessing, communicating, and fwearing, " that he will to the utmost " of his power defend the clergy, maintain the union " of the church, obviate all excess, rapine, extor-" tion and iniquity; take care that in all judgments " justice may be observed, with equity and mercy, " &c. or of invoking the affiftance of the Holy "Ghost for the better performance of his oath;" and without ceremony tell the nobility and people, that he would do what he thought fit. 'Twere to as little purpose for the archbishop of Rheims to take the trouble of faying mass, delivering to him the crown, scepter, and other ensigns of royalty, explaining what is fignified by them, anointing him with the oil which they fay was deliver'd by an angel to St. Remigius, bleffing him, and praying to God to bless him if he rightly performed his oath to God and the people, and denouncing the contrary in case of failure on his part, if these things conferred nothing upon him but what he had before, and were of no obligation to him. Such ludifications of the most facred things are too odious and impious to be imputed to nations that have any virtue, or profess christianity. This cannot fall upon the French and Spaniards, who had certainly a great zeal to religion, whatever it was; and were fo eminent for moral virtues as to be a reproach to us, who live in an age of more knowledge. But their meaning is fo well declared by their most solemn acts, that none but those who are wilfully ignorant can mistake. One of the councils held at Toledo, declared by the clergy, nobility, and others affifting, " That

"That no man should be placed in the royal feat " till he had fworn to preserve the church, &c \*. Another held in the same place, fignified to Sisinandus, who was then newly crown'd, " That if he, " or any of his fucceffors should, contrary to their " oaths, and the laws of their country, proudly and " cruelly presume to exercise domination over them, " he should be excommunicated, and separated from " Christ and them to eternal judgment +." The French laws, and their best writers afferting the fame things, are confirmed by perpetual practice. Henry of Navarre, tho' certainly according to their rules, and in their esteem a most accomplish'd princet. was by two general affemblies of the estates held at Blois, deprived of the succession for being a protestant; and notwithstanding the greatness of his reputation, valour, victories, and affability, could never be admitted till he had made himself capable of the ceremonies of his coronation, by conforming to the religion which by the oath he was to defend. this present king, tho' haughty enough by nature, and elevated by many fucceffes, has acknowledged, as he fays, with joy, that he can do nothing contrary to law, and calls it a happy impotence; in purfuance of which, he has annulled many acts of his father and grandfather, alienating the demesnes of the crown, as things contrary to law, and not within their power.

These things being confirmed by all the good authors of that nation, Filmer || finds only the worst to be fit for his turn; and neither minding law nor history, takes his maxims from a vile flattering discourse of Bellay, calculated for the personal interest of Henry the sourch then king of Navarre, in which

r

n

<sup>\*</sup> Concil. Tolet. 6.

<sup>†</sup> Concil. Tolet. 4. | Apol. Cathol.

he fays, "That the heir apparent, tho' furious, " mad, a fool, vicious, and in all respects abomi-" nably wicked, must be admitted to the crown." But Bellay was fo far from attaining the ends defigned by his book, that by fuch doctrines, which filled all men with horror, he brought great prejudice to his master, and procured little favour from Henry, who defired rather to recommend himself to his people as the best man they could set up, than to impose a necessity upon them of taking him if he had been the worst. But our author not contented with what this fycophant fays, in relation to fuch princes as are placed in the government by a law establishing the fuccession by inheritance, with an impudence peculiar to himself, afferts the same right to be in any man, who by any means gets into power; and imposes the same necessity of obedience upon the fubject where there is no law, as Bellay does by virtue of one that is established.

4. In the last place: as Bellay acknowledges that the right belongs to princes only where 'tis established by law, I deny that there is, was, or ever can be any fuch. No people is known to have been fo mad or wicked, as by their own confent, for their own good, and for the obtaining of justice, to give the power to beafts, under whom it could never be obtain'd: or if we could believe that any had been guilty of an act fo full of folly, turpitude and wickedness, it could not have the force of a law, and could never be put in execution; for tho' the rules, by which the proximity should be judged, be never fo precise, it will still be doubted whose case suits best with them. Tho' the law in some places gives private inheritances to the next heir, and in others makes allotments according to feveral proportions, no one knows to whom, or how far the benefit shall

167

accrue to any man, till it be adjudged by a power to which the parties must submit. Contests will in the like manner arise concerning successions to crowns, how exactly foever they be disposed by law: for tho' every one will fay that the next ought to fucceed, yet no man knows who is the next; which is too much verified by the bloody decisions of such disputes in many parts of the world: and he that fays the next in blood is actually king, makes all questions thereupon arising impossible to be otherwife determined than by the fword; the pretender to the right being placed above the judgment of man, and the subjects (for any thing I know) obliged to believe, serve and obey him, if he says he has it. For otherwise, if either every man in particular, or all together have a right of judging his title, it can be of no value till it be adjudged.

I confess that the law of France by the utter exclusion of females and their descendants, does obviate many dangerous and inextricable difficulties; but others remain which are fufficient to fubvert all the polity of that kingdom, if there be not a power of judging them; and there can be none if it be true that " Le mort saisit le vis." Not to trouble my felf with feigned cases, that of legitimation alone will fuffice. 'Tis not enough to fay that the children born under marriage are to be reputed legitimate; for not only feveral children born of Joan daughter to the king of Portugal, wife to Henry the fourth of Castille, during the time of their marriage, were utterly rejected, as begotten in adultery, but also her daughter Joan, whom the king during his life, and at the hour of his death acknowledged to have been begotten by him; and the only title that Isabel, who was married to Ferdinand of Arragon, had to the crown of Spain, was derived from their rejection.

M 4

It

It would be tedious, and might give offence to many great persons, if I should relate all the dubious cases. that have been, or still remain in the world, touching matters of this nature: but the lawyers of all nations will testify, that hardly any one point comes before them, which affords a greater number of difficult cases, than that of marriages, and the ligitimation of children upon them; and nations must be involved in the most inextricable difficulties, if there be not a power formewhere to decide them: which cannot be, if there be no intermission, and that the next in blood (that is, he who fays he is the next) be immediately invested with the right and But furely no people has been fo careless of their most important concernments, to leave them in fuch uncertainty, and fimply to depend upon the humour of a man, or the faith of women, who besides their other frailties, have been often accused of supposititious births: and mens passions are known to be so violent in relation to women they love or hate, that none can fafely be trusted with those judgments. The virtue of the best would be exposed to a temptation, that flesh and blood can hardly refift; and fuch as are less perfect would follow no other rule than the blind impulse of the passion that for the present reigns in them. There must therefore be a judge of fuch disputes as may in these cases arise in every kingdom; and tho' 'tis not my business to determine who is that judge in all places, yet I may justly say, that in England it is the parliament. If no inferior authority could debar Ignotus fon to the lady Rosse, born under the protection, from the inheritance of a private family, none can certainly assume a power of disposing of the crown upon any occasion. No authority but that of the parliament could legitimate the children of Catherine Swinford, with

with a provifo, not to extend to the inheritance of the crown. Others might fay, if they were lawfully begotten, they ought to inherit every thing, and nothing if they were not: but the parliament knew how to limit a particular favour, and prevent it from extending to a public mischief. Henry the eighth took an expeditious way of obviating part of the controversies that might arise from the multitude of his wives, by cutting off the heads of fome as foon as he was weary of them, or had a mind to take another; but having been hinder'd from dealing in the same manner with Catherine by the greatness of her birth and kindred, he left fuch as the parliament only could refolve. And no less power would ever have thought of making Mary and Elizabeth capable of the fucceffion, when, according to ordinary rules, one of them must have been a bastard; and it had been abfurd to fay, that both of them were immediately upon the death of their predecessors posses'd of the crown, if an act of parliament had not conferred the right upon them, which they could not have by birth. But the kings and princes of England have not been of a temper different from those of other nations: and many examples may be brought of the like occasions of dispute happening every where; and the like will probably be for ever: which must necessarily introduce the most mischievous confusions, and expose the titles which (as is pretended) are to be esteemed most facred, to be overthrown by violence and fraud, if there be not in all places a power of deciding the controverfies that arise from the uncertainty of titles, according to the respective laws of every nation, upon which they are grounded: no man can be thought to have a just title, till it be so adjudged by that power: this judgment is the first step to the throne: the oath

oath taken by the king obliges him to observe the laws of his country; and that concerning the fuccession being one of the principal, he is obliged to keep that part as well as any other.

## E C T. XIX.

The greatest enemy of a just magistrate is he who endeavours to invalidate the contract between him and the people, or to corrupt their manners.

IS not only from religion, but from the law of nature, that we learn the necessity of standing to the agreements we make; and he who departs from the principle written in the hearts of men pactis standum, seems to degenerate into a beast. Such as had virtue, tho' without true religion, could tell us (as a brave and excellent Grecian did) that it was not necessary for him to live, but it was necesfary to preserve his heart from deceit, and his tongue from falshood. The Roman satyrist carries the same notion to a great height, and affirms, that \* " tho' " the worst of tyrants should command a man to " be false and perjur'd, and back his injunction with " the utmost of torments, he ought to prefer his in-" tegrity before his life." And tho' Filmer may be excused if he often mistake in matters of theology; yet his inclinations to Rome which he prefers before Geneva, might have led him to the principles in which the honest Romans lived, if he had not obferved that fuch principles as make men honest and generous, do also make them lovers of liberty, and constant in the defence of their country: which savouring too much of a republican spirit, he prefers

<sup>\*-</sup> Phalaris licet imperet ut fis Falsus, & admoto dictet perjuria tauro, Summum crede nefas animam præferre pudori. Juvenal.

the morals of that city, fince they are become more refined by the pious and charitable Jesuits, before those that, were remarkable in them, as long as they retained any shadow of their antient integrity, which admitted of no equivocations and detested prevarications; by that means preferving innocence in the hearts of private men for their inward contentment, and in civil focieties for the public good; which if once extinguish'd, mankind must necessarily fall into the condition Hobbes rightly calls " bellum om-" nium contra omnes," wherein no man can promife to himself any other wife, children or goods,

than he can procure by his own fword.

Some may perhaps think that the endeavours of our author to introduce fuch accurfed principles, as tend to the ruin of mankind, proceed from his ignorance. But tho' he appears to have had a great measure of that quality, I fear the evil proceeds from a deeper root; and that he attempts to promote the interests of ill magistrates, who make it their business to destroy all good principles in the people, with as much industry as the good endeavour to preserve them where they are, and teach them where they are wanting. Reason and experience instruct us, that every man acts according to the end he proposes to himself. The good magiftrate feeks the good of the people committed to his care, that he may perform the end of his institution: and knowing that chiefly to confift in justice and virtue, he endeavours to plant and propagate them; and by doing this he procures his own good as well as that of the public. He knows there is no fafety where there is no strength, no strength without union, no union without justice; no justice where faith and truth, in accomplishing public and private contracts, is wanting. This he perpetually inculcates,

and thinks it a great part of his duty, by precept and example, to educate the youth in a love of virtue and truth, that they may be seasoned with them, and filled with an abhorrence of vice and falshood. before they attain that age which is exposed to the most violent temptations, and in which they may by their crimes bring the greatest mischiefs upon the public. He would do all this, tho' it were to his own prejudice. But as good actions always carry a reward with them, these contribute in a high measure to his advantage. By preferring the interest of the people before his own, he gains their affection, and all that is in their power comes with it; whilft he unites them to one another, he unites all to himfelf: in leading them to virtue, he increases their strength, and by that means provides for his own fafety, glory and power.

On the other fide, fuch as feek different ends must take different ways. When a magistrate fancies he is not made for the people, but the people for him; that he does not govern for them, but for himfelf; and that the people live only to increase his glory, or furnish matter for his pleasures, he does not inquire what he may do for them, but what he may draw from them. By this means he fets up an interest of profit, pleasure or pomp in himself, repugnant to the good of the public for which he is made to be what he is. These contrary ends certainly divide the nation into parties; and whilst every one endeavours to advance that to which he is addicted, occasions of hatred for injuries every day done, or thought to be done and received, must necessarily arise. This creates a most fierce and irreconcileable enmity, because the occasions are frequent, important and universal, and the causes thought to be most just. The people think it the greatest of all crimes.

crimes, to convert that power to their hurt, which was instituted for their good; and that the injustice is aggravated by perjury and ingratitude, which comprehend all manner of ill; and the magistrate gives the name of fedition or rebellion to whatfoever they do for the preservation of themselves and their own rights. When men's spirits are thus prepared, a small matter sets them on fire; but if no accident happen to blow them into a flame, the course of justice is certainly interrupted, the public affairs are neglected; and when any occasion whether foreign or domestic arises, in which the magistrate stands in need of the peoples affistance, they, whose affections are alienated, not only shew an unwillingness to serve him with their persons and estates, but fear that by delivering him from his diffress they strengthen their enemy, and enable him to oppress them: and he fancying his will to be unjustly opposed, or his due more unjustly denied, is filled with a dislike of what he sees, and a fear of worse for the future. Whilst he endeavours to ease himfelf of the one; and to provide against the other, he usually increases the evils of both, and jealousies are on both fides multiplied. Every man knows that the governed are in a great measure under the power of the governor; but as no man, or number of men, is willingly subject to those who seek their ruin, such as fall into fo great a misfortune, continue no longer under it than force, fear, or necessity may be able to oblige them. But as fuch a necessity can hardly lie longer upon a great people, than till the evil be fully discovered and comprehended, and their virtue, strength and power be united to expel it; the ill magistrate looks upon all things that may conduce to that end, as fo many preparatives to his ruin; and by the help of those who are of his party,

will endeavour to prevent that union, and diminish that strength, virtue, power and courage, which he knows to be bent against him. And as truth, faithful dealing, due performance of contracts, and integrity of manners, are bonds of union, and helpsto good, he will always by tricks, artifices, cavils, and all means possible endeavour to establish falfhood and dishonesty; whilst other emissaries and instruments of iniquity, by corrupting the youth, and feducing fuch as can be brought to lewdness and debauchery, bring the people to fuch a pass, that they may neither care nor dare to vindicate their rights, and that those who would do it, may fo far suspect each other, as not to confer upon, much less to join in any action tending to the public deliverance.

This distinguishes the good from the bad magistrate, the faithful from the unfaithful; and those who adhere to either, living in the fame principle, must walk in the same ways. They who uphold the rightful power of a just magistracy, encourage virtue and justice, teach men what they ought to do, fuffer, or expect from others; fix them upon principles of honesty, and generally advance every thing that tends to the increase of the valour, strength, greatness and happiness of the nation, creating a good union among them, and bringing every man to an exact understanding of his own and the public rights. On the other fide, he that would introduce an ill magistrate; make one evil who was good, or preserve him in the exercise of injustice when he is corrupted, must always open the way for him by vitiating the people, corrupting their manners, destroying the validity of oaths and contracts, teaching fuch evafions, equivocations and frauds, as are inconfiftent with the thoughts that become

de

become men of virtue and courage; and overthrowing the confidence they ought to have in each other, make it impossible for them to unite among them-The like arts must be used with the magistrate: he cannot be for their turn, till he is perfuaded to believe he has no dependance upon, and owes no duty to the people; that he is of himself, and not by their institution; that no man ought to inquire into, nor be judge of his actions; that all obedience is due to him, whether he be good or bad, wife or foolish, a father or enemy to his country. This being calculated for his personal interest, he must pursue the same designs, or his kingdom is divided within itself, and cannot subfift. means those who flatter his humour, come to be accounted his friends, and the only men that are thought worthy of great trusts, whilst such as are of another mind are exposed to all persecution. These are always fuch as excel in virtue, wisdom, and greatness of spirit; they have eyes, and they will always fee the way they go; and leaving fools to be guided by implicit faith, will diftinguish between good and evil, and choose that which is best; they will judge of men by their actions, and by them difcovering whose servant every man is, know whether he is to be obeyed or not. Those who are ignorant of all good, careless or enemies to it, take a more compendious way; their flavish, vicious and base natures inclining them to feek only private and present advantages, they eafily flide into a blind dependance upon one who has wealth and power; and defiring only to know his will, care not what injustice they do, if they may be rewarded. They worship what they find in the temple, tho' it be the vileft of idols, and always like that best which is worst, because it agrees with their inclinations and principles, When

a party comes to be erected upon fuch a foundation. debauchery, lewdness and dishonesty are the true badges of it. Such as wear them are cherished; but the principal marks of favour are referved for those who are the most industrious in mischief. either by feducing the people with the allurements of fenfual pleasures, or corrupting their understandings by false and slavish doctrines. By this means a man who calls himself a philosopher or a divine, is often more useful than a great number of tapsters, cooks, buffoons, players, fidlers, whores or bawds. These are the devil's ministers of a lower order; they feduce fingle persons, and such as fall into their fnares are for the most part of the simpler fort: but the principal supporters of his kingdom, are they, who by false doctrines poison the springs of religion and virtue, and by preaching or writing (if their falshood and wickedness were not detected) would extinguish all principles of common honesty, and bring whole nations to be best satisfied with themfelves, when their actions are most abominable. And as the means must always be suitable to the end proposed, the governments that are to be established or supported by such ways must needs be the worst of all, and comprehend all manner of evil.

## S E C T. XX.

Unjust commands are not to be obey'd; and no man is obliged to suffer for not obeying such as are against law.

I N the next place our author gravely proposes a question, "Whether it be a fin to disobey the "king, if he command any thing contrary to law?" and as gravely determines, "that not only in human "laws,

an

th

is

" laws, but even in divine, a thing may be com-" manded contrary to law, and yet obedience to " fuch a command is necessary. The fanctifying of " the fabbath is a divine law, yet if a master com " mand his fervant not to go to church upon a fab-" bath day, the best divines teach us, the servant " must obey, &c. It is not fit to tie the master to " acquaint the servant with his secret counsel." Tho' he frequently contradicts in one line what he fays in another, this whole clause is uniform and suitable to the main defign of his book. He fets up the authority of man in opposition to the command of God, gives it the preference, and fays, the best divines instruct us so to do. St. Paul then must have been one of the worst, for he knew that the powers under which he lived, had under the severest penalties forbidden the publication of the gospel; and yet he fays, "Wo to me if I preach it not." St. Peter was no better than he, for he tells us, " That it is better " to obey God than man:" and they could not speak otherwise, unless they had forgotten the words of their master, who told them, "They should not " fear them that could only kill the body, but him " who could kill and cast into hell." And if I must not fear him that can only kill the body, not only the reason, but all excuse for obeying him is taken away.

To prove what he fays, he cites a pertinent example from St. Luke\*, and very logically concludes, that because Christ reproved the hypocristy of the Pharisees, (who generally adhered to the external and circumstantial part of the law, neglecting the essential, and taking upon themselves to be the interpreters of that which they did not understand) the law of God is not to be obeyed: and as strongly proves, that

because Christ shewed them that the same law, which by their own confession permitted them to pull an assout of a pit on the sabbath day, could not but give a liberty of healing the sick, therefore the commands of kings are to be obeyed, tho' they should be contrary to human and divine laws. But if perverseness had not blinded him, he might have seen, that this very text is wholly against his purpose; for the magistratical power was on the side of the Pharisees, otherwise they would not have sought an occasion to ensure him; and that power having perverted the law of God by false glosses, and a superinduction of human traditions, prohibited the most necessary acts of charity to be done on the sabbath day, which Christreproved, and restored the sick man to his health

in their fight.

But I could wish our author had told us the names of those divines, who, he says, are the best, and who pretend to teach us these fine things. I know fome who are thought good, that are of a contrary opinion, and fay that God having required that day to be fet apart for his fervice and worship, man cannot difpense with the obligation, unless he can abrogate the law of God. Perhaps, for want of other arguments to prove the contrary, I may be told, that this favours too much of Puritanism and Calvinism. But I shall take the reproach, till some better patrons than Laud and his creatures may be found for the other opinion. By the advice and infligation of these men, from about the year 1630, to 1640, fports and revelings, which ended for the most part in drunkenness and lewdness, were not only permitted on that day, but enjoined. And tho' this did advance human authority in derogation to the divine, to a degree that may please such as are of our author's mind, yet others resolving rather to obey the laws

cett. 20. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. of God than the commands of men, could not be brought to pass the Lord's day in that manner. Since that time no man except Filmer and Heylin has been fo wicked to conceive, or fo impudent to affert fuch brutal absurdities. But leaving the farther confideration of the original of this abuse, I defire to know, whether the authority given to mafters to command things contrary to the law of God, be peculiar in relation to the fabbath, or to a few other points, or ought generally to extend to all God's laws; and whether he who may command his fervant to act contrary to the law of God, have not a right in himself of doing the same? If peculiar, fome authority or precept must be produced, by which it may appear that God has flighted his ordinance concerning that day, and fuffer'd it to be contemned, whilft he exacts obedience to all others. If we have a liberty left to us of flighting others also, more or less in number, we ought to know how many, what they are, and how it comes to pass, that some are of obligation and others not. If the empire of the world is not only divided between God and Cæsar, but every man also who can give five pounds a year to a fervant, has fo great a part in it, that in fome cases his commands are to be obeyed preferably to those of God, it were fit to know the limits of each kingdom, left we happen prepofteroufly to obey man when we ought to obey God, or God when we are to follow the commands of men. If it be general, the law of God is of no effect, and we may fafely put an end to all thoughts and discourses of religion: the word of God is nothing to us; we are not to enquire what he has commanded, but what pleases our master, how insolent, soolish, vile or wicked foever he may be. The apostles and prophets, who died for preferring the commands of God be-N 2 fore . fore those of men, fell like fools, and perished in their sins. But if every particular man that has a servant, can exempt him from the commands of God, he may also exempt himself, and the laws of God are at once abrogated throughout the world.

'Tis a folly to fay there is a passive, as well as an active obedience, and that he who will not do what his master commands ought to suffer the punishment he inflicts: for if the master has a right of commanding, there is a duty incumbent on the fervant of obeying. He that fuffers for not doing that which he ought to do, draws upon himself both the guilt and the punishment. But no one can be obliged to fuffer for that which he ought not to do, because he who pretends to command, has not so far an authority. However, our question is, whether the fervant, should forbear to do that which God commands, rather than whether the master should put away or beat him if he do not: for if the fervant ought to obey his master rather than God, as our author fays the best divines affert, he fins in disobeying, and that guilt cannot be expiated by his fuffering. If it be thought I carry this point to an undue extremity, the limits ought to be demonstrated, by which it may appear that I exceed them, tho' the nature of the case cannot be altered: for if the law of God may not be abrogated by the commands of men, a fervant cannot be exempted from keeping the fabbath according to the ordinance of God, at the will of his mafter. But if a power be given to man at his pleasure to annul the laws of God, the apostles ought not to have preached, when they were forbidden by the powers to which they were subject: the tortures and deaths they fuffer'd for not obeySect. 20. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 181 ing that command were in their own wrong, and

their blood was upon their own heads.

His fecond instance concerning wars, in which he says the subject is not to examine whether they are just or unjust, but must obey, is weak and frivolous, and very often false; whereas consequences can rightly be drawn from fuch things only as are certainly and univerfally true. Tho' God may be merciful to a foldier, who by the wickedness of a magistrate whom he honestly trusts, is made a minister of injustice, 'tis nothing to this case. For if our author fay true, that the word of a king can justify him in going against the command of God, he must do what is commanded tho' he think it evil: the Christian soldiers under the Pagan emperors were obliged to destroy their brethren, and the best men in the world for being so: such as now live under the Turk have the same obligation upon them of defending their mafter, and flaughtering those he reputes his enemies for adhering to Christianity: and the king of France may when he pleases, arm one part of his protestant subjects to the destruction of the other; which is a Godly doctrine, and worthy our author's invention.

But if this be so, I know not how the Israelites can be said to have sinned in sollowing the examples of Jeroboam, Omri, Ahab, or other wicked kings: they could not have sinned in obeying, if it had been a sin to disobey their commands; and God would not have punished them so severely, if they had not sinned. 'Tis impertinent to say they were obliged to serve their kings in unjust wars, but not to serve idols; for tho' God be jealous of his glory, yet he forbids rapine and murder as well as idolatry. If there be a law that forbids the subject to examine the commands tending to the one, it cannot but en-

N 3

join

join obedience to the other. The same authority which justifies murder, takes away the guilt of idolatry; and the wretches, both judges and witnesses, who put Naboth to death, could as little alledge ignorance, as those that worshipped Jeroboam's calves; the same light of nature by which they should have known, that a ridiculous image was not to be adored as God, instructing them also, that an innocent man ought not under pretence of law to be murdered by perjury.

#### S E C T. XXI.

It cannot be for the good of the people that the magistrate have a power above the law: and he is not a magistrate who has not his power by law.

HAT we may not be displeased, or think it dangerous and slavish to depend upon the will of a man, which perhaps may be irregular or extravagant in one who is subject to no law, our author very dextrously removes the scruples by telling us,

1. "That the prerogative of the king to be above the law, is only for the good of them that are under the law, and to preferve their liberties."

"under the law, and to preserve their liberties."

2. "That there can be no laws without a su"preme power to command or make them: in

aristocracies the noblemen are above the law; in

democracies the people: by the like reason in a

monarchy, the king must of necessity be above

the law. There can be no sovereign majesty in

him that is under the law: that which gives the

very being to a king, is the power to give laws.

Without this power he is but an equivocal king,

It skills not how he comes by this power, whether

by election, donation, succession, or any other

" means,"

" means." I am contented in some degree to follow our author, and to acknowledge that the king neither has nor can have any prerogative which is not for the good of the people, and the preservation of their liberties. This therefore is the foundation of magistratical power, and the only way of difcerning whether the prerogative of making laws, of being above laws, or any other he may pretend, be justly due to him or not: and if it be doubted who is the fittest judge to determine that question, common fense will inform us, that if the magistrate receive his power by election or donation, they who elect, or give him that power, best know whether the good they fought be performed or not; if by fuccession, they who instituted the succession; if otherwise, that is, by fraud or violence, the point is decided, for he has no right at all, and none can be created by those means. This might be faid, tho' all princes were of ripe age, fober, wife, just and good; for even the best are subject to mistakes and passions, and therefore unfit to be judges of their own concernments, in which they may by various means be misguided: but it would be extreme madness to attribute the same to children, fools, or madmen, who are not able to judge of the least things concerning themselves or others; but most especially to those who, coming in by usurpation, declare their contempt of all human and divine laws, and are enemies to the people they oppress. None therefore can be judges of fuch cases but the people, for whom and by whom the constitutions are made; or their representatives and delegates, to whom they give the power of doing it.

But nothing can be more abfurd than to fay, that one man has an absolute power above law to govern according to his will, "for the people's good, and "the preservation of their liberty:" for no liberty

N 4

can

can subsist where there is such a power; and we have no other way of distinguishing between free nations and fuch as are not fo, than that the free are governed by their own laws and magistrates according to their own mind, and that the others either have willingly subjected themselves, or are by force brought under the power of one or more men, to be ruled according to his or their pleasure. The fame distinction holds in relation to particular perfons. He is a free man who lives as best pleases himself, under laws made by his own consent; and the name of flave can belong to no man, unless to him who is either born in the house of a master, bought, taken, fubdued, or willingly gives his ear to be nailed to the post, and subjects himself to the will of another. Thus were the Grecians faid to be free in opposition to the Medes and Persians, as \* Artabanus acknowledged in his discourse to Themistocles. In the same manner the Italians, Germans and Spaniards were diftinguish'd from the eastern nations, who for the most part were under the power of tyrants. Rome was faid to have recovered liberty by the expulsion of the Tarquins; or as Tacitus expresses it, +" Lucius " Brutus established liberty and the consulate to-" gether," as if before that time they had never enjoyed any; and Julius Cæsar is said to have overthrown the liberty of that people. But if Filmer deserve credit, the Romans were free under Tarquin, enflaved when he was driven away, and his prerogative extinguish'd, that was so necessarily required for the defence of their liberty; and were never restored to it, till Cæsar assum'd all the power to himself. By the same rule the Switzers.

<sup>\*</sup> Plut. vit. Themist.

<sup>+</sup> Libertatem & consulatum L. Brutus instituit. An. 1. 1.

Grisons, Venetians, Hollanders, and some other nations are now flaves; and Tuscany, the kingdom of Naples, the ecclefiastical state, with such as live under a more gentle master on the other side of the water, I mean the Turk, are free nations. Nay the Florentines, who complain of flavery under the house of Medicis, were made free by the power of a Spanish army who set up a prerogative in that gentle family, which for their good has destroyed all that could justly be called so in that country, and almost wholly dispeopled it. I, who esteem myself free, because I depend upon the will of no man, and hope to die in the liberty I inherit from my anceftors, am a flave; and the Moors or Turks, who may be beaten and kill'd whenever it pleases their infolent masters, are free men. But surely the world is not fo much mistaken in the fignification of words and things. The weight of chains, number of stripes, hardness of labour, and other effects of a master's cruelty, may make one servitude more miferable than another: but he is a flave who ferves the best and gentlest man in the world, as well as he who ferves the worst; and he does serve him if he must obey his commands, and depends upon his will. For this reason the \* poet ingeniously flattering a good emperor, faid, that liberty was not more defirable, than to ferve a gentle mafter; but still acknowledged that it was a service, distinct from, and contrary to liberty: and it had not been a handsom compliment, unless the evil of servitude were so extreme, that nothing but the virtue and goodness of the master could any way compensate or alleviate it. Now tho' it should be granted that he had spoken more like to a philosopher than a poet; that we might take his words in the strictest sense, and think it possible to find such conveniencies in a subjection to

the will of a good and wife mafter, as may balance the loss of liberty, it would be nothing to the question; because that liberty is thereby acknowledged to be destroyed by the prerogative, which is only instituted to preserve it. If it were true that no liberty were to be preferred before the fervice of a good master, it could be of no use to the perishing world, which Filmer and his disciples would by fuch arguments bring into a subjection to children, fools, mad or vicious men. These are not cases feigned upon a distant imaginary possibility, but so frequently found amongst men, that there are few examples of the contrary. And as 'tis folly to suppose that princes will always be wife, just and good, when we know that few have been able alone to bear the weight of a government, or to refift the temptations to ill, that accompany an unlimited power, it would be madness to presume they will for the future be free from infirmities and vices. And if they be not, the nations under them will not be in fuch a condition of fervitude to a good master as the poet-compares to liberty, but in a miferable and shameful subjection to the will of those who know not how to govern themselves, or to do good to others: tho' Moses, Joshua and Samuel had been able to bear the weight of an unrestrained power: tho' David and Solomon had never abused that which they had; what effect could this have upon a general proposition? Where are the families that always produce fuch as they were? When did God promise to affist all those who should attain to the fovereign power, as he did them whom he chose for the works he defigned? Or what testimony can Filmer give us, that he has been present with all those who have hitherto reigned in the world? But if we know that no fuch thing either is or has been;

and can find no promise to affure us, nor reason to hope that it ever will be, 'tis as foolish to found the hopes of preserving a people upon that which never was, or is so likely to fail, nay rather which in a short time most certainly will fail, as to root up vines and figtrees in expectation of gathering grapes and figs from thiftles and briars. This would be no less than to extinguish the light of common sense, to neglect the means that God has given us to provide for our fecurity, and to impute to him a disposition of things utterly inconsistent with his wisdom and goodness. If he has not therefore order'd that thorns and thiftles should produce figs and grapes, nor that the most important works in the world, which are not without the utmost difficulty, if at all, to be performed by the best and wisest of men, should be put into the hands of the weakest, most foolish and worst, he cannot have ordain'd that fuch men, women or children as happen to be born in reigning families, or get the power into their hands by fraud, treachery or murder (as very many have done) should have a right of disposing all things according to their will. And if men cannot be guilty of fo great an absurdity to trust the weakest and worst with a power which usually subverts the wisdom and virtue of the best; or to expect fuch effects of virtue and wisdom from those who come by chance, as can hardly, if at all, be hoped from the most excellent, our author's proposition can neither be grounded upon the ordinance of God, nor the institution of man. Nay, if any such thing had been established by our first parents in their fimplicity, the utter impossibility of attaining what they expected from it, must wholly have abrogated the establishment; or rather, it had been void from the

the beginning, because it was not " a just fanction. " commanding things good, and forbidding the " contrary \*," but a foolish and perverse fanction, fetting up the unruly appetite of one person to the fubversion of all that is good in the world, by making the wisdom of the aged and experienc'd to depend upon the will of women, children and fools; by fending the strong and the brave to seek protection from the most weak and cowardly, and subjecting the most virtuous and best of men to be destroy'd by the most wicked and vicious. These being the effects of that unlimited prerogative, which our author fays was only inflituted for the good and defence of the people, it must necessarily fall to the ground, unless flavery, misery, infamy, destruction and desolation tend to the preservation of liberty, and are to be prefer'd before strength, glory, plenty, fecurity and happiness. The state of the Roman empire after the usurpation of Cæsar will set this matter in the clearest light; but having done it already in the former parts of this work, I content myself to refer to those places. And tho' the calamities they fuffer'd were a little allayed and moderated by the virtues of Antoninus and M. Aurelius, with one or two more, yet we have no example of the continuance of them in a family, nor of any nation great or small that has been under an absolute power, which does not too plainly manifest, that no man or fuccession of men is to be trusted with it.

But fays our author, "there can be no law where "there is not a supreme power," and from thence very strongly concludes it must be in the king: for "otherwise there can be no sovereign majesty in him, "and he is but an equivocal king." This might

ha

go

<sup>\*</sup> Sanctio recta, jubens honesta, prohibens contraria. Cicero-

have been of some force, if governments were established, and laws made only to advance that fovereign majesty; but nothing at all to the purpose, if (as he confesses) the power which the prince has, begiven for the good of the people, and for the defence of every private man's life, liberty, lands and goods: for that which is instituted, cannot be abrogated for want of that which was never intended in the institution. If the public fafety be provided, liberty and property fecured, justice administred, virtue encouraged, vice suppressed, and the true interest of the nation advanced, the ends of government are accomplished; and the highest must be contented with such a proportion of glory and majesty as is confistent with the public; fince the magistracy is not instituted, nor any person placed in it for the increase of his majefty, but for the prefervation of the whole people, and the defence of the liberty, life and estate of every private man, as our author himself is forced to acknowledge.

But what is this fovereign majesty, so inseparable from royalty, that one cannot subsist without the other? Caligula placed it in a power of \* doing what he pleased to all men: Nimrod, Nabuchodonosor and others, with an impious and barbarous insolence boasted of the greatness of their power. They thought it a glorious privilege to kill or spare whom they pleased. But such kings as by God's permission might have been set up over his people, were to have nothing of this. They were not to multiply gold, silver, wives, or horses +; they were not to govern by their own will, but according to the law; from which they might not recede, nor raise their hearts above their brethren. Here were kings with-

<sup>·</sup> Omnia mihi in omnes licere. Sueton.

<sup>+</sup> Deut. xvii.

out that unlimited power, which makes up the fovereign majesty, that Filmer assumes to be so essential to kings, that without it they are only equivocal; which proving nothing but the incurable perverseness of his judgment, the malice of his heart, or malignity of his fate, always to oppose reason and truth, we are to esteem those to be kings who are described to be so by the scriptures, and to give another name to those who endeavour to advance their own glory, contrary to the precept of God and the interest of mankind.

But unless the light of reason had been extinguished in him, he might have feen, that tho' no law could be made without a supreme power, that supremacy may be in a body confifting of many men, and feveral orders of men. If it be true, which perhaps may be doubted, that there have been in the world fimple monarchies, aristocracies or democracies legally established, 'tis certain that the most part of the governments of the world (and I think all that are or have been good) were mixed. Part of the power has been confer'd upon the king, or the magistrate that represented him, and part upon the fenate and people, as has been proved in relation to the governments of the Hebrews, Spartans, Romans, Venetians, Germans, and all those who live under that which is usually called the gothic polity. If the fingle person participating of this divided power diflike either the name he bears, or the authority he has, he may renounce it: but no reason can be from thence drawn to the prejudice of nations, who give fo much as they think confiftent with their own good, and referve the rest to themfelves, or to fuch other officers as they please to establish.

No

ai

th

fhe

rig

We

ma

fay

or 1

fucc

Goo

do

No man will deny that feveral nations have had a right of giving power to confuls, dictators, archons, fuffetes, dukes and other magistrates, in such proportions as feemed most conducing to their own good; and there must be a right in every nation of allotting to kings fo much as they please, as well as to the others, unless there be a charm in the word king, or in the letters that compose it. But this cannot be; for there is no fimilitude between king, Rex, and Bazileus: they must therefore have a right of regulating the power of kings, as well as that of confuls or dictators; and it had not been more ridiculous in Fabius, Scipio, Camillus or Cincinnatus, to affert an absolute power in himself, under pretence of advancing his fovereign majesty against the law, than for any king to do the like. But as all nations give what form they please to their government, they are also judges of the name to be imposed upon each man who is to have a part in the power: and 'tis as lawful for us to call him king, who has a limited authority amongstus, as for the Medes or Arabs to give the same name to one who is more absolute. If this be not admitted, we are content to fpeak improperly, but utterly deny that when we give the name, we give any thing more than we please; and had rather his majesty should change his name than to renounce our own rights and liberties which he is to preferve, and which we have received from God and nature.

But that the folly and wickedness of our author may not be capable of any farther aggravation, he says, "That it skills not how he come by the "power." Violence therefore or fraud, treachery or murder, are as good as election, donation or legal succession. 'Tis in vain to examine the laws of God or man; the rights of nature; whether children do inherit the dignities and magistracies of their

fathers, as patrimonial lands and goods; whether regard ought to be had to the fitness of the person; whether all should go to one, or be divided amongst them; or by what rule we may know who is the right heir to the succession, and consequently what we are in conscience obliged to do. Our author tells us in short, it matters not how he that has the

power comes by it.

It has been hitherto thought, that to kill a king (especially a good king) was a most abominable They who did it, were thought to be incited by the worst of passions that can enter into the hearts of men; and the severest punishments have been invented to deter them from fuch attempts, or to avenge their death upon those who should accomplish it: but if our author may be credited, it must be the most commendable and glorious act that can be performed by man: for besides the outward advantages that men so earnestly desire, he that does it, is presently invested with the sovereign majesty, and at the same time becomes God's vicegerent, and the father of his country, possessed of that government, which in exclusion to all other forms is only favoured by the laws of God and nature. The only inconvenience is, that all depends upon fuccess, and he that is to be the minister of God, and father of his country if he succeed, is the worst of all villains if he fail; and at the best may be deprived of all by the same means he employed to gain it. Tho' a prince should have the wisdom and virtues of Mofes, the valour of Joshua, David and the Maccabees, with the gentleness and integrity of Samuel, the most foolish, vicious, base and detestable man in the world that kills him, and feizes the power, becomes his heir, and father of the people that he governed; it skills not how he did it, whether in open

pie

193

open battle or by fecret treachery, in the field or in the bed, by poison or by the sword: the vilest flave in Ifrael had become the Lord's anointed, if he could have killed David or Solomon, and found villains to place him in the throne. If this be right, the world has to this day lived in darkness, and the actions which have been thought to be the most detestable, are the most commendable and glorious. But not troubling my felf at present to decide this question, I leave it to kings to consider how much they are beholden to Filmer and his disciples, who fet fuch a price upon their heads, as would render it hard to preferve their lives one day, if the doctrines were received which they endeavour to infuse into the minds of the people; and concluding this point, only fay, that we in England know no other king than he who is fo by law, nor any power in that king except that which he has by law: and tho' the Roman empire was held by the power of the fword; and Ulpian a corrupt lawyer undertakes to fay, that " the prince is not obliged by the laws;" yet Theodosius confessed, that it was the glory of a good emperor to acknowledge himself bound by them.

# S E C T. XXII.

The rigour of the law is to be temper'd by men of known integrity and judgment, and not by the prince who may be ignorant or vicious.

Our author's next shift is to place the king above the law, that he may mitigate the rigour of it, without which he says, "The case of "the subject would be desperately miserable." But this cure would prove worse than the disease. Such pious fathers of the people as Caligula, Nero or Do-Vol. II.

mitian, were not like to mitigate the rigour; nor fuch as inherit crowns in their infancy (as the prefent kings of Spain, France and Sweden) fo well to understand the meaning of it as to decide extraordinary cases. The wisdom of nations has provided more affured helps; and none could have been fo brutish and negligent of the public concernments, to fuffer the fuccession to fall to women, children, &c. if they had not referved a power in themselves to prefer others before the nearest in blood, if reason require; and prescribed such rules as might preserve the public from ruin, notwithstanding their infirmities and vices. These helps provided by our laws, are principally by grand and petit juries, who are not only judges of matters of fact, as whether a man be killed, but whether he be killed criminally. These men are upon their oaths, and may be indicted of perjury if they prevaricate: the judges are prefent, not only to be a check upon them, but to explain fuch points of the law as may feem difficult. And tho' these judges may be said in some sense to be chosen by the king, he is not understood to do it otherwise than by the advice of his council, who cannot perform their duty, unless they propose such as in their consciences they think most worthy of the office, and most capable of performing the duty rightly; nor he accomplish the oath of his coronation, unless he admit those, who upon deliberation feem to be the best. The judges being thus chosen, are fo far from depending upon the will of the king, that they swear faithfully to serve the people as well as the king\*, and to do justice to every man according to the law of the land, notwithstanding any writs, letters or commands received from him; and in default thereof they are to forfeit their bodies, lands

m

an

W

his

to

<sup>\* 18</sup> Edw. III. cap. 1.

Sect. 22. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. and goods, as in cases of treason. These laws have been so often, and so severely executed, that it concerns all judges well to consider them; and the cases of Tresilian, Empson, Dudley, and others shew, that neither the king's preceding command nor fubsequent pardon could preserve them from the punishment they deserved. All men knew that what they did was agreeable to the king's pleasure, for Tresilian advanced the prerogative of Edward the fecond, and Empson brought great treasures into the coffers of Henry the seventh. Nevertheless they were charged with treason, for subverting the laws of the land, and executed as traitors. Tho' England ought never to forget the happy reign of Q. Elizabeth, yet it must be acknowledged, that The as well as others had her failings. She was full of love to the people, just in her nature, fincere in her intentions; but could not fo perfectly discover the snares that were laid for her, or resist the importunity of the persons she most trusted, as not fometimes to be brought to attempt things against She and her counsellors pressed the judges very hardly to obey the patent under her great feal, in the case of Cavendish: but they answered, "That " both she and they had taken an oath to keep the " law, and if they should obey her commands, the " law would not warrant them, &c\*." And besides the offence against God, their country, and the commonwealth, they alledged the example of Empson and Dudley, "whereby, they faid, they were de-" terred from obeying her illegal commands." They who had fworn to keep the law notwithstanding the king's writs, knew that the law depended not upon his will; and the fame oath that obliged them not to regard any command they thould receive from

"Anderson's Rep. p. 155:

d

him, shewed that they were not to expect indemnity by it, and not only that the king had neither the power of making, altering, mitigating or interpreting the law, but that he was not at all to be heard, in general or particular matters, otherwise than as he speaks in the common course of justice, by the courts legally established, which say the fame thing, whether he be young or old, ignorant or wife, wicked or good: and nothing does better evidence the wisdom and care of our ancestors, in framing the laws and government we live under, than that the people did not fuffer extremities by the vices or infirmities of kings, till an age more full of malice than those in which they lived, had found tricks to pervert the rule, and frustrate their honest intentions. It was not fafe for the kings to violate their oaths by an undue interpolition of their authority; but the ministers who served them in those violations, have feldom escaped punishment. This is to be understood when the deviations from justice are extreme and mischievous, for something must always be allowed to human frailty: The best have their defects, and none could stand if a too exact ferutiny were made of all their actions. Edward the third, about the twentieth year of his reign, acknowledged his own in parliament, and as well for the ease of his conscience, as the satisfaction of his people, promoted an act, "Commanding " all judges to do justice, notwithstanding any writs, " letters or commands from himfelf, and forbidding " those that belonged to the king, queen and prince, " to intermeddle in those matters." But if the best and wifest of our princes, in the strength and maturity of their years, had their failings, and every act proceeding from them that tended to the interruption of justice was a failing, how can it be faid that

0

m

ru

fer

lia

if

197

that the king in his personal capacity, directly or indirectly, may enter into the discussion of these matters, much less to determine them according to his will?

" But, fays our author, the law is no better than " a tyrant; general pardons at the coronation and " in parliament, are but the bounty of the preroga-" tive, &c. There may be hard cases;" and citing fome perverted pieces from Aristotle's ethics and politics, adds, " That when fomething falls out " besides the general rule, then it is fit that what " the lawmaker hath omitted, or where he hath " erred by speaking generally, it should be correct-" ed and supplied, as if the lawmaker were pre-" fent that ordained it. The governor, whether he be " one man or more, ought to be lord of these things, " whereof it was impossible that the law should " fpeak exactly." These things are in part true; but our author makes use of them as the devil does of scripture, to subvert the truth. There may be fomething of rigour in the law that in some cases may be mitigated; and the law itself (in relation to England) does fo far acknowledge it, as to refer much to the consciences of juries, and those who are appointed to affift them; and the most difficult cases are referred to the parliament as the only judges that are able to determine them. Thus the statute of the 35 Edward III. enumerating the crimes then declared to be treason, leaves to future parliaments to judge what other facts equivalent to them may deferve the fame punishment: and 'tis a general rule in the law, which the judges are fworn to obferve, that difficult cases should be reserved till the parliament meet, who are only able to decide them: and if there be any inconvenience in this, 'tis because they do not meet so frequently as the law requires, or by finister

finister means are interrupted in their sitting. But nothing can be more absurd than to say, that because the king does not call parliaments as the law and his oath requires, that power should accrue to him, which the law and the consent of the nation has placed in them.

There is also such a thing in the law as a general or particular pardon, and the king may in some degree be entrusted with the power of giving it, especially for such crimes as merely relate to himself, as every man may remit the injuries done to himself; but the confession of Edward the third, "That the oath of the crown had not been kept by reason of the grant of pardons contrary to statutes \*," and a new act made, "that all such charters of pardon from henceforth granted against the oath of the crown and the said statutes, should be held for none," demonstrates that this power was not in himself, but granted by the nation, and to be executed according to such rules as the law prescribed, and the parliament approved.

Moreover, there having been many, and sometimes bloody contests for the crown, upon which the pation was almost equally divided; and it being difficult for them to know, or even for us who have all the parties before us, to judge which was the better side, it was understood that he who came to be crown'd by the consent of the people, was acceptable to all: and the question being determined, it was no way sit that he should have a liberty to make use of the public authority then in his hands, to revenge such personal injuries as he had, or might suppose to have received, which might raise new, and perhaps more dangerous troubles, if the authors of them were still kept in fear of being prosecuted;

<sup>\* 14</sup> Edw. III. 15.

and nothing could be more unreasonable than that he should employ his power to the destruction of those who had confented to make him king. This made it a matter of course for a king, as soon as he was crown'd, to iffue out a general pardon, which was no more than to declare, that being now what he was not before, he had no enemy upon any former account. For this reason Lewis the twelfth of France, when he was incited to revenge himself against those, who in the reign of his predecessor Charles the eighth, had caused him to be imprisoned with great danger of his life, made this answer, " That the king of " France did not care to revenge the injuries done. " to the duke of Orleans:" and the last king of Sweden feemed no otherwife to remember who had opposed the queen's abdication, and his election, than by conferring honours upon them; because he knew they were the best men of the nation, and such as would be his friends when they should see how he would govern, in which he was not deceived. But lest all those who might come to the crown of England, should not have the same prudence and generofity, the kings were obliged by a custom of no less force than a law, immediately to put an end to all disputes, and the inconveniencies that might arise from them. This did not proceed from the bounty of the prerogative (which I think is nonfense, for tho' he that enjoys the prerogative may have bounty, the prerogative can have none) but from common sense, from his obligation, and the care of his own fafety; and could have no other effect in law, than what related to his person, as appears by the forementioned statute.

Pardons granted by act of parliament are of another nature: for as the king who has no other power than

than by law, can no otherwise dispense with the crimes committed against the laws, than the law does enable him; the parliament that has the power of making laws, may intirely abolish the crimes, and unquestionably remit the punishment as

they please.

Tho' fome words of Aristotle's ethics are without any coherence shuffled together by our author, with others taken out of his politics, I do not much except against them. No law made by man can be perfect, and there must be in every nation a power of correcting such defects as in time may arise or be discovered. This power can never be so rightly placed as " in the same hand that has the right of making laws, whether in one person or in many." If Filmer therefore can tell us of a place, where one man, woman, or child, however he or the be qualified, has the power of making laws, I will acknowledge that not only the hard cases, but as many others as he pleases, are referr'd to his or her judgment, and that they may give it, whether they have any understanding of what they do or not, whether they be drunk or fober, in their fenses or stark mad, But as I know no fuch place, and should not be much concerned for the fufferings of a people that should bring such misery upon themselves, as must accompany an absolute dependance upon the unruly will of fuch a creature, I may leave him to feek it, and rest in a perfect assurance that he does not speak of England, which acknowledges no other law than its own; and instead of receiving any from kings, does to this day obey none, but fuch as have been made by our ancestors, or ourselves, and never admitted any king that did not fwear to observe them. And if Aristotle deserve credit, the power of

Sect. 23. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 201 of altering, mitigating, explaining or correcting the laws of England, is only in the parliament, because none but the parliament can make them.

### S E C T. XXIII.

Aristotle proves, that no man is to be entrusted with an absolute power, by shewing that no one knows bow to execute it, but such a man as is not to be found.

VR author having falfely cited and perverted the fense of Aristotle, now brings him in faying, " That a perfect kingdom is that wherein the " king rules all according to his own will." But tho' I have read his books of government with some attention, I can find no fuch thing in them, unless the word which fignifies mere or absolute may be justly translated into perfect; which is so far from Aristotle's meaning, that he distinguishes the absolute or despotical kingdoms from the legitimate; and commending the latter, gives no better name than that of barbarous to the first, which he says can agree only with the nature of fuch nations as are base and stupid, little differing from beasts; and having no skill to govern, or courage to defend themselves, must resign all to the will of one that will take care of them. Yet even this cannot be done, unless he that should take that care be wholly exempted from the vices which oblige the others to stand in need of it; for otherwise 'tis no better than if a sheep should undertake to govern sheep, or a hog to command fwine; Aristotle plainly faying, "That as men are " by nature equal, if it were possible all should be magistrates \*." But that being repugnant to the

nature of government, he finds no other way of folving the difficulty, than by " obeying and com-" manding alternately;" that they may do by turns that which they cannot do all together, and to which no one man has more right than another, because they are all by nature equal. This might be composed by a more compendious way, if, according to our author's doctrine, possession could give a right. But Aristotle speaking like a philosopher, and not like a public enemy of mankind, examines what is just, reasonable, and beneficial tomen, that is, what ought to be done, and which being done, is to be accounted just, and therefore to be supported by good men, But as " that which is unjust in the beginning, can " never have the effect of justice \*;" and it being manifestly unjust for one or a few men to assume a power over those who by nature are equal to them, no fuch power can be just or beneficial to mankind; nor fit to be upheld by good men, if it be unjust and prejudicial. In the opinion of Aristotle, this natural equality continues till virtue makes the distinction, which must be either simply compleat and perfect in it felf, so that he who is endued with it, is a God among men, or relatively, as far as concerns civil fociety, and the ends for which it is constituted, that is, defence, and the obtaining of justice. This requires a mind unbiaffed by paffion, full of goodness and wisdom, firm against all the temptations to ill, that may arise from desire or fear; tending to all manner of good, through a perfect knowledge and affection to it; and this to such a degree, that he or they have more of these virtues and excellencies than all the rest of the society, tho' computed together +:

<sup>\*</sup> Quod ab initio injustum est, nullum potest habere juris effectum.

Grot. de jur. bel. & pac. 1. 3.

† Arist. Pol. 1. 3.

where such a man is found, he is by nature a king, and 'tis best for the nation where he is that he govern. If a few men, tho' equal and alike among themselves, have the same advantages above the rest of the people, nature for the same reason seems to establish an aristocracy in that place; and the power is more safely committed to them, than lest in the hands of the multitude. But if this excellency of virtue do not appear in one, nor in a few men, the right and power is by nature equally lodged in all; and to assume or appropriate that power to one, or a few men, is unnatural and tyrannical, which in Aristotle's language comprehends all that is detestable and abominable.

If any man should think Aristotle a trifler, for fpeaking of fuch a man as can never be found, I answer, that he went as far as his way could be warranted by reason or nature, and was obliged to stop there by the defect of his subject. He could not fay that the government of one was fimply good, when he knew so many qualifications were required in the person to make it so; nor that it is good for a nation to be under the power of a fool, a coward, or a villain, because 'tis good to be under a man of admirable wisdom, valour, industry and goodness; or that the government of one should be continued in fuch as by chance fucceed in a family, because it was given to the first who had all the virtues required, tho' all the reasons for which the power was given fail in the successor; much less could he say that any government was good, which was not good for those whose good only it was constituted to promote.

Moreover, by shewing who only is fit to be a monarch, or may be made such, without violating the laws of nature and justice, he shews who cannot

þĉ

be one: and he who fays that no fuch man is to be found, as according to the opinion of Aristotle can be a monarch, does most ridiculously alledge his authority in favour of monarchs, or the power which fome amongst us would attribute to them. If any thing therefore may be concluded from his words, 'tis this, that fince no power ought to be admitted which is not just; that none can be just which is not. good, profitable to the people, and conducing to the ends for which it is constituted; that no man can know how to direct the power to those ends, can deserve, or administer it, unless he do so far excel all those that are under him in wisdom, justice, valour and goodness, as to possess more of those virtues than all of them: I fay, if no fuch man or fuccession of men be found, no fuch power is to be granted to any man, or succession of men. But if such power be granted, the laws of nature and reason are overthrown, and the ends for which focieties are constituted, utterly perverted, which necessarily implies an annihilation of the grant. And if a grant so made by those who have a right of setting up a government among themselves, do perish through its own natural iniquity and perverfity, I leave it to any man, whose understanding and manners are not so intirely corrupted as those of our author, to determine what name ought to be given to that person, who not excelling all others in civil and moral virtues, in the proportion requir'd by Aristotle, does usurp a power over a nation, and what obedience the people owe to fuch a one. But if his opinion deferve our regard, the king by having those virtues is Omnium Optimus, and the best guide to the people, " to lead "them to happiness by the ways of virtue \*." And he who assumes the same power, without the

Ad fummum bonum secundum virtutem. Arifti Pol qualifications

sect. 24. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 205 qualifications required, is Tyrannus omnium peffimus, leading the people to all manner of ill, and in consequence to destruction.

## S E C T. XXIV.

The power of Augustus Cæsar was not given, but usurped.

UR author's next instance is ingeniously taken from the Romans, " Who, he fays, tho' "they were a people greedy of liberty, freed Au-" gustus from the necessity of laws." If it be true, as he affirms, that fuch a prerogative is instituted only for the preservation of liberty, they who are most greedy of it, ought to be most forward in establishing that which defends it best. But if the weight laid upon the words "greedy of liberty," &c. render his memory and judgment liable to censure, the unpardonable prevarication of citing any act done by the Romans in the time of Augustus, as done freely, fhews him to be a man of no faith. " Omnium jura in fe traxerat," fays Tacitus \* of Augustus; nothing was conferred upon him, he took all to himself; there could be nothing of right in that which was wholly usurped. And neither the people or the fenate could do any thing freely, whilft they were under the power of a mad corrupted foldiery, who first betrayed, and then subdued them. The greatest part of the senate had fallen at the battle of Pharsalia, others had been gleaned up in feveral places, the rest destroyed by the proscriptions; and that which then retained the name of a senate, was made up chiefly of those who had been his ministers, in bringing the most miserable slavery upon their own country. The Roman liberty, and that

bravery of spirit by which it had been maintained, was not only abolished, but almost forgotten. All confideration of law and right was trampled under foot; and none could dispute with him, who by the power of the fword had feized the authority both of the fenate and people. Nothing was fo extravagant, that might not be extorted by the infolent violence of a conqueror, who had thirty mercenary legions to execute his commands. The uncorrupted part of the people that had escaped the fword of Julius, had either perished with Hirtius and Pansa, Brutus and Cassius, or been destroyed by the detestable Triumvirate. Those that remained could lose nothing by a verbal refignation of their liberty, which they had neither strength nor courage to defend. The magistracies were possessed by the creatures of the tyrant; and the people was composed of such as were either born under slavery, and accustomed to obey, or remained under the terror of those arms that had consumed the affertors of their liberty. Our author standing in need of fome Roman example was obliged to feek it in an age, when the laws were subverted, virtue extinguished, injustice placed in the throne, and such as would not be of the same spirit, exposed to the utmost cruelty. This was the time when the sovereign majesty shined in glory; and they who had raised it above the law, made it also the object of their religion, by adoring the statues of their oppressor. The corruption of this court spread itself over the best part of the world; and reduced the empire to that irrecoverable weakness in which it languished and perished. This is the state of things that pleases Filmer, and those that are like him, who for the introduction of the same among us, recommend fuch an elevation of the fovereign majefty,

seft. 25. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 207 as is most contrary to the laws of God and men, abhorred by all generous nations, and most especially by our ancestors, who thought nothing too dear to be hazarded in the defence of themselves and us

### S E C T. XXV.

from it.

The regal power was not the first in this nation; nor necessarily to be continued, tho' it had been the first.

RUTH being uniform in it self, those who defire to propagate it for the good of mankind, lay the foundations of their reasonings in such principles, as are either evident to common fense, or eafily proved: but cheats and impostors delighting in obscurity, suppose things that are dubious or false, and think to build one falshood upon another; and our author can find no better way to persuade us, that all our privileges and laws are from the king, than by faying, "That the first power was " the kingly power, which was both in this and all " other nations in the world, long before any laws " or any other kind of government was thought of; " from whence we must necessarily infer, that the " common law, or common customs of this land ' " were originally the laws and commands of the " king." But denying both these points, I affirm,

1. First, That there was a power to make kings

before there was any king.

2. Tho' kings had been the first created magistrates in all places (as perhaps they were in some) it does not follow, that they must continue for ever, or that laws are from them.

To the first; I think no man will deny, that there was a people at Babylon, before Nimrod was

king

king of that place. This people had a power; for no number of men can be without it: nay, this people had a power of making Nimrod king, or he could never have been king. He could not be king by fuccession, for the scripture shews him to have been the first. He was not king by the right of father, for he was not their father, Chush, Cham, with his elder brothers and father Noah being still living; and, which is worst of all, were not kings: for if they who lived in Nimrod's time, or before him, neither were kings nor had kings, he that ought to have been king over all by the right of nature (if there had been any fuch thing in nature) was not king. Those who immediately succeeded him, and must have inherited his right, if he had any, did not inherit or pretend to it: and therefore he that shall now claim a right from nature, as father of a people, must ground it upon something more certain than Noah's right of reigning over his children, or it can have no strength in it.

Moreover, the nations who in and before the time of Nimrod had no kings, had power, or else they could have performed no act, nor constituted any other magistrate to this day, which is abfurd. There was therefore a power in nations before there were kings, or there could never have been any; and Nimrod could never have been king, if the people of Babylon had not made him king, which they could not have done if they had not had a power of making him fo. 'Tis ridiculous to fay he made himself king, for tho' he might be strong and valiant, he could not be stronger than a multitude of men. That which forces must be stronger than that which is forced; and if it be true, according to the

antient faying, that Hercules himfelf is not fufficient to encounter two, 'tis fure more impossible for one

man

man to force a multitude, for that must be stronger than he. If he came in by persuasion, they who were persuaded, were persuaded to consent that he should be king. That consent therefore made him king. But, "Qui dat esse, dat modum esse:" They who made him king, made him such a king as best pleased themselves. He had therefore nothing but what was given: his greatness and power must be from the multitude who gave it: and their laws and liberties could not be from him: but their liberties were naturally inherent in themselves, and their laws were the product of them.

There was a people that made Romulus king. He did not make or beget that people, nor, for any thing we know, one man of them. He could not come in by inheritance, for he was a bastard, the son of an unknown man; and when he died, the right that had been conferred upon him reverted to the people, who according to that right, chose Numa, Hostilius, Martius, Tarquinius Priscus, and Servius, all strangers, and without any other right than what was bestow'd upon them: and Tarquinius Superbus who invaded the throne \* " without the command of the people," was ejected, and the government of kings abolished by the same power that had created it.

We know not certainly by what law Moses and the judges created by the advice of Jethro, governed the Israelites; but may probably conjecture it to have been by that law which God had written in the hearts of mankind; and the people submitted to the judgment of good and wise men, tho' they were under no coercive power: but 'tis certain they had a law and a regular magistracy under which they lived, four hundred years before they had a king,

Vol. II. P

for Saul was the first. This law was not therefore from the king, nor by the king; but the king was chosen and made by the people, according to the liberty they had by the law, tho' they did not rightly follow the rules therein prescribed, and by that means

brought destruction upon themselves.

The country in which we live lay long concealed under obscure barbarity, and we know nothing of the first inhabitants, but what is involved in fables that leave us still in the dark. Julius Cæsar is the first who speaks distinctly of our affairs, and gives us no reason to believe there was any monarchy then established amongst us. Cassivellaunus was occasionally chosen by the nations that were most exposed to the violence of the Romans, \* for the management of those wars against them. By others we hear of Boadicia, Arviragus, Galgacus, and many more fet up afterwards when need required; but we find no footsteps of a regular succession either by inheritance or election. And as they had then no kings, or any other general magistrate, that can be faid to be equivalent to a king, they might have had none at all unless they had thought fit. Tacitus mentions a fort of kings, used by the Romans to keep + nations in fervitude to them; and tho' it were true that there had been fuch a man as Lucius, and he one of this fort, he is to be accounted only as a Roman magistrate, and fignifies no more to our dispute, than if he had been called proconful, prætor, or by any other name. However there was no feries of them: that which was temporary and occasional, depended upon the will of those, who thinking there was occasion, created such a magistrate, and

<sup>\*</sup> Jul. Cæs. comment. 1. 5.
† Inter instrumenta servitutis reges habuere. C. Tacit.

omitted to do fo, when the occasion ceased, or was thought to cease; and might have had none at all, if they had so pleased. The magistracy therefore was from them, and depended upon their will.

We have already mentioned the histories of the Saxons, Danes and Normans, from which nations, together with the Britons, we are descended, and finding that they were severe affertors of their liberties, acknowledged no human laws but their own, received no kings but fuch as fwore to observe them, and deposed those who did not well perform their oaths and duty, 'tis evident that their kings were made by the people according to the law; and that the law, by which they became what they were, could not be from themselves. Our ancestors were fo fully convinced that in the creation of kings they exercifed their own right, and were only to confider what was good for themselves, that without regard to the memory of those who had gone before, they were accustomed to take such as seemed most like, wifely, justly and gently to perform their office; refused those that were suspected of pride, cruelty, or any other vice that might bring prejudice upon the public, what title foever they pretended; and removed fuch as had been placed in the throne, if they did not answer the opinion conceived of their virtue; which I take to be a manner of proceeding that agrees better with the quality of masters, making laws and magistrates for themselves, than of slaves receiving fuch as were imposed upon them.

2. To the fecond. Tho' it should be granted, that all nations had at the first been governed by kings, it were nothing to the question; for no man or number of men was ever obliged to continue in the errors of his predecessors. The authority of custom as well as of law (I mean in relation to the power

P 2

that

that made it to be) confifts only in its rectitude: and the same reason which may have induced one or more nations to create kings, when they knew no other form of government, may not only induce them to fet up another, if that be found inconvenient to them, but proves that they may as justly do fo, as remove a man, who performs not what was expected from him. If there had been a rule given by God, and written in the minds of men by nature, it must have been from the beginning, universal and perpetual; or at least must have been observed by the wifest and best instructed nations: which not being in any measure (as I have proved already) there can be no reason, why a polite people should not relinquish the errors committed by their ancestors in the time of their barbarism and ignorance, and why they should not do it in matters of government, as well as in any other thing relating to life. Men are subject to errors, and 'tis the work of the best and wisest to discover and amend such as their ancestors may have committed, or to add perfection to those things which by them have been well invented. This is fo certain, that whatfoever we enjoy beyond the mifery in which our barbarous ancestors lived, is due only to the liberty of correcting what was amiss in their practice, or inventing that which they did not know: and I doubt whether it be more brutish to say we are obliged to continue in the idolatry of the Druids, with all the miseries and follies that accompany the most savage barbarity, or to confess that tho' we have a right to depart from these, yet we are for ever bound to continue the government they had established, whatever inconveniencies might attend it. Tertullian disputing with the Pagans, who objected the novelty of the christian religion, troubled not himself with

with refuting that error; \* but proving christianity to be good and true, he thought he had fufficiently proved it to be antient. A wife architect may shew his skill, and deserve commendation for building a poor house of vile materials, when he can procure no better, but he no way ought to hinder others from erecting more glorious fabrics, if they are furnished with the means required. Befides, fuch is the imperfection of all human constitutions, that they are subject to perpetual fluctuation, which never permits them to continue long in the same condition: corruptions flide in infenfibly; and the best orders are fometimes subverted by malice and violence; fo that he who only regards what was done in fuch an age, often takes the corruption of the state for the institution, follows the worst example, thinks that to be the first, that is the most antient he knows; and if a brave people feeing the original defects of their government, or the corruption into which it may be fallen, do either correct and reform what may be amended, or abolish that which was evil in the inflitution, or so perverted that it cannot be restor'd to integrity, these men impute it to sedition, and blame those actions, which of all that can be performed by men are the most glorious. We are not therefore fo much to inquire after that which is most antient, as that which is best, and most conducing to the good ends to which it was directed. As governments were instituted for the obtaining of justice, and (as our author fays) the prefervation of liberty, we are not to feek what government was the first, but what best provides for the obtaining of justice, and preservation of liberty. For whatsoever the institution be, and how long foever it may have lasted,

0

t-

n

o-

th

P 3

Nullum tempus, nulla præ criptio occurrit veritati. Tertul. Id antiquius quod verius. Ibid.

'tis void, if it thwarts, or do not provide for the ends of its establishment. If such a law or custom therefore as is not good in it felf, had in the beginning prevailed in all parts of the world (which in relation to absolute or any kind of monarchy is not true) it ought to be abolished; and if any man should shew himself wifer than others by proposing a law or government, more beneficial to mankind than any that had been formerly known, providing better for justice and liberty than all others had done, he would merit the highest veneration. If any man ask, who shall be judge of that rectitude or pravity which either authorises or destroys a law? I answer, that as this confifts not in formalities and niceties, but in evident and substantial truths, there is no need of any other tribunal than that of common sense. and the light of nature, to determine the matter: and he that travels through France, Italy, Turky, Germany and Switzerland without confulting Bartolus or Baldus, will eafily understand whether the countries that are under the kings of France and Spain, the Pope and the great Turk, or fuch as are under the care of a well-regulated magistracy, do best enjoy the benefits of justice and liberty. 'Tis as eafily determined, whether the Grecians when Athens and Thebes flourished were more free than the Medes; whether justice was better administred by Agathocles, Dionysius and Phalaris, than by the legal kings and regular magistrates of Sparta; or whether more care was taken that justice and liberty might be preserved by Tiberius, Caligula, Claudius, Nero and Vitellius, than by the fenate and people of Rome whilst the laws were more powerful than the commands of men. The like may be faid of particular laws, as those of Nabuchodonosor and Caligula, for worshiping their statues; our acts of parliament against heretics

heretics and lollards, with the statutes and orders of the inquifition which is called the holy office. And if that only be a law which is " Sanctio recta, " jubens honesta, prohibens contraria," the meanest understanding, if free from passion, may certainly know that fuch as these cannot be laws, by what authority foever they were enacted, and that the use of them, and others like to them, ought to be abolished for their turpitude and iniquity. Infinite examples of the like nature might be alledged, as well concerning divine as human things. And if there be any laws which are evil, there cannot be an incontestable rectitude in all, and if not in all, it concerns us to examine where it is to be found. Laws and constitutions ought to be weighed, and whilst all due reverence is paid to fuch as are good, every nation may not only retain in it felf a power of changing, or abolishing all such as are not so, but ought to exercise that power according to the best of their understanding, and in the place of what was either at first mistaken or afterwards corrupted, to constitute that which is most conducing to the establishment of justice and liberty.

But such is the condition of mankind, that nothing can be so perfectly framed as not to give some testimony of human imbecility, and frequently to stand in need of reparations and amendments. Many things are unknown to the wisest, and the best men can never wholly divest themselves of passions and affections. By this means the best and wisest are sometimes led into error, and stand in need of successors like to themselves, who may find remedies for the saults they have committed, and nothing can or ought to be permanent but that which is perfect. No natural body was ever so well temper'd and organiz'd, as not to be subject to diseases, wounds or other accidents, and to need medicines and other

f

P 4

occasional

occasional helps as well as nourishment and exercise; and he who under the name of innovation would deprive nations of the like, does, as much as lies in him, condemn them all to perish by the defects of their own foundations. Some men observing this \*, have proposed a necessity of reducing every state once in an age or two, to the integrity of its first principle: but they ought to have examined, whether that principle be good or evil, or fo good that nothing can be added to it, which none ever was; and this being fo, those who will admit of no change would render errors perpetual, and depriving mankind of the benefits of wifdom, industry, experience, and the right use of reason, oblige all to continue in the miserable barbarity of their ancestors, which fuits better with the name of a wolf than that of a man.

Those who are of better understanding, weigh all things, and often find reason to abrogate that which their fathers, according to the measure of the knowledge they had, or the state of things among them, had rightly instituted, or to restore that which they had abrogated; and there can be no greater mark of a most brutish stupidity, than for men to continue in an evil way, because their fathers had brought them into it. But if we ought not too frictly to adhere to our own conflitutions, those of other nations are less to be regarded by us; for the laws that may be good for one people are not for all, and that which agrees with the manners of one age, is utterly abhorrent from those of another. It were absurd to think of restoring the laws of Lycurgus to the prefent inhabitants of Peloponnesus, who are accustomed to the most abject flavery. It may easily be imagined, how the Romans, Sabines and Latins, now under the

<sup>\*</sup> Discorf. di Macchiav. lib. 2.

tyranny of the pope, would relish such a discipline as flourished among them after the expulsion of the Tarquins; and it had been no less preposterous to give a liberty to the Parthians of governing themselves, or for them to assume it, than to impose an absolute monarch upon the German nation. Titus Livius having observed this, fays \*, that if a popular government had been fet up in Rome immediately upon the building of the city; and if that fierce people which was composed of unruly shepherds, herdsmen, fugitive slaves, and ourlaw'd persons, who could not fuffer the governments under which they were born, had come to be incited by turbulent orators, they would have brought all into confusion: whereas that boisterous humour being gradually temper'd by discipline under Romulus, or taught to vent its fury against foreign enemies, and soften'd by the peaceable reign of Numa, a new race grew up, which being all of one blood, contracted a love to their country, and became capable of liberty, which the madness of their last king, and the lewdness of his son, gave them occasion to resume. If this was commendable in them, it must be so in other nations. If the Germans might preserve their liberty, as well as the Parthians submit themfelves to absolute monarchy, 'tis as lawful for the descendants of those Germans to continue in it, as for the eastern nations to be slaves. If one nation may justly choose the government that seems best to them, and continue or alter it according to the changes of times and things, the fame right must belong to others. The great variety of laws that are or have been in the world, proceeds from this, and nothing can better flew the wisdom and virtue, or

it

0

d

d,

1y

the vices and folly of nations, than the use they make of this right: they have been glorious or infamous, powerful or despicable, happy or miserable.

as they have well or ill executed it.

If it be faid that the law given by God to the Hebrews, proceeding from his wisdom and goodness, must needs be perfect and obligatory to all nations: I answer, that there is a simple and a relative perfection; the first is only in God, the other in the things he has created: \* " he faw that they " were good," which can fignify no more than that they were good in their kind, and fuited to the end for which he defigned them. For if the perfection were absolute, there could be no difference between an angel and a worm, and nothing could be fubject to change or death, for that is imperfection. This relative perfection is feen also by his law given to mankind in the perfons of Adam and Noah. It was good in the kind, fit for those times, but could never have been enlarged or altered, if the perfection had been fimple; and no better evidence can be given to shew that it was not so, than that God did afterwards give one much more full and explicit to his people. This law also was peculiarly applicable to that people and feafon, for if it had been otherwise, the apostles would have obliged christians to the intire observation of it, as well as to abstain from idolatry, fornication and blood. But if all this be not fo, then their judicial law, and the form of their commonwealth must be received by all; no human law can be of any value; we are all brethren, no man has a prerogative above another; lands must be equally divided amongst all; inheritances cannot be alienated for above fifty years; no man can be raifed above the rest unless he be called by God, and enabled by his fpirit to conduct the people; when this man dies, he that 'as the same spirit must succeed, as Joshua did to Moses, and his children can have no title to his office: when fuch a man appears, a Sanhedrin of feventy men chosen out of the whole people, are to judge fuch causes as relate to themfelves, whilst those of greater extent and importance are referred to the general affemblies. Here is no mention of a king, and consequently, if we must take this law for our pattern, we cannot have one: if the point be driven to the utmost, and the precept of Deuteronomy, where God permitted them to have a king, if they thought fit, when they came into the promifed land, be understood to extend to all nations, every one of them must have the same liberty of taking their own time, choosing him in their own way, dividing the kingdom, having no king, and fetting up other governors when they pleafe, as before the election of Saul, and after the return from the captivity: and even when they have a king, he must be such an one as is described in the same chapter, who no more refembles the fovereign majesty that our author adores, and agrees as little with his maxims, as a tribune of the Roman people.

We may therefore conclude, that if we are to follow the law of Moses, we must take it with all the appendages; a king can be no more, and no otherwise than he makes him: for whatever we read of the kings they had, were extreme deviations from it. No nation can make any law, and our lawyers burning their books may betake themselves to the study of the pentateuch, in which the some of them may be well versed, yet probably the pro-

fit arifing from thence will not be very great.

But if we are not obliged to live in a conformity to the law of Moses, every people may frame laws

for themselves, and we cannot be denied the right that is common to all. Our laws were not fent from heaven, but made by our ancestors according to the light they had, and their present occasions. We inherit the same right from them, and, as we may without vanity fay that we know a little more than they did, if we find ourselves prejudic'd by any law that they made, we may repeal it. The fafety of the people was their supreme law, and is so to us: neither can we be thought less fit to judge what conduces to that end, than they were. If they in any age had been perfuaded to put themselves under the power, or in our author's phrase, under the sovereign majesty of a child, a fool, a mad or desperately wicked person, and had annexed the right conferred upon him to fuch as should succeed, it had not been a "just and right fanction;" and having none of the qualities effentially belonging to a law, could not have the effect of a law. It cannot be for the good of a people to be governed by one, who by nature ought to be governed, or by age or accident is rendred unable to govern himself. The public interests and the concernments of private men in their lands, goods, liberties and lives (for the prefervation of which our author favs, that regal prerogative is only constituted) cannot be preserved by one who is transported by his own passions or follies, a flave to his lufts and vices; or, which is fometimes worse, governed by the vilest of men and women who flatter him in them, and push him on to do fuch things as even they would abhor, if they were in his place. The turpitude and impious madness of such an act must necessarily make it void, by overthrowing the ends for which it was made, fince that justice which was fought cannot be obtained, nor the evils that were feared, prevented; and they

they for whose good it was intended must necessarily have a right of abolishing it. This might be sufficient for us, tho our ancestors had enslaved themselves. But, God be thanked, we are not put to that trouble: we have no reason to believe we are descended from such sools and beasts, as would willingly cast themselves and us into such an excess of misery and shame, or that they were so tame and cowardly to be subjected by sorce or fear. We know the value they set upon their liberties, and the courage with which they defended them: and we can have no better example to encourage us, never to suffer them to be violated or diminished.

## S E C T. XXVI.

Tho' the king may be entrusted with the power of choosing judges, yet that by which they act is from the law.

Confess that no law can be so perfect, "to pro"vide exactly for every case that may fall out,
"so as to leave nothing to the discretion of the
"judges," who in some measure are to interpret them: but "that laws or customs are ever sew,
"or that the paucity is the reason that they cannot
give special rules, or that judges do resort to those
principles or common law axioms, where upon
former judgments in cases something alike have
been given by former judges, who all receive their
authority from the king in his right to give
sentence," I utterly deny; and affirm,

d

n

d,

e, 1-

nd

y

1. That in many places, and particularly in England, the laws are so many, that the number of them has introduced an uncertainty and confusion which is both dangerous and troublesome; and the infinite variety of adjudged cases thwarting and con-

tradicting

tradicting each other, has rendred these difficulties inextricable. Tacitus imputes a great part of the miseries suffer'd by the Romans in his time to this abuse, and tells us, that "the laws grew to be innu-" merable in the worst and most corrupt state of "things\*," and that justice was overthrown by them. By the fame means in France, Italy, and other places, where the civil law is rendred municipal, judgments are in a manner arbitrary; and tho' the intention of our laws be just and good, they are fo numerous, and the volumes of our statutes with the interpretations and adjudged cases so vast, that hardly any thing is fo clear and fixed, but men of wit and learning may find what will ferve for a pretence to justify almost any judgment they have a mind to give. Whereas the laws of Moses, as to the judicial part, being short and few, judgments were eafy and certain; and in Switzerland, Sweden, and some parts of Denmark, the whole volume that contains them may be read in few hours, and by that means no injustice can be done which is not immediately made evident.

2. Axioms are not rightly grounded upon judged cases, but cases are to be judged according to axioms; the certain is not proved by the uncertain, but the uncertain by the certain; and every thing is to be esteemed uncertain till it be proved to be certain. Axioms in law are, as in mathematics, evident to common sense; and nothing is to be taken for an axiom, that is not so. Euclid does not prove his axioms by his propositions, but his propositions, which are abstruse, by such axioms as are evident to all. The axioms of our law do not receive their authority from Coke or Hales, but Coke and Hales

C

a

CC

ha

<sup>\*</sup> Et in corruptissima Republica plurimæ Leges.

Sect. 26. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 223

deserve praise for giving judgment according to such

as are undeniably true.

it

d

S:

ne

to

n:

to

an

his

ns,

to

neir

ales

rve

3. The judges receive their commissions from the king, and perhaps it may be faid, that the custom of naming them is grounded upon a right with which he is entrusted; but their power is from the law, as that of the king also is. For he who has none originally in himself, can give none unless it be first conferred upon him. I know not how he can well perform his oath to govern according to law, unless he execute the power with which he is entrusted; in naming those men to be judges, whom in his conscience, and by the advice of his council, he thinks the best and ablest to perform that office: but both he and they are to learn their duty from that law, by which they are, and which allots to every one his proper work. As the law intends that men should be made judges for their integrity and knowledge in the law, and that it ought not to be imagined that the king will break his trust by choosing such as are not fo, till the violation be evident, nothing is more reasonable than to intend that the judges so qualified should instruct the king in matters of law. But that he who may be a child, over aged, or otherwise ignorant and incapable, should instruct the judges, is equally abfurd, as for a blind man to be a guide to those who have the best eyes, and so abhorrent from the meaning of the law, that the judges (as I faid before) are fworn to do justice according to the laws. without any regard to the king's words, letters or commands: if they are therefore to act according to a fet rule, from which they may not depart what command foever they receive, they do not act by a power from him, but by one that is above both. This is commonly confess'd; and tho' some judges have been found in feveral ages, who in hopes of reward

reward and preferment have made little account of their oath, yet the fuccess that many of them have had, may reasonably deter others from sollowing their example; and if there are not more instances in this kind, no better reason can be given, than that \* nations do frequently fail, by being too remiss in afferting their own rights or punishing offenders, and hardly ever err on the severer side.

4. Judgments are variously given in several states and kingdoms, but he who would find one where they lie in the breaft of the king, must go at least as far as Morocco. Nay, the ambaffador who was lately here from that place, denied that they were abfolutely in him. However 'tis certain that in England, according to the great charter, " + Judgments " are passed by equals:" no man can be imprisoned, diffeized of his freehold, deprived of life or limb, " I unless by the sentence of his peers." The kings of Judah did " | judge and were judged;" and the judgments they gave were in and with the Sanhedrin. In England the kings do not judge, but are judged: and Bracton fays, " & That in receiv-" ing justice the king is equal to another man;" which could not be, if judgments were given by him, and he were exempted from the judgment of all by that law, which has put all judgments into the hands of the people. This power is executed by them in grand or petty juries, and the judges are affistants to them in explaining the difficult points of the law, in which 'tis prefumed they should be learned. The strength of every judgment confists in the verdict of these juries, which the judges do

pr fer

CO

to

<sup>\*</sup> Jure igitur plectimur; nisi enim multorum impunita scelera tulissemus, nunquam ad unum tanta pervenisset licentia. Cicero.

<sup>†</sup> Judicia fiunt per pares. Mag. Chart.
† Nisi per judicium parium suorum. Ibid.
† Judicabant & judicabantur. Maimonid.

In justitia recipienda rex cuilibet ex plebe æqualis est.

not give, but pronounce or declare: and the fame law that makes good a verdict given contrary to the advice or direction of the judges, exposes them to the utmost penalties, if upon their own heads, or a command from the king, they should presume to give a fentence, without or contrary to a verdict; and no pretenfions to a power of interpreting the law can exempt them if they break it. The power also with which the judges are entrusted, is but of a moderate extent, and to be executed bona fide. prevarications are capital, as they proved to Trefilian, Empson, Dudley, and many others. Nay even in special verdicts, the judges are only affistants to the juries who find it specially, and the verdict is from them, tho' the judges having heard the point argued, declare the fense of the law thereupon. Wherefore if I should grant that the king might personally affift in judgments, his work could only be to prevent frauds, and by the advice of the judges to fee that the laws be duly executed, or perhaps to inspect their behaviour. If he has more than this, it must be by virtue of his politic capacity, in which he is understood to be always present in principal courts, where justice is always done whether he who wears the crown be young or old, wife or ignorant, good or bad, or whether he like or diflike what is done.

Moreover, as governments are instituted for the obtaining of justice, and the king is in a great measure entrusted with the power of executing it, 'tis probable that the law would have required his presence in the distribution, if there had been but one court; that at the same time he could be present in more than one; that it were certain he would be guilty of no miscarriages; that all miscarriages were to be punished in him as well as in the judges; or

VOL. II.

0

e

ts

e

ts

lo

if-

ot

that

that it were certain he should always be a man of fuch wisdom, industry, experience and integrity as to be an affistance to, and a watch over those who are appointed for the administration of justice. But there being many courts fitting at the fame time of equal authority, in feveral places far distant from each other; impossible for the king to be present in all; no manner of affurance that the fame or greater miscarriages may not be committed in his presence than in his absence, by himself than others; no opportunity of punishing every delict in him, without bringing the nation into fuch diforder, as may be of more prejudice to the public than an injury done to a private man; the law which intends to obviate offences, or to punish such as cannot be obviated, has directed, that those men should be chosen who are most knowing in it, imposes an oath upon them, not to be diverted from the due course of justice by fear or favour, hopes or reward, particularly by any command from the king; and appoints the feverest punishments for them if they prove false to God and their country.

If any man think that the words cited from Bracton by our author upon the question, "Quis primo & principaliter possit & debeat judicare, &c. Sci"endum est quod rex & non alius, si solus ad hæc
"fussicere possit; cum ad hoc per virtutem sacra"menti teneatur," are contrary to what I have said,
I desire the context may be considered, that his opinion may be truly understood, the words taken simply and nakedly may be enough for my purpose.
For 'tis ridiculous to infer that the king has a right of doing any thing, upon a supposition that 'tis impossible for him to do it. He therefore who says the king cannot do it, says it must be done by others, or not at all. But having already proved that the king,

king, merely as king, has none of the qualities required for judging all or any cases, and that many kings have all the defects of age and person that render men most unable and unfit to give any fentence, we may conclude, without contradicting Bracton, that no king as king, has a power of judging, because some of them are utterly unable and unfit to do it; and if any one has fuch a power, it must be confer'd upon him by those who think him able and fit to perform that work. When Filmer finds fuch a man, we must inquire into the extent of that power which is given to him; but this would be nothing to his general proposition, for he himself would hardly have inferred, that because a power of judging in some cases was conferred upon one prince on account of his fitness and ability, therefore all of them, however unfit and unable, have a power of deciding all cases. Besides, if he believe Bracton, this power of judging is not inherent in the king, but incumbent upon him by virtue of his oath, which our author endeavours to enervate and annul. But as that oath is grounded upon the law, and the law cannot prefume impossibilities and absurdities, it cannot intend, and the oath cannot require, that a man should do that which he is unable and unfit to do. Many kings are unfit to judge causes, the law cannot therefore intend they should do it. The context also shews, that this imagination of the king's judging all causes, if he could, is merely chimerical: for Bracton fays in the same chapter, that " the power of the king is the power of " the law;" that is, that he has no power but by the law. And the law that aims at justice, cannot make it to depend upon the uncertain humour of a child, a woman, or a foolish man; for by that

0

ec.

a-

d,

i-

en

ſe.

ht

n-

rys

TS,

he

ng,

that means it would destroy it self. The law cannot therefore give any fuch power, and the king cannot have it.

If it be faid that all kings are not fo; that some are of mature age, wife, just and good; or that the question is not what is good for the subject, but what is glorious to the king, and that he must not lose his right tho' the people perish; I answer, first, that whatfoever belongs to kings as kings, belongs to all kings: this power of judging cannot belong to all for the reasons above-mentioned: it cannot therefore belong to any as king, nor without madness be granted to any, till he has given testimony of such wisdom, experience, diligence and goodness, as is required for fo great a work. It imports not what his ancestors were; virtues are not entail'd; and it were less improper for the heirs of Hales and Harvey, to pretend that the clients and patients of their ancestors should depend upon their advice in matters of law and physic, than for the heirs of a great and wife prince to pretend to powers given on account of virtue, if they have not the same talents for the performance of the works required.

Common sense declares, that governments are instituted, and judicatures erected for the obtaining The kings bench was not established of justice. that the chief justice should have a great office, but that the oppressed should be relieved, and right done. The honour and profit he receives, comes in as it were by accident, as the rewards of his fervice, if he rightly perform his duty: but he may as well pretend he is there for his own fake, as the king. God did not fet up Moses or Joshua, that they might glory in having fix hundred thousand men under their command, but that they might lead the people into the land they were to possess: that is, they were not for them-

themselves but for the people; and the glory they acquir'd was by rightly performing the end of their institution. Even our author is obliged to confess this, when he fays, that the king's prerogative is inftituted for the good of those that are under it. 'Tis therefore for them that he enjoys it, and it can no otherwise subsist than in concurrence with that end. He also yields that " the safety of the people is the " fupreme law." The right therefore that the king has must be conformable and subordinate to it. If any one therefore fet up an interest in himself that is not fo, he breaks this fupreme law; he doth not live and reign for his people but for himself, and by departing from the end of his institution destroys it: and if \* Aristotle (to whom our author seems to have a great deference) deserves credit, such a one ceases to be a king, and becomes a tyrant; he who ought to have been the best of men is turned into the worst; and he who is recommended to us under the name of a father, becomes a public enemy to the people. The question therefore is not, what is good for the king, but what is good for the people, and he can have no right repugnant to them.

Bracton is not more gentle. "The king," fays he, "is obliged by his oath, to the utmost of his "power, to preserve the church, and the christian "world in peace; to hinder rapine, and all manner of iniquity; to cause justice and mercy to be observed: he has no power but from the law: that only is to be taken for law, quod rectè suerit definitum: he is therefore to cause justice to be done according to that rule, and not to pervert it for his own pleasure, profit or glory. He may choose judges also, not such as will be subservient to his will, but "viros sapientes, timentes Deum, in

1

)-

d

d

€,

e-

ve

ks

re

ng

ed

out

ne.

it

he

end

did

y in

m-

the

m-

<sup>\*</sup> Polit. 1. 1.

" quibus est veritas eloquiorum, & qui oderunt " avaritiam \*." Which proves that kings and their officers do not possess their places for themselves, but for the people, and must be such as are fit and able to perform the duties they undertake. The mischievous fury of those who assume a power above their abilities is well represented by the known fable of Phaeton: they think they defire fine things for themfelves when they feek their own ruin. In conformity to this the same Bracton says, that " If any " man who is unskilful assume the feat of justice, he " falls as from a precipice, &c. and 'tis the fame "thing as if a fword be put into the hand of a mad " man +;" which cannot but affect the king as well as those who are chosen by him. If he neglect the functions of his office, " he does unjustly, and be-" comes the vicegerent of the devil; for he is the " minister of him whose works he does." This is Bracton's opinion, but defiring to be a more gentle interpreter of the law, I only wish, that princes would confider the end of their inftitution; endeavour to perform it; measure their own abilities; content themselves with that power which the laws allow, and abhor those wretches who by flattery and lies endeavour to work upon their frailest passions, by which means they draw upon them that hatred of the people, which frequently brings them to destruction.

Tho' Ulpian's words, "Princeps legibus non tenetur," be granted to have been true in fact, with relation to the Roman empire, in the time when he lived; yet they can conclude nothing against us. The liberty of Rome had been overthrown long before by the power of the sword, and the law render'd subservient to the will of the usurpers.

\* Bract. 1. 3. c. 10.

<sup>†</sup> Si quis minus sapiens & indoctus sedem judicandi & hore atem judicandi sibi præsumserit, exalto corruit, &c. & perince erit ac si gladium poneret in manu surentis. Ibid.

They

They were not Englishmen, but Romans, who loft the battles of Pharfalia and Philippi: the carcases of their senators, not ours, were exposed to the wolves and vultures: Pompeius, Scipio, Lentulus, Afranius, Petreius, Cato, Cassius and Brutus were defenders of the Roman, not the English liberty; and that of their country, not ours, could only be lost by their defeat. Those who were destroy'd by the proscriptions, left Rome, not England to be enflaved. If the best had gained the victory, it could have been no advantage to us, and their overthrow can be no prejudice. Every nation is to take care of their own laws; and whether any one has had the wisdom, virtue, fortune and power to desend them or not, concerns only themselves. The examples of great and good men acting freely deferve confideration, but they only perish by the ill success of their defigns; and whatfoever is afterwards done by their fubdued posterity ought to have no other effect upon the rest of the world, than to admonish them so to join in the defence of their liberties, as never to be brought under the necessity of acting by the command of one, to the prejudice of themselves and their country. If the Roman greatness persuade us to put an extraordinary value upon what passed among them, we ought rather to examine what they did, faid, or thought when they enjoy'd that liberty which was the mother and nurse of their virtue, than what they fuffer'd, or were forc'd to fay, when they were fallen under that flavery which produced all manner of corruption, and made them the most base and miserable people of the world.

For what concerns us, the actions of our ancestors resemble those of the antient rather than the later Romans: tho our government be not the same with theirs in form, yet it is in principle; and if we are not degenerated, we shall rather desire to imitate the

n

e

g

rnd

:37

m

Q4

Romans

Romans in the time of their virtue, glory, power and felicity, than what they were, in that of their flavery, vice, shame and misery. In the best times, when " the laws were more powerful than " the commands of men," fraud was accounted a crime fo detestable as not to be imputed to any but flaves; and he who had fought a power above the law under colour of interpreting it, would have been exposed to fcorn, or greater punishments, if any can be greater than the just fcorn of the best men. And as neither the Romans, nor any people of the world, have better defended their liberties than the English nation when any attempt has been made to oppress them by force, they ought to be no less careful to preserve them from the more dangerous efforts of fraud and falshood.

Our ancestors were certainly in a low condition in the time of William the first: many of their best men had perished in the civil wars or with Harold: their valour was great, but rough, and void of skill: The Normans by frequent expeditions into France, Italy and Spain, had added fubtilty to the boifterous violence of their native climate: William had engaged his faith, but broke it, and turned the power with which he was entrusted to the ruin of those that had trusted him. He destroy'd many worthy men, carried others into Normandy, and thought himself master of all. He was crafty, bold, and elated with victory; but the resolution of a brave people was invincible. When their laws and liberties were in danger, they refolved to die or to defend them, and made him fee he could no otherwise preserve his crown and life than by the performance of his oath, and accomplishing the ends of his election. They neither took him to be the giver or interpreter of their laws, and would not fuffer him to violate those

of their ancestors. In this way they always continued; and tho' perhaps they might want skill to fall upon the furest and easiest means of restraining the lusts of princes, yet they maintained their rights fo well, that the wifest princes seldom invaded them; and the fuccess of those who were so foolish to attempt it was fuch, as may justly deter others from following their unprosperous examples. We have had no king fince William the first more hardy than Henry the eighth, and yet he fo intirely acknowledged the power of making, changing and repealing laws to be in parliament, as never to attempt any extraordinary thing otherwise than by their authority. It was not he, but the parliament that diffolved the abbies: he did not take their lands to himself. but receiv'd what the parliament thought fit to give him: he did not reject the supremacy of the Pope, nor assume any other power in spiritual matters, than the parliament conferred upon him. The intricacies of his marriages, and the legitimation of his children was fettled by the fame power: at least one of his daughters could not inherit the crown upon any other title; they who gave him a power to difpose of the crown by will might have given it to his groom; and he was too haughty to ask it from them, if he had it in himself, which he must have had, if the laws and judicatures had been in his hand.

This is farther evidenced by what paffed in the Tower between Sir Thomas Moor and Rich the king's folicitor, who asking, if it would not be treason to oppose Richard Rich, if the parliament should make him king, Moor said that was Casus levis \*; for the parliament could make and depose kings as they thought fit; and then (as more con-

18

d

h

ad

n,

elf th

as

in

nd his

th,

ney

of ofe

of

<sup>\*</sup> Hertert's Hen. VIII.

ducing to his own case) asked Rich if the parliament should enact " that God should not be God," whether fuch as did not submit should be esteemed traitors? 'Tis evident that a man of the acuteness and learning of Sir Tho. Moor would not have made use of such an argument to avoid the necessity of obeying what the parliament had ordained, by shewing his case to be of a nature far above the power of man, unless it had been confessed by all men that the parliament could do whatfoever lay within the reach of human power. This may be enough to prove that the king cannot have a power over the law; and if he has it not, the power of interpreting laws is abfurdly attributed to him, fince it is founded upon a supposition that he can make them, which is false.

## S E C T. XXVII.

Magna Charta was not the original, but a declaration of the English liberties. The king's power is not restrained, but created by that and other laws; and the nation that made them can only correct the defects of them.

Agree with our author that "Magna Charta "was not made to restrain the absolute authori"ty;" for no such thing was in being or pretended (the folly of such visions seeming to have been reserved to compleat the missfortunes and ignominy of our age;) but it was to affert the native and original liberties of our nation by the confession of the king then being, that neither he nor his successors should any way incroach upon them: and it cannot be said the power of kings is diminished by that or any other law; for as they are kings only by law, the law may confer power upon one in particular, or

upon

upon him and his fucceffors, but can take nothing from them, because they have nothing except what is given to them. But as that which the law gives, is given by those who make the law, they only are capable of judging, whether he to whom they gave it, do well or ill employ that power, and confequently are only fit to correct the defects that may be found in it, Therefore tho' I should confess that faults may be found in many statutes, and that the whole body of them is greatly defective, it will not follow that the compendious way of referring all to the will of the king should be taken. But what defects foever may be in our law, the disease is not so great to require extreme remedies, and we may hope for a cheaper cure. Our law may possibly have given away too much from the people, and provided only infufficient defences of our liberties against the incroachments of bad princes; but none who are not in judgment and honesty like to our author, can propose for a remedy to the evils that proceed from the error of giving too much, the refignation of all the rest to them. Whatever he says, 'tis evident that he knows this to be true, when, tho' he denies that the power of kings can be restrained by acts of parliament, he endeavours to take advantage of fuch clauses as were either fraudulently inferted by the king's officers, who till the days of Henry the fifth for the most part had the penning of the public acts, or through negligence did not fully explain the intentions of the legislators; which would be to no purpose if all were put into the hands of the king by a general law from God, that no human power could diminish or enlarge; and as his last shift would obliquely put all into the power of the king by giving him a right of interpreting the law, and judging fuch cases as are not

d

al

ıg ld

be

ny

or

clearly decided; which would be equally impertinent, if he had openly and plainly a right of de-

termining in all things according to his will.

But what defects foever may be in any statutes, no great inconveniencies could probably enfue, if that for annual parliaments was observed, as of right it ought to be. Nothing is more unlikely, than that a great affembly of eminent and chosen men should make a law evidently destructive to their own defigns; and no mischief that might emerge upon the discovery of a mistake, could be so extreme that the cure might not be deferred till the meeting of the parliament, or at least forty days (in which time the king may call one) if that which the law has fixed feem to be too long. If he fail of this, he performs not his trust; and he that would reward fuch a breach of it with a vast and uncontrolable power, may be justly thought equal in madness to our author, who by forbidding us to examine the titles of kings, and enjoining an intire veneration of the power, by what means foever obtained, encourages the worst of men to murder the best of princes, with an affurance that if they prosper they shall enjoy all the honours and advantages that this world can afford.

Princes are not much more beholden to him for the haughty language he puts into their mouths, it having been observed that the worst are always most ready to use it; and their extravagances having been often chaftised by law, sufficiently proves, that their power is not derived from a higher original than the law of their own countries.

If it were true, that the answer sometimes given by kings to bills presented for their assent, did, as our author fays, amount to a denial, it could only thew that they have a negative voice upon that which

is agreed by the parliament, and is far from a power of acting by themselves, being only a check upon the other parts of the government. But indeed it is no more than an elusion; and he that does by art obliquely elude, confesses he has not a right absolutely to refuse. 'Tis natural to kings, especially to the worst, to screw up their authority to the height; and nothing can more evidently prove the defect of it, than the necessity of having recourse to such pitiful evafions, when they are unwilling to do that which is required. But if I should grant that the words import a denial, and that (notwithstanding those of the coronation oath, " Quas vulgus elegerit") they might deny; no more could be inferred from thence, than that they are entrusted with a power equal in that point, to that of either house, and cannot be supreme in our author's sense, unless there were in the same state at the same time three distinct supreme and absolute powers, which is abfurd.

His cases relating to the proceedings of the starchamber and council-table, do only prove that some kings have encroached upon the rights of the nation, and been suffered till their excesses growing to be extreme, they turned to the ruin of the ministers that advised them, and sometimes of the kings themselves. But the jurisdiction of the council having been regulated by the statute of the 17 Car. I. and the star-chamber more lately abolished, they are nothing to our dispute.

Such as our author usually impute to treason and rebellion the changes that upon such occasions have ensued; but all impartial mendo not only justify them, but acknowledge that all the crowns of Europe are at this day enjoyed by no other title than such acts so-temply performed by the respective nations, who

4

e

oe

f

1-

S,

1-

or

it

n

en

an

ren

as

ich

15

either

either disliking the person that pretended to the crown (tho' next in blood) or the government of the present possessor, have thought fit to prefer another person or family. They also say, that as no government can be so perfect but some defect may be originally in it, or afterwards introduced, none can fubfift unless they be from time to time reduc'd to their first integrity, by fuch an exertion of the power of those for whose sake they were instituted, as may plainly shew them to be subject to no power under heaven, but may do whatever appears to be for their own good. And as the fafety of all nations confifts in rightly placing and measuring this power, fuch have been found always to prosper who have given it to those from whom usurpations were least to be feared, who have been least subject to be awed, cheated or corrupted; and who having the greatest interest in the nation, were most concerned to preserve its power, liberty and welfare. This is the greatest trust that can be reposed in men. This power was by the Spartans given to the Ephori and the fenate of twenty eight; in Venice to that which they call Concilio de Pregadi; in Germany, Spain, France, Swedeland, Denmark, Poland, Hungary, Bohemia, Scotland, England, and generally all the nations that live under the Gothic polity, it has been in their general affemblies, under the name of diets, cortez, parliaments, senates, and the like. But in what hands foever it is, the power of making, abrogating, changing, correcting and interpreting laws, has been in the fame; kings have been rejected or deposed; the succession of the crown fettled, regulated, or changed; and I defy any man to shew me one king amongst all the nations abovementioned, that has any right to the crown he wears, unless such acts are good. If

If this power be not well placed, or rightly proportioned to that which is given to other magistrates, the state must necessarily fall into great disorders, or the most violent and dangerous means must be frequently used to preserve their liberty. Sparta and Venice have rarely been put to that trouble, because the fenates were fo much above the kings and dukes in power, that they could without difficulty bring them to reason. The Gothic kings in Spain never ventur'd to dispute with the nobility; and Witza and Rodrigo exposed the kingdom as a prey to the Moors, rather by weakning it through the neglect of military discipline, joined to their own ignorance and cowardice, and by evil example bringing the youth to refemble them in lewdness and baseness, than by establishing in themselves a power above the law. But in England our ancestors, who seem to have had fome fuch thing in their eye, as balancing the powers, by a fatal mistake placed usually so much in the hands of the king, that whenfoever he happened to be bad, his extravagances could not be reprefs'd without great danger. And as this has in feveral ages cost the nation a vast proportion of generous blood, so 'tis the cause of our present difficulties, and threatens us with more, but can never deprive us of the rights we inherit from our fathers.

## E C T. XXVIII.

The English nation bas always been governed by it self or its representatives.

TAVING proved that the people of England have never acknowledged any other human law than their own, and that our parliaments having the power of making and abrogating laws, they only can interpret them and decide hard cases, it plainly

If

r

is

0

re

e

ne

d

is

is

nd

ch

n,

y,

he

129 of

ce. k-

et-

en

wn

ian

ve-

irs,

plainly appears there can be no truth in our author's affertion, that "the king is the author, corrector and moderator of both statute and common law:" and nothing can be more frivolous than what he adds, that "neither of them can be a diminution of that natural power which kings have over their people as fathers;" in as much as the differences between paternal and monarchical power (as he afferts it) are vast and irreconcileable in principle and practice, as I have proved at large in the former parts of this work.

But left we should be too proud of the honour he is pleafed to do to our parliaments by making use of their authority, he fays, "We are first to remember " that till the conquest" (which name for the glory of our nation he gives to the coming in of the Normans) " there could be no parliament affembled of " the general states, because we cannot learn that " until those days it was intirely united in one." Secondly he doubts, "Whether the parliament in " the time of the Saxons were composed of the " nobility and clergy, or whether the commons " were also called;" but concludes, " there could " be no knights of any shires, because there were " no shires." Thirdly, " that Henry the first " caused the commons first to assemble knights and " burgeffes of their own choofing;" and would make this to be an act of grace and favour from that king: but adds, that " it had been more for the honour " of parliaments, if a king whose title to the " crown had been better, had been the author of the " form of it."

In answer to the first, I do not think my self obliged to insist upon the name or form of the parliament; for the authority of a magistracy proceeds

r

s,

at

le

n

re

as

iis

he

of

er

ry

-1C of

nat e."

in

the

ons uld

ere first

and

ake

ng:

our the

the

felf

rlia-

eeds

not

not from the number of years that it has continued, but the rectitude of the inftitution, and the authority of those that instituted it. The power of Saul, David and Jeroboam, was the fame with that which belonged to the last kings of Israel and Judah. The authority of the Roman confuls, dictators, pretors and tribunes, was the fame as foon as it was established; was as legal and just as that of the kings of Denmark, which is faid to have continued above three thousand years. For as time can make nothing lawful or just, that is not so of it self (tho' men are unwilling to change that which has pleafed their ancestors, unless they discover great inconveniences in it) that which a people does rightly establish for their own good, is of as much force the first day, as continuance can ever give to it: and therefore in matters of the greatest importance, wife and good men do not so much inquire what has been, as what is good and ought to be; for that which of it felf is evil, by continuance is made worfe, and upon the first opportunity is justly to be abolished. But if that liberty in which God created man, can receive any strength from continuance, and the rights of Englishmen can be render'd more unquestionable by prescription, I say that the nations whose rights we inherit, have ever enjoy'd the liberties we claim, and always exercifed them in governing themselves popularly, or by fuch representatives as have been instituted by themselves, from the time they were first known in the world.

The Britons and Saxons lay fo long hid in the obscurity that accompanies barbarism, that 'tis in vain to feek what was done by either in any writers more antient than Cæsar and Tacitus. The first describes the Britons to have been a fierce people zealous for liberty, and fo obstinately valiant in the VOL. II. defence

defence of it, that tho' they wanted skill, and were overpower'd by the Romans, their country could no otherwise be subdued than by the flaughter of all the inhabitants that were able to bear arms. them a free people, in as much as they were not like the Gauls, governed by laws made by the great men, but by the people. In his time they chose Caffivellaunus, and afterwards Caractatus, Arviragus, Galgacus, and others to command them in their wars, but they retain'd the government in them-That no force might be put upon them, they met arm'd in their general affemblies; and tho' the smaller matters were left to the determination of the chief men chosen by themselves for that purpose, they referved the most important (amongst which the choosing of those men was one) to themselves. When the Romans had brought them low, \* they fet up certain kings to govern fuch as were within their territories: but those who defended themselves by the natural strength of their fituation, or retired into the north, or the islands, were still governed by their own customs, and were never acquainted with domestic or foreign flavery. The Saxons, from whom we chiefly derive our original and manners, were no less lovers of liberty, and better understood the ways of defending it. They were certainly the most powerful and valiant people of Germany; and what the Germans performed under Arioviftus, Arminius and Maroboduus, thews both their force and their temper. If ever fear enter'd into the heart of Cæfar, it feems to have been when he was to deal with Ariovistus. The advantages that the brave Germanicus obtained against Arminius, were at least thought equal to the greatest victories that had been gain'd by any Roman captain; because these

<sup>\*</sup> Inter instrumenta servitutis reges habuere. C. Tacit.

nations fought not for riches, or any instruments of luxury and pleasure, which they despised, but for liberty. This was the principle in which they lived, as appears by their words and actions; fo that Arminius when his brother Flavius, who ferved the Romans, boafted of the increase of his pay, and the marks of honour he had received, in fcorn called them " \* rewards of the vileft fervitude;" but when he himself endeavoured to usurp a power over the liberty of his country which he had fo bravely defended, he was killed by those he would have oppress'd. Tacitus farther describing the nature of the Germans, shews that the Romans had run greater hazards from them than from the Samnites, Carthaginians and Parthians, and attributes their bravery to the + liberty they enjoyed; for they are, fays he, neither ‡ exhausted by tributes, nor vexed by publicans: and left this liberty should be violated, " | the chief men confult about things of leffer " moment; but the most important matters are de-" termined by all." Whoever would know the opinion of that wife author concerning the German liberty, may read his excellent treatife concerning their manners and customs; but I presume this may be enough to prove that they lived free under such magistrates as they chose, regulated by such laws as they made, and retained the principal powers of the government in their general or particular councils. Their kings and princes had no other power than was conferred upon them by these § affemblies, who

r

1-

1,

o'

of

e,

ch

es.

ey

ain

ves

red

ned

ted

ns,

an-

ler-

ain-

ny;

tus,

orce

neart

deal rave

e at

had

thefe

tions

† Quippe gravior est Arfacis regno Germanorum libertas.

<sup>\*</sup> Vilis servitii præmia. Tacit.

<sup>†</sup> Exempti oneribus & collationibus, & tantum in usum præliorum sepositi, velut tela & arma bellis reservantur.

De minoribus principes consultant, de majoribus omnes.

C. Tacit. de mor. Germ.

<sup>§</sup> Ut turbæ placuit confidunt armati, filentium per facerdotes, qui-

having all in themselves, could receive nothing from

them, who had nothing to give.

'Tis as easily proved that the Saxons or Angli, from whom we descend, were eminent among those, whose power, virtue, and love to liberty the abovementioned historian so highly extols, in as much as befides what he fays in general of the Saxons, he names the Angli; describes their habitation near Elbe, and their religious worship of the Goddess Erthum, or the earth, celebrated in an island lying in the mouth of that river, thought to be Heyligland; in refemblance of which a small one lying over against Berwick; is called Holy Island. If they were free in their own country, they must be so when they came hither. The manner of their coming shews they were more likely to impose, than submit to flavery; and if they had not the name of Parliament, it was because they did not speak French; or, not being yet joined with the Normans, they had not thought fit to put their affairs into that method; but having the root of power and liberty in themselves, they could not but have a right of establishing the one in fuch a form as best pleased them, for the preservation of the other.

This being, as I suppose, undeniable, it imports not whether the assemblies in which the supreme power of each nation did reside, were frequent or rare; composed of many or sew persons, sitting altogether in one place, or in more; what name they had; or whether every free man did meet and vote in his own person, or a sew were delegated by many. For they who have a right inherent in themselves,

bus tum coercendi jus est, imperatur. Mox rex vel princeps prout ætas cuique, prout nobilitas, prout decus bellorum, prout facundia est, audiuntur, autoritate suadendi, magis quam jubendi potestate. Si displicuit sententia, sremitu aspernantur; si piacuit, frameas concutiunt, &c. Ibid.

may refign it to others; and they who can give a power to others, may exercise it themselves, unless they recede from it by their own act; for it is only matter of convenience, of which they alone can be the judges, because 'tis for themselves only that they judge. If this were not fo, it would be very prejudicial to kings: for 'tis certain that Caffivellaunus, Caractatus, Arviragus, Galgacus, Hengift, Horfa, and others amongst the Britons and Saxons, what name foever may be abufively given to them, were only temporary magistrates chosen upon occasion of prefent wars; but we know of no time in which the Britons had not their great council to determine their most important affairs: and the Saxons in their own country had their councils, where all were prefent, and in which Tacitus affures us they dispatched their greatest business. These were the same with the Micklegemots which they afterwards held here, and might have been called by the same name, if Tacitus had spoken Dutch.

If a people therefore have not a power to create at any time a magistracy which they had not before, none could be created at all, for no magistracy is eternal: and if for the validity of the constitution it be necessary, that the beginning must be unknown, or that no other could have been before it, the monarchy amongst us cannot be established upon any right; for the our ancestors had their councils and magistrates, as well here as in Germany, they hid no monarchs. This appears plainly by the testimony of Cæsar and Tacitus; and our later histories show, that as foon as the Saxons came into this country, they had their Micklegemots, which were general affemblies of the noble and freemen, who had in themselves the power of the nation: and tho' when they increased in numbers, they erected seven

ts

ne

or

ng

ey

ote

ny.

es,

rout

est,

unt,

nay

R

kingdoms,

kingdoms, yet every one retained the same usage within itself. These affemblies were evidently the fame in power with our parliaments; and tho' they differed in name or form, it matters not, for they who could act in the one, could not but have a power of inflituting the other; that is, the same people that could meet together in their own persons, and according to their own pleafure order all matters relating to themselves, whilst three or four counties. only were under one government, and their numbers were not fo great, or their habitation fo far diftant, that they might not meet all together without inconvenience, with the fame right might depute others to represent them, when being joined in one, no place was capable of receiving fo great a multitude, and that the frontiers would have been expofed to the danger of foreign invasions, if any such thing had been practifed.

But if the authority of parliaments, for many ages representing the whole nation, were less to be valued (as our author infinuates) because they could not represent the whole, when it was not joined in one body, that of kings must come to nothing; for there could be no one king over all, when the nation was divided into feven diffinct governments: and 'tis most absurd to think that the nation, which had feven great councils, or Micklegemots, at the fame time they had feven kingdoms, could not as well unite the feven councils as the feven kingdoms into one. 'Tis to as little purpose to say, that the nation did not unite itself, but the several parcels came to be inherited by one; for that one could inherit no more from the other than what they had; and the feven being only magistrates set up by the Micklegemots &c. the one must be so also. And 'tis neither reafonable to imagine, nor possible to prove, that a fierce

fierce nation, jealous of liberty, and who had obstinately defended it in Germany against all invaders, should conquer this country to enslave themselves, and purchase nothing by their valour but that servitude which they abhorred; or be less free when they were united into one state, than they had been when they were divided into feven; and least of all, that one man could first subdue his own people, and then all the rest, when by endeavouring to subdue his own, he had broken the trust reposed in him, and loft the right conferred upon him, and without them had not power to subdue any. But as it is my fate almost ever to diffent from our author, I affirm, that the variety of government, which is observed to have been amongst the Saxons, who in some ages were divided, in others united; sometimes under captains, in other times under kings; fometimes meeting personally in the Micklegemots, sometimes by their delegates in the Wittenagemots, does evidently testify, that they ordered all things according to their own pleasure; which being the utmost act of liberty, it remained inviolable under all those changes, as we have already proved by the confession of Offa, Ina, Alfred, Canutus, Edward, and other particular, as well as univerfal kings; and we may be fure those of the Norman race can have no more power, fince they came in by the fame way, and fwore to govern by the fame laws.

2. I am no way concerned in our author's doubt, "Whether parliaments did in those days consist of nobility and clergy; or whether the commons were also called." For if it were true, as he afferts, that according to the eternal law of God and nature, there can be no government in the world but that of an absolute monarch, whose sovereign majesty can be diminished by no law or custom,

e

: h

e

15

18

ne

ne

10

en

ts

a-

a

ce

R4

there

there could be no parliaments, or other magistracies, that did not derive their power and being from his will. But having proved that the Saxons had their general councils and assemblies when they had no kings; that by them kings were made, and the greatest affairs determined, whether they had kings or not; it can be of no importance, whether in one or more ages the commons had a part in the government, or not. For the same power that instituted a parliament without them, might, when they thought fit, receive them into it: or rather, if they who had the government in their hands, did, for reasons known to themselves, recede from the exercise of it, they might resume it when they

pleafed.

Nevertheless it may be worth our pains to enquire, what our author means by nobility. If fuch, as at this day by means of patents obtained for money, or by favour, without any regard to merit in the perfons or their ancestors, are called dukes, marquisses, &c. I give him leave to impute as late and base an original to them as he pleases, without fearing that the rights of our nation can thereby be impaired; and am content, that if the king do not think fit to support the dignity of his own creatures, they may fall to the ground. But if by noblemen we are to understand such as have been ennobled by the virtues of their ancestors, manifested in services done to their country, I fay, that all nations, amongst whom virtue has been esteemed, have had a great regard to them and their posterity: and tho' kings, when they were made, have been intrusted by the Saxons, and other nations, with a power of ennobling those who by fervices render'd to their country might deserve that honour; yet the body of the nobility

nobility was more antient than fuch; for it had been equally impossible to take \* kings (according to Tacitus) out of the nobility if there had been no nobility, as to take captains for their virtue if there had been no virtue; and princes could not, without breach of that trust, confer honours upon those that did not deferve them; which is fo true, that this practice was objected as the greatest crime against + Vortigern, the last and the worst of the British kings: and tho' he might pretend (according to fuch cavils as are usual in our time) that the judgment of those matters was referred to him; yet the world judged of his crimes, and when he had render'd himself odious to God and men by them, he perished in them, and brought destruction upon his country that had fuffer'd them too long.

As among the Turks, and most of the eastern tyrannies, there is no nobility, and no man has any confiderable advantage above the common people. unless by the immediate favour of the prince; so in all the legal kingdoms of the north, the strength of the government has always been placed in the nobility; and no better defence has been found against the encroachments of ill kings, than by fetting up an order of men, who by holding large territories, and having great numbers of tenants and dependants. might be able to restrain the exorbitances, that either the kings or the commons might run into. For this end Spain, Germany, France, Poland, Denmark, Sweden, Scotland and England, were almost wholly divided into lordships under several names, by which every particular poffeffor owed allegiance that is,

s,

n

at

1;

to

ay

to

ies

to

gst

eat

gs,

he

n-

n-

he

ity

fuch

<sup>\*</sup> Rege ex nobilitate, duces ex virtute fumere. Tac. Mor Germ. c. -. + Sublimato eo cœpit lues omnium fcelerun, crefcere: fæviebat. scurrilis nequitia, odium veritatis, &c. ut vas omnium scelerum solus videretur Vortigernus; & quod maxime Regiæ honestati contrarium ett, nobiles deprimens, & moribus & sanguine ignobiles extoliens, Deo & Leminibus efficitur odiofus. Mat. Wefim. An. 446.

fuch an obedience as the law requires) to the king, and he reciprocally swore to perform that which the same law exacted from him.

When these nations were converted to the christian religion, they had a great veneration for the clergy; and not doubting that the men whom they esteemed holy, would be just, thought their liberties could not be better fecured, than by joining those who had the direction of their consciences, to the noblemen who had the command of their forces. This succeeded so well (in relation to the defence of the publick rights) that in all the forementioned states, the bishops, abbots, &c. were no less zealous or bold in defending the publick liberty, than the best and greatest of the lords: and if it were true, that things being thus established, the commons did neither personally, nor by their representatives, enter into the general affemblies, it could be of no advantage to kings; for fuch a power as is above-mentioned, is equally inconfiftent with the absolute sovereignty of kings, if placed in the nobility and clergy, as if the commons had a part. If the king has all, no other man, nor number of men can have any. If the nobility and clergy have the power, the commons may have their share also. But I affirm, that those whom we now call commons, have always had a part in the government, and their place in the councils that managed it; for if there was a distinction, it must have been by patent, birth, or tenure.

As for patents, we know they began long after the coming of the Normans, and those that now have them cannot pretend to any advantage on account of birth or tenure, beyond many of those who have them not. Nay, besides the several branches of the families that now enjoy the most antient honours, honours, which confequently are as noble as they, and some of them of the elder houses, we know many that are now called commoners, who in antiquity and eminency are no way inferior to the chief of the titular nobility: and nothing can be more abfurd, than to give a prerogative of birth to Cr-v-n, T-ft-n, H-de, B-nn-t, Osb-rn, and others, before the Cliftons, Hampdens, Courtneys, Pelhams, St. Johns, Baintons, Wilbrahams, Hungerfords, and many others. And if the tenures of their estates be confider'd, they have the fame, and as antient as any of those who go under the names of duke, or marquis. I forbear to mention the fordid ways of attaining to titles in our days; but whoever will take the pains to examine them, shall find that they rather defile than ennoble the possessors. whereas men are truly ennobled only by virtue, and respect is due to such as are descended from those who have bravely ferv'd their country, because it is prefumed (till they shew the contrary) that they will refemble their ancestors, these modern courtiers, by their names and titles, frequently oblige us to call to mind fuch things as are not to be mentioned without blushing. Whatever the antient noblemen of England were, we are fure they were not fuch as these. And tho' it should be confess'd that no others than dukes, marquiffes, earls, viscounts, and barons, had their places in the councils mentioned by Cæfar and Tacitus, or in the great affemblies of the Saxons, it could be of no advantage to fuch as now are called by those names. They were the titles of offices conferred upon those, who did and could best conduct the people in time of war, give counsel to the king, administer justice, and perform other publick duties; but were never made hereditary except by abuse; much less were they sold for money, or

e

e

is

e

i-

If

n

ne

o.

1-

nt,

or

a-

ter

W

ac-

ofe

h-

ent rs, given as recompences of the vilest services. If the antient order be totally inverted, and the ends of its institution perverted, they who from thence pretend to be distinguished from other men, must build their claim upon something very different from an-

tiquity.

This being sufficient (if I mistake not) to make it appear, that the antient councils of our nation did not confift of fuch as we now call noblemen, it may be worth our pains to examine, of what fort of men they did confift: and tho' I cannot much rely upon the credit of Camden, which he has forfeited by a great number of untruths, I will begin with him, because he is cited by our author. If we believe him, \* "That which the Saxons called Witten-" agemot, we may justly name parliament, which " has the supreme and most facred authority of ma-" king, abrogating and interpreting laws, and gene-" rally of all things relating to the fafety of the com-" monwealth." This Wittenagemot was, according to William of Malmfbury, + "The general meeting " of the senate and people;" and Sir Harry Spelman calls it, # " The general council of the clergy and " people." In the affembly at Calcuth it was decreed by the archbishops, bishops, abbots, dukes, senators, and the people of the land (populo terræ) that " the & kings should be elected by the priests and el-" ders of the people." By these Offa, Ina, and others, were made kings; and Alfred in his will acknow-

b

n

<sup>\*</sup> Quod Saxones olim Wittenagemot, parliamentum & pananglicum recte dici possit, summamque & sacrosanciam habet autoritatem in legibus ferendis, antiquandis, conformandis, is terpretandis, & in omnibus quæ ad reipublicæ salutem spectant. Bris. sol. 63.

<sup>†</sup> Generalis fenatus & populi conventus. Malmf.
† Commune concilium tam cleri quam populi. Spelm.

Ut reges à facerdotibus & senioribus populi eligantur.

ledged his | crown from them. Edgar was elected by all the people, and not long after deposed by them. and again restored in a 4 general assembly. These things being fometimes faid to be done by the affent of the barons of the kingdom, Camden fays, that " under the name of the \* Baronage, all the orders " of the kingdom are in a manner comprehended;" and it cannot be otherwise understood, if we confider that those called noblemen, or the nobility of England, are often by the historians faid to be (in-

finita multitudo) an infinite multitude.

-

n d

d

1-

at

s,

V-

li-

em

in

ed

If any man ask how the nobility came to be so numerous; I answer, that the northern nations, who were perpetually in arms, put a high efteem upon military valour; fought by conquest to acquire better countries than their own; valu'd themfelves according to the numbers of men they could bring into the field; and to diftinguish them from villains, called those noblemen, who nobly defended and enlarged their dominions by war; and for a reward of their fervices, in the division of lands gained by conquest, they distributed to them freeholds, under the obligation of continuing the fame fervice to their country. This appears by the name of knights fervice, a knight being no more than a foldier, and a knight's fee no more than was fufficient to maintain one. 'Tis plain, that knighthood was always esteemed nobility; so that no man, of what quality foever, thought a knight inferior to him, and those of the highest birth could not act as noblemen till they were knighted. Among the Goths in Spain, the cutting off the hair (which being long was the

Quam Deus & principes cum fenioribus populi mifericorditer & benigne dederunt.

<sup>+</sup> Coram omni multitudine populi Anglorum.

Nomine Baronagii omnes quodam modo regni ordines conti-Camd. nentur.

mark of knighthood) was accounted a degrading, and looked upon to be so great a mark of infamy, that he who had fuffer'd it, could never bear any honour or office in the commonwealth; and there was no dignity so high, but every knight was capable of it. There was no distinction of men above it, and even to this day Baron, or Varon, in their language, fignifies no more than Vir in latin, which is not properly given to any man unless he be free. The like was in France, till the coming in of the third race of kings, in which time the twelve peers (of whom fix only were laymen) were raifed to a higher dignity, and the commands annexed made hereditary; but the honour of knighthood was thereby no way diminished. Tho' there were dukes, earls, marquisses and barons in the time of Froissart, yet he usually calls them knights: and Philip de Commines, speaking of the most eminent men of his time, calls them good, wife or valiant knights. Even to this day the name of gentlemen comprehends all that is raised above the common people; Henry the fourth usually called himself the first gentleman in France; and 'tis an ordinary phrase among them, when they speak of a gentleman of good birth, to fay, Il est noble comme le roy; he is as noble as the king. In their general affembly of estates, the chamber of the nobleffe, which is one of three, is composed of the deputies fent by the gentry of every province; and in the inquiry made about the year 1668 concerning nobility, no notice was taken of fuch as had affumed the titles of earl, marquis, viscount, or baron, but only of those who called themselves gentlemen; and if they could prove that name to belong to them, they were left to use the other titles as they pleafed. When duels were in fashion (as all know they were lately) no man, ex-

ept

in

ar

in

W

W

or

do

no

ha

the

and

am

fo 1

tud

the

tog

cho

Sect. 28. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 255

cept the princes of the blood, and marechals of France, could with honour refuse a challenge from any gentleman: The first, because it was thought unfit, that he who might be king, should fight with a fubject to the danger of the commonwealth, which might by that means be deprived of its head: the others being by their office commanders of the nobility, and judges of all the controversies relating to honour that happen amongst them, cannot reasonably be brought into private contests with any. In Denmark, nobleman and gentleman is the fame thing; and till the year 1660, they had the principal part of the government in their hands. When Charles Gustavus, king of Sweden, invaded Poland in the year 1655, 'tis faid, that there were above three hundredthousand gentlemen in arms to resist This is the nobility of that country, kings are chosen by them: every one of them will fay, as in France, " He is noble as the king." The last king was a private man among them, not thought to have had more than four hundred pounds a year. who now reigns was not at all above him in birth or estate, till he had raised himself by great services done for his country in many wars; and there was not one gentleman in the nation who might not have been chosen as well as he, if it had pleased the affembly that did it.

This being the nobility of the northern nations, and the true baronage of England, 'tis no wonder that they were called Nobiles; the most eminent among them Magnates, Principes, Procees; and so numerous that they were esteemed to be multitudo infinita. One place was hardly able to contain them; and the inconveniences of calling them all together appeared to be so great, that they in time chose rather to meet by representatives, than every

one in his own person. The power therefore remaining in them, it matters not what method they observed in the execution. They who had the substance in their hands, might give it what form they pleased. Our author sufficiently manifests his ignorance, in faying there could be no knights of the shires in the time of the Saxons, because there were no shires; for the very word is Saxon, and we find the names of Barkshire, Wiltshire, Devonshire, Dorsetshire, and others most frequently in the writings of those times; and dukes, earls, thanes or aldermen, appointed to command the forces, and look to the distribution of justice in them. Selden \* cites Ingulphus for faying, that " Alfred was the first "that changed the provinces, &c. into counties:" but refutes him, and proves that the distinction of the land into shires or counties (for shire fignified no more than the share or part committed to the care of the earl or comes) was far more antient. Whether the first divisions by the Saxons were greater or leffer than the shires or counties now are, is nothing to the question: they who made them to be as they were, could have made them greater or leffer as they pleased. And whether they did immediately, or some ages after that distinction, cease to come to their great affemblies, and rather choose to fend their deputies; or, whether fuch deputies were chosen by counties, cities and boroughs, as in our days, or in any other manner, can be of no advantage or prejudice to the cause that I maintain. If the power of the nation, when it was divided into feven kingdoms, or united under one, did refide in the Micklegemots or Wittenagemots; if these consisted of the nobility and people, who were fometimes fo numerous that no one place could well contain them; and

an

W

ho

for

rei

tru

hac

of .

hav

nob first

Ger

buti

whi

poin

offic

the t

who

reposit

V

if the preference given to the chief among them, was on account of the offices they executed, either in relation to war or justice, which no man can deny, I have as much as serves for my purpose. Tis indifferent to me, whether they were called earls, dukes, aldermen, herotoghs or thanes: for 'tis certain that the titular nobility now in mode amongst us has no refemblance to this antient nobility of England. The novelty therefore is on the other fide, and that of the worst fort; because by giving the name of noblemen (which antiently belonged to fuch as had the greatest interests in nations, and were the supporters of their liberty) to court-creatures, who often have none, and either acquire their honours by money, or are preferr'd for fervile and fometimes impure fervices render'd to the person that reigns, or elfe for mischiefs done to their country, the constitution has been wholly inverted, and the trust reposed in the kings (who in some measure had the disposal of offices and honours) misemploy'd. This is farther aggravated by appropriating the name of noblemen folely to them; whereas the nation having been antiently divided only into freemen or noblemen (who were the fame) and villains; the first were, as Tacitus says of their ancestors the Germans, \* " exempted from burdens and contributions, and referved like arms for the uses of war," whilst the others were little better than flaves, appointed to cultivate the lands, or to other fervile offices. And I leave any reasonable man to judge, whether the latter condition be that of those we now call commoners. Nevertheless, he that will believe the title of noblemen still to belong to those only who are so by patent, may guess how well our wars

VOL. II.

<sup>\*</sup> Exempti oneribus & collationibus, & tantum in usum præliorum repositi, veluti tela & arma bellisreservantur. Corn. Tacit. de morib. Germ.

would be managed if they were left folely to fuch as are so by that title. If this be approved, his majesty may do well with his hundred and fifty noblemen, eminent in valour and military experience as they are known to be, to make fuch wars as may fall upon him, and leave the despised commons under the name of villains, to provide for themselves if the success do not answer his expectations. But if the commons are as free as the nobles, many of them in birth equal to the patentees, in estate superior to most of them; and that it is not only expected they should affirt him in wars with their persons and purfes, but acknowledged by all, that the strength and virtue of the nation is in them, it must be confes'd, that they are true noblemen of England, and that all the privileges antiently enjoy'd by fuch, must neceffarily belong to them, fince they perform the offices to which they were annexed. This shews how the nobility were justly said to be almost infinite in number, so that no one place was able to contain them. The Saxon armies that came over into this country to a wholefom and generative climate, might well increase in four or five ages to those vast numbers, as the Franks, Goths and others had done in Spain, France, Italy, and other parts: and when they were grown fo numerous, they found themfelves necessarily obliged to put the power into the hands of representatives chosen by themselves, which they had before exercised in their own persons. But these two ways differing rather in form than essentially, the one tending to Democracy, the other to Aristocracy, they are equally opposite to the absolute dominion of one man reigning for himself, and governing the nation as his patrimony; and equally affert the rights of the people to put the government into fuch a form as best pleases themselves.

the

Wa

wei &c. ed,

wif por of t or A usec city ber Span гесо The fo w which did t which enno the Tho those fortu tion called

> • 7 • F

every

was suitable to what they had practised in their own country; "de minoribus consultant Principes, de "majoribus omnes." \* Nay, even these, "small-"er matters" cannot be said properly to relate to the king; for he is but one, and the word "Principes" is in the plural number, and can only signify such principal men, as the same author says were chosen by the general assemblies to do justice, &c. and to each of them one hundred comites joined, not only to give advice, but authority to their actions.

The word omnes spoken by a Roman, must likewise be understood as it was used by them, and imports all the citizens, or fuch as made up the body of the commonwealth. If he had spoken of Rome or Athens whilst they remained free, he must have used the same word (because all those of whom the city confisted had votes) how great soever the number of flaves or strangers might have been. The Spartans are rightly faid to have gained, loft and recovered the lordship or principality of Greece. They were all lords in relation to their Helotes, and fo were the Dorians in relation to that fort of men. which under feveral names they kept, as the Saxons did their villains, for the performance of the offices which they thought too mean for those who were ennobled by liberty, and the use of arms, by which the commonwealth was defended and enlarged. Tho' the Romans scorned to give the title of Lord to those who had usurped a power over their lives and fortunes; yet every one of them was a lord in relation to his own fervants, and altogether are often called 1 Lords of the world: the like is feen almost The government of Venice having every where.

<sup>\*</sup> Tacit. de mor. Germ.

<sup>2</sup> Romanos rerum Dominos. Virg.

continued for many ages in the same families, has ennobled them all. No phrase is more common in Switzerland, than the lords of Bern, or the lords of Zurich and other places, tho' perhaps there is not a man amongst them who pretends to be a gentleman, according to the modern fense put upon that word. The states of the United Provinces are called high and mighty lords, and the same title is given to each of them in particular. Nay, the word Heer which fignifies Lord both in high and low Dutch, is as common as Monfieur in France, Signor in Italy, or Sennor in Spain; and is given to every one who is not of a fordid condition, but especially to foldiers: and tho' a common foldier be now a much meaner thing than it was antiently, no man speaking to a company of foldiers in Italian, uses any other stile than Signori Soldati; and the like is done in other 'Tis not therefore to be thought strange, languages. if the Saxons, who in their own country had fcorned any other employment than that of the fword, should think themselves farther ennobled, when by their arms they had acquired a great and rich country, and driven out or fubdued the former inhabi-They might well diftinguish themselves tants. from the villains they brought with them, or the Britons they had enflaved. They might well be called "Magnates, Proceres regni, Nobiles, Anglia " Nobilitas, Barones;" and the affemblies of them justly called " Concilium regni generale, Universi-" tas totius Angliæ Nobilium, Universitas Barona-" gii," according to the variety of times and other occurrences. We have fuch footsteps remaining of the name of Baron, as plainly shew the fignification of it. The barons of London and the Cinq ports are known to be only the freemen of those places. In the petty court-barons, every man who may be of

to qu

Sa

ple

war
" a
Mal
" a
shor
same

cc 1

king and North

noth fame could

nium f

| Se

of a jury is a baron. These are noblemen; for there are noble nations as well as noble men in nations. The Mammalukes accounted themselves to be all noble, tho' born slaves; and when they had ennobled themselves by the use of arms, they look'd upon the noblest of the Egyptians as their slaves. Tertullian writing, not to some eminent men, but to the whole people of Carthage, calls them Antiquitate nobiles, nobilitate felices. Such were the Saxons, ennobled by a perpetual application to those exercises that belong to noblemen, and an abhorrence to any thing that is vile and sordid.

Left this should seem far fetch'd, to those who please themselves with cavilling, they are to know, that the same general councils are expressed by other They are called "\* The authors in other words. " general council of the bishops, noblemen, counts, " all the wife men, elders, and people of the whole " kingdom," in the time of Ina. In that of Edward the elder, " + The great council of the bishops, " abbots, noblemen and people." William of Malmfbury calls them, " | The general fenate and " affembly of the people." Sometimes they are in fhort called clergy and people; but all express the fame power, neither received from, nor limitable by kings, who are always faid to be chosen or made, and fometimes deposed by them. William the Norman found and left the nation in this condition: Henry the fecond, John and Henry third, who had nothing but what was conferred upon them by the fame clergy and people, did fo too. Magna harta could give nothing to the people, who in themselves

<sup>\*</sup> Commune concilium episcoporum, procerum, comitum & omnium sapientum, seniorum & populorum totius regni. Bea. Eccl. Hist.

<sup>+</sup> Magnum concilium episcoporum, abbatum, fidelium, procerum

Senatum generalem & populi conventum,

had all; and only reduced into a small volume the rights which the nation was resolved to maintain; brought the king to confess, they were perpetually inherent, and time out of mind enjoyed, and to swear that he would no way violate them; if he did, he was ipso facto excommunicated; and being thereby declared to be an execrable perjur'd person, they knew how to deal with him. This act has been confirmed by thirty parliaments; and the proceedings with kings, who have violated their oaths, as well before as after the time of Henry the third, which have been already mentioned, are sufficient to shew, that England has always been governed by itself, and never acknowledged any other lord than such as they thought fit to set up.

## S E C T. XXIX.

The king was never master of the soil.

HOSE who without regard to truth, refolve to infift upon fuch points as they think may ferve their defigns, when they find it cannot be denied that the powers before mentioned have been exercifed by the English and other nations, say, that they were the concessions of kings, who being masters of the foil, might bestow parcels upon some persons with fuch conditions as they pleased, retaining to themselves the supreme dominion of the whole: and having already, as they think, made them the fountains of honour, they proceed to make them also the fountains of property; and for proof of this alledge, that all lands, tho' held of meine lords, do by their tenures at last result upon the king, as the head from whom they are enjoyed. This might be of force if it were true: but matters of the highest importance requiring a most evident proof, we are

u

re:

fo

w

CO

on

ren

col

nat

He

pira

fov

Sect. 29. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 263 to examine, first, if it be possible; and in the next place, if it be true.

1. For the first; no man can give what he has not. Whoever therefore will pretend that the king has bestowed this propriety, must prove that he had it in himself. I confess, that the kings of Spain and Portugal obtained from the pope grants of the territories they possessed in the West-Indies; and this might be of some strength, if the pope as vicar of Christ had an absolute dominion over the whole earth; but if that fail, the whole falls to the ground, and he is ridiculously liberal of that which no way belongs to him. My business is not to dispute that point; but before it can have any influence upon our affairs, our kings are to prove, that they are lords of England upon the same title, or some other equivalent to it. When that is done we shall know upon whom they have a dependance, and may at leifure confider, whether we ought to acknowledge, and fubmit to fuch a power, or give reasons for our refusal. But there being no such thing in our prefent case, their property must be grounded upon fomething elfe, or we may justly conclude that they have none.

In order to this 'tis hardly worth the pains to search into the obscure remains of the British histories: for when the Romans deserted our island, they did not confer the right they had (whether more or less) upon any man, but lest the enjoyment of it to the poor remainders of the nation, and their own established colonies, who were grown to be one people with the natives. The Saxons came under the conduct of Hengist and Horsa, who seem to have been sturdy pirates; but did not (that I can learn) bear any characters in their persons of the so much admired sovereign majesty, that should give them an absolute

\$ 4

dominion

dominion or propriety, either in their own country, or any other they should set their feet upon. They came with about a hundred men, and choosing rather to ferve Vortigern\*, than to depend upon what they could get by rapine at fea, lived upon a fmall proportion of land by him allotted to them. Tho' this feems to be but a flender encouragement, yet it was enough to invite many others to follow their example and fortune; fo that their number increasing, the county of Kent was given to them, under the obligation of ferving the Britons in their wars. Not long after, lands in vorthumberland were bestowed upon another company of them with the fame condition. This was all the title they had to what they enjoyed, till they treacherously killed four hundred and fixty, or, as William of Malmfbury fays, three hundred principal men of the British nobility, and made Vortigern prisoner +, who had been so much their benefactor, that he seems never to have deserved well but from them, and to have incens'd the Britons by the favour he shew'd them, as much as by the worst of his vices. And certainly actions of this kind, composed of falshood and cruelty, can never create a right, in the opinion of any better men than Filmer and his disciples, who think that the power only is to be regarded, and not the means by which it is obtained. But the it should be granted that a right had been thus acquired, it must accrue to the nation, not to Hengist and Horsa. If such an acquisition be called a conquest, the benefit must belong to those that conquer'd. This was not the work of two men; and those who had been free at home, can never be thought to have left their own country, to fight as flaves for the glory and profit of two men in another.

\* Mat. Westm. Flor. Hist. + Ibid.

I

te

CI

fa

ca

al

lit

ac

an N

rig

it

for

bu

ar

fer

sho

pro

ma

all

ani lut

inn

bef

que

and

**fup** 

pro

upo

Sect. 29. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 265

It cannot be faid that their wants compelled them, for their leaders fuffer'd the fame, and could not be relieved but by their affiftance; and whether their enterprize was good or bad, just or unjust, it was the fame to all: no one man could have any right peculiar to himself, unless they who gained it, did confer it upon him: and 'tis no way probable, that they who in their own country had kept their princes within very narrow limits, as has been proved, should refign themselves, and all they had, as soon as they came hither. But we have already shewn, that they always continued most obstinate defenders of their liberty, and the government to which they had been accustomed; that they managed it by themselves, and acknowledged no other laws than their own. Nay, if they had made such a refignation of their right, as was necessary to create one in their leaders, it would be enough to overthrow the proposition; for 'tis not then the leader that gives to the people, but the people to the leader. If the people had not a right to give what they did give, none was conferred upon the receiver: if they had a right, he that should pretend to derive a benefit from thence, must prove the grant, that the nature and intention of it may appear more table saw onwed as ribore doung

2. To the fecond: if it be faid that records teftify all grants to have been originally from the king; I answer, that tho' it were confessed, (which I absolutely deny, and affirm that our rights and liberties are innate, inherent, and enjoy'd time out of mind before we had kings) it could be nothing to the question, which is concerning reason and justice; and if they are wanting, the defect can never be supplied by any matter of fact, tho' never so clearly proved. Or if a right be pretended to be grounded upon a matter of fact, the thing to be proved is, that

the

the people did really confer such a right upon the sirst or some other kings: and if no such thing do appear, the proceedings of one or more kings as if they had it, can be of no value. But in the present case, no such grant is pretended to have been made, either to the first, or to any of the following kings; the right they had not their successors could not inherit, and consequently cannot have it, or at most no better title to it than that of usur-

pation.

But as they who enquire for truth ought not to deny or conceal any thing, I may grant that manors, &c. were enjoyed by tenure from kings; but that will no way prejudice the cause I defend, nor fignify more, than that the countries which the Saxons had acquired, were to be divided among them; and to avoid the quarrels that might arise, if every man took upon him to feize what he could, a certain method of making the distribution was necessarily to be fixed; and it was fit, that every man should have fomething in his own hands to justify his title to what he poffeffed, according to which controverfies should be determined. This must be testified by some body, and no man could be so fit, or of so much credit as he who was chief among them; and this is no more than is usual in all the societies of the world. The mayor of every corporation, the fpeaker or clerk of the house of peers or house of commons, the first president of every parliament, or prefidial in France; the conful, burgermaster, advoyer or bailiff in every free town in Holland, Germany or Switzerland, fign the public acts that pass in those places. The dukes of Venice and Genoa do the like, tho' they have no other power than what is conferred upon them, and of themselves can do little or nothing. The grants of our kings are of the fame

Bi

St

to

th

gi

the

otl

in

Na

cita

fro

of

ufe

cap

kin

arif

mir

the

as re

" d

" a

thof

forc

whe

(for

but

culo

not p

late

only

\* I

same nature, tho' the words mero motu nostro seem to imply the contrary; for kings speak always in the plural number, to shew that they do not act for themselves, but for the societies over which they are placed; and all the veneration that is, or can be given to their acts, does not exalt them, but those from whom their authority is derived, and for whom they are to execute. The tyrants of the East and other barbarians whose power is most absolute, speak in the fingle number, as appears by the decrees of Nabuchodonofor, Cyrus, Darius and Ahasuerus recited in scripture, with others that we hear of daily from those parts: but wherefoever there is any thing of civility or regularity in government, the prince uses the plural, to shew that he acts in a public capacity. From hence, fays Grotius\*, the rights of kings to fend ambaffadors, make leagues, &c. do arise: the confederacies made by them do not terminate with their lives, because they are not for themselves; they speak not in their own persons, but as representing their people; and " + a king who is " depriv'd of his kingdom loses the right of sending " ambaffadors," because he can no longer speak for those, who by their own consent, or by a foreign force, are cut off from him. The question is not whether fuch a one be justly or unjustly deprived (for that concerns only those who do it or suffer it) but whether he can oblige the people; and 'tis ridiculous for any nation to treat with a man that cannot perform what shall be agreed, or for him to stipulate that which can oblige, and will be made good only by himself.

But the much may be left to the discretion of kings in the distribution of lands and the like, yet

<sup>\*</sup> De jur. bell.

<sup>+</sup> Rex regno exutus, jus legandi amittit. Grot.

it no way diminishes the right of the people, nor confers any upon them otherwise to dispose of what belongs to the public, than may tend to the common good, and the accomplishment of those ends for which they are entrusted. Nay, if it were true, that a conquered country did belong to the crown, the king could not dispose of it, because 'tis annexed to the office, and not alienable by the person. This is not only found in regular mixed monarchies (as in Sweden, where the grants made by the last kings have been lately rescinded by the general assembly of estates, as contrary to law) but even in the most abfolute, as in France, where the prefent king, who has stretched his power to the utmost, has lately acknowledged that he cannot do it; and according to the known maxim of the state, that the demeasnes of the crown, which are defigned for the defraying of public charges, cannot be aliena ed, all the grants made within the last fifteen years have been annulled; even those who had bought lands of the crown have been called to account, and the fums given being compared with the profits received, and a moderate interestallowed to the purchasers, so much of the principal as remained due to them has been repaid, and the lands refumed.

## S E C T. XXX.

Henry the first was king of England by as good a title as any of his predecessors or successors.

TAVING made it appear, as I suppose, that the antient nobility of England was composed of fuch men as had been ennobled by bearing arms in the defence or enlargement of the commonwealth; that the dukes, earls, &c. were those who commanded them; that they and their dependants received lands

to

m

V

W

th

au

ha

up

br

no

ha

it

WO

He

his nei

tru onl

me

no

an

this

this

title

a la

in y

for fuch fervices, under an obligation of continuing to render the like, and according to their feveral degrees and proportions, to provide and maintain horses, arms and men for the same uses; it cannot be denied that they were fuch gentlemen and lords of manors, as we now call commoners, together with the freeholders, and fuch as in war were found most able to be their leaders. Of these the Micklegemots, Wittenagemots, and other public affemblies did confift; and nothing can be more abfurd than to affign the names and rights of duke, earl and viscount, which were names of offices, to those who have not the offices, and are no way fit for them. If our author therefore had faid, that fuch as these who had always composed the great councils of our nation, had in favour of Henry the first, bestowed the crown upon him, as they had done upon his father and brother, I should agree with him: but 'tis the utmost extravagance to fay, that he who had neither title nor possession, should give the power to those who had always been in the possession of it, and exercised it in giving to him whatsoever he had. But I most wonder he should so far forget himself, to call this Henry an usurper, and detract from the validity of his acts, because he had no title; whereas there neither is, was, or can be an usurper if there be any truth in his doctrine: for he plainly tells us, we are only to look to the power, and not at all to the means and ways by which it is obtained; and making no difference between a king and a tyrant, enjoins an equal fubmission to the commands of both. If this were only a flip of his pen, and he did really take this Henry to be an usurper because he had not a good title, I should defire to know the marks by which a lawful king is diffinguished from an usurper, and in what a just title does consist. If he place it in an hereditary

hereditary succession, we ought to be informed, whether this right must be deduced from one univerfal lord of mankind, or from a particular lord of every people: if from the universal lord, the same descent that gives him a right to the dominion of any one country, enflaves the whole world to him: if from the particular lord of one place, proof must be given how he came to be so: for if there was a defect in the first, it can never be repaired, and the possession is no more than a continued usurpation. But having already proved the abfurdity of any pretence to either, I shall forbear the repetition, and only fay, that if the course of succession may never be justly interrupted, the family of Meroveus could not have had any right to the crown of France; Pepin was an usurper, if it must for ever have continued in the descendants of Meroveus; and Hugh Capet could have no title, if the race of Pepin might not be difposses'd. I leave our author to dispute this point with the king of France; and when he has fo far convinced him that he is an usurper, as to persuade him to refign his crown to the house of Austria claiming from Pharamond, or to that of Lorrain as descended from Pepin, I can give him half a dozen more knots which will not be with less difficulty untied, and which instead of establishing the titles of fuch kings as are known to us, will overthrow them all, unless a right be given to usurpation, or the confent of a people do confer it.

But if there is such a thing as an usurper, and a rule by which men may judge of usurpation, 'tis not only lawful but necessary for us to examine the titles of such as go under the name of kings, that we may know whether they are truly so or not, lest through ignorance we chance to give the veneration and obedience that is due to a king, to one who is not a king,

and

P

tri

th

be

fel

as

quan

and

wh

сте

bet

odi

wh

kno

king

may

gal,

whe

can

tion

if no

bert

reasc

fect (

I

Sect. 30. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT.

and deny it to him, who by an uninterruptible line of descent is our natural lord, and thereby prefer the worst of men and our most bitter enemy before the person we ought to look upon as our father: and if this prove dangerous to one or more kings, 'tis our

author's fault, not mine.

If there be no usurper, nor rule of distinguishing him from a lawful prince, Filmer is the worst of all triflers and impostors, who grounds his arguments in the most ferious matters upon what he esteems to be false: but the truth is, he seems to have set himfelf against humanity and common sense, as much as against law and virtue; and if he who so frequently contradicts himself, can be said to mean any thing, he would authorize rapine and murder, and persuade us to account those to be rightful kings, who by treachery and other unjust means overthrow the right of descent which he pretends to esteem sacred, as well as the liberties of nations, which by better judges are thought to be fo, and gives the odious name of usurpation to the advancement of one who is made king by the confent of a willing people.

But if Henry the first were an usurper, I desire to know whether the same name belongs to all our kings, or which of them deserves a better, that we may understand whose acts ought to be reputed legal, and to whose descent we owe veneration, or whether we are wholly exempted from all: for I cannot see a possibility of fixing the guilt of usurpation upon Henry the first, without involving many,

if not all our kings in the fame.

If his title was not good because his brother Robert was still living, that of Rusus is by the same reason overthrown; and William their sather being a bastard could have none. This sundamental desect could never be repair'd; for the successors could

inherit

inherit no more than the right of the first, which was nothing. Stephen could deduce no title either from Norman or Saxon; whatfoever Henry the fecond pretended, must be from his mother Maud, and any other might have been preferred before her as well as he. If her title was from the Normans. it must be void, since they had none; and the story of Edgar Atheling is too impertinent to deferve mention. But however, it could be of no advantage to her; for David king of Scotland, brother to her mother from whom only her title could be derived, was then alive with his fon Henry, who dying not long after, left three fons and three daughters, whose posterity being distributed into many families of Scotland, remains to this day; and if proximity of blood is to be confider'd, ought always to have been preferr'd before her and her descendants, unless there be a law that gives the preference to daughters before fons. What right foever Henry the second had, it must necessarily have perished with him, all his children having been begotten in manifest adultery on Eleanor of Gascony, during the life of Lewis king of France her first husband: and nothing could be alledged to colour the business, but a dispensation from the pope directly against the law of God, and the words of our Saviour, who fays, " That a wife cannot be put away unless for adul-" tery, and he that marrieth her that is put away " committeth adultery." The pollution of this fpring is not to be cured; but tho' it should pass unregarded, no one part of the fuccession since that time has remained intire. John was preferred before Arthur his elder brother's fon: Edward the third was made king by the deposition of his father: Henry the fourth by that of Richard the fecond. If the house of Mortimer or York had the right, Henry the

m

eig he wl fat rig ple

Ma got goo acts

pur be f men king

we ] hund or th our

wher as hi

273

the fourth, fifth, and fixth, were not kings, and all who claim under them have no title. However, Richard the third could have none; for the children of his elder brother the duke of Clarence were then living. The children of Edward the fourth may be fuspected of bastardy; and tho' it may have been otherwise, yet that matter is not so clear as things of fuch importance ought to be, and the confequence may reach very far. But tho' that scruple were removed, 'tis certain that Henry the feventh was not king in the right of his wife Elizabeth, for he reigned before and after her; and for his other titles, we may believe Philip de Commines, \* who fays, " he had neither cross nor pile." If Henry the eighth had a right in himself, or from his mother, he should have reigned immediately after her death, which he never pretended, nor to fucceed till his father was dead, thereby acknowledging he had no right but from him, unless the parliament and people can give it. The like may be faid of his children. Mary could have no title if the was a bastard, begotten in incest; but if her mother's marriage was good and she legitimate, Elizabeth could have none.

Yet all these were lawful kings and queens; their acts continue in force to this day to all intents and purposes: the parliament and people made them to be so, when they had no other title. The parliament and people therefore have the power of making kings: those who are so made are not usurpers: we have had none but such for more than seven hundred years. They were therefore lawful kings, or this nation has had none in all that time; and if our author like this conclusion, the account from whence it is drawn may without difficulty be carried

as high as our English histories do reach.

<sup>.</sup> Mem. de Commin.

This being built upon the steddy foundation of law, history and reason, is not to be removed by any man's opinion; especially by one accompanied with fuch circumstances as Sir Walter Raleigh was in during the last years of his life: and there is fomething of baseness, as well as prevarication, in turning the words of an eminent person, reduced to great difficulties, to a sense no way agreeing with his former actions or writings, and no less tending to impair his reputation than to deceive others. Our author is highly guilty of both, in citing Sir Walter Raleigh to invalidate the great charter of our liberties, as " begun by usurpation, and shewed to the " world by rebellion;" whereas no fuch thing, nor any thing like it in word or principle can be found in the works that deferve to go under his name. The dialogue in question, with some other small pieces published after his death, deserve to be esteemed fpurious: or if, from a defire of life, when he knew his head lay under the ax, he was brought to fay things no way agreeing with what he had formerly profes'd, they ought rather to be buried in oblivion, than produced to blemish his memory. But that the public cause may not suffer by his fault, 'tis convenient the world should be informed, that tho' he was a well qualified gentleman, yet his morals were no way exact, as appears by his dealings with the brave earl of Effex. And he was fo well affifted in his history of the world, that an ordinary man with the same helps might have perform'd the same things. Neither ought it to be accounted strange, if that which he writ by himself had the tincture of another spirit, when he was deprived of that affistance, tho' his life had not depended upon the will of the prince, and he had never faid, that \* \* the

\* See Sir W. Raleigh's Epiftle to King James.

" bonds

W

fo

it,

fel

pro

ha

lity

hav

COL

## Sed. 31. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 275

" bonds of fubjects to their kings should always be

" wrought out of iron, and those of kings to their

" fubjects out of cobwebs."

## S E C T. XXXI.

Free nations have a right of meeting, when and where they please, unless they deprive themselves of it.

Perverted judgment always leads men into a wrong way, and perfuades them to believe that those things favour their cause, that utterly overthrow it. For a proof of this, I defire our author's words may be confider'd. " In the former parlia-" ments, fays he, instituted and continued fince " Henry the first his time, is not to be found the " usage of any natural liberty of the people: for all " those liberties that are claimed in parliament, are " liberties of grace from the king, and not the liber-" ties of nature to the people : for if the liberty " were natural, it would give power unto the mul-" titude to affemble therifelves, when and where " they pleafed, to bestow the sovereignty, and by " pactions to limit and direct the exercise of it." And I fay that nations being naturally free may meet, when and where they please; may dispose of the fovereignty, and may direct or limit the exercise of it, unless by their own act they have deprived themfelves of that right: and there could never have been a lawful affembly of any people in the world, if they had not had that power in themselves. It was proved in the preceding fection, that all our kings having no title, were no more than what the nobility and people made them to be; that they could have no power but what was given to them, and could confer none except what they had received.

If they can therefore call parliaments, the power of calling them must have been given to them, and could not be given by any who had it not in themfelves. The Israelites met together, and chose Ehud, Gideon, Samson, Jephtha, and others, to be their leaders, whom they judged fit to deliver them from their enemies. By the same right they affembled at Mispeth to make war against the tribe of Benjamin, when justice was denied to be done against those who had villanously abused the Levite's concubine. In the like manner they would have made Gideon king, but he refused. In the same place they met, and chose saul to be their king. He being dead, the men of Judah affembled themselves, and anointed David: not long after, all the tribes met at Hebron, made a contract with him, and received him as their king. In the fame manner, tho' by worfe counsel, they made Absalom king. And the like was attempted in favour of Sheba the fon of Bichri, tho' they then had a king chosen by themselves. When they found themselves oppressed by the tributes that had been laid upon them by Solomon, they met at Shechem; and being displeased with Rehoboam's answer to their complaints, ten of the tribes made Jeroboam king. Jehu, and all the other kings of Ifrael, whether good or bad, had no other title than was conferred upon them by the prevailing part of the people; which could not have given them any, unless they had met together; nor meet together without the confent, and against the will of those that reigned, unless the power had been in themfelves.

Where governments are more exactly regulated, the power of judging when 'tis fit to call the fenate or people together, is refer'd to one or more magiftrates; as in Rome to the confuls or tribunes, in Athens al

m

m

in

go

mi

fov

it:

Athens to the archons, and in Thebes to the beotarches: but none of them could have these powers, unless they had been given by those who advanced them to the magistracies to which they were annexed; nor could they have been fo annexed, if those who created them had not had the right in themselves. If these officers neglected their duty of calling fuch affemblies when the public affairs required, the people met by their own authority, and punished the person, or abrogated the magistracy, as appears in the case of the decemviri, and many others that might be alledged, if the thing were not fo plain as to need no further proof. The reason of this is, that they who institute a magistracy, best know whether the end of the inflitution be rightly purfued or not: and all just magistracies being the fame in effence, tho' differing in form, the same right must perpetually belong to those who put the sovereign power into the hands of one, a few, or many men, which is what our author calls the disposal of the fovereignty. Thus the Romans did when they created kings, confuls, military tribunes, dictators, or decemviri: and it had been most ridiculous to fay, that those officers gave authority to the people to meet and choose them; for they who are chosen are the creatures of those who choose, and are nothing more than others till they are chosen. The last king of Sweden, Charles Gustavus, told a gentleman who was ambaffador there, that the Swedes having made him king, when he was poor and had nothing in the world, he had but one work to do, which was fo to reign, that they might never repent the good opinion they had conceived of him. might therefore meet, and did meet to confer the fovereignty upon him, or he could never have had it: for tho' the kingdom be hereditary to males or females,

females, and his mother was fifter to the great Gustavus; yet having married a stranger without the consent of the estates, she performed not the condition upon which women are admitted to the succession: and thereby falling from her right, he pretended not to any. The act of his election declares he had none, and gives the crown to him and the heirs of his body, with this farther declaration, that the benefit of his election should no way extend to his brother prince Adolphus; and 'tis confessed by all the Swedish nation, that if the king now reigning should die without children, the estates would proceed to a new election.

'Tis rightly observ'd by our author, that if the people might meet and give the fovereign power, they might also direct and limit it; for they did meet in this and other countries, they did confer the fovereign power, they did limit and direct the exercife; and the laws of each people shew in what manner and measure it is every where done. This is as certain in relation to kings, as any other magistrates. The commission of the Roman dictators was, to take care " \* that the commonwealth might receive " no detriment." The fame was fometimes given to the confuls: king Offa's confession, that he was made king " + to preferve the public liberty," expresses the same thing: and Charles Gustavus, who faid he had no other work, than to govern in fuch a manner, that they who had made him king might not repent, shew'd there was a rule which he stood obliged to follow, and an end which he was to procure, that he might merit and preserve their good opinion. This power of conferring the fovereignty was exercifed in France by those who made Meroveus

+ in vestræ libertatis tultionem. Mat. Par.

th

OI

in ele

for

<sup>\*</sup> Ne quid detrimenti respublica accipiat. T. Liv.

king, in the prejudice of the two grandchildren of Pharamond fons to Clodion; by those who excluded his race and gave the crown to Pepin; by those who deposed Lewis le Debonair, and Charles le Gros; by those who brought in five kings, that were either bastards or strangers, between him and Charles le Simple; by those who rejected his race, and advanced Hugh Capet; by those who made Henry the first king, to the prejudice of Robert his elder brother, and continued the crown in the race of Henry for ten generations, whilft the descendants of Robert were only dukes of Burgundy. The like was done in Castille and Arragon, by frequently preferring the younger before the elder brother; the descendants of females before those of the male line in the same degree; the more remote in blood before the nearest; and fometimes baftards before the legitimate iffue. The same was done in England in relation to every king, fince the coming in of the Normans, as I shewed in the last section, and other places of this work.

That they who gave the fovereignty, might also circumseribe and direct it, is manifest by the several ways of providing for the succession instituted by several nations. Some are merely elective, as the empire of Germany and the kingdom of Poland to this day; the kingdom of Denmark till the year 1660; that of Sweden till the time of Gustavus Ericson, who delivered that nation from the oppression of Christiern the second the cruel king of the Danes. In others the election was confined to one or more families, as the kingdom of the Goths in Spain to the Balthei and Amalthei In some, the eldest man of the reigning family was preferred before the nearest, as in Scotland before the time of Kenne-

Kennethus. In other places the nearest in blood is preferr'd before the elder if more remote. In some, no regard is had to females, or their descendants, as in France and Turky. In others they or their defcendants are admitted, either fimply as well as males; or under a condition of marrying in the country, or with the consent of the estates, as in Sweden. And no other reason can be given for this almost infinite variety of constitutions, than that they who made them would have it so; which could not be, if God and nature had appointed one general rule for all nations. For in that case, the kingdom of France must be elective, as well as that of Poland and the empire; or the empire and Poland hereditary, as that of France: daughters must succeed in France as well as in England, or be excluded in England as in France; and he that would establish one as the ordinance of God and nature, must necessarily overthrow all the rest.

A farther exercise of the natural liberty of nations is discovered in the several limitations put upon the sovereign power. Some kings, says Grotius, have the "fummum imperium summo modo\*;" others, "modo non summo:" and amongst those that are under limitations, the degrees as to more or less, are almost infinite, as I have proved already by the example of Arragon, antient Germany, the Saxon kings, the Normans, the kings of Castille, the present empire, with divers others. And I may safely say, that the antient government of France was much of the same nature to the time of Charles the seventh, and Lewis the eleventh; but the work of emancipating themselves, as they call it, begun by them, is now brought to perfection in a boundless

P

fin

<sup>\*</sup> De jur. bell. & pac.

Sect. 31. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 281

elevation of the king's greatness and riches, to the un-

fpeakable misery of the people.

'Twere a folly to think this variety proceeds from the concessions of kings, who naturally delight in power, and hate that which croffes their will. It might with more reason be imagined, that the Roman confuls, who were brought up in liberty, who had contracted a love to their country, and were contented to live upon an equal foot with their fellow citizens, should confine the power of their magistracy to a year; or that the dukes of Venice should be graciously pleased to give power to the Council of ten to punish them capitally if they transgreffed the laws, than that kings should put such fetters upon their power, which they fo much abhor; or that they would fuffer them, if they could be eafily broken. If any one of them should prove so moderate, like Trajan, to command the prefect of the pretorian guard to use the sword for him if he governed well, and against him if he did not, it would foon be rescinded by his successor; the law which has no other strength than the act of one man, may be annulled by another. So that nothing does more certainly prove, that the laws made in feveral countries to restrain the power of kings, and variously to dispose of the succession, are not from them, than the frequent examples of their fury, who have exposed themselves to the greatest dangers, and brought infinite miseries upon the people, through the defire of breaking them. It must therefore be concluded, that nations have power of meeting together, and of conferring, limiting, and directing the fovereignty; or all must be grounded upon most manifest injustice and usurpation.

No man can have a power over a nation otherwise than de jure, or de facto. He who pretends to have

a power de jure, must prove that it is originally inherent in him, or his predecessor from whom he inherits; or that it was justly acquired by him. The vanity of any pretence to an original right appears fufficiently, I hope, from the proofs already given, that the first fathers of mankind had it not; or if they had, no man could now inherit the fame, there being no man able to make good the genealogy that should give him a right to the succession. Besides, the facility we have of proving the beginnings of all the families that reign among us, makes it as abfurd for any of them to pretend a perpetual right to dominion, as for any citizen of London, whose parents and birth we know, to fay he is the very man Noah who lived in the time of the flood, and is now four or five thousand years old.

If the power were conferred on him or his predecessors, 'tis what we ask; for the collation can be of no value, unless it be made by those who had a right to do it; and the original right by descent failing, no one can have any over a free people but themselves, or those to whom they have given

it.

If acquisition be pretended, 'tis the same thing; for there can be no right to that which is acquired, unless the right of invading be proved; and that being done, nothing can be acquired except what belonged to the person that was invaded, and that only by him who had the right of invading. No man ever did or could conquer a nation by his own strength; no man therefore could ever acquire a personal right over any; and if it was conferr'd upon him by those who made the conquest with him, they were the people that did it. He can no more be said to have the right originally in and from himself, than a magistrate of Rome or Athens immediately

Sect. 31. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 283

ately after his creation; and having no other at the beginning, he can have none to eternity; for the nature of it must refer to the original, and cannot be changed

by time.

Whatfoever therefore proceeds not from the confent of the people, must be de facto only, that is, void of all right; and 'tis impossible there should not be a right of destroying that which is grounded upon none; and by the same rule that one man enjoys what he gained by violence, another may take it from him. Cyrus overthrew the Affyrians and Babylonians, Alexander the Medes and Perfians; and if they had no right of making war upon those nations, the nations could not but have a right of recovering all that had been unjustly taken from them, and avenging the evils they had suffered. If the cause of the war was originally just, and not corrupted by an intemperate use of the victory, the conquer'd people was perhaps obliged to be quiet; but the conquering armies that had conferred upon their generals what they had taken from their enemies, might as justly expect an account of what they had given, and that it should be employ'd according to the intention of the givers, as the people of any city might do from their regularly created magistrates; because it was as impossible for Cyrus, Alexander or Cæsar, to gain a power over the armies they led without their confent, as for Pericles, Valerius, or any other disarmed citizen to gain more power in their respective cities than was voluntarily conferr'd upon them. And I know no other difference between kingdoms fo constituted by conquering armies, and fuch as are established in the most orderly manner, than that the first usually incline more to war and violence, the latter to justice and peace. But there have not been wanting many of the first fort (especially

fc

ti

w

CO

W

uf

od

ab

m

th

th

an

(especially the nations coming from the north) who were no less exact in ordaining that which tended to the preservation of liberty, nor less severe in seeing it punctually performed, than the most regular commonwealths that ever were in the world. And it can with no more reason be pretended, that the Goths received their privileges from Alan or Theodoric, the Francs from Pharamond or Meroveus, and the English from Ina or Ethelred, than that the liberty of Athens was the gift of Themistocles or Pericles, that the empire of Rome proceeded from the liberality of Brutus or Valerius, and that the commonwealth of Venice at this day subfifts by the favour of the Contarini or Morefini: which must reduce us to matter of right, fince that of fact void of right can fignify nothing.

## S E C T. XXXII.

The powers of kings are so various according to the constitutions of several states, that no consequence can be drawn to the prejudice or advantage of any one, merely from the name.

In opposition to what is above said, some alledge the name of king, as if there were a charm in the word; and our author seems to put more weight upon it, than in the reasons he brings to support his cause. But that we may see there is no efficacy in it, and that it conveys no other right than what particular nations may annex to it, we are to confider,

1. That the most absolute princes that are or have been in the world, never had the name of king; whereas it has been frequently given to those whose powers has been very much restrained. The Cæsars were never called kings, till the fixth age of Christianity:

285

anity: the Califs and Soldan of Egypt and Babylon, the great Turk, the Cham of Tartary, or the great Mogol never took that name, or any other of the fame fignification. The Czar of Moscovy has it not, tho' he is as absolute a monarch, and his people as miserable slaves as any in the world. On the other side, the chief magistrates of Rome and Athens for some time, those of Sparta, Arragon, Sweden, Denmark and England, who could do nothing but by law, have been called kings. This may be enough to shew, that a name being no way essential, what title soever is given to the chief magistrate, he can have no other power than the laws and customs of his country do give, or the people confer upon him.

2. The names of magistrates are often changed, tho' the power continue to be the same: and the powers are fometimes alter'd tho' the name remain. When Octavius Cæfar by the force of a mad corrupted foldiery had overthrown all law and right, he took no other title in relation to military affairs. than that of Imperator, which in the time of liberty was by the armies often given to pretors and confuls: In civil matters he was, as he pretended, \* content with the power of tribune; and the like was observed in his successor, who to new invented usurpations " gave old and approved names ||." On the other fide, those titles which have been render'd odious and execrable by the violent exercise of an absolute power, are sometimes made popular by moderate elimitations; as in Germany, where, tho' the monarchy feem to be as well temper'd as any, the princes retain the same names of Imperator, Cæsar and Augustus, as those had done, who by the ex-

<sup>\*</sup> Tribunitia potestate contentus. C. Tacit.

u

m

fr

pe

fe

ed

A

CO

all

gu

tio

tha

oth

the

gat

WO

dor

COU

the

fila

kill

Mo

lion

of I

cou

cess of their rage and fury had desolated and cor-

rupted the best part of the world.

Sometimes the name is changed, tho' the power in all respects continue to be the same. The lords of \* Castille had for many ages no other title than that of count; and when the nobility and people thought good, they changed it to that of king, with-

out any addition to the power.

The fovereign magistrate in Poland was called duke till within the last two hundred years, when they gave the title of king to one of the Jagellan family; which title has continued to this day, tho without any change in the nature of the magistracy. And I presume, no wife man will think, that if the Venetians should give the name of king to their duke, it could confer any other power upon him than hehas already, unless more should be conferr'd by the authority of the Great Council.

3. The fame names which in fome places denote the supreme magistracy, in others are subordinate or merely titular. In England, France, and Spain, dukes and earls are subjects: in Germany the electors and princes who are called by those names are little lefs than fovereigns; and the dukes of Savoy, Tuscany, Muscovy and others, acknowledge no fuperior, as well as those of Poland and Castille had none, when they went under those titles. The fame may be faid of kings. Some are subject to a foreign power, as divers of them were subject to the Persian and Babylonian monarchs, who for that reason were called the kings of kings. Some also are tributaries; and when the Spaniards first landed in America, the great kings of Mexico and Peru had many others under them. Threescore and ten kings gathered up meat under the table of Adoni-

<sup>\*</sup> Saavedra, Mariana, Zurita.

bezek. The Romans had many kings depending upon them. Herod and those of his race were of this number; and the dispute between him and his fons Aristobulus and Alexander was to be determined by them, neither durst he decide the matter till it was referred to him. But a right of appeal did still remain, as appears by the case of St. Paul when Agrippa was king. The kings of Mauritania from the time of Massinissa, were under the like dependance: Jugurtha went to Rome to justify himfelf for the death of Micipsa: Juba was commanded by the Roman magistrates, Scipio, Petreius and Afranius: another Juba was made king of the fame country by Augustus, and Tiridates of Armenia by Nero; and infinite examples of this nature may be alledged. Moreover, their powers are variously regulated, according to the variety of tempers in nations and ages. Some have restrained the powers that by experience were found to be exorbitant; others have diffolved the bonds that were laid upon them: and laws relating to the institution, abrogation, enlargement or restriction of the regal power, would be utterly infignificant if this could not be done. But fuch laws are of no effect in any other

country than where they are made. The lives of the Spartans did not depend upon the will of Agefilaus or Leonidas, because Nabuehodonosor could kill or fave whom he pleased: and tho' the king of Morocco may stab his subjects, throw them to the lions, or hang them upon tenterhooks; yet a king of Poland would probably be called to a fevere ac-

count, if he should unjustly kill a single man.

### S E C T. XXXIII.

The liberty of a people is the gift of God and nature.

TF any man ask how nations come to have the power of doing these things; I answer, that liberty being only an exemption from the dominion of another, the question ought not to be, how a nation can come to be free, but how a man comes to have a dominion over it; for till the right of dominion be proved and justified, liberty subsists as arising from the nature and being of a man. Tertullian speaking of the emperors fays, " Ab eo imperium à quo " spiritus;" and we taking man in his first condition may justly fay, "ab eo libertas a quo spiritus;" for no man can owe more than he has received. The creature having nothing, and being nothing but what the Creator makes him, must owe all to him, and nothing to any one from whom he has received nothing. Man therefore must be naturally free, unless he be created by another power than we have yet heard of. The obedience due to parents arises from hence, in that they are the instruments of our generation; and we are instructed by the light of reason, that we ought to make great returns to those from whom under God we have received all. When they die we are their heirs, we enjoy the same rights, and devolve the fame to our posterity. God only who confers this right upon us, can deprive us of it: and we can no way understand that he does so, unless he had so declared by express revelation, or had fet some distinguishing marks of dominion and subjection upon men; and, as an ingenious person not long fince faid, caused some to be born with crowns upon their heads, and all others with faddles upon their backs. This liberty therefore must continue,

till

CC

no

ce

m

T

an for

ha

ac the till it be either forseited or willingly resigned. The forseiture is hardly comprehensible in a multitude that is not entered into any society; for as they are all equal, and "equals can have no right over each "other \*, no man can forseit any thing to one who can justly demand nothing, unless it may be by a personal injury, which is nothing to this case; because where there is no society, one man is not bound by the actions of another. All cannot join in the same act, because they are joined in none; or if they should, no man could recover, much less transmit the forseiture: and not being transmitted, it perishes as if it had never been, and no man can claim any

thing from it.

'Twill be no less difficult to bring resignation to be subservient to our author's purpose; for men could not refign their liberty, unless they naturally had it in themselves. Resignation is a publick declaration of their affent to be governed by the person to whom they refign; that is, they do by that act constitute him to be their governor. This necessarily puts us upon the inquiry, why they do refign, how they will be governed, and proves the governor to be their creature; and the right of disposing the government must be in them, or they who re-This is so evident to comceive it can have none. mon fense, that it were impertinent to ask who made Carthage, Athens, Rome or Venice to be free cities. Their charters were not from men, but from God and nature. When a number of Phænicians had found a port on the coast of Africa, they might perhaps agree with the inhabitants for a parcel of ground, but they brought their liberty with them. When a company of Latins, Sabines and Tuscans met together upon the banks of the Tiber, and chose rather

\* Par in parem non habet imperium.

to build a city for themselves, than to live-in such as were adjacent, they carried their liberty in their own breafts, and had hands and fwords to defend it. This was their charter; and Romulus could confer no more upon them, than Dido upon the Carthaginians. When a multitude of barbarous nations infested Italy, and no protection could be expected from the corrupted and perishing empire, such as agreed to feek a place of refuge in the scatter'd islands of the Adriatic gulf, had no need of any man's authority to ratify the institution of their government. They who were the formal part of the city, and had built the material, could not but have a right of governing it as they pleafed, fince if they did amifs, the hurt was only to themselves. 'Tis probable enough that some of the Roman emperors, as lords of the foil, might have pretended to a dominion over them, if there had been any colour for it: but nothing of that kind appearing in thirteen hundred years, we are not like to hear of any fuch cavils. 'Tis agreed by mankind, that fubjection and protection are relative; and that he who cannot protect those that are under him, in vain pretends to a dominion over them. The only ends for which governments are constituted, and obedience render'd to them, are the obtaining of justice and protection; and they who cannot provide for both, give the people a right of taking fuch ways as best please themselves, in order to their own safety.

The matter is yet more clear in relation to those who never were in any society, as at the beginning, or renovation of the world after the flood; or who upon the dissolution of the societies to which they did once belong, or by some other accident have been obliged to seek new habitations. Such were those who went from Babylon upon the confusion

n

Sect. 33. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 2

of tongues, those who escaped from Troy when it was burnt by the Grecians; almost all the nations of Europe, with many of Asia and Africa upon the dissolution of the Roman empire. To which may be added a multitude of northern nations, who, when they had increased to such numbers that their countries could no longer nourish them, or because they wanted skill to improve their lands, were sent out to provide for themselves; and having done so, did erect many kingdoms and states, either by themselves, or in union and coalition with the antient inhabitants.

'Tis in vain to fay, that wherefoever they came, the land did belong to fome body, and that they who came to dwell there must be subject to the laws of those who were lords of the soil; for that is not always true in fact. Some come into desert countries that have no lord, others into such as are thinly peopled, by men who knowing not how to improve their land, do either grant part of it upon easy terms to the new comers, or grow into a union with them in the enjoyment of the whole; and histories surnish

us with infinite examples of this nature.

If we will look into our own original, without troubling our felves with the senseless stories of Samothes the son of Japhet and his magicians, or the giants begotten by spirits upon the thirty daughters of Danaus sent from Phænicia in a boat without sail, oars or rudder, we shall find that when the Romans abandoned this island, the inhabitants were lest to a sull liberty of providing for themselves: and whether we deduce our original from them or the Saxons, or from both, our ancestors were perfectly free; and the Normans having inherited the same right when they came to be one nation with the U 2

former, we cannot but continue fo still unless we have enslaved our selves.

Nothing is more contrary to reason than to imagine this. When the fierce barbarity of the Saxons came to be foftened by a more gentle climate, the arts and religion they learnt, taught them to reform their manners, and better enabled them to frame laws for the preservation of their liberty, but no way diminished their love to it: and tho' the Normans might defire to get the lands of those who had joined with Harold, and of others, into their hands; yet when they were fettled in the country, and by marriages united to the antient inhabitants, they became true Englishmen, and no less lovers of liberty and resolute defenders of it than the Saxons had been. There was then neither conquering Norman nor conquered Saxon, but a great and brave people composed of both, united in blood and interest in the defence of their common rights, which they fo well maintained, that no prince fince that time has too violently encroached upon them, who, as the reward of his folly, has not lived miserably and died shamefully.

Such actions of our ancestors do not, as I suppose, savour much of the submission which patrimonial slaves do usually render to the will of their lord. On the contrary, whatsoever they did was by a power inherent in themselves to defend that liberty in which they were born. All their kings were created upon the same condition, and for the same ends. Alfred acknowledged he found and left them perfectly free; and the confession of Offa, that they had not made him king for his own merits, but for the defence of their liberty, comprehends all that were before and after him. They well knew how great the honour was, to be made head of a great people, and rigorously exacted the performance of the ends for

which

Sect. 34. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 293 which such a one was elevated, severely punishing those who basely and wickedly betray'd the trust reposed in them, and violated all that is most facred among men; which could not have been unless they were naturally free, for the liberty that has no being cannot be defended.

### S E C T. XXXIV.

No veneration paid, or honour conferr'd upon a just and lawful magistrate, can diminish the liberty of a nation.

OME have supposed, that the the people be naturally free, and magistrates created by them, they do by fuch creations deprive themselves of that natural liberty; and that the names of King, Sovereign Lord, and Dread Sovereign, being no way confistent with liberty, they who give such titles do renounce it. Our author carries this very far, and lays great weight upon the submissive language used by the people, when they "humbly crave that his " majesty would be pleased to grant their accustomed " freedom of speech, and access to his person;" and " give the name of fupplications and petitions to " the addresses made to him:" whereas he answers in the haughty language of " Le Roy le veut, Le " Roy s'avisera," and the like. But they who talk at this rate, shew, that they neither understand the nature of magistracy, nor the practice of nations. Those who have lived in the highest exercise of their liberty, and have been most tenacious of it, have thought no honour too great for fuch magistrates as were eminent in the defence of their rights, and were fet up for that end. The name of dread fovereign might justly have been given to a Roman dictator, or conful, for they had the fovereign autho-

r

rity in their hands, and power sufficient for its execution. Whilst their magistracy continued, they were a terror to the fame men, whose axes and rods had been a terror to them the year or month before, and might be so again the next. The Romansthought they could not be guilty of excess in carrying the power and veneration due to their dictator to the highest: and Livy tells us, that his " \* Edicts were " efteemed facred." I have already shewn that this haughty people, who might have commanded, condescended to join with their tribunes in a petition to the dictator Papirius, for the life of Quintus Fabius, who had fought a battle in his absence, and without his order, tho' he had gained a great and memorable victory. The fame Fabius, when conful, was commended by his father Q. Fabius Maximus, for obliging him by his lictors to dismount from his horse, and to pay him the same respect that was due from others. The tribunes of the people, who were instituted for the preservation of liberty, were also esteemed facred and inviolable, as appears by that phrase, "Sacrosancta tribunorum potestas," so common in their antient writers. No man, I prefume, thinks any monarchy more limited, or more clearly derived from a delegated power, than that of the German emperors; and yet Sacra Cæsarea Majestas is the public stile. Nay, the Hollanders at this day call their burgermasters, tho' they see them felling herring or tar, "High and mighty lords," as foon as they are advanced to be of the thirty-fix, forty-two or forty-eight magistrates of a small town. 'Tis no wonder therefore, if a great nation should think it conducing to their own glory, to give magnificent titles, and use submissive language to that one man, whom they fet up to be their head;

<sup>\*</sup> Edictum Dictatoris pro numine observatum. Hift. 1. S.

Sect. 34. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 295

most especially, if we consider that they came from a country where such titles and language were princi-

pally invented.

Among the Romans and Grecians we hear nothing of Majesty, Highness, Serenity and Excellence appropriated to a fingle person, but receive them from Germany and other northern countries. We find " Majestas populi Romani," and " Majestas " imperii," in their best authors; but no man speaking to Julius or Augustus, or even to the vainest of their fuccessors, ever used those empty titles, nor took upon themselves the name of servants, as we do to every fellow we meet in the streets. When such ways of speaking are once introduced, they must needs fwell to a more than ordinary height in all transactions with princes. Most of them naturally delight in vanity, and courtiers never speak more truth, than when they most extol their masters, and assume to themselves the names that best express the most abject flavery. These being brought into mode, like all ill customs, increase by use; and then no man can omit them without bringing that hatred and danger upon himself, which few will undergo, except for something that is evidently of great importance. Matters of ceremony and title at the first seem not to be so; and being for some time neglected, they acquire such strength as not to be easily removed. From private usage they pass into public acts; and those flatterers who gave a beginning to them, proposing them in public councils, where too many of that fort have always infinuated themselves, gain credit enough to make them pass. This work was farther advanced by the church of Rome, according to their custom of favouring that most, which is most vain and corrupt; and it has been usual with the U 4 popes

popes and their adherents, liberally to gratify princes for services render'd to the church, with titles that tended only to the prejudice of the people. These poisonous plants having taken root, grew up so fast, that the titles which, within the space of a hundred years, were thought sufficient for the kings and queens of England, have of late been given to Monk and his honourable dutchess. New phrases have been invented to please princes, or the sense of the old perverted, as has happen'd to that of " Le Roy " s'avisera:" and that which was no more than a liberty to confult with the lords upon a bill prefented by the commons, is by some men now taken for a right inherent in the king of denying fuch bills as may be offer'd to him by the lords and commons; tho' the coronation oath oblige him to hold, keep and defend the just laws and customs, " quas vulgus " elegerit." And if a stop be not put to this exorbitant abuse, the words still remaining in acts of parliament which shew that their acts are our laws, may perhaps be also abolished.

But tho' this should come to pass, by the slackness of the lords and commons, it could neither create a new right in the king, nor diminish that of the people: but it might give a better colour to those who are enemies to their country, to render the power of the crown arbitrary, than any thing that is yet

among us.

#### S E C T. XXXV.

The authority given by our law to the acts performed by a king de facto, detract nothing from the peoples right of creating whom they please.

HEY who have more regard to the prevailing power than to right, and lay great weight upon the statute of Henry the seventh, which authorizes the acts of a king de facto, feem not to confider, that thereby they destroy all right of inheritance; that he only is King de facto, who is received by the people; and that this reception could neither be of any value in itself, nor be made valid by a statute, unless the people and their representatives who make the statute, had in themselves the power of receiving, authorizing and creating whom they please. For he is not King de facto who calls himself so, as Perkin or Simnel, but he who by the consent of the nation is posses'd of the regal power. If there were fuch a thing in nature, as a natural lord over every country, and that the right must go by descent, it would be impossible for any other man to acquire it, or for the people to confer it upon him, and to give the authority to the acts of one, who neither is nor can be a king, which belongs only to him who has the right inherent in himself, and inseparable from him. Neither can it be denied, that the same power which gives the validity to such acts as are performed by one who is not a king, that belongs to those of a true king, may also make him king; for the effence of a king confifts in the validity of his acts. And 'tis equally abfurd for one to pretend to be a king, whose acts as king are not valid, as that his own can be valid if those of another are: for then the same indivisible right which our author, and

and those of his principles affert to be inseparable from the person, would be at the same time exercifed and enjoyed by two distinct and contrary

powers.

Moreover, it may be observed, that this statute was made after frequent and bloody wars concerning titles to the crown; and whether the cause were good or bad, those who were overcome, were not only subject to be killed in the field, but afterwards to be profecuted as traitors under the colour of law. He who gained the victory, was always fet up to be king by those of his party; and he never failed to proceed against his enemies as rebels. This introduced a horrid feries of the most destructive mischiefs. The fortune of war varied often; and I think it may be faid, that there were few, if any, great families in England, that were not either destroy'd, or at least so far shaken, as to lose their chiefs, and many confiderable branches of them: and experience taught, that instead of gaining any advantage to the public in point of government, he for whom they fought, feldom proved better than his enemy. They faw that the like might again happen, tho' the title of the reigning king should be as clear as descent of blood could make it. This brought things into an uneasy posture; and 'tis not strange, that both the nobility and commonalty should be weary of it. No law could prevent the dangers of battle; for he that had followers, and would venture himfelf, might bring them to fuch a decision, as was only in the hand of God. But thinking no more could justly be required to the full performance of their duty to the king, than to expose themselves to the hazard of battle for him; and not being answerable for the fuccess, they would not have that law which they endeavour'd to support, turned to their destruction by their

299

their enemies, who might come to be the interpreters of it. But as they could be exempted from this danger only by their own laws which could authorize the acts of a king without a title, and justify them for acting under him, 'tis evident that the power of the law was in their hands, and that the acts of the person who enjoyed the crown were of no value in themselves. The law had been impertinent, if it could have been done without law; and the intervention of the parliament useless, if the kings de facto could have given authority to their own acts. But if the parliament could make that to have the effect of law, which was not law, and exempt those that acted according to it from the penalties of the law, and give the same force to the acts of one who is not king as of one who is, they cannot but have a power of making him to be king who is not fo; that is to fay, all depends intirely upon their authority.

Besides, he is not king who assumes the title to himself, or is set up by a corrupt party; but he who according to the usages required in the case is made king. If these are wanting, he is neither de facto nor de jure, but tyrannus fine titulo. Nevertheless, this very man, if he comes to be received by the people, and placed in the throne, he is thereby made king de facto. His acts are valid in law, the fame fervice is due to him as to any other: they who render it are in the fame manner protected by the law; that is to fay, he is truly king. If our author therefore do allow fuch to be kings, he must confess that power to be good which makes them so, when they have no right in themselves. If he deny it, he must not only deny that there is any such thing as a king de facto, which the statute acknow-

ledges,

ledges, but that we ever had any king in England; for we never had any other than such, as I have

proved before.

By the same means he will so unravel all the law, that no man shall know what he has, or what he ought to do or avoid; and will find no remedy for this, unless he allow, that laws made without kings are as good as those made with them, which returns to my purpose: for they who have the power of making laws, may by law make a king as well as any other magistrate. And indeed the intention of this statute could be no other than to secure mens persons and possessions, and so far to declare the power of giving and taking away the crown to be in the parliament, as to remove all disputes concerning titles, and to make him to be a legal king, whom they acknowledge to be king.

#### S E C T. XXXVI.

The general revolt of a nation cannot be called a rebeliion.

A simpostors seldom make lies to pass in the world, without putting salse names upon things, such as our author endeavour to persuade the people they ought not to defend their liberties, by giving the name of rebellion to the most just and honourable actions that have been performed for the preservation of them; and to aggravate the matter, fear not to tell us that rebellion is like the fin of witchcrast. But those who seek after truth, will easily find, that there can be no such thing in the world as the rebellion of a nation against its own magistrates, and that rebellion is not always evil. That this may appear, it will not be amiss to consider

Sect. 36. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 301 the word, as well as the thing understood by it as it is used in an evil sense.

The word is taken from the latin rebellare, which fignifies no more than to renew a war. When a town or province had been fubdued by the Romans, and brought under their dominion, if they violated their faith after the fettlement of peace, and invaded their masters who had spared them, they were said to rebel. But it had been more abfurd to apply that word to the people that rose up against the decemviri, kings or other magistrates, than to the Parthians or any of those nations who had no dependance upon them; for all the circumstances that should make a rebellion were wanting, the word implying a fuperiority in them against whom it is, as well as the breach of an establish'd peace. But tho' every private man fingly taken be subject to the commands of the magistrate, the whole body of the people is not so; for he is by and for the people, and the people is neither by nor for him. The obedience due to him from private men is grounded upon, and measured by the general law; and that law regarding the welfare of the people, cannot fet up the interest of one or a few men against the public. The whole body therefore of a nation cannot be tied to any other obedience than this confiftent with the common good, according to their own judgment: and having never been subdued or brought to terms of peace with their magistrates, they cannot be said to revolt or rebel against them, to whom they owe no more than feems good to themselves, and who are nothing of or by themselves, more than other men.

Again, the thing fignified by rebellion is not always evil; for tho' every subdued nation must acknowledge a superiority in those who have subdued them, and rebellion do imply a breach of the peace, yet that

**fuperiority** 

fuperiority is not infinite; the peace may be broken upon just grounds, and it may be neither a crime nor infamy to do it. The Privernates had been more than once subdued by the Romans\*, and had as often rebelled. Their city was at last taken by Plautius the conful, after their leader Vitruvius and great numbers of their senate and people had been kill'd: being reduced to a low condition, they fent ambaffadors to Rome to defire peace; where when a fenator asked them what punishment they deserved, one of them answered, " The same which they " deferve who think themselves worthy of liberty." The conful then demanded, " what kind of peace " might be expected from them, if the punishment " should be remitted:" The ambassador answer'd, " + If the terms you give be good, the peace will " be observed by us faithfully and perpetually; if " bad, it will foon be broken." And tho' fome were offended with the ferocity of the answer; yet the best part of the senate approved it as " I worthy " of a man and a freeman;" and confessing that no man or nation would continue under an uneafy condition longer than they were compell'd by force, faid, " || they only were fit to be made Romans, " who thought nothing valuable but liberty." Upon which they were all made citizens of Rome, and obtained whatfoever they had deffred.

I know not how this matter can be carried to a greater height; for if it were possible, that a people resisting oppression, and vindicating their own liberty, could commit a crime, and incur either guilt or infamy, the Privernates did, who had been often

\* T. Liv. 1. 8.

1 Viri & liberi vocem auditam. Ibid.

tl

an

bu

<sup>†</sup> Si bonam dederitis, fidam & perpetuam; si malam, haud diuturnam. Liv.

Eos demum, qui nihil præterquam de libertate cogitant, dignos esse, qui Romani fant. Ibid.

Sect. 36. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT.

303

fubdued, and often pardoned; but even in the judgment of their conquerors whom they had offended, the resolution they professed of standing to no agreement imposed upon them by necessity, was accounted the highest testimony of such a virtue as rendred them worthy to be admitted into a society and equality with themselves, who were the most brave and

virtuous people of the world.

But if the patience of a conquer'd people may have limits, and they who will not bear oppression from those who had spared their lives, may deserve praise and reward from their conquerors, it would be madness to think, that any nation can be obliged to bear whatfoever their own magistrates think fit to do against them. This may seem strange to those who talk fo much of conquests made by kings; immunities, liberties and privileges granted to nations; oaths of allegiance taken, and wonderful benefits conferred upon them. But having already faid as much as is needful concerning conquests, and that the magistrate who has nothing except what is given to him, can only dispense out of the publick stock such franchises and privileges as he has received for the reward of fervices done to the country, and encouragement of virtue, I shall at present keep my felf to the two last points.

Allegiance fignifies no more (as the words ad legem declare) than fuch an obedience as the law requires. But as the law can require nothing from the whole people, who are masters of it, allegiance can only relate to particulars, and not to the whole. No oath can bind any other than those who take it, and that only in the true sense and meaning of it: but single men only take this oath, and therefore single men are only obliged to keep it: the body of a people neither does, nor can perform any such act:

agreements

agreements and contracts have been made; as the tribe of Judah, and the rest of Israel asterward, made a covenant with David, upon which they made him king; but no wise man can think, that the nation did thereby make themselves the creature of their own creature.

The fense also of an oath ought to be considered. No man can by an oath be obliged to any thing beyond, or contrary to the true meaning of it: private men who swear obedience ad legem, swear no obedience extra or contra legem: whatsoever they promise or swear, can detract nothing from the public liberty, which the law principally intends to preserve. Tho' many of them may be obliged in their several stations and capacities to render peculiar services to a prince; the people continue as free as the internal thoughts of a man, and cannot but have a right to preserve their liberty, or avenge the violation.

If matters are well examined, perhaps not many magistrates can pretend to much upon the title of merit, most especially if they or their progenitors have continued long in office. The conveniences annexed to the exercise of the sovereign power, may be thought fufficient to pay fuch scores as they grow due, even to the best: and as things of that nature are handled, I think it will hardly be found, that all princes can pretend to an irrefistible power upon the account of beneficence to their people. When the family of Medices came to be masters of Tuscany, that country was without dispute, in men, money and arms, one of the most flourishing provinces in the world, as appears by Machiavel's account, and the relation of what happened between Charles the eighth and the magistrates of Florence, which I have mentioned already from Guicciardin. Now who-

whoever shall consider the strength of that country in those days, together with what it might have been in the space of a hundred and forty years, in which they have had no war, nor any other plague, than the extortion, fraud, rapine and cruelty of their princes, and compare it with their present defolate, wretched and contemptible condition, may, if he please, think that much veneration is due to the princes that govern them, but will never make any man believe that their title can be grounded upon beneficence. The like may be faid of the duke of Savoy, who pretending (upon I know not what account) that every peafant in the Dutchy ought to pay him two crowns every half year, did in 1662 fubtilly find out, that in every year there were thirteen halves; fo that a poor man who had nothing but what he gained by hard labour, was through his fatherly care and beneficence, forced to pay fix and twenty crowns to his royal highness, to be employ'd in his discreet and virtuous pleasures at Turin. The condition of the seventeen provinces of the Netherlands (and even of Spain it felf) when they fell to the house of Austria, was of the same nature: and I will confess as much as can be required, if any other marks of their government do remain, than fuch as are manifest evidences of their pride, avarice, luxury and cruelty.

France in outward appearance makes a better show; but nothing in this world is more miserable, than that people under the fatherly care of their triumphant monarch. The best of their condition is like affes and mastiff-dogs, to work and fight, to be oppressed and kill'd for him; and those among them who have any understanding well know, that their industry, courage, and good success, is not only unprofitable, but destructive to them; and that

VOL. II.

by increasing the power of their master, they add weight to their own chains. And if any prince, or succession of princes, have made a more modest use of their power, or more faithfully discharged the trust reposed in them, it must be imputed peculiarly to them, as a testimony of their personal virtue,

and can have no effect upon others.

The rights therefore of kings are not grounded upon conquest; the liberties of nations do not arise from the grants of their princes; the oath of allegiance binds no private man to more than the law directs, and has no influence upon the whole body of every nation: many princes are known to their fubjects only by the injuries, loffes and mischiefs brought upon them; fuch as are good and just, ought to be rewarded for their personal virtue, but can confer no right upon those who no way resemble them; and whoever pretends to that merit, must prove it by his actions: rebellion being nothing but a renewed war, can never be against a government that was not established by war, and of it self is neither good nor evil, more than any other war; but is just or unjust according to the cause or manner of Besides, that rebellion which by Samuel \* is compar'd to witchcraft, is not of private men, or a people against the prince, but of the prince against God: The Israelites are often said to have rebelled against the law, word, or command of God; but tho' they frequently opposed their kings, I do not find rebellion imputed to them on that account, nor any ill character put upon fuch actions. We are told also of some kings who had been subdued, and afterwards rebelled against Chedorlaomer and other kings; but their cause is not blamed, and we have fome reason to believe it good, because Abraham

<sup>• 1</sup> Sam xv. 23.

took part with those who had rebelled. However it can be of no prejudice to the cause I defend; for tho it were true, that those subdued kings could not justly rise against the person who had subdued them; or that generally no king being once vanquished, could have a right of rebellion against his conqueror, it could have no relation to the actions of a people vindicating their own laws and liberties against a prince who violates them; for that war which never was, can never be renewed. And if it be true in any case, that hands and swords are given to men, that they only may be slaves who have no courage, it must be when liberty is overthrown by those, who of all men ought with the utmost industry and vigour to have desended it.

That this should be known, is not only necessary for the safety of nations, but advantageous to such kings as are wise and good. They who know the frailty of human nature, will always distrust their own; and desiring only to do what they ought, will be glad to be restrain'd from that which they ought not to do. Being taught by reason and experience, that nations delight in the peace and justice of a good government, they will never fear a general insurrection, whilst they take care it be rightly administred; and finding themselves by this means to be safe, will never be unwilling, that their children or successors should be obliged to tread in the

fame steps.

If it be faid that this may sometimes cause disorders, I acknowledge it; but no human condition being perfect, such a one is to be chosen, which carries with it the most tolerable inconveniencies: and it being much better that the irregularities and excesses of a prince should be restrained or suppressed, than that whole nations should perish by them, those

X 2

constitu-

constitutions that make the best provision against the greatest evils, are most to be commended. If governments were instituted to gratify the lusts of one man, those could not be good that set limits to them; but all reasonable men confessing that they are instituted for the good of nations, they only can deserve praise, who above all things endeavour to procure it, and appoint means proportioned to that end. The great variety of governments which we see in the world, is nothing but the effect of this care; and all nations have been, and are more or less happy, as they or their ancestors have had vigour of spirit, integrity of manners, and wisdom to invent and establish such orders, as have better or worse provided for this common good, which was fought by all. But as no rule can be fo exact, to make provision against all contestations; and all disputes about right do naturally end in force when justice is denied (ill men never willingly fubmitting to any decision that is contrary to their passions and interests) the best constitutions are of no value, if there be not a power to support them. This power first exerts it felf in the execution of justice by the ordinary officers: but no nation having been fo happy, as not fometimes to produce fuch princes as Edward and Richard the feconds, and fuch ministers as Gavefton, Spencer, and Trefilian, the ordinary officers of justice often want the will, and always the power to restrain them. So that the rights and liberties of a nation must be utterly subverted and abolished, if the power of the whole may not be employed to affert them, or punish the violation of them. But as it is the fundamental right of every nation to be governed by fuch laws, in fuch manner, and by tuch persons as they think most conducing to their own

Sect. 37. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 309 own good, they cannot be accountable to any but themselves for what they do in that most important affair.

# S E C T. XXXVII.

The English government was not ill constituted, the defects more lately observed proceeding from the change of manners, and corruption of the times.

T AM not ignorant that many honest and good men acknowledging these rights, and the care of our ancestors to preserve them, think they wanted wifdom rightly to proportionate the means to the 'Tis not enough, fay they, for the general of an army to defire victory; he only can deferve praise, who has skill, industry, and courage to take the best measures of obtaining it. Neither is it enough for wife legislators to preserve liberty, and to erect fuch a government as may stand for a time; but to fet fuch clear rules to those who are to put it in execution, that every man may know when they transgress; and appoint such means for restraining or punishing them, as may be used speedily, surely, and effectually, without danger to the public. Sparta being thus constituted, we hardly find that, for more than eight hundred years, any king prefumed to pass the limits prescribed by the law. If any Roman conful grew infolent, he might be reduced to order without blood, or danger to the public; and no dictator ever usurped a power over liberty till the time of Sylla, when all things in the city were for changed, that the antient foundations were become too narrow. In Venice the power of the duke is fo circumscribed, that in one thousand three hundred years, no one, except Falerio and Tiepoli, have dared

X 3

ta

to attempt any thing against the laws: and they were immediately suppressed with little commotion in the city. On the other fide, our law is fo ambiguous, perplext and intricate, that 'tis hard to know when 'tis broken. In all the public contests we have had, men of good judgment and integrity have follow'd both parties. The means of transgressing and procuring partizans to make good by force the most notorious violations of liberty, have been so easy, that no prince who has endeavoured it, ever failed to get great numbers of followers, and to do infinite mischiefs before he could be removed. The nation has been brought to fight against those they had made to be what they were, upon the unequal terms of hazarding all against nothing. If they had success, they gained no more than was there own before, and which the law ought to have secured: whereas 'tis evident, that if at any one time the contrary had happened, the nation had been utterly enflaved; and no victory was ever gained without the loss of much noble and innocent blood.

To this I answer, that no right judgment can be given of human things, without a particular regard to the time in which they passed. We esteem Scipio, Hannibal, Pyrrhus, Alexander, Epaminondas and Cæsar, to have been admirable commanders in war, because they had in a most eminent degree all the qualities that could make them fo, and knew best how to employ the arms then in use according to the discipline of their times; and yet no man doubts, that if the most skilful of them could be raised from the grave, restored to the utmost vigour of mind and body, fet at the head of the best armies he ever commanded, and placed upon the frontiers of France or Flanders, he would not know how to advance or retreat, nor by what means to take any of the places

Places in those parts, as they are now fortified and defended; but would most certainly be beaten by any infignificant fellow with a small number of men, furnished with such arms as are now in use, and following the methods now practifed. Nay, the manner of marching, encamping, befieging, attacking, defending and fighting, is so much altered within the last threescore years, that no man observing the discipline that was then thought to be the best, could possibly defend himself against that which has been fince found out, tho' the terms are still the same. And if it be confider'd that political matters are fubject to the same mutations (as certainly they are) it will be fufficient to excuse our ancestors, who suiting their government to the ages in which they lived, could neither foresee the changes that might happen in future generations, nor appoint remedies for the mischiefs they did not foresee.

They knew that the kings of feveral nations had been kept within the limits of the law, by the virtue and power of a great and brave nobility; and that no other way of supporting a mix'd monarchy had ever been known in the world, than by putting the balance into the hands of those who had the greatest interest in nations, and who by birth and estate enjoy'd greater advantages than kings could confer upon them for rewards of betraying their country. They knew that when the nobility was fo great as not easily to be number'd, the little that was left to the king's disposal, was not sufficient to corrupt many; and if some might fall under the temptation, those who continued in their integrity, would eafily be able to chastise them for deserting the public cause, and by that means deter kings from endeavouring to feduce them from their duty, Whilst things continued in this posture, kings might safely be trusted

X 4

1

e

(with

(with the advice of their council) to confer the commands of the militia in towns and provinces upon the most eminent men in them: and whilst those kings were exercised in almost perpetual wars, and placed their glory in the greatness of the actions they atchieved by the power and valour of their people, it was their interest always to choose such as seemed best to deferve that honour. It was not to be imagined that through the weakness of some, and malice of others, those dignities should by degrees be turned into empty titles, and become the rewards of the greatest crimes, and the vilest services; or that the noblest of their descendants for want of them, should be brought under the name of commoners, and deprived of all privileges except fuch as were common to them with their grooms. Such a stupendous change being in process of time insensibly introduced, the foundations of that government which they had established, were removed, and the superstructure overthrown. The balance by which it subsisted was broken; and 'tis as impossible to restore it, as for most of those who at this day go under the name of noblemen, to perform the duties required from the antient nobility of England. - And tho' there were a charm in the name, and those who have it, should be immediately filled with a spirit like to that which animated our ancestors, and endeavour to deserve the honours they possess, by such services to the country as they ought to have perform'd before they had them, they would not be able to accomplish They have neither the interest nor the estates. required for fo great a work. Those who have estates at a rack rent, have no dependants. Their tenants, when they have paid what is agreed, owe them nothing; and knowing they shall be turned out of their tenements, as foon as any other will give

313

a little more, they look upon their lords as men who receive more from them than they confer upon them. This dependance being loft, the lords have only more money to fpend or lay up than others, but no command of men; and can therefore neither protect the weak, nor curb the infolent. By this means all things have been brought into the hands of the king and the commoners, and there is nothing left to cement them, and to maintain the union. perpetual jarrings we hear every day; the division of the nation into fuch factions as threaten us with ruin, and all the disorders that we see or fear, are the effects of this rupture. These things are not to be imputed to our original constitutions, but to those who have subverted them: and if they who by corrupting, changing, enervating and annihilating the nobility, which was the principal support of the antient regular monarchy, have driven those who are truly noblemen into the fame interest and name with the commons, and by that means increased a party which never was, and I think never can be united to the court, they are to answer for the confequences; and if they perish, their destruction is from themselves.

The inconveniencies therefore proceed not from the institution, but from the innovation. The law was plain, but it has been industriously rendred perplex; they who were to have upheld it are overthrown. That which might have been easily performed when the people was armed, and had a great, strong, virtuous and powerful nobility to lead them, is made difficult, now they are disarmed, and that nobility abolished. Our ancestors may evidently appear, not only to have intended well, but to have taken a right course to accomplish what they intended. This had effect as long as the cause continued;

tinued; and the only fault that can be ascribed to that which they established is, that it has not proved to be perpetual; which is no more than may be justly faid of the best human constitutions that ever have been in the world. If we will be just to our ancestors, it will become us in our time rather to purfue what we know they intended, and by new constitutions to repair the breaches made upon the old, than to accuse them of the defects that will for ever attend the actions of men. Taking our affairs at the worst, we shall soon find, that if we have the same spirit they had, we may easily restore our nation to its antient liberty, dignity and happiness; and if we do not, the fault is owing to ourfelves, and not to any want of virtue and wisdom in them.

#### S E C T. XXXVIII.

The power of calling and dissolving parliaments is not simply in the king. The variety of customs in choosing parliament men, and the errors a people may commit, neither prove that kings are or ought to be absolute.

The E original of magistratical power, the intention of our ancestors in its creation, and the ways prescribed for the direction and limitation of it may, I presume, sufficiently appear by what has been said. But because our author, taking hold of every twig, pretends "that kings may call and dissolve parliaments at their pleasure," and from thence infers "the power to be wholly in them; alledges "the various customs in several parts of this nation used in the elections of parliament men, to proceed from the king's will; and because a "people"

" people may commit errors," thinks " all power ought to be put into the hands of the king:"

I answer, 1. That the power of calling and diffolving parliaments is not fimply in kings, may call parliaments, if there be occasion, at times when the law does not exact it; they are placed as fentinels, and ought vigilantly to observe the motions of the enemy, and give notice of his approach: but if the sentinel fall asleep, neglect his duty, or maliciously endeavour to betray the city, those who are concern'd may make use of all other means to know their danger, and to preserve themselves. The ignorance, incapacity, negligence or luxury of a king, is a great calamity to a nation, and his malice is worfe, but not an irreparable ruin. Remedies may be, and often have been found against the worst of their vices. The last French kings of the races of Meroveus and Pepin brought many mischiefs upon the kingdom, but the destruction was prevented. Edward and Richard the feconds of England were not unlike them, and we know by what means the nation was preserved. The question was not who had the right, or who ought to call parliaments, but how the commonwealth might be faved from ruin. The confuls, or other chief magistrates in Rome, had certainly a right of affembling and dismissing the senate: but when Hannibal was at the gates, or any other imminent danger threatned them with destruction; if that magistrate had been drunk, mad, or gained by the enemy, no wife man can think that formalities were to have been observed. In such cases every man is a magistrate; and he who best knows the danger, and the means of preventing it, has a right of calling the fenate or people to an affembly. The people would, and certainly ought to follow him, as they did Brutus and Valerius against Tarquin, or Horatius and

and Valerius against the Decemviri; and whoever should do otherwise, might for sottishness be compared to the courtiers of the two last kings of Spain. The first of these, by name Philip the third, being indisposed in cold weather, a Braziero of coals was brought into his chamber, and placed fo near him that he was cruelly scorched. A nobleman then present said to one who stood by him, " the king "burns;" the other answered it was true, but the page, whose office it was to bring and remove the Braziero, was not there; and before he could be found, his majesty's legs and face were so burnt, that it caus'd an Eryfipelas, of which he died. Philip the fourth escap'd not much better, who being furprized as he was hunting by a violent form of rain and hail, and no man prefuming to lend the king a cloak, he was fo wet before the officer could be found who carried his own, that he took a cold, which cast him into a dangerous fever. If kings like the consequences of such a regularity, they may cause it to be observed in their own families; but nations looking in the first place to their own fafety, would be guilty of the most extreme stupidity, if they should suffer themfelves to be ruined for adhering to fuch ceremonies.

This is faid upon a fupposition, that the whole power of calling and dissolving paaliaments, is by the law placed in the king: but I utterly deny that it is so; and to prove it, shall give the following reasons.

(1.) That the king can have no fuch power, unless it be given to him, for every man is originally free; and the same power that makes him king, gives him all that belongs to his being king. Tis not therefore an inherent, but a delegated power;

and

an

or

po

of

no

thr

WC

or

fel

no

Sect. 38. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 317

and whoever receives it, is accountable to those that gave it; for, as our author is forced to confess, "they "who give authority by commission, do always re-

" tain more than they grant."

(2.) The law for annual parliaments expresly declares it not to be in the king's power, as to the point of their meeting, nor consequently their continuance. For they meet to no purpose if they may not continue to do the work for which they meet; and it were absurd to give them a power of meeting, if they might not continue till it be done: for, as Grotius says, "qui dat finem, dat media ad finem "necessaria." The only reason why parliaments do meet, is to provide for the public good; and they by law ought to meet for that end. They ought not therefore to be dissolved, till it be accomplished. For this reason the opinion given by Tresilian, that kings might dissolve parliaments at their pleasure, was judged to be a principal part of his treason.

(3.) We have already proved, that Saxons, Danes, Normans, &c. who had no title to the crown, were made kings by micklegemots, wittenagemots, and parliaments; that is, either by the whole people, or their representatives: others have been by the same authority restrained, brought to order, or deposed. But as it is impossible that such as were not kings, and had no title to be kings, could by virtue of a kingly power call parliaments, when they had none; and absurd to think that such as were in the throne, who had not govern'd according to law, would suffer themselves to be restrain'd, imprisoned, or deposed by parliaments, called and sitting by themselves, and still depending upon their will to be or not to be; 'tis certain that parliaments have in them-

felves

318 felves

selves a power of sitting and acting for the public

good.

2. To the fecond. The various customs used in elections are nothing to this question. In the counties, which make up the body of the nation, all freeholders have their votes: these are properly Cives, members of the commonwealth, in distinction from those who are only Incolæ, or inhabitants, villains, and fuch as being under their parents, are not yet fui juris. These in the beginning of the Saxons reign in England, composed the micklegemots; and when they grew to be fo numerous that one place could not contain them, or fo far dispersed, that without trouble and danger they could not leave their habitations, they deputed fuch as should represent them. When the nation came to be more polished, to inhabit cities and towns, and to fet up feveral arts and trades; those who exercised them were thought to be as useful to the commonwealth, as the freeholders in the country, and to deferve the fame privileges. But it not being reasonable that every one should in this case do what he pleased, it was thought fit that the king with his council (which always confided of the Proceres and Magnates Regni) should judge what numbers of men, and what places deserved to be made corporations or bodies politic, and to enjoy those privileges, by which he did not confer upon them any thing that was his, but according to the trust reposed in him, did difpense out of the public stock parcels of what he had received from the whole nation: and whether this was to be enjoy'd by all the inhabitants, as in Westminster; by the common hall, as in London; or by the mayor, aldermen, jurats and corporation, as in other places, 'tis the same thing: for in all these cases the king does only distribute, not give, and under

under the fame condition that he might call parliaments, that is, for the publick good. This indeed increases the honour of the person intrusted, and adds weight to the obligation incumbent upon him; but can never change the nature of the thing, fo as to make that an inherent, which is only a delegated power. And as parliaments, when occasion required, have been affembled, have refus'd to be diffolved till their work was finished, have severely punished those who went about to persuade kings, that fuch matters depended absolutely upon their will, and made laws to the contrary: 'tis not to be imagined, that they would not also have interposed their authority in matters of charters, if it had been observed that any king had notoriously abused the trust reposed in him, and turned the power to his private advantage, with which he was entrusted for the public good.

That which renders this most plain and safe, is, that men chosen in this manner to serve in parliament, do not act by themselves, but in conjunction with others who are fent thither by prescription; nor by a power derived from kings, but from those that choose them. If it be true therefore that those who delegate powers, do always retain to themselves more than they give, they who fend these men, do not give them an absolute power of doing whatsoever they please, but retain to themselves more than they confer upon their deputies; they must therefore be accountable to their principals, contrary to what our author afferts. This continues in force, tho' he knows not, that " any knights and burgef-" fes have ever been questioned by those that sent " them;" for it cannot be concluded they ought not, or may not be question'd, because none have been questioned. But in truth they are frequently questioned ;

d

19

y n le

d

er

questioned: the people do perpetually judge of the behaviour of their deputies. Whenfoever any of them has the misfortune not to fatisfy the major. part of those that chose him, he is fure to be rejected with difgrace the next time he shall defire to be This is not only a sufficient punishment for fuch faults, as he who is but one of five hundred may probably commit, but as much as the greatest and freest people of the world did ever inflict upon their commanders that brought the greatest losses upon them. Appius Claudius, Pomponius, and Terentius Varro, furvived the greatest defeats that ever the Romans suffer'd; and tho' they had caused them by their folly and perverfeness, were never punished. Yet I think no man doubts that the Romans had as much right over their own officers, as the Athenians and Carthaginians, who frequently put them to death. They thought the mind of a commander would be too much distracted, if at the fame time he should stand in fear both of the enemy and his own countrymen: and as they always endeavoured to choose the best men, they would lay no other necessity upon them of performing their duty, than what was suggested by their own virtue and love to their country. 'Tis not therefore to be thought strange, if the people of England have follow'd the most generous and most prosperous examples. Besides, if any thing has been defective in their usual proceedings with their delegates, the inconvenience has been repaired by the modesty of the best and wisest of them that were chosen. Many in all ages, and fometimes the whole body of the commons, have refused to give their opinion in some cases, till they had consulted with those that sent them: the houses have been often adjourned to give them time to do it; and if this were done more frequently,

Sect. 38. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 321 quently, or that the towns, cities and counties, had on fome occasions given instructions to their deputies, matters would probably have gone better in

parliament than they have often done.

3. The question is not, whether the parliament be impeccable or infallible, but whether an affembly of nobility, with a house of commons composed of those who are best esteemed by their neighbours in all the towns and counties of England, are more or less subject to error or corruption, than such a man, woman or child, as happens to be next in blood to the last king. Many men do usually see more than one; and if we may believe the wifest king, " In "the multitude of counsellors there is fafety \*. Such as are of mature age, good experience, and approved reputation for virtue and wisdom, will probably judge better than children or fools. Men are thought to be more fit for war than women; and those who are bred up in discipline, to understand it better than those who never knew any thing of it. If some counties or cities fail to choose such men as are eminently capable, all will hardly be fo mistaken as to chuse those who have no more of wisdom or virtue, than is usually intail'd upon families. But Filmer at a venture admires the profound wisdom of the king; tho' besides such as we have known, histories give us too many proofs, that all those who have been possessed of crowns, have not excelled that way. He speaks of kings in general, and makes no difference between Solomon and his foolish son. He distinguishes not our Edward the first from Edward the second; Edward the third from Richard the fecond; or Henry the fifth from Henry the fixth. And because all of them were kings, all of them, if he deserves credit, must

<sup>\*</sup> Prov. xi. 14.

needs have been endow'd with profound wifdom. David was wife as an angel of God; therefore the present kings of France, Spain and Sweden, must have been so also, when they were but five years old: Joan of Castille could not be mad, nor the two Ioans of Naples infamous strumpets, or else all his arguments fall to the ground. For the Solomon's wisdom surpassed that of all the people, yet men could not rely equally upon that of Rehoboam, unless it had been equal. And if they are all equal in wisdom when they come to be equally kings, Perses of Macedon was as great a captain as Philip or Alexander; Commodus and Heliogabalus were as wife and virtuous as Marcus Aurelius and Antoninus Pius: nay, Christina of Sweden in her infancy was as fit to command an army as her valiant father. If this be most absurd and false, there can be neither reason nor sense in proposing, as our author does, that the power should be in the king, because the parliament is not infallible. It is, fays he, " for " the head to correct, and not to expect the confent " of the members or parties peccant to be judges in " their own cases; nor is it needful to confine the " king," &c. Befides that this is directly contrary to his own fundamental maxim, that no man must be the judge of his own case, in as much as this would put the power into the king's hands, to decide the controversies between himself and the people, in which his own paffions, private interest, and the corrupt counfels of ill ministers, will always lead him out of the way of justice, the inconveniences that may arise from a possibility that the parliament or people is not infallible, will be turned to the most certain and destructive mischiefs; as must have fallen out in Spain, if, upon a supposition that the estates of Castille might err, the correction of such errors had

now

had been left to the profound wisdom and exquisite judgment of Joan their queen and head, who was stark mad. And the like may be said of many other princes, who through natural or accidental infirmities, want of age, or dotage, have been ut-

terly unable to judge of any thing.

The matter will not be much mended, tho' I pass from ideots and lunaticks, to fuch as know well enough how to clothe and feed themselves, and to perform the ordinary functions of life; and yet have been as uncapable of giving a right judgment concerning the weighty matters of government, as the weakest of children, or the most furious of madmen. Good manners forbid me to enumerate the examples of this kind, which Europe has produced even in this age: but I should commit a greater fault, if I did in filence pass over the extravagances of those, who being most weak in judgment and irregular in their appetites, have been most impatient of any restraint upon their will. The brave Gustavus Adolphus, and his nephew Carolus Gustavus, who was not inferior to him in valour, wisdom, and love to his people, were content with the power that the laws of their country gave to them: but Frederick the fourth of Denmark never rested till he had overthrown the liberty of that nation. Cafimir by attempting the like in Poland, lost almost half of that kingdom; and flying from the other, left all to be ravaged by Swedes, Tartars, and Cofacks. The prefent emperor who paffed his time in fetting fongs in music with a wretched Italian eunuch, when he ought to have been at the head of a brave army, raifed to oppose the Turks in the year 1664, and which under good conduct might have overthrown the Ottoman empire, as foon as he was delivered from the fear of that enemy, fell upon his own subjects with such cruelty, that they are

now forced to fly to the Turks for protection; the protestants especially, who find their condition more tolerable under those professed enemies to christianity, than to be exposed to the pride, avarice, persidiousness and violence of the Jesuits by whom he is governed. And the qualities of the king of Portugal are so well known, together with the condition to which he would have brought his kingdom if he had not been sent to the Tercera's, that I need not speak particularly of him

fpeak particularly of him.

If kings therefore, by virtue of their office, are constituted judges over the body of their people, because the people, or parliaments representing them, are not infallible; those kings who are children, fools, difabled by age, or madmen, are fo also; women have the fame right where they are admitted to the fuccession; those men who, tho' of ripe age and not superannuated, nor directly fools or madmen, yet absolutely uncapable of judging important affairs, or by their paffions, interests, vices, or malice and wickedness of their ministers, servants and favourites, are fet to oppress and ruin the people, enjoy the same privilege; than which nothing can be imagined more abfurd and abominable, nor more directly tending to the corruption and destruction of the nations under them, for whose good and safety our author confesses they have their power.

## S E C T. XXXIX.

Those kings only are heads of the people, who are good, wise, and seek to advance no interest but that of the publick.

THE worst of men seldom arrive to such a degree of impudence, as plainly to propose the most mischievous follies and enormities. They who

who are enemies to virtue, and fear not God, are afraid of men, and dare not offer fuch things as the world will not bear, left by that means they should overthrow their own defigns. All poison must be difguifed, and no man can be perfuaded to eat arfenic, unless it be cover'd with something that appears to be harmless. Creusa would have abhorr'd Medea's present, if the pestilent venom had not been hidden by the exterior luftre of gold and gems. The garment that destroy'd Hercules appear'd beautiful; and Eve had neither eaten of the forbidden tree, nor given the fruit to her husband, if it had not feemed to be good and pleafant, and she had not been induced to believe that by eating it they should both be as Gods. The fervants of the devil have always followed the same method: their malice is carried on by fraud, and they have feldom destroy'd any, but fuch as they had first deceived. can never conduce to mischief, and is best discovered by plain words; but nothing is more usual with ill men than to cover their mischievous designs with figurative phrases. It would be too ridiculous to say in plain terms, that all kings without distinction are better able to judge of all matters than any or all their people; they must therefore be called the head, that thereby they may be invested with all the preeminences which in a natural body belong to that part; and men must be made to believe the analogy between the natural and political body to be perfect. But the matter must be better examined before this mortal poison seem fit to be fwallowed.

The word *Head* is figuratively used both in scripture and profane authors in several senses, in relation to places or persons, and always implies something of real or seeming preeminence in point of honour or

Y 3

jurisdiction.

jurisdiction. Thus Damascus is said to be the head of Syria; Samaria of Ephraim, and Ephraim of the ten tribes: that is, Ephraim was the chief tribe; Samaria was the chief city of Ephraim, and Damascus of Syria; tho' it be certain that Ephraim had no jurisdiction over the other tribes, nor Samaria over the other cities of Ephraim, but every one according to the law had an equal power within itself, or the territories belonging to it; and no privileges were granted to one above another, except to Jerusalem, in the matter of religion, because the temple was

placed there.

The words also bead, prince, principal man, or captain, feem to be equivocal; and in this fense the fame men are called heads of the tribes, princes in the houses of their fathers: and 'tis said \*, that two hundred heads of the tribe of Reuben were carried away captive by Tiglath Pilezer, and proportionably in the other tribes; which were a strange thing, if the word did imply that supreme, absolute and infinite power that our author attributes to it: and no man of less understanding than he, can comprehend how there should be two hundred or more fovereign unlimited powers in one tribe, most especially when 'tis certain that one series of kings had for many ages reigned over that tribe and nine more; and that every one of those tribes, as well as the particular cities, even from their first entrance into the promised land, had a full jurisdiction within itself. When the Gileadites came to Jephtha +, he fuspected them, and asked whether indeed they intended to make him their head? they answered, if he would lead them against the Ammonites, he should be their head. In the like sense when Jul. Cæsar in despair would have killed himself, one of his foldiers distuaded him from that design, by telling him, "\* That the safety of so many nations that "had made him their head, depending upon his life, "it would be cruelty in him to take such a resolution." But for all that, when this head was taken off, the body did still subsist: upon which I observe many sundamental differences between the relation of this sigurative head (even when the word is rightly applied) and that of the natural head to their respective bodies.

The figurative heads may be many, the natural but

one.

The people makes or creates the figurative head, the natural is from itself, or connate with the

body.

The natural body cannot change or fubfift without the natural head; but a people may change and subsist very well without the artificial. Nay, if it had been true, that the world had chosen Cæsar, as it was not (for he was chosen only by a factious mercenary army, and the foundest part so far opposed that election, that they brought him to think of killing himfelf) there could have been no truth in this flattering affertion, " That the fafety of the " the whole depended upon his life:" for the world could not only fubfift without him, but without any fuch head, as it had done, before he by the help of his corrupted foldiery had usurped the power; which also shews that a civil head may be a matter of convenience, but not of necessity. Many nations have had none; and if the expression be so far stretched, as to make it extend to the annual or temporary

<sup>\*</sup> Cum tot ab hac anima populorum vita salusque Pendeat, & tantus caput hoc sibi secerit orbis, Sævitia est voluisse mori. Lucan.

magistrates set up by the Athenians, Carthaginians, Romans, and other antient commonwealths, or to those at this day in Venice, Holland, Switzerland, and other places, it must be confess'd that the people who made, deposed, abrogated, or abolished both the magistrates and magistracies, had the power of framing, directing and removing their heads, which our author will say is most absurd. Yet they did it without any prejudice to themselves, and very often much to their advantage.

In mentioning these vast and essential differences between the natural and political head, I no way intend to exclude others that may be of equal weight; but as all figurative expressions have their strength only from similitude, there can be little or none in this, which differs in so many important points, and

can therefore be of no effect.

However, right proceeds from identity, and not from fimilitude. The right of a man over me is by being my father, and not by being like my father. If I had a brother so perfectly resembling me as to deceive our parents, which has fometimes happened to twins, it could give him no right to any thing If the power therefore of correcting that is mine. the parties peccant, which our author attributes to kings, be grounded upon the name of head, and a refemblance between the heads of the body politic and body natural; if this resemblance be found to be exceedingly imperfect, uncertain, or perhaps no way relating to the matter in question; or tho' it did, and were absolutely perfect, could confer no right; the allegation of it is impertinent and abfurd.

This being cleared, 'tis time to examine, what the office of the head is in a natural body, that we may learn from thence why that name is fometimes

given

Sect. 39. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 3

given to those who are eminent in political bodies, and

to whom it does belong.

Some men account the head to be so absolutely the feat of all the fenses, as to derive even that of feeling, which is exercised in every part, from the brain: but I think it is not doubted that all the rest have both their feat and function in the head; and whatfoever is ufeful or hurtful to a man, is by them represented to the understanding; as Aristotle says, " Nihil est in intellectu, quod non sit prius in sensu." This is properly the part of every magistrate: he is the fentinel of the public, and is to represent what he discovers beneficial or hurtful to the society; which office belongs not only to the supreme, but proportionably to the subordinate. In this sense were the chief men among the Israelites called " Heads of " their fathers house, choice and mighty men of " valour, chief of the princes \*." And in the following chapter mention is made of " nine hundred " and fifty Benjamites, chief men in the house of "their fathers." These men exercised a charitable care over fuch as were inferior to them in power and valour, without any shadow of sovereignty, or possibility that there could be fo many fovereigns: and fuch as were under their care are faid to be their brethren; which is not a word of majesty and domination, but of dearness and equality. The name therefore of head may be given to a fovereign, but it implies nothing of fovereignty; and must be exercifed with charity, which always terminates in the good of others. The head cannot correct or chastise; the proper work of that part is only to indicate, and he who takes upon him to do more, is not the head. A natural body is homogeneous, and cannot subsist if it be not so. We cannot take one part of a horse, another of a bear, and put upon

<sup>\* 1</sup> Chron. vii. 40.

them the head of a lion; for it would be a monster, that would have neither action nor life. The head must be of the same nature with the other members, or it cannot subsist. But the lord or master differs in specie from his servants and slaves, he is not

therefore properly their head.

Besides, the head cannot have a subsistence without the body, nor any interest contrary to that of the body; and 'tis impossible for any thing to be good for the head, that is hurtful to the body. A prince therefore, or magistrate, who sets up an interest in himself distinct from, or repugnant to that of the people, renounces the title or quality of their head. Indeed, Moses was the head of the Israelites; for when God threatned to destroy that people, and promifed to make him a great nation, he waved the particular advantages offer'd to himself, interceded for them, and procured their pardon. Yet he was not able to bear the weight of the government alone, but defired that some might be appointed to affist him. Gideon was the head of the same people, but he would not reign himself, nor suffer his sons to reign over them. Samuel was also their head; he took nothing from any man, defrauded none, took bribes from no man, oppressed none; God and the people were his witnesses: he blamed them for their rebellion against God in asking a king, but was no way concerned for himself or his family. David likewise had a right to that title; for he desired that God would spare the people, and turn the effect of his anger against himself, and the house of his father. But Rehoboam was not their head; for tho' he acknowledged that his father had laid a heavy yoke upon them, yet he told them he would add to the weight; and that if his father had chastised them with

with whips, he would chaftise them with scorpions. The head is no burden to the body, and can lay none upon it; the head cannot chastise any member; and he who does fo, be it more or less, cannot be the head. Jeroboam was not the head of the revolting tribes; for the head takes care of the members, and to provide for the fafety of the whole: but he through fear that the people going to Jerusalem to worship, should return to the house of David, by fetting up idols to fecure his own interests, drew guilt and destruction upon them. Tho' it should be granted that Augustus by a gentle use of his power, had in a manner expiated the detestable villanies committed in the acquisition, and had truly deserved to be called the head of the Romans; yet that title could no way belong to Caligula, Claudius, Nero or Vitellius, who neither had the qualities requir'd in the head, nor the understanding or will to perform Nay, if I should carry the matter the office. farther, and acknowledge that Brutus, Cincinnatus, Fabius, Camillus, and others, who in the time of their annual or shorter magistracies, had by their vigilance, virtue and care to preserve the city in safety, and to provide for the public good, performed the office of the head, and might deserve the name; I might justly deny it to the greatest princes that have been in the world, who having their power for life, and leaving it to descend to their children, have wanted the virtues requir'd for the performance of their duty: and I should less fear to be guilty of an absurdity in faying, that a nation might every year change its head, than that he can be the head, who cares not for the members, nor understands the things that conduce to their good, most especially if he set up an interest in himself against them. . It cannot be faid that these are imaginary cases, and that no prince

prince does these things; for the proof is too easy, and the examples too numerous. Caligula could not have wished the Romans but one head, that he might cut it off at once, if he had been that head, and had advanced no interest contrary to that of the members. Nero had not burn'd the city of Rome. if his concernments had been inseparably united to those of the people. He who caused above three hundred thousand of his innocent unarmed subjects to be murder'd, and fill'd his whole kingdom with fire and blood, did fet up a personal interest repugnant to that of the nation; and no better testimony can be required to shew that he did so, than a letter written by his fon, to take off the penalty due to one of the chief ministers of those cruelties, for this reafon, that what he had done, was " by the command " and for the fervice of his royal father." King John did not purfue the advantage of his people, when he endeavoured to subject them to the Pope or the Moors. And whatever prince feeks affiftance from foreign powers, or makes leagues with any stranger or enemy for his own advantage against his people, however fecret the treaty may be, declares himself not to be the head, but an enemy to them. The head cannot fland in need of an exterior help against the body, nor subsist when divided from it. He therefore that courts fuch an affiftance, divides himself from the body; and if he do subfift, it must be by a life he has in himself, diftinct from that of the body, which the head cannot have.

But besides these enormities, that testify the most wicked rage and sury in the highest degree, there is another practice, which no man that knows the world can deny to be common with princes, and incompatible with the nature of a head. The head cannot Ca

ľ

to

vi

fo

cannot defire to draw all the nourishment of the body to itself, nor more than a due proportion. If the rest of the parts are sick, weak or cold, the head fuffers equally with them, and if they perish must perish also. Let this be compared with the actions of many princes we know, and we shall soon see which of them are heads of their people. If the gold brought from the Indies has been equally diffributed by the kings of Spain to the body of that nation, I consent they may be called the heads. If the kings of Franceassumeno more of the riches of that great kingdom than their due proportion, let them also wear that honourable name. But if the naked backs and empty bellies of their miferable subjects evince the contrary, it can by no means belong to them. If those great nations waste and languish; if nothing be so common in the best provinces belonging to them, as mifery, famine, and all the effects of the most outragious oppression, whilst their princes and favourites possess such treasures as the most wanton prodigality cannot exhaust; if that which is gained by the fweat of fo many millions of men, be torn out of the mouths of their starving wives and children, to foment the vices of those luxurious courts, or reward the ministers of their lufts, the nourishment is not distributed equally to all the parts of the body; the œconomy of the whole is overthrown, and they who do these things, cannot be the heads, nor the parts of the body, but fomething distinct from and repugnant to it. "Tis not therefore he who is found in, or advanced to the place of the head, who is truly the head: tis not he who ought, but he who does perform the office of the head, that deserves the name and privileges belonging to the head. If our author therefore will persuade us that any king is head of his people,

people, he must do it by arguments peculiarly relating to him, fince those in general are found to be false. If he say that the king as king may direct or correct the people, and that the power of determining all controversies must be referred to him. because they may be mistaken, he must show that the king is infallible; for unless he do so, the wound is not cured. This also must be by some other way, than by faying he is their head; for fuch powers belong not to the office of the head, and we see that all kings do not deserve that name: many of them want both understanding and will to perform the functions of the head; and many act directly contrary in the whole course of their government. If any therefore among them have merited the glorious name of heads of nations, it must have been by their personal virtues, by a vigilant care of the good of their people, by an inseparable conjunction of interests with them, by an ardent love to every member of the fociety, by a moderation of spirit affecting no undue fuperiority, or affuming any fingular advantage which they are not willing to communicate to every part of the political body. He who finds this merit in himself, will scorn all the advantages that can be drawn from misapplied names: he that knows fuch honour to be peculiarly due to him for being the best of kings, will never glory in that which may be common to him with the worst. Nay, whoever pretends by fuch general discourses as these of our author, to advance the particular interests of any one king, does either know he is of no merit, and that nothing can be faid for him which will not as well agree with the worst of men; or cares not what he fays so he may do mischief, and is well enough contented, that he who is fet up by fuch

W

Sect. 40. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 335 fuch maxims as a public plague, may fall in the ruin he brings upon the people.

## S E C T. XL.

Good laws prescribe easy and safe remedies against the evils proceeding from the vices or infirmities of the magistrate; and when they fail, they must be supplied.

THOSE who defire to advance the power of the magistrate above the law, would perfuade us, that the difficulties and dangers of inquiring into his actions, or opposing his will when employ'd in violence and injustice, are so great, that the remedy is always worse than the disease; and that 'tis better to suffer all the evils that may proceed from his infirmities and vices, than to hazard the consequences of displeasing him. But, on the contrary, I think and hope to prove,

1. That in well-constituted governments, the remedies against ill magistrates are easy and safe.

2. That 'tis good, as well for the magistrate as the people, so to constitute the government, that the remedies may be easy and safe.

3. That how dangerous and difficult foever they may be through the defects of the first constitution,

they must be tried.

ıt

t.

as

ts

10

h

or

is

h

To the first; 'tis most evident that in well-regulated governments these remedies have been found to be easy and safe. The kings of Sparta were not suffered in the least to deviate from the rule of the law: and Theopompus one of those kings, in whose time the Ephori were created, and the regal power much restrained, doubted not to affirm, that it was by that means become more lasting and more secure\*. Pausanias had not the name of king, but

<sup>·</sup> Plutarch.

commanded in the war against Xerxes with more than regal power; nevertheless being grown insolent, he was without any trouble to that state banished, and afterwards put to death. Leontidas father of Cleomenes, was in the like manner banished. The fecond Agis was most unjustly put to death by the Ephori, for he was a brave and a good prince, but there was neither danger nor difficulty in the action. Many of the Roman magistrates, after the expulsion of the kings, feem to have been defirous to extend their power beyond the bounds of the law; and perhaps fome others as well as the Decemviri, may have defigned an absolute tyranny; but the first were restrained, and the others without much difficulty suppressed. Nay, even the kings were so well kept in order, that no man ever pretended to the crown unless he were chosen, nor made any other use of his power than the law permitted, except the last Tarquin, who by his infolence, avarice and cruelty, brought ruin upon himself and his family. I have already mentioned one or two dukes of Venice who were not less ambitious, but their crimes returned upon their own heads, and they perished without any other danger to the state than what had passed before their treasons were discovered. Infinite examples of the like nature may be alledged; and if matters have not at all times, and in all places, fucceeded in the fame manner, it has been because the fame courses were not every where taken; for all things do fo far follow their causes, that being ordered in the fame manner, they will always produce the same effects.

2. To the fecond; fuch a regulation of the magistratical power is not at all grievous to a good magistrate. He who never desires to do any thing but what he ought, cannot desire a power of doing what he ought not, nor be troubled to find he cannot do that which he would not do if he could. This inability is also advantageous to those who are evil or unwise; that fince they cannot govern themselves, a law may be imposed upon them, lest by following their own irregular will, they bring destruction upon themselves, their families and people, as many have done. If Apollo in the sable had not been too indulgent to Phaeton, in granting his ill-conceived request, the furious youth had not brought a necessity upon Jupiter, either of destroying him, or suffering the world to be destroyed by him.

Befides, good and wife men know the weight of fovereign power, and misdoubt their own strength. Sacred and human histories furnish us with many examples of those who have feared the lustre of a crown. Men that find in themselves no delight in doing mischief, know not what thoughts may infinuate into their minds, when they are raised too much above their fphere. They who were able to bear adversity, have been precipitated into ruin by prosperity. When the prophet told Hazael the villanies he would commit, he answered, " Is thy fervant a " dog, that I should do these things?" but yet he did them. I know not where to find an example of a man more excellently qualified than Alexander of Macedon; but he fell under the weight of his own fortune, and grew to exceed those in vices whom he had conquered by his virtue. The nature of man can hardly fuffer fuch violent changes without being disordered by them; and every one ought to enter into a just diffidence of himself, and fear the temptations that have destroyed so many. If any man be so happily born, so carefully educated, so established in virtue, that no storm can shake him, VOL. II.

nor any poison corrupt him, yet he will consider he is mortal; and knowing no more than Solomon, whether his fon shall be a wife man or a fool, he will always fear to take upon him a power, which must prove a most pestilent evil both to the person that has it, and to those that are under it, as soon as it shall fall into the hands of one, who either knows not how to use it, or may be easily drawn to abuse it. Supreme magistrates always walk in obscure and slippery places: but when they are advanced fo high, that no one is near enough to fupport, direct or restrain them, their fall is inevitable and mortal. And those nations that have wanted the prudence rightly to balance the powers of their magistrates, have been frequently obliged to have recourse to the most violent remedies, and with much difficulty, danger and blood, to punish the crimes which they might have prevented. On the other fide, fuch as have been more wife in the constitution of their governments, have always had regard to the frailty of human nature, and the corruption reigning in the hearts of men; and being less liberal of the power over their lives and liberties, have referved to themselves so much as might keep their magistrates within the limits of the law, and oblige them to perform the ends of their institution. And as the law which denounces fevere penalties for crimes, is indeed merciful both to ill men, who are by that means deterred from committing them; and to the good, who otherwise would be destroyed: fo those nations that have kept the reins in their hands, have by the same act provided as well for the fafety of their princes as for their own. They who know the law is well defended, feldom attempt to subvert it: they are not easily tempted to run into excesses, when such bounds are set, as may

not fafely be transgressed; and whilst they are by this means rendered more moderate in the exercise of their power, the people is exempted from the odious necessity of suffering all manner of indignities and miseries, or by their destruction to prevent or avenge them.

2. To the third: if these rules have not been well observed in the first constitution, or from the changes of times, corruption of manners, infenfible encroachments, or violent usurpations of princes, have been rendered ineffectual, and the people exposed to all the calamities that may be brought upon them by the weakness, vices and malice of the prince or those who govern him, I confess the remedies are more difficult and dangerous; but even in those cases they must be tried. Nothing can be feared that is worse than what is suffered, or must in a short time fall upon those who are in this condition. They who are already fallen into all that is odious, shameful and miserable, cannot justly fear. things are brought to fuch a pass, the boldest counfels are the most safe; and if they must \* perish who lie still, and they can but perish who are most active, the choice is eafily made. Let the danger be never so great, there is a possibility of safety whilst men have life, hands, arms, and courage to use them: but that people must certainly perish, who tamely fuffer themselves to be oppressed, either by the injustice, cruelty and malice of an ill magistrate, or by those who prevail upon the vices and infirmities of weak princes. 'Tis in vain to fay, that this may give occasion to men of raising tumults or civil war; for tho' these are evils, yet they are not the greatest of

ī

10

t-

to

LV

ot

Quod si nocentes innocentesque idem exitus maneat, acrioris viri est merno perire. Ibid.

<sup>\*</sup> Moriendum victis, moriendum decitis: id folum interest, an inter crutiatus & ludibria, an pro virtute expiremus. C. Tacit.

evils. Civil war in Macchiavel's account is a difeafe. but tyranny is the death of a state. Gentle ways are first to be used, and 'tis best if the work can be done by them; but it must not be left undone if they fail. 'Tis good to use supplications, advices and remonstrances; but those who have no regard to justice, and will not hearken to counsel, must be constrained. 'Tis folly to deal otherwise with a man who will not be guided by reason, and a magistrate who despises the law: or rather, to think him a man, who rejects the effential principle of a man; or to account him a magistrate who overthrows the law by which he is a magistrate. This is the last result; but those nations must come to it, which cannot otherwise be preserved. Nero's madness was not to be cured, nor the mischievous effects of it any otherwise to be suppressed than by his death. He who had spared such a monster when it was in his power to remove him, had brought destruction upon the whole empire; and by a foolish clemency made himself the author of his future villanies. This would have been yet more clear, if the world had then been in fuch a temper as to be capable of an intire liberty. But the antient foundations had been overthrown, and nothing better could be built upon the new, than fomething that might in part refift that torrent of iniquity which had overflow'd the best part of the world, and give mankind a little time to breathe under a less barbarous master. Yet all the best men did join in the work that was then to be done, tho' they knew it would prove but imperfect. The facred history is not without examples of this kind: when Ahab had subverted the law, fet up false witnesses and corrupt judges to destroy the innocent, killed the prophets, and established idolatry, his house must then be cut off, and his blood

blood be lickt up by dogs. When matters are brought to this pass, the decision is easy. The question is only, whether the punishment of crimes shall fall upon one or a few persons who are guilty of them, or upon a whole nation that is innocent: If the father may not die for the fon, nor the fon for the father, but every one must bear the penalty of his own crimes, it would be most abfurd to punish the people for the guilt of princes. When the earl of Morton was fent ambaffador to queen Elizabeth by the estates of Scotland, to justify their proceedings against Mary their queen, whom they had obliged to renounce the government; he alledged amongst other things the murder of her husband plainly proved against her; afferted the antient right and custom of that kingdom, of \* examining the actions of their kings; by which means, he faid, many had been + punished with death, imprisonment and exile; confirmed their actions by the examples of other nations; and upon the whole matter concluded, that if she was still permitted to live, it was not on account of her innocence, or any exemption from the penalties of the law, but from the mercy and clemency of the people, who contenting themselves with a resignation of her right and power to her fon, had spared her. This discourse, which is fet down at large by the historian cited on the margin, being of fuch strength in it felf, as never

\* Animadvertendi in reges.

<sup>†</sup> Morte, vinculis & exilio puniti. Buchan. hist. Scot. 1. 20. Qui tot reges regno exuerunt, exilio damnarunt, carceribus coercuerunt, supplicio denique affecerunt, nec unquam tamen de acerbitate legis minuenda mentio est sacta, &c. Ibid. Facile apparet regnum ninil aliud esse, quam mutuam inter regem & populum stipulationem. Non de illarum sanctionum genere, quæ mutationibus temporum sunt obnoxiæ, sed in primo generis humani exortu, & mutuo prope omnium gentium consensu comprobatæ, & unà cum rerum natura infragiles & sempiternæ perennent. 1bid.

to have been any otherwise answered than by railing, and no way disapproved by queen Elizabeth or her council to whom it was made, either upon a general account of the pretentions of princes to be exempted from the penalties of the law, or any pretext that they had particularly misapplied them in relation to their queen, I may justly fay, that when nations fall under fuch princes as are either utterly uncapable of making a right use of their power, or do maliciously abuse that authority with which they are entrusted, those nations stand obliged, by the duty they owe to themselves and their posterity, to use the best of their endeavours to remove the evil, whatever danger or difficulties they may meet with in the performance. Pontius the Samnite faid as truly as bravely to his countrymen, that " \* those " arms were just and pious that were necessary, and " necessary when there was no hope of safety by " any other way." This is the voice of mankind, and is diflik'd only by those princes, who fear the deserved punishments may fall upon them; or by their fervants and flatterers, who being for the most part the authors of their crimes, think they shall be involved in their ruin.

## S E C T. XLI.

The people for whom and by whom the magistrate is created, can only judge whether be rightly perform his office or not.

IS commonly faid, that no man ought to be the judge of his own case; and our author lays much weight upon it as a fundamental maxim, tho' according to his ordinary inconstancy he over-

Justa piaque sunt arma, quibus necessaria, & necessaria, quibus nulla nuli in armis spes est salutis. I. Liv. lib. 8.

throws it in the case of kings, where it ought to take place if in any; for it often falls out that no men are less capable of forming a right judgment than they. Their passions and interests are most powerful to disturb or pervert them. No men are so liable to be diverted from justice by the flatteries of corrupt fervants. They never act as kings, except . for those by whom and for whom they are created; and acting for others, the account of their actions cannot depend upon their own will. Nevertheless I am not afraid to fay, that naturally and properly a man is the judge of his own concernments. No one is or can be deprived of this privilege, unless by his own consent, and for the good of that society into which he enters. This right therefore must necessarily belong to every man in all cases, except only fuch as relate to the good of the community, for whose fake he has divested himself of it. If I find my felf afflicted with hunger, thirst, weariness, cold, heat, or fickness, 'tis a folly to tell me, I ought not to feek meat, drink, rest, shelter, refreshment, or physick, because I must not be the judge of my own case. The like may be said in relation to my house, land, or estate; I may do what I please with them, if I bring no damage upon others. But I must not set fire to my house, by which my neighbour's house may be burnt. I may not erect forts upon my own lands, or deliver them to a foreign enemy, who may by that means infest my country. I may not cut the banks of the fea, or those of a river, lest my neighbour's ground be overflown, because the society into which I am incorporated, would by fuch means receive prejudice. My land is not fimply my own, but upon condition that I shall not thereby bring damage upon the publick, by which I am protected in the peaceable enjoy-Z 4 ment

ment and innocent use of what I possess. But this fociety leaves me a liberty to take fervants, and put them away at my pleasure. No man is to direct me, of what quality or number they shall be, or can tell me whether I am well or ill ferved by them. Nay, the state takes no other cognizance of what passes between me and them, than to oblige me to perform the contracts I make, and not to do that to them which the law forbids: that is to fay, the power to which I have fubmitted my felf, exercises that jurisdiction over me, which was established by my confent, and under which I enjoy all the benefits of life, which are of more advantage to me than my liberty could have been, if I had retained it wholly in my felf. The nature also and measure of of this submission must be determined by the reasons that induce me to it. The fociety in which I live cannot subfift unless by rule; the equality in which men are born is so perfect, that no man will suffer his natural liberty to be abridged, except others do the like: I cannot reasonably expect to be defended from wrong, unless I oblige my self to do none; or to fuffer the punishment prescribed by the law, if I perform not my engagement. But without prejudice to the fociety into which I enter, I may and do retain to my felf the liberty of doing what I please in all things relating peculiarly to my felf, or in which I aim to feek my own convenience.

Now if a private man is not subject to the judgment of any other, than those to whom he submits himself for his own safety and convenience; and notwithstanding that submission, still retains to himself the right of ordering according to his own will all things merely relating to himself, and of doing what he pleases in that which he does for his own sake; the same right must more certainly belong to

whole

whole nations. When a controverfy happens between Caius and Seius in a matter of right, neither of them may determine the cause, but it must be referred to a judge superior to both; not because 'tis not fit that a man should be judge of his own case, but because they have both an equal right, and neither of them owes any subjection to the other. But if there be a contest between me and my servants concerning my fervice, I only am to decide it: he must serve me in my own way, or be gone if I think fit, tho' he ferve me never fo well; and I do him no wrong in putting him away, if either I intend to keep no fervant, or find that another will please me better. I cannot therefore stand in need of a judge, unless the contest be with one who lives upon an equal foot with me. No man can be my judge, unless he be my superior; and he cannot be my fuperior, who is not fo by my confent, nor to any other purpose than I consent to. This cannot be the case of a nation, which can have no equal within it felf. Controversies may rise with other nations, the decision of which may be left to judges chosen by mutual agreement; but this relates not to our question. A nation, and most especially one that is powerful, cannot recede from its own right, as a private man from the knowledge of his own weakness and inability to defend himself, must come under the protection of a greater power than his own. The strength of a nation is not in the magistrate, but the strength of the magistrate is in the nation. The wisdom, industry and valour of a prince may add to the glory and greatness of a nation, but the foundation and substance will always be in it self. If the magistrate and people were upon equal terms, as Caius and Seius, receiving equal and mutual advantages from each other, no man could be judge

of their differences, but such as they should set up for that end. This has been done by many nations. The antient Germans referred the decision of the most difficult matters to their priests: the Gauls and Britons to the druids: the Mahometans for fome ages to the califs of Babylon: the Saxons in England, when they had embraced the christian religion, to their clergy. Whilst all Europe lay under the popish superstition, the decision of such matters was frequently assumed by the pope; men often submitted to his judgment, and the princes that refisted were for the most part excommunicated, deposed and destroyed. All this was done for the fame reasons. These men were accounted holy and inspired, and the sentence pronounced by them was usually reverenced as the judgment of God, who was thought to direct them; and all those who refused to submit, were esteemed execrable. But no man, or number of men, as I think, at the institution of a magistrate did ever say, If any difference happen between you or your fuccessors and us, it shall be determined by your self or by them, whether they be men, women, children, mad, foolish, or vicious. Nay if any fuch thing had been, the folly, turpitude and madness of such a fanction or stipulation must necessarily have destroy'd it. But if no fuch thing was ever known, or could have no effect if it had been in any place, 'tis most absurd to impose it upon all. The people therefore cannot be deprived of their natural rights upon a frivolous pretence to that which never was and never can be. They who create magistracies, and give to them fuch name, form and power as they think fit, do only know, whether the end for which they were created, be performed or not. They who give a being to the power which had none, can only judge whether

whether it be employ'd to their welfare, or turned to their ruin. They do not fet up one or a few men, that they and their posterity may live in splendor and greatness, but that justice may be administred, virtue established, and provision made for the publick fafety. No wife man will think this can be done, if those who set themselves to overthrow the law, are to be their own judges. If Caligula, Nero, Vitellius, Domitian, or Heliogabalus, had been fubject to no other judgment, they would have compleated the destruction of the empire. If the disputes between Durstus, Evenus the third, Dardannus, and other kings of Scotland, with the nobility and people, might have been determined by themselves, they had escaped the punishments they fuffer'd, and ruined the nation as they defigned. Other methods were taken; they perished by their madness; better princes were brought into their places, and their fuccessors were by their example admonished to avoid the ways that had proved fatal to them. If Edward the fecond of England, with Gaveston and the Spencers, Richard the second with Trefilian and Vere, had been permitted to be the judges of their own cases, they who had murdered the best of the nobility would have pursued their defigns to the destruction of such as remained, the enflaving of the nation, the subversion of the constitution, and the establishment of a mere tyranny in the place of a mixed monarchy. But our ancestors took better measures: they who had felt the fmart of the vices and follies of their princes, knew what remedies were most fit to be applied, as well as the best time of applying them. They found the effects of extreme corruption in government to be so desperately pernicious, that nations must necessarily perish, unless it be corrected, and the state reduced

t

IS

n

re

ge

er

duced to its first principle, or altered. Which being the case, it was as easy for them to judge, whether the governor who had introduced that corruption should be brought to order, removed if he would not be reclaimed, or whether he should be suffer'd to ruin them and their posterity, as it is for me to judge, whether I should put away my servant, if I knew he intended to poison or murder me, and had a certain facility of accomplishing his defign; or whether I should continue him in my service till he had performed it. Nay the matter is so much the more plain on the fide of the nation, as the disproportion of merit between a whole people, and one or a few men entrusted with the power of governing them, is greater than between a private man and his fervant. This is so fully confirmed by the general confent of mankind, that we know no government that has not frequently either been altered in form, or reduced to its original purity, by changing the families or persons who abused the power with which they had been entrusted. Those who have wanted wisdom and virtue rightly and seasonably to perform this, have been foon destroy'd; like the Goths in Spain, who by omitting to curb the fury of Witza and Rodrigo in time, became a prey to the Moors. Their kingdom by this means destroy'd was never restored, and the remainder of that nation joining with the Spaniards whom they had kept in subjection for three or four ages, could not in less than eight hundred years, expel those enemies they might have kept out, only by removing two base and vitious kings. Such nations as have been fo corrupted, that when they have applied themselves to feek remedies to the evils they suffered by wicked magistrates, could not fall upon such as were proportionable to the disease, have only vented their paffions

when

passions in destroying the immediate instruments of their oppression, or for a while delayed their utter ruin. But the root still remaining, it soon produced the same poisonous fruit, and either quite destroyed, or made them languish in perpetually mifery. The Roman empire was the most eminent example of the first; many of the monsters that had tyrannized over them were killed, but the greatest advantage gained by their death, was a respite from ruin; and the government which ought to have been established by good laws, depending only upon the virtue of one man, his life proved to be no more than a lucid interval, and at his death they relapsed into the depth of infamy and misery: and in this condition they continued till that empire was totally fubverted.

All the kingdoms of the Arabians, Medes, Perfians, Moors, and others of the east are of the other fort. Common sense instructs them, that barbarous pride, cruelty and madness grown to extremity, cannot be borne: but they have no other way than to kill the tyrant, and to do the like to his fuccessor if he fall into the same crimes. Wanting that wisdom and valour which is required for the institution of a good government, they languish in perpetual flavery, and propose to themselves nothing better than to live under a gentle master, which is but a precarious life, and little to be valued by men of bravery and spirit. But those nations that are more generous, who set a higher value upon liberty, and better understand the ways of preserving it, think it a small matter to destroy a tyrant, unless they can also destroy the tyranny. They endeavour to do the work throughly, either by changing the government intirely, or reforming it according to the first institution, and making fuch good laws as may preferve its integrity

n

y d

o

ir

ns

when reformed. This has been so frequent in all the nations (both antient and modern) with whose actions we are best acquainted, as appears by the foregoing examples, and many others that might be alledged, if the case were not clear, that there is not one of them which will not furnish us with many instances; and no one magistracy now in being which does not owe its original to some judgment of this nature. So that they must either derive their right from such actions, or confess they have none at all, and leave the nations to their original liberty of setting up those magistracies which best please themselves, without any restriction or obligation to regard one person or family more than another.

## S E C T. XLII.

The person that wears the crown cannot determine the affairs which the law refers to the king.

UR author, with the rest of the vulgar, seems to have been led into gross errors by the form of writs fummoning persons to appear before the king. The common stile used in the trial of delinquents; the name of the king's witnesses given to those who accuse them; the verdicts brought in by juries, "coram domino rege," and the profecution made in the king's name, feem to have caused this. And they who understand not these phrases, render the law a heap of the most gross absurdities, and the king an enemy to every one of his subjects, when he ought to be a father to them all; fince without any particular confideration or examination of what any witness deposes in a court of justice, tending to the death, confiscation, or other punishment of any man, he is called the king's witness whether

whether he speak the truth or a lie, and on that account favoured. 'Tis not necessary to alledge many instances in a case that is so plain; but it may not be amiss to insert two or three of the most important

reasons to prove my affertion.

le.

ns

m he

e-

en

in

uled

es,

es,

As,

nce

ion

ice, sh-

ess

her

1. If the law did intend that he or she who wears the crown, should in his or her person judge all causes, and determine the most difficult questions, it must, like our author, presume that they will always be of profound wifdom to comprehend all of them, and of perfect integrity always to act according to their understanding. Which is no less than to lay the foundation of the government upon a thing merely contingent, that either never was, or very often fails, as is too much verified by experience, and the histories of all nations; or else to refer the decision of all to those who through the infirmities of age, fex, or person, are often incapable of judging the least, or subject to such pasfions and vices as would divert them from justice tho' they did understand it; both which seem to be almost equally preposterous.

2. The law must also presume that the prince is always present in all the places where his name is used. The king of France is (as I have said already) esteemed to be present "\* on the seat of justice" in all the parliaments and sovereign courts of the kingdom: and if his corporeal presence were by that phrase to be understood, he must be in all those distinct and far distant places at the same time; which absurdity can hardly be parallel'd, unless by the Popish opinion of Transubstantiation. But indeed they are so far from being guilty of such monstrous absurdity, that he cannot in person be present at any trial, and no man can be judged if he be. This

<sup>\*</sup> Sur son lit de justice.

was plainly afferted to Lewis the thirteenth (who would have been at the trial of the duke of Candale) by the prefident de Bellievre, who told him that as he could judge no man himself, so they could not judge any if he were present: upon which he retired.

3. The laws of most kingdoms giving to kings the confiscation of delinquents estates, if they in their own persons might give judgment upon them, they would be constituted both judges and parties; which besides the forementioned incapacities to which princes are as much subject as other men, would tempt them by their own personal interest to

fubvert all manner of justice.

This therefore not being the meaning of the law, we are to inquire what it is; and the thing is fo plain that we cannot mistake, unless we do it wilfully. Some name must be used in all manner of transactions, and in matters of public concernment none can be fo fit as that of the principal magistrate. Thus are leagues made, not only with kings and emperors, but with the dukes of Venice and Genoa, the avoyer and fenate of a canton in Switzerland, the burgermaster of an imperial town in Germany, and the states general of the united provinces. no man thinking, I prefume, these leagues would be of any value, if they could only oblige the perfons whose names are used, 'tis plain that they do not stipulate only for themselves; and that their stipulations would be of no value if they were merely personal. And nothing can more certainly prove they are not fo, than that we certainly know, these dukes, avoyers and burgermasters can do nothing of themselves. The power of the states general of the united provinces is limited to the points mentioned in the act of union made at Utrecht. The empire

H.

10

e)

as

ot he

gs

in

m,

s;

to

en,

to

w,

fo ril-

ent

ite.

ind

oa,

nd,

ny,

But

uld

er-

do

fti-

rely

ove

nese

g of

the

ned pire

is

is not obliged by any stipulation made by the empefor without their confent. Nothing is more common than for one king making a league with another, to exact a confirmation of their agreement, by the parliaments, diets or general estates; because, says \* Grotius, a prince does not stipulate for himself. but for the people under his government; and a king deprived of his kingdom, loses the right of sending an ambassador. The powers of Europe shewed themselves to be of this opinion in the case of Portugal. When Philip the fecond had gained the poffession, they treated with him concerning the affairs relating to that kingdom: Few regarded Don Antonio; and no man confidered the dukes of Savoy, Parma or Braganza, who perhaps had the most plaufible titles: But when his grandson Philip the fourth had lost that kingdom, and the people had fet up the duke of Braganza, they all treated with him as king. And the English court, tho' then in amity with Spain, and not a little influenced by a Spanish faction, gave example to others, by treating with him and not with Spain touching matters relating to that state. Nay, I have been informed by those who well understood the affairs of that time, that the lord Cottington advising the late king not to receive any persons sent from the duke of Braganza, rebel to his ally the king of Spain, in the quality of ambaffadors; the king answered, that he must look upon that person to be king of Portugal, who was acknowledged by the nation. And I am mistaken if his majesty now reigning did not find all the princes and states of the world to be of the same mind, when he was out of his kingdom, and could oblige no man but himself and a few followers by any treaty he could make.

<sup>\*</sup> De jur. bell. 1. 3. Vot. II.

For the same reason the names of kings are used in treaties, when they are either children, or otherwife incapable of knowing what alliances are fit to be made or rejected; and yet fuch treaties do equally oblige them, their fucceffors and people, as if they were of mature age and fit for governnment. No man therefore ought to think it strange, if the king's name be used in domestic affairs, of which he neither ought nor can take any cognizance. In these cases he is perpetually a minor: he must fuffer the law to take its due course; and the judges, tho' nominated by him, are obliged by oath not to have any regard to his letters or personal commands. If a man be fued, he must appear; and a delinquent is to be tried coram rege, but no otherwise than " secundum legem terræ, according " to the law of the land," not his personal will or opinion. And the judgments given must be executed, whether they please him or not, it being always understood that he can speak no otherwise than the law speaks, and is always present as far as the law requires. For this reason a noble lord who was irregularly detain'd in prison in one thousand fix hundred and eighty-one, being by Habeas Corpus brought to the bar of the king's bench, where he fued to be releas'd upon bail; and an ignorant judge telling him he must apply himself to the king, he replied, that he came thither for that end; that the king might eat, drink, or fleep where he pleafed, but when he render'd justice he was always in that place. The king that renders justice is indeed always there: he never sleeps; he is subject to no infirmity; he never dies unless the nation be extinguished, or so diffipated as to have no government. No nation that has a fovereign power within itself, does ever want this king. He was in Athens and Rome, as well

name,

well as at Babylon and Sufa; and is as properly faid to be now in Venice, Switzerland, or Holland, as in France, Morocco or Turky. This is he to whom we all owe a fimple and unconditional obedience. This is he " who never does any wrong:" 'tis before him we appear, when we demand justice, or render an account of our actions. All juries give their verdict in his fight: they are his commands that the judges are bound and fworn to obey, when they are not at all to confider fuch as they receive from the person that wears the crown. 'Twas for treason against him that Trefilian and others like to him in feveral ages were hanged. They gratified the lufts of the vifible powers, but the invisible king would not be mock'd. He caused justice to be executed upon Empfon and Dudley. He was injured when the perjur'd wretches who gave that accurfed judgment in the case of ship-money, were suffered to escape the like punishment by means of the ensuing troubles which they had chiefly raifed. And I leave it to those who are concerned, to consider how many in our days may expect vengeance for the like crimes.

I should here conclude this point, if the power of granting a "Noli prosequi cesset processus," and pardons, which are said to be annexed to the person of the king, were not taken for a proof that all proceedings at law depend upon his will. But whoever would from hence draw a general conclusion, must first prove his proposition to be universally true. If it be wholly salse, no true deduction can be made; and if it be true only in some cases, 'tis absurd to draw from thence a general conclusion; and to erect a vast sabric upon a narrow soundation is impossible. As to the general proposition I utterly deny it. The king cannot stop any suit that I begin in my own

name, or invalidate any judgment I obtain upon it: he cannot release a debt of ten shillings due to me, nor a sentence for the like sum given upon an action of battery, assault, trespass, public nuisance, or the like. He cannot pardon a man condemned upon an appeal, nor hinder the person injured from appealing. His power therefore is not universal: if it be not universal, it cannot be inherent, but conferred upon him, or entrusted by a superior power that limits it.

These limits are fixed by the law, the law therefore is above him. His proceedings must be regulated by the law, and not the law by his will. Besides, the extent of those limits can only be known by the intention of the law that fets them; and are so visible, that none but such as are wilfully blind can mistake. It cannot be imagined that the law, which does not give a power to the king of pardoning a man that breaks my hedge, can intend he should have power to pardon one who kills my father, breaks my house, robs me of my goods, abuses my children and fervants, wounds me, and brings me in danger of my life. Whatever power he has in fuch cases, is founded upon a presumption, that he who has fworn not to deny or delay justice to any man, will not break his oath to interrupt it. And farther, as he does nothing but what he may rightly do, " cum magnatum & fapientum confilio;" and that 'tis supposed, they will never advise him to do any thing, but what ought to be done, in order to attain the great ends of the law, justice, and the public fafety; nevertheless lest this should not be fufficient to keep things in their due order, or that the king should forget his oath, not to delay or deny justice to any man; his counsellors are exposed to the feverest

feverest punishments, if they advise him to do any thing contrary to it, and the law upon which it is grounded. So that the utmost advantage the king can pretend to in this case, is no more than that of the Norman, who faid he had gained his cause, because it depended upon a point that was to be decided by his oath; that is to fay, if he will betray the trust reposed in him, and perjure himself, he may fometimes exempt a villain from the punishment he deserves, and take the guilt upon himself. I fay fometimes; for appeals may be brought in fome cases, and the waterman who had been pardoned by his majesty in the year one thousand fix hundred and eighty, for a murder he had committed, was condemned and hanged at the affizes upon an appeal. Nay, in cases of treason, which some men think relate most particularly to the person of the king, he cannot always do it. Gaveston, the two Spencers, Trefilian, Empson, Dudley, and others, have been executed as traitors for things done by the king's command; and 'tis not doubted they would have been faved, if the king's power had extended fo far. I might add the cases of the earls of Strafford and Danby; for the' the king figned a warrant for the execution of the first, no man doubts he would have faved him, if it had been in his power. The other continues in prison notwithstanding his pardon; and for any thing I know he may continue where he is, or come out in a way that will not be to his fatisfaction, unless he be found innocent, or fomething fall out more to his advantage than his majesty's approbation of what he has done. If therefore the king cannot interpose his authority to hinder the course of the law in contests between private men, nor remit the debts adjudged to be due, or the damages given to the persons aggriev'd, he can in his own person have

no other power in things of this nature, than in fome degree to mitigate the vindictive power of the law; and this also is to be exercised no other way than as he is entrusted. But if he acts even in this capacity by a delegated power, and in few cases, he must act according to the ends for which he is fo entrusted, as the same law says, " cum magnatum " & fapientum confilio," and is not therein to purfue his own will and interests: if his oath farther oblige him not to do it; and his ministers are liable to punishment, if they advise him otherwise: if in matters of appeal he have no power; and if his pardons have been of no value, when contrary to his oath he has abused that with which he is entrusted, to the patronizing of crimes, and exempting such delinquents from punishment, as could not be pardoned without prejudice to the public, I may justly conclude, that the king, before whom every man is bound to appear, who does perpetually and impartially distribute justice to the nation, is not the man or woman that wears the crown; and that he or she cannot determine those matters, which by the law are referr'd to the king. Whether therefore such matters are ordinary or extraordinary, the decision is and ought to be placed where there is most wisdom and stability, and where passion and private interest does least prevail to the obstruction of justice. This is the only way to obviate that confusion and mischief, which our author thinks it would introduce. In cases of the first fort, this is done in England by judges and juries: in the other by the parliament, which being the representative body of the people, and the collected wisdom of the nation, is least subject to error, most exempted from passion, and most free from corruption, their own good both public public and private depending upon the rectitude of their fanctions. They cannot do any thing that is ill without damage to themselves and their posterity; which being all that can be done by human understanding, our lives, liberties and properties are by our laws directed to depend upon them.

## S E C T. XLIII,

#### Proclamations are not laws.

UR author, according to his usual method and integrity, lays great weight upon proclamations, as the fignifications of the king's pleafure, which in his opinion is our only law. But neither law nor reason openly directing, nor by consequences infinuating that fuch a power should be put into an uncertain or fuspected hand, we may fafely deny them to be laws, or in any fense to have the effect of Nay, they cannot be fo much as fignifications of his will; for as he is king, he can have no will but as the law directs. If he depart from the law, he is no longer king, and his will is nothing to us. Proclamations, at most, are but temporary, by the advice of council, in pursuance of the law they be not fo, the subject is no way obliged to obey them, and the counsellors are to be punished for them. These laws are either immemorial customs, or statutes. The first have their beginning and continuance from the universal consent of the nation. The latter receive their authority and force of laws from parliaments, as is frequently expressed in the preambles. These are under God the best defence of our lives, liberties, and estates: they proceed not from the blind, corrupt, and fluctuating humour of a man, but from the mature deliberation of the choicest persons of the nation, and such as A a 4

have the greatest interest in it. Our ancestors have always relied upon these laws; and 'tis to be hoped we shall not be so abandoned by God, so deprived of courage and common fense, to fuffer ourselves to be cheated of the inheritance which they have so frequently, fo bravely, and fo constantly defended. Tho' experience has too well taught us, that parliaments may have their failings, and that the vices, which are industriously spread amongst them, may be too prevalent; yet they are the best helps we have, and we may much more reasonably depend upon them, than upon those who propagate that corruption among them for which only they can deferve to be fuspected. We hope they will take care of our concernments, fince they are as other men fo foon as a fession is ended, and can do nothing to our prejudice that will not equally affect them and their posterity; besides the guilt of betraying their country, which can never be washed off. If some should prove false to their trust, 'tis probable that others would continue in their integrity: Or if the base arts, which are usually practifed by those who endeavour to delude, corrupt, enflave and ruin nations, should happen to prevail upon the youngest and weakest, it may be reasonably hoped, that the wisest will see the snares, and instruct their companions to avoid them. But if all things were so put into the hands of one man, that his proclamations were to be esteemed laws, the nation would be exposed to ruin, as foon as it should chance to fall into an ill hand. 'Tis invain to fay we have a good king, who will not make an ill use of his power; for even the best are subject to be deceived by flatterers, and crowned heads are almost ever encompassed by them. The principal art of a courtier is to observe his master's passions, and to attack him on that fide where he feems to be most

See. 43. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 361

most weak. It would be a strange thing to find a man impregnable in every part; and if he be not, 'tis impossible he should resist all the attempts that are made upon him. If his judgment come to be prepossessed, he and all that depend on him are lost. Contradictions, tho' never so just, are then unsafe, and no man will venture upon them, but he who dares facrifice himself for the public good. nature of man is frail, and stands in need of affiftance. Virtuous actions that are profitable to a commonwealth, ought to be made, as far as it is possible, fafe, easy, and advantageous: and 'tis the utmost imprudence to tempt men to be enemies to the public, by making the most pernicious actions to be the means of obtaining honour and favour, whilst no man can serve his country, but with the ruin of himself and his family.

However in this case the question is not concerning a person: the same counsels are to be followed when Moses or Samuel is in the throne, as if Caligula had invaded it. Laws ought to aim at perpetuity, but the virtues of a man die with him, and very often before him. Those who have deserved the highest praises for wisdom and integrity, have frequently left the honours they enjoyed to foolish and vicious children. If virtue may in any respect be faid to outlive the person, it can only be when good men frame fuch laws and conftitutions as by favouring it preserve themselves. This has never been done otherwise, than by balancing the powers in fuch a manner, that the corruption which one or a few men might fall into, should not be suffered to spread the contagion to the ruin of the whole. The long continuance of Lycurgus his laws is to be attributed to this: they restrained the lusts of kings, and reduced those to order who adventured to trans-

e

gress

gress them: whereas the whole fabric must have fallen to the ground in a short time, if the first that had a fancy to be absolute, had been able to effect his defign. This has been the fate of all governments that were made to depend upon the virtue of a man, which never continues long in any family, and when that fails all is loft. The nations therefore that are fo happy to have good kings, ought to make a right use of them, by establishing the good that may outlast their lives. Those of them that are good, will readily join in this work, and take care that their fucceffors may be obliged in doing the like, to be equally beneficial to their own families, and the people they govern. If the rulers of nations be restrained, not only the people is by that means fecured from the mischiefs of their vices and follies, but they themselves are preserved from the greatest temptations to ill, and the terrible effects of the vengeance that frequently ensues upon it. An unlimited prince might be justly compared to a weak ship exposed to a violent storm, with a vast sail and no rudder. We have an eminent example of this in the book of \* Esther. A wicked villain having filled the ears of a foolish king with false stories of the Jews, he issues out a proclamation for their utter extirpation; and not long after being informed of the truth, he gave them leave by another proclamation to kill whom they pleafed, which they executed upon feventy thousand men. The books of Ezra, Nehemiah and Daniel, manifestly discover the like fluctuation in all the counsels of Nabuchodonofor, Cyrus, Darius, and Artaxerxes. When good men had credit with them, they favoured the Ifraelites; fent them back to their own country; restored the sacred vessels that had been taken away.

gave them all things necessary for the rebuilding of the city, and advanced the chief of them to the highest employments. But if they fell into ill hands, three just men must be thrown into the burning furnace for refufing to worship an idol; Daniel must be cast to the lions; the holy city esteemed rebellious, and those who endeavour to rebuild it, enemies to kings. Such was the state of things, when their proclamations passed for laws, and numbers of flattering flaves were ready to execute their commands, without examining whether they were just or unjust, good or bad. The life and death of the best men, together with the very being of nations, was expofed to chance, and they were either preferved or destroyed according to the humour of that man who fpoke last to the king, or happened to have credit with him. If a frantic fancy come into the head of a drunken whore, Persepolis must be burnt, and the hand of Alexander is ready to execute her will. If a dancing wench please Herod, the most venerable of all human heads must be offered in a dish for a sacrifice to the rage of her impure mother. The nature of man is fo frail, that wherefoever the word of a fingle person has had the force of a law, the innumerable extravagances and mischiefs it has produced have been fo notorious, that all nations who are not flupid, flavish and brutish, have always abominated it, and made it their principal care to find out remedies against it, by so dividing and balancing the powers of their government, that one or a few men might not be able to oppress and destroy those they ought to preserve and protect. This has always been as grateful to the best and wisest princes, as necesfary to the weakest and worst, as I have proved already by the examples of Theopompus, Moses, and many others. These considerations have given beginning,

ginning, growth and continuance to all the mixed governments that have been in the world; and I may justly fay there never was a good one that was not mixed. If other proofs of their rectitude were wanting, our author's hatred would be enough to justify He is so bitter an enemy to mankind, as to be displeased with nothing but that which tends to their good, and so perverse in his judgment, that we have reason to believe that to be good which he most abhors. One would think he had taken the model of the government he proposes, from the monstrous tyranny of Ceylon, an island in the East Indies, where the king knows no other law than his own will. He kills, tears in pieces, empales, or throws to his elephants whomfoever he pleafes: no man has any thing that he can call his own: he seldom fails to destroy those who have been employ'd in his domestic service, or public offices; and few obtain the favour of being put to death and thrown to the dogs without torments. His subjects approach him no otherwise, than on their knees, licking the dust, and dare assume to themselves no other name than that of dogs, or limbs of dogs. This is a true pattern of Filmer's Patriarchical Monarch. His majesty, as I suppose, is sufficiently exalted; for he does whatever he pleases. The exercise of his power is as gentle as can reasonably be expected from one who has all by the unquestionable right of usurpation; and knows the people will no longer fuffer him, and the villains he hires to be the inftruments of his cruelty, than they can be kept in fuch ignorance, weakness and baseness, as neither to know how to provide for themselves, or dare to resist him. We ought to esteem ourselves happy, if the like could be established among us; and are much obliged to our author for fo kindly proposing an expedient

Sect. 43. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 365 pedient that might terminate all our disputes. Let proclamations obtain the power of laws, and the business is done. They may be so ingeniously contrived, that the antient laws, which we and our fathers have highly valued, shall be abolished, or made a fnare to all those that dare remember they are Englishmen, and are guilty of the unpardonable crime of loving their country, or have the courage, conduct and reputation requir'd to defend it. is the fum of Filmer's philosophy, and this is the legacy he has left to testify his affection to the nation; which having for a long time lain unregarded, has been lately brought into the light again, as an introduction of a popish successor, who is to be established, as we ought to believe, for the security of the protestant religion, and our English liberties. Both will undoubtedly flourish under a prince who is made to believe the kingdom is his patrimony; that his will is a law, and that he has a power which none may refift. If any man doubt whether he will make a good use of it, he may only examine the histories of what others in the same circumstances have done in all places where they have had power. The principles of that religion are so full of meekness and charity; the Popes have always shew'd themselves so gentle towards those who would not fubmit to their authority; the Jesuits who may be accounted the foul that gives life to the whole body of that faction, are so well natur'd, faithful and exact in their morals; fo full of innocence, justice and truth, that no violence is to be fear'd from such

as are govern'd by them. The fatherly care shew'd N to the Protestants of France, by the five last kings of 1. the house of Valois; the mercy of Philip the e second of Spain to his pagan subjects in the West:

r

h

Indies,

his hap abo ПО

re

pr

ha

no

Indies, and the more hated protestants in the Netherlands: the moderation of the dukes of Savoy towards the Vaudois in the marquifate of Saluzzo and the vallies of Piedmont; the gentleness and faith of the two Maries queens of England and Scotland; the kindness of the Papists to the Protestants of Ireland in the year 1641; with what we have reason to believe they did and do still intend, if they can accomplish the ends of their conspiracy; in a word, the sweetness and apostolical meekness of the inquisition, may fufficiently convince us that nothing is to be feared where that principle reigns. We may fuffer the word of fuch a prince to be a law, and the people to be made to believe it ought to be fo, when he is expected. Tho' we should wave the bill of exclusion, and not only admit him to reign as other kings have done, but refign the whole power into his hands, it would neither bring inconvenience or danger on the present king. He can with patience expect that nature should take her course, and would neither anticipate nor fecure his entrance into the possession of the power, by taking one day from the life of his brother. Tho' the Papists know that like a true fon, of their church, he would prefer the advancement of their religion before all other confiderations; and that one stab with a dagger, or a dose of poison, would put all under his feet, not one man would be found among them to give it. The affaffins were Mahometans, not pupils of the honest Jesuits, nor ever employ'd by them. These things being certain, all our concernments would be fecure, if instead of the foolish statutes and antiquated customs, on which our ancestors and we have hitherto doted, we may be troubled with no law but the king's will, and a proclamation may be taken for a fufficient declaration

367

of it. We shall by this means be delivered from that " Liberty with a mischief," in which our mistaken nation seems so much to delight. This phrase is so new, and so peculiar to our author, that it deserves to be written upon his tomb. We have heard of "tyranny with a mischief, slavery and " bondage with a mischief;" and they have been denounced by God against wicked and perverse nations, as mischiefs comprehending all that is most to be abhorr'd and dreaded in the world. But Filmer informs us that liberty, which all wife and good men have in all ages esteemed to be the most valuable and glorious privilege of mankind, is a mifchief. If he deserve credit, Moses, Joshua, Gideon, Samfon, and Samuel, with others like them, were enemies to their country, in depriving the people of the advantages they enjoy'd under the paternal care of Pharaoh, Adonibezek, Eglon, Jabin, and other kings of the neighbouring nations, and restoring them to that " liberty with a mischief" which he had promifed to them. The Ifraelites were happy under the power of tyrants, whose proclamations were laws; and they ought to have been thankful to God for that condition, and not for the deliverances he wrought by the hands of his fervants. Subjection to the will of a man is happiness, liberty is a mischief. But this is so abominably wicked and detestable, that it can deferve no answer.

19

1,

of

at

ld

nd

0-

er all he ch ay

on of

#### S E C T. XLIV.

No people that is not free can substitute delegates.

I O W full foever the power of any person or people may be, he or they are obliged to give only fo much to their delegates, as feems convenient to themselves, or conducing to the ends they defire to attain; but the delegate can have none except what is conferred upon him by his principal. If therefore the knights, citizens and burgeffes fent by the people of England to serve in parliament have a power, it must be more perfectly and fully in those that fend them. But (as was proved in the last section) proclamations, and other fignifications of the king's pleasure, are not laws to us. They are to be regulated by the law, not the law by them. They are to be confidered only fo far as they are conformable to the law from which they receive all the strength that is in them, and can confer none upon it. We know no laws but our own statutes, and those immemorial customs established by the consent of the nation; which may be, and often are changed by us. The legislative power therefore that is exercised by the parliament, cannot be conferred by the writ of fummons, but must be effentially and radically in the people, from whom their delegates and reprefentatives have all that they have. But, fays our author, "They must only choose, and trust those whom " they choose, to do what they lift; and that is as " much liberty as many of us deserve for our irregu-" lar elections of Burgesses." This is ingeniously concluded: I take what fervant I please, and when I have taken him I must suffer him to do what he pleases. But from whence should this necessity arise? Why may not I take one to be my groom, another

an

is t

ing

CXC

another to be my cook, and keep them both to the offices for which I took them? What law does herein restrain my right? And if I am free in my private capacity to regulate my particular affairs according to my own discretion, and to allot to each servant his proper work, why have not I with my affociates the freemen of England the like liberty of directing and limiting the powers of the fervants we employ in our public affairs? Our author gives us reasons proportionable to his judgment: "This were liberty " with a mischief; and that of choosing only is as "much as many of us deferve." I have already proved, that as far as our histories reach, we have had no princes or magistrates, but such as we have made, and they have had no other power than what we have conferred upon them. They cannot be the judges of our merit, who have no power but what we gave them, thro' an opinion they did or might deferve it. They may distribute in parcels to particulars that with which they are entrusted in the gross. But 'tis impossible that the public should depend abfolutely upon those who are nothing above other men, except what they are made to be, for, and by the public. The restrictions therefore of the people's liberty must be from themselves, or there can be none.

0

at

W

al

1;

ne

ne

of

he

2-

or,

m

as

ru-

fly

nen

he

lity

m,

ner

Nevertheless I believe, that the powers of every county, city and borough of England, are regulated by the general law to which they have all confented, and by which they are all made members of one political body. This obliges them to proceed with their delegates in a manner different from that which is used in the United Netherlands, or in Switzerland. Amongst these every province, city or canton making a diffinct body independent from any other, and exercifing the fovereign power within itself, looks VOL. II. upon

upon the rest as allies, to whom they are bound only by fuch acts as they themselves have made; and when any new thing not comprehended in them happens to arise, they oblige their delegates to give them an account of it, and retain the power of determining those matters in themselves. 'Tis not so amongst us: Every county does not make a distinct body, having in itself a fovereign power, but is a member of that great body which comprehends the whole nation. 'Tis not therefore for Kent or Suffex, Lewis or Maidstone, but for the whole nation, that the members chosen in those places are fent to serve in parliament: and tho' it be fit for them as friends and neighbours (so far as may be) to hearken to the opinions of the electors for the information of their judgments, and to the end that what they shall fay may be of more weight, when every one is known not to speak his own thoughts only, but those of a great number of men; yet they are not strictly and properly obliged to give account of their actions to any, unless the whole body of the nation for which they ferve, and who are equally concerned in their resolutions, could be affembled. This being impracticable, the only punishment to which they are subject if they betray their trust, is scorn, infamy, hatred, and an affurance of being rejected, when they shall again seek the same honour. And tho' this may feem a fmall matter to those who fear to do ill only from a fense of the pains inflicted; yet it is very terrible to men of ingenuous spirits, as they are supposed to be who are accounted fit to be entrusted with so great powers. But why should this be "Liberty with a mischief," if it were otherwife? or how the liberty of particular focieties would be greater, if they might do what they pleased, than whilst they send others to act for them, such wife men

fe

Ca

th 'T

me

mi

to

the

nat

like dul

dul

terr

Sect. 44. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. men only as Filmer can tell us. For as no man, or number of men, can give a power which he or they have not, the Achaians, Ætolians, Latins, Samnites and Tuscans, who transacted all things relating to their affociations by delegates; and the Athenians, Carthaginians and Romans, who kept the power of the state in themselves, were all equally free. And in our days, the united provinces of the Netherlands, the Switzers and Grisons, who are of the first fort, and the Venetians, Genoeses, Luccheses, who are of the other, are so also. All men that have any degree of common fense, plainly see, that the liberty of those who act in their own persons, and of those who fend delegates, is perfectly the same, and the exercise is, and can only be changed by their confent.

But whatever the law or custom of England be in this point, it cannot concern our question. The general proposition concerning a patriarchical power cannot be proved by a fingle example. If there be a general power every where, forbidding nations to give instructions to their delegates, they can do it no where. If there be no fuch thing, every people may do it, unless they have deprived themselves of their right, all being born under the same condition. Tis to no purpose to say that the nations before mentioned had not kings, and therefore might act as they did. For if the general thesis be true, they must have kings; and if it be not, none are obliged to have them, unless they think fit, and the kings they make are their creatures. But many of these nations had either kings, or other magistrates in power like to them. The provinces of the Netherlands had dukes, earls, or marquesses: Genoa and Venice have dukes. If any on account of the narrowness of their territories have abstained from the name, it does not B b 2 alter

alter the case; for our dispute is not concerning the name, but the right. If that one man, who is in the principal magistracy of every nation, must be reputed the father of that people, and has a power which may not be limited by any law, it imports not what he is called. But if in small territories he may be limited by laws, he may be so also in the greatest. The least of men is a man as well as a giant: and those in the West-Indies who have not above twenty or thirty subjects able to bear arms, are kings as well as Xerxes. Every nation may divide itself into finall parcels as fome have done, by the fame law they have restrained or abolished their kings, joined to one another, or taken their hazard of subfifting by themselves; acted by delegation, or restraining the power in their own persons; given finite or indefinite powers; referved to themselves a power of punishing those who should depart from their duty, or referred it to their general affemblies. And that liberty, for which we contend as the gift of God and nature, remains equally to them all.

If men who delight in cavilling should say, that great kingdoms are not to be regulated by the examples of small states, I desire to know when it was, that God ordained great nations should be slaves, and deprived of all right to dispose matters relating to their government; whilst he lest to such as had, or should divide themselves into small parcels, a right of making such constitutions as were most convenient for them. When this is resolved, we ought to be informed, what extent of territory is required to deserve the name of a great kingdom. Spain and France are esteemed great, and yet the deputies or procuradores of the several parts of Castille did in the cortez held at Madrid, in the beginning of \* Charles the fifth's

a

or

pa

COI

nei

ftil

do

infa

me

pals

<sup>\*</sup> Vida de Carlos 5º de Sandoval.

reign, excuse themselves from giving the supplies he defired, because they had received no orders in that particular from the towns that fent them; and afterwards receiving express orders not to do it, they gave his majesty a flat denial. The like was frequently done during the reigns of that great prince, and of his fon Philip the fecond. And generally those procuradores never granted any thing of importance to either of them, without particular orders from their principals. The fame way was taken in France, as long as there were any general affemblies of estates; and if it do not still continue, 'tis because there are none. For no man who understood the affairs of that kingdom, did ever deny, that the deputies were obliged to follow the orders of those who sent them. And perhaps, if men would examine by what means they came to be abolished, they might find, that the cardinals de Richlieu and Mazarine, with other ministers who have accomplished that work, were actuated by some other principle than that of justice, or the establishment of the laws of God and nature. In the general affembly of estates held at Blois in the time of Henry the third, \* Bodin then deputy for the third estate of Vermandois, by their particular order, proposed so many things as took up a great part of their time. Other deputies alledged no other reason for many things said and done by them, highly contrary to the king's will, than that they were commanded fo to do by their fuperiors. These general affemblies being laid afide, the same custom is still used in the lesser assemblies of estates in Languedoc and Britany. The deputies cannot without the infamy of betraying their truft, and fear of punishment, recede from the orders given by their principals; and yet we do not find that " liberty with a

at

at

X-

es,

ng

ad,

oft we

ory

ng-

and

eral

Ia-

th's

gn,

<sup>.</sup> Hift. Thuan.

" mischief" is much more predominant in France than amongst us. The same method is every day practifed in the diets of Germany. The princes and great lords, who have their places in their own right, may do what they please; but the deputies of the cities must follow such orders as they receive. The histories of Denmark, Sweden, Poland and Bohemia, testify the same thing: and if this "liberty " with a mischief" do not still continue entire in all those places, it has been diminished by such means as fuit better with the manners of pirates, than the laws of God and nature. If England therefore do not still enjoy the same, we must have been deprived of it either by fuch unjustifiable means, or by our own confent. But thanks be to God, we know no people who have a better right to liberty, or have better defended it than our own nation. And if we do not degenerate from the virtue of our ancestors, we may hope to transmit it intire to our posterity. We always may, and often do give instructions to our delegates; but the less we fetter them, the more we manifest our own rights: for those who have only a limited power, must limit that which they give; but he that can give an unlimited power must necessarily have it in himself. The great treafurer Burleigh faid, the parliament could do any thing but turn a man into a woman. Sir Thomas Moor, when Rich folicitor to king Henry the eighth asked him, if the parliament might not make R. Rich king, faid, that was Casus levis, taking it for granted that they might make or unmake whom they pleased. The first part of this, which includes the other, is afferted by the statute of the thirteenth of Q. Elizabeth, denouncing the most grievous punishments against all such as should dare to contradict it. But if it be in the parliament, it must

h

de

ot

gr

lia

a p

por

gat

but

ceri

must be in those who give to parliament-men the powers by which they act; for before they are chosen they have none, and can never have any if those that fend them had it not in themselves. They cannot receive it from the magistrate, for that power which he has is derived from the fame fpring. The power of making and unmaking him cannot be from himfelf; for he that is not, can do nothing, and when he is made can have no other power than is confered upon him by those that make him. He who departs from his duty defires to avoid the punishment, the power therefore of punishing him is not from himself. It cannot be from the house of peers as it is constituted, for they act for themselves, and are chosen by kings: and 'tis absurd to think that kings, who generally abhor all restriction of their power, should give that to others by which they might be unmade. If one or more princes relying upon their own virtue and resolutions to do good, had given fuch a power against themselves, as Trajan did, when he commanded the prefect to use the sword for him if he governed well, and against him if he governed ill, it would foon have been rescinded by their fuccesfors. If our Edward the first had made such a law, his lewd fon would have abolished it, before he would have fuffered himself to be imprisoned and deposed by it. He would never have acknowledged his unworthiness to reign, if he had been tied to no other law than his own will, for he could not transgress that; nor have owned the mercy of the parliament in sparing his life, if they had acted only by a power which he had conferred upon them. This power must therefore be in those who act by a delegated power, and none can give it to their delegates but they who have it in themselves. The most certain testimony that can be given of their unlimit-B b 4 ed

ne

10

er

2-

ny

as

th R.

it

ke

ich

the

nost

are

, it

ed power is, that they rely upon the wisdom and fidelity of their deputies, io as to lay no restrictions upon them: they may do what they please, if they take care ne quid detrimenti respublica accipiat, that the commonwealth receive no detriment. This is a commission fit to be granted by wise and good men, to those they choose through an opinion that they are so also, and that they cannot bring any prejudice upon the nation, that will not fall upon themselves and their posterity. This is also fit to be received by those, who seeking nothing but that which is just in itself, and profitable to their country, cannot foresee what will be proposed when they are all together; much less resolve how to vote till they hear the reasons on both sides. The electors must necesfarily be in the same ignorance; and the law which should oblige them to give particular orders to their knights and burgeffes in relation to every vote, would make the decision of the most important affairs to depend upon the judgment of those who know nothing of the matters in question, and by that means cast the nation into the utmost danger of the most inextricable confusion. This can never be the intention of that law which is fanctio recta, and feeks only the good of those that live under it. The forefight therefore of fuch a mischief can never impair the liberties of the nation, but establish them.

## S E C T. XLV.

The legislative power is always arbitrary, and not to be trufted in the hands of any who are not bound to obey the laws they make.

F it be objected that I am a defender of arbitrary powers, I confess I cannot comprehend how any fociety can be established or subsist without them;

fà

for the establishment of government is an arbitrary act, wholly depending upon the will of men. particular forms and constitutions, the whole series of the magistracy, together with the measure of power given to every one, and the rules by which they are to exercise their charge, are so also. Magna Charta, which comprehends our antient laws, and all the subsequent statutes, were not sent from heaven, but made according to the will of men. If no men could have a power of making laws, none could ever have been made; for all that are or have been in the world, except those given by God to the Israelites, were made by them; that is they have exercised an arbitrary power in making that to be law which was not, or annulling that which was. The various laws and governments, that are or have been in feveral ages and places, are the product of various opinions in those who had the power of making them. This must necessarily be, unless a general rule be set to all; for the judgments of men will vary if they are left to their liberty, and the variety that is found among them, shews they are subject to no rule but that of their own reason, by which they fee what is fit to be embraced or avoided, according to the feveral circumstances under which they live. The authority that judges of these circumstances is arbitrary, and the legislators shew themselves to be more or less wise and good, as they do rightly or not rightly exercise this power. The difference therefore between good and ill governments is not, that those of one fort have an arbitrary power which the others have not, for they all have it: but that those which are well constituted, place this power so as it may be beneficial to the people, and fet fuch rules as are hardly to be transgressed; whilst those of the other fort fail in one or both these points. Some also through

through want of courage, fortune, or strength, may have been oppressed by the violence of strangers, or fuffer'd a corrupt party to rife up within themselves. and by force or fraud to usurp a power of imposing what they pleased. Others being sottish, cowardly and base, have so far erred in the foundations, as to give up themselves to the will of one or few men. who turning all to their own profit or pleasure, have been just in nothing but in using such a people like beafts. Some have placed weak defences against the lusts of those they have advanced to the highest places, and given them opportunities of arrogating more power to themselves than the law allows. Where any of these errors are committed, the government may be easy for a while, or at least tolerable, whilst it continues uncorrupted, but it cannot be lafting. When the law may be eafily or fafely overthrown, it will be attempted. Whatever virtue may be in the first magistrates, many years will not pass before they come to be corrupted; and their fucceffors deflecting from their integrity, will feize upon the ill-guarded prey. They will then not only govern by will, but by that irregular will, which turns the law, that was made for the public good, to the private advantage of one or few men. not my intention to enumerate the feveral ways that have been taken to effect this; or to shew what governments have deflected from the right, and how far. But I think I may justly fay, that an arbitrary power was never well placed in any men and their fucceffors, who were not obliged to obey the laws they should make. This was well understood by our Saxon ancestors: they made laws in their affemblies and councils of the nation; but all those who proposed or affented to those laws, as soon as the affembly was diffolved, were comprehended under the power

Sect. 45. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 379 of them as well as other men. They could do nothing to the prejudice of the nation, that would not be as hurtful to those who were present and their posterity, as to those who by many accidents might beabsent. The Normans enter'd into, and continued in the same path. Our parliaments at this day are in the fame condition. They may make prejudicial wars, ignominious treaties, and unjust laws: yet when the fession is ended, they must bear the burden as much as others; and when they die, " the teeth of " their children will be fet on edge with the fower " grapes they have eaten." But 'tis hard to delude or corrupt fo many: men do not in matters of the highest importance yield to slight temptations. No man ferves the devil for nothing: small wages will not content those who expose themselves to perpetual infamy, and the hatred of a nation for betraying their country. Our kings had not wherewithal to corrupt many till these last twenty years, and the treachery of a few was not enough to pass a law. The union of many was not eafily wrought, and there was nothing to tempt them to endeavour it; for they could make little advantage during the fession, and were to be lost in the mass of the people, and prejudiced by their own laws, as foon as it was ended. They could not in a short time reconcile their various interests or passions, so as to combine together against the public; and the former kings never went about it. We are beholden to H-de, Cl-ff-rd and D-nby, for all that has been done of that kind. They found a parliament full of lewd young men chosen by a furious people in spite to the Puritans, whose severity had distasted them. The weakest of all ministers had wit enough to understand that such as these might be easily deluded.

corrupted.

corrupted, or bribed. Some were fond of their feats in parliament, and delighted to domineer over their neighbours by continuing in them: others prefer'd the cajoleries of the court before the honour of performing their duty to the country that employ'd them. Some fought to relieve their ruined fortunes, and were most forward to give the king a vast revenue, that from thence they might receive penfions: others were glad of a temporary protection against their creditors. Many knew not what they did when they annulled the triennial act, voted the militia to be in the king, gave him the excife, customs and chimney-money, made the act for corporations, by which the greatest part of the nation was brought under the power of the worst men in it; drunk or fober pass'd the five mile act, and that for uniformity in the church. This embolden'd the court to think of making parliaments to be the instruments of our slavery, which had in all ages past been the firmest pillars of our liberty. There might have been perhaps a possibility of preventing this pernicious mischief in the constitution of our government. But our brave ancestors could never think their posterity would degenerate into such baseness to sell themselves and their country: but how great foever the danger may be, 'tis less than to put all into the hands of one man and his ministers: the hazard of being ruin'd by those who must perish with us, is not so much to be feared, as by one who may enrich and strengthen himself by our destruction. 'Tis better to depend upon those who are under a possibility of being again corrupted, than upon one who applies himself to corrupt them, because he cannot otherwife accomplish his defigns. It were to be wished that

Sect. 46. CONCERNING GOVERNMENT. 381 that our security were more certain; but this being, under God, the best anchor we have, it deserves to be preserved with all care, till one of a more unquestionable strength be framed by the consent of the nation.

### S E C T. XLVI.

The coercive power of the law proceeds from the authority of parliament.

TAVING proved that proclamations are not laws, and that the legislative power, which is arbitrary, is trufted only in the hands of those who are bound to obey the laws that are made, 'tis not hard to discover what it is that gives the power of law to the fanctions under which we live. Our author tells us, that " all statutes or laws are made " properly by the king alone, at the rogation of the " people, as his majesty king James of happy " memory affirms in his true law of free monarchy; " and as Hooker teaches us, that laws do not take " their constraining power from the quality of such " as devise them, but from the power that giveth " them the strength of law." But if the rogation of the people be necessary, that cannot be a law which proceeds not from their rogation: the power therefore is not alone in the king; for a most important part is confessed to be in the people. And as none could be in them, if our author's proposition, or the principles upon which it is grounded were true, the acknowledgement of fuch a part to be in the people shews them to be false. For if the king had all in himself, none could participate with him: if any do participate, he hath not all; and 'tis from that law by which they do participate, that we

pa

we are to know what part is left to him. The preambles of most acts of parliaments manifest this by the words, "Be it enacted by the lords spiritual and " temporal, and commons in parliament affembled, " and by authority of the same." But king James, fays Filmer, " in his law of free monarchy " affirms the contrary;" and it may be fo, yet that is nothing to us. No man doubts that he defired it might be fo in England: but it does not from thence appear that it is fo. The law of a free monarchy is nothing to us; for that monarchy is not free which is regulated by a law not to be broken without the guilt of perjury, as he himself confessed in relation to ours. As to the words cited from Hooker \*, I can find no hurt in them. To draw up the form of a good law, is a matter of invention and judgment, but it receives the force of a law from the power that enacts it. We have no other reason for the payment of excise or customs, than that the parliament has granted those revenues to the king to defray the public charges. Whatever therefore king James was pleafed to fay in his books, or in those written for him, we do not fo much as know that the killing of a king is treason, or to be punished with death, otherwise than as it is enacted by parliament; and it was not always fo: for in the time of Ethelstan +, the estimates of lives were agreed in parliament, and that of a king valued at thirty thousand Thrymsæ. And if that law had not been alter'd by the parliament, it must have been in force at this day. It had been in vain for a king to fay he would have it otherwise; for he is not created to make laws, but to govern according to fuch as are

<sup>\*</sup> Speech in star chamber, 1616.

<sup>+</sup> Leg. Æthelstani, fol. 17.

made, and fworn to affent to " fuch as shall be " proposed \*." He who thinks the crown not worth accepting on these conditions, may refuse it. The words "Le roy le veut," are only a pattern of the French fashions, upon which some kings have laid great stress, and would no doubt have been glad to introduce " Car tel est nostre plaisir;" but that may prove a difficult matter. Nay in France itself, where that stile, and all the ranting expressions that please the vainest of men, are in mode, no edict has the power of a law, till it be registred in parliament. This is not a mere ceremony as some pretend, but all that is effential to a law. Nothing has been more common than for those parliaments to refuse edicts fent to them by the king. When John Chastel had, at the instigation of the Jesuits, stabb'd Henry the fourth in the mouth, and that order had defigned or executed many other execrable crimes, they were banished out of the kingdom by an arret of the parliament of Paris. Some other parliaments registred the fame; but those of Tholouse and Bordeaux absolutely refused, and notwithstanding all that the king could do, the Jesuits continued at Tournon and many other places within their precincts, till the arret was revoked. These proceedings are so displeasing to the court, that the most violent ways have been often used to abolish them. About the year 1650 +, Seguier then chancellor of France was fent with a great number of foldiers to oblige the parliament of Paris to pass some edicts upon which they had hesitated: but he was so far from accomplishing his defign, that the people rose against him, and he thought himself happy that he escaped with his life. If the parliaments do not in all parts of the kingdom continue in the liberty of approving or rejecting

<sup>·</sup> Quas vulgus elegerit.

all edicts, the law is not altered, but oppressed by the violence of the fword: and the prince of Condé who was principally employ'd to do that work, may, as I suppose, have had leisure to reflect upon those actions, and cannot but find reason to conclude, that his excellent valour and conduct was used in a most noble exploit, equally beneficial to his country and himself. However, those who are skilled in the laws of that nation do still affirm, that all public acts which are not duly examined and registred, are void in themselves, and can be of no force longer than the miserable people lies under the violence of oppression; which is all that could reasonably be said, if a pirate had the same power over them. But whether the French have willingly offer'd their ears to be bor'd, or have been subdued by force, it concerns us not. Our liberties depend not upon their will, virtue, or fortune: how wretched and shameful foever their flavery may be, the evil is only to themselves. We are to consider no human laws but our own; and if we have the spirit of our ancestors we shall maintain them, and die as free as they left us. " Le roy le veut," tho' written in great letters, or pronounced in the most tragical manner, can fignify no more than that the king in performance of his oath does affent to fuch laws as the lords and commons have agreed. Without prejudice to themfelves and their liberties, a people may fuffer the king to advise with his council upon what they propose. Two eyes see more than one, and human judgment is subject to errors. Tho' the parliament confift of the most eminent men of the nation, yet when they intend good, they may be mistaken. They may fafely put a check upon themselves, that they may farther consider the most important matters,

matters, and correct the errors that may have been committed, if the king's council do discover them: but he can speak only by the advice of his council; and every man of them is with his head to answer for the advices he gives. If the parliament has not been fatisfied with the reasons given against any law that they offer'd, it has frequently pass'd; and if they have been fatisfied, 'twas not the king, but they that laid it aside. He that is of another opinion, may try whether " Le roy le veut" can give the force of a law to any thing conceived by the king, his council, or any other than the parliament. But if no wife man will affirm that he can do it, or deny that by his oath he is obliged to affent to those that come from them, he can neither have the legislative power in himself, nor any other part in it than what is necessarily to be performed by him, as the law prescribes.

y

e

C

e

er

of

d,

ut

rs

n.

eir

e-

to

ut

ors

eft

rs,

can

of

ind

m-

the

ney

nan

ent

yet

en.

ves,

ant

ers,

VOL. II.

I know not what our author means by faying, " Le roy le veut is the interpretative phrase pro-" nounced at the passing of every act of parlia-" ment:" for if there be difficulty in any of them, those words do no way remove it. But the following part of the paragraph better deserves to be obferved. " It was, fays he, the antient cuftom for " a long time, until the days of Henry the fifth, " for the kings when any bill was brought to them " that had paffed both houses,. to take and pick out " what they liked not; and so much as they chose " was enacted as a law: but the cuftom of the lat-" ter kings hath been fo gracious, as to allow al-" ways of the intire bill as it passed both houses." He judiciously observes when our kings began to be gracious, and we to be free. That king (excepting the perfecution for religion in his time, which is rather to be imputed to the ignorance of that age,

than to any evil in his own nature) governed well; and as all princes who have been virtuous and brave have always defired to preferve their subjects liberty, which they knew to be the mother and nurse of their valour, fitting them for great and generous enterprizes, his care was to please them, and to raise their spirits. But about the same time, those detestable arts by which the mixed monarchies in this part of the world have been every where terribly shaken, and in many places totally overthrown, began to be practifed. Charles the feventh of France. under pretence of carrying on a war against him and his fon, took upon him to raife money by his own authority, and we know how well that method has been pursued. The mischievous fagacity of his son Lewis the eleventh, which is now called king-craft, was wholly exerted in the subversion of the laws of France, and the nobility that supported them. His fucceffors, except only Lewis the twelfth, followed his example; and in other nations, Ferdinand of Arragon, James the third of Scotland, and Henry the feventh of England, were thought to imitate him the most. Tho' we have little reason to commend all the princes that preceded Henry the fifth; yet I am inclined to date the general impairing of our government from the death of that king, and his valiant brothers. His weak fon became a prey to a furious French woman, who brought the maxims of her own country into ours, and advanced the worst of villains to govern according to them. These measures were pursued by Edward the fourth, whose wants contracted by prodigality and debauchery, were to be supplied by fraud and rapine. The ambition, cruelty and perfidiousness of Richard the third; the covetousness and malicious subtilty of Henry the seventh; the violent lust, rage and pride of

w

na

tha

OW

of Henry the eighth, and the bigotted fury of queen Mary, instigated by the craft and malice of Spain, persuaded me to believe that the English liberty did not receive birth or growth from the favour and goodness of their gracious princes. But it seems all this is mistaken; Henry the fixth was wife, valiant, and no way guided by his wife; Edward the fourth continent, fober, and contented with what the nation gave him; Richard the third mild, gentle and faithful; Henry the seventh fincere, and fatisfied with his own; Henry the eighth humble, temperate and just; and queen Mary a friend to our country and religion. No less praises sure can be due to those who were so gracious to recede from their own right of picking what they pleafed out of our laws, and to leave them intirely to us as they passed both houses. We are beholden to our author for the discovery of these mysteries: but tho' he seems to have taken an oath like that of the gypfies when they enter into that virtuous fociety, never to fpeak one word of truth, he is not fo fubtle in concealing his lies. All kings were trusted with the publication of the laws, but all kings did not falfify them. Such as were not wicked and vicious, or fo weak as to be made subservient to the malice of their minifters and flatterers, could never be drawn into the guilt of fo infamous a cheat, directly contrary to the oath of their coronation. They swear to pass fuch "laws \* as the people choose;" but if we will believe our author, they might have pick'd out whatever they pleased, and falsly imposed upon the nation, as a law made by the lords and commons, that which they had modelled according to their own will, and made to be different from, or contrary to the intention of the parliament. The king's

e

f

e

<sup>·</sup> Quas vulgus elegerit.

part in this fraud (of which he boafts) was little more than might have been done by the speaker or his clerks. They might have falfified an act as well as the king, tho' they could not fo well preferve themfelves from punishment. 'Tis no wonder if for a while no stop was put to such an abominable custom. 'Twas hard to think a king would be guilty of a fraud, that were infamous in a flave: but that proved to be a small security, when the worst of slaves came to govern them. Nevertheless 'tis probable they proceeded cautiously: the first alterations were perhaps innocent, or, it may be, for the best. But when they had once found out the way, they stuck at nothing that seemed for their purpose. This was like the plague of leprofy, that could not be cured; the house infected was to be demolished; the poifonous plant must be torn up by the root; the trust that had been broken was to be abolished; they who had perverted or frustrated the law, were no longer to be suffered to make the least alteration; and that brave prince readily joined with his people to extinguish the mischievous abuse that had been introduced by some of his worthless predecessors. The worst and basest of them had continual disputes with their parliaments, and thought that whatever they could detract from the liberty of the nation, would ferve to advance their prerogative. They delighted in frauds, and would have no other ministers but such as would be the instruments of them. Since their word could not be made to pass for a law, they endeavoured to impose their own or their fervants inventions as acts of parliaments, upon the deluded people, and to make the best of them subservient to their corrupt ends and pernicious counsels. This, if it had continued, might have overthrown all our rights, and deprived us of all that men call good in the

la

in

to

the world. But the providence of God furnished our ancestors with an opportunity of providing against so great, so universal a mischief. They had a wife and valiant prince, who fcorned to encroach upon the liberties of his subjects, and abhorred the detestable arts by which they had been impaired. He efteemed their courage, strength, and love, to be his greatest advantage, riches and glory. He aimed at the conquest of France, which was only to be effected by the bravery of a free and well-fatisfied people. Slaves will always be cowards, and enemies to their master: by bringing his subjects into that condition, he must infallibly have ruined his own designs, and made them unfit to fight either for him or themfelves. He defired not only that his people should be free during his time, but that his fuccessors should not be able by oblique and fraudulent ways to enflave them. If it be a reproach to us that women have reigned over us, 'tis much more to the princes that succeeded our Henry, that none of them did so much imitate him in his government as queen Elizabeth. She did not go about to mangle acts of parliament, and to pick out what might ferve her turn, but frequently passed forty or fifty in a session, without reading one of them. She knew that she did not reign for her felf, but for her people; that what was good for them, was either goodfor her, or that her good ought not to come into competition with that of the whole nation; and that she was by oath obliged to pass such laws as were presented to her on their behalf. This not only shews that there is no such thing as a legislative power placed in kings by the laws of God and nature, but that nations have it in themselves. It was not by law nor by right, but by usurpation, fraud and perjury that some kings took upon them to pick what they pleafed out of Cc 3 the

ir

ld

ve

in

ch

eir

n-

in-

led

to

if

our

in

the

the public acts. Henry the fifth did not grant us the right of making our own laws; but with his approbation we abolished a detestable abuse that might have proved fatal to us. And if we examine our history we shall find, that every good and generous prince has sought to establish our liberties, as much as the most base and wicked to infringe them.

# THE END.



#### LPHABE T

The Letters refer to the Volume, and the Figures to the Page.

ARON, vid. Mofes. Abdication, Camillus the dic-tator oblig'd to abdicate his magiffracy, i. 452.

Abrabam, and the patriarche not kings, i. 27, 33.

Could never exercise a regal power, i. 32. Liv'd with Lot in perfect freedom, i.

132. Abrogation, where a person abrogates the magistracy, i. 176, 316, 319. God declar'd Saul's kingdom to be in-

tirely abrogated, ii. 18.

A whole people, or part of them, may at their own Pleasure abrogate a king-

dom, ii. 19. What is faid to be so, ii. 121.

None can abrogate the law of God, ii.

178, 180. Absalom, his frevolt, ii. 22, 24.

Absolute power and monarchy, out in its first beginning, i. 31, 78. Of France and Turky, i. 78, 127,

217, 278, 280. ii. 185.

Burdensom and dangerous, i. 123.

Who fit subjects for it, i. 168, 262, 273, 276.

Scarce ever conquer'd a free people, i. 186, 199, 280, 292.

Who advocates for it, i. 188, 230. Nothing more mutable, or unftable, i.

189, 195. Can't be restrain'd by law, i, 195, 266,

269, 273. Where it should be of more strength than the limited, i. 197,

The fad effects of it, i. 119, 331, 373. 376, 377. ii. 185, 188, 363, 364.

Rome decay'd, and perish'd under it, i. 222.

The root and foundation of it, i. 238. 264, 267.

Not effablish'd among the Greeks by law, i. 248.

Encourages venality and corruption, is 262, 263, 361.

Advances the worst of men, i. 266, 268. 270, 327.

In what fense most contrary to nature, i. 272.

By whom only to be endur'd, i. 276, ii. 185.

The people under it always miserable, i.

278, 303, 308, 360. All things manag'd by one, or a very few men, i. 281.

Seems totally to be exempted from miltakes, and why, i. 310.

Almost all troubles arising in them, proceed from malice, i. 311.

Sedition most frequently in, and natural to them, i. 326, 330, 347, 350, 352.

By what means this arbitrary power is

fet up, i. 327, 359. Few or none long fubfift under it, i. 342. Where it cannot be introduc'd, i. 363. Integrity not to be found therein, i. 370.

What care such monarchs have for their people, i. 385, 386. Their chief labour is to be above the

law, i. 414.

Absolute power, no society can be establish'd, or subfift without it somewhere, ii. 376. Never

Never well plac'd in men and their fucceffors, if not oblig'd to obey the laws that should be made, ii. 378.

Abuses in government, when never to be reform'd, i. 399.

Account, to whom the people of Rome were to give theirs, i. 63.

Accusers, vid. false witnesses.

Acquisition, what right can be pretended from it, ii. 282.

Alls of Parliament, till the days of Henry V. for the most part were pen'd by the king's officers, ii. 235, 385. Vid. Statutes.

Adam, his fin, what, i. 6. His kingdom, i. 28.

Had only an oeconomical, not a political power, i. 129.

Adoption, wherein the folly of it, i. 87, 89, 90, 92.

What may be call'd so improperly, i.

Jacob adopted Ephraim and Manasseh,

Edvancements, ought ever to be for the fake of the publick, not of the Man, i. 113.

Have oftentimes made people worfe, ii. 111, 112.

Adversary, who a vitious prince reckons fuch, i. 387.

Adultery, became as common in Sparta, as in any part of the world, and why,

Cannot be dispens'd withal, even by the pope himself, ii. 272.

Affections of the people, the prince's most important treasure, i. 439.

Agefilaus, his great atchievements, i. 198,

His denial of Artaxerxes to be greater than He, ii. 49.

Xenophon's great character of him, and for what, ii. 86.

Agreements, the treachery of violating them aggravated by perjury, 1-321.

vid. Contracts.

Made by king John of France, when prisoner at London, and Francis under the same circumstances at Madid, reputed null, i. 424.

A good man performs them tho' he is a lofer by the bargain, ii. 143.

The necessity of standing to them from religion, and the law of nature, ii.

Between princes confirm'd afterwards by parliaments, &c. ii. 353.

grippa (Menenius) appeas'd one of the most violent seditions at Rome, and how, i. 310.

10 - 15

Abab's growing fick on Naboth's refufing him his vinevard, ii. 50.

When his house was to be cut off, ii.

Alexander of Macedon, thought to be meant by Aristotle for the man fram'd by nature for a king, i. 108, 113,

His extravagant frolicks, i. 193.

His fortune overthrew his virtue, i. 193, 199. ii. 337. His reign full of conspiracies, i. 331.

After his death the kingdom fell all to pieces, i. 241.

It is thought he dy'd by poison, i. 241,

Alexander of Epirus; in valour thought equal, in power little inferior to him,

i. 304. Alfred, Magna Charta grounded upon his laws, i. 18.

Acknowledg'd he found and left this kingdom perfectly free, ii. 292. Alienation, vid. Dominion,

Allegiance, is such an obedience as the law requires, ii. 250, 303. Cannot relate to a whole nation, ii. 303.

Alliances, i. 143, 216, 381.

all things in their beginning are weak, i.

Art

Ari

Ari

Aril

T

H

W

Pro

Armie

Change by length of time, i. 306. Altar, the horns of it no protection to wilful murderers, ii. 84.

Ambassadors, from whence kings have their right of fending them, ii. 267, 353. Charles Gustavus, his excellent saying to one, ii. 277.

From the Privernates, their brave and resolute answer to Plautius the conful, when they were fuing for a peace, ii.

302. From the effates of Scotland, to Q. Eliz. ii. 341.

Ambition, honest and wife men expose the folly of it, i. 90, 123.

Can never give a right to any over the liberties of a whole nation, i. 144. Tends to publick ruin, i. 247.

Is the overthrow of flates and empires, i. 263, 265.

Man naturally prone to it, i. 266. What it prompts to, 1. 336.

Has produc'd more mischiefs than any other defires and passions, i. 342, 350,

St. Ambrose, seems to have no knowledge of the gothick polity, ii. 68.

Ancestors, what we ought to do, if we will be juft to them, ii. 314, 389.

Never thought their pofferity would so degenerate, as to sell themselves and their country, ii. 380. By

By exerting their vigor we shall maintain

our laws, il. 384.

Augli, or Saxons; Tacitus his description of their coming hither, and that they had the root of power and liberty in

themselves, ii. 244.
Antiochus, his vain boaft to destroy Greece

and Italy, i. 199. How foon he loft all he poffest in Greece, &c. i. 283.

Apostles, what their work was in relation to the civil flate, i. 326. ii. 90, 94,

Appanages, in France to the king's brothers, produc'd very bad effects, i. 242.

Or to their fons, but they remain fill fubject to the crown, i. 427. ii. 161. Appeals, the right of them to the people,

i. 211, 212, 220, 234, 257, 321. ii. 85, 114.

None from parliamentary decrees, i. 317. To whom they were made when there

was in Rome no superior magistrate in being, ii. 114. No pardoning a Man condemn'd upon

one, ii. 356.

An inflance of it, ii. 357.

Arcana imperii, how to be meddled with, 1. 12, 13, 14.

Ariant, as cruel as the Pagans, i. 194. Aristocracy, what, i. 38, ii. 130.

For whom best, i. 107.

How fet up, i. 116.

Was the Jewish government, i. 170, 175, 432.

Who patrons for it, 1. 276. The Lacedemonians for it, i. 433.

Whether it feems establish'd by nature, 11. 202.

Aristotle, flighted by Filmer, i. 20. Commendation of him, i. 102, 183. His notion of civil focieties, i. 105, 107,

112, 113, 122, 169, 416. Who he thought was fram'd by nature for a king, i. 108, 113, 192. Wherein he highly applauds monarchy,

i. 183, 1S4.

Thinks the first monarchs were but little restrain'd, because they were chosen for their virtue, i. 419.

His diffinction between a king and a tyrant, ii. 50. 201.

Who, he fays, are govern'd by God, rather than by men, ii. 123, 124

Proves that no man is entrusted with an absolute power, ti. 201.

Armies, of the east and west, set up emperors for themselves, i. 206. Out of what fort of men they are to be

form'd, i. 303. Vid. mercenary and foldiery. Arminius, kill'd for siming at a crown, which blemish'd all his other virtues,

ii. 100, 243.

Arms, those just and pious that are necesfary, and those necessary, when there was no hope of fafety by any other way, ii. 342.

Artaxerxes, and his army overthrown by the valor of 10000 Grecians, i. 197, 198.

Artificer, what fort of one he is to whom implicit faith is due, i. 12.

Affemblies, that took their authority from the law of nature, confider'd, i. 141. General of the people, i. 175, 176, 178.

The use of them, i. 195. ii. 246. Of the estates in France, brought now to nothing, i. 243.

Settled the crown on Pepin, i. 348. What they ought to see perform'd, i. 428.

Had the power always of the whole

people in them, ii. 73. In them none judge for themselves, ii. 116.

The greatest trust that can be was ever repos'd in them, ii. 238.

Inconsistent with the absolute sovereignty of kings, ii. 250.

Refus'd giving supplies to their kings in Spain and France, without orders from their principals, ii. 372, 373.

Affgrians, vid. Eastern nations. That empire wholly abolish'd on the death of Belfhazzar, i. 191.

Their valor irrefistible under Nebuchadnezzar, i. 299.

Little more known of them than from fcripture, i. 334.

Abaliab, more ready to cry out treason than David, i. 313. Deftroy'd the king's race, and by whom h kill'd, i. 530. vid. Queen.

Atbens, its government not so much approv'd as that of Sparts, i. 249. Banish'd some worthy men, and put

others to death, i. 250.

The cruelty of the thirty tyrants there, i. 251.

As much inclin'd to war as Rome, L.

The thirty tyrants destroy'd by Thrasybulus, 1. 315 Their Offracism proceeded solely from

fear, i. 412. Was not without laws when the had

kings, ii. 109. Arbletick habit, what, i. 214, 215, 231.

Auila, call'd the scourge of God, and glory'd in the name, ii. 132. Avarice, the evil effects of it, i. 191.

Augustus

Augustus, Rome's longest peace under his Bartbolomew de las Casas, (a Spanish bireign, i. 219, 331.

What happen'd in it, i. 224.

end of his reign, i. 226.

his commands, ii. 206.

Whether he truly deferv'd to be call'd the head of the Romans, ii. 331.

The name of Augustus is a title belonging to the German emperor at this day, ii. 285.

Austria, Spain after many revolutions came to this, i. 306.

Princes of this bouse pretend to know their original, ii. 152.

The German empire came to Rodolphus of this name about 300 Years ago, ii. 154.

What claim this house has to the crown of France, ii. 163, 270.

Authors of great revolutions, their aims, i. 263

Axioms in law, are evident to common fense, and nothing to be taken for one that is not fo, ii. 222.

Abel, vid. nations. D Babylonian kings, what right is pretended to be in them, i. 54, 55. Monarchy rul'd by force, the stronger turning out the weaker, i. 190.

How its pride fell, i. 203. Little more known of its monarchy than from scripture, i. 334.

Babylon and Sufa trembled at the motion of the Grecian arms, i.

There were a people there before Nimrod was king, ii. 207, 208.

Balance of power, where and how it is broken, i. 438. ii. 311, 312.

Nations have fuffer'd extremely thro'want of this prudence in rightly balancing, &c. ii. 338.

In what manner power should be balanc'd for the advantage and fervice of the ftate, ii. 361.

Banishment, not above 5 or 6 men suf-fer'd unjustly in the Roman government, in the space of about 300 years, i. 211, 215, 254. The temporary of that Athenians never

accounted as a punishment, i. 250.

Camillus recall'd from it, and afterwards honour'd, i. 252.

Barbarorum regna, i. 416.

Baron, the extent of the name, ii. 254, 255, 260. Baronagium, what, i. 140. ii. 253, 255.

shop) his admirable saying concerning the office of a king, i. 68.

Was worse in the beginning than latter Baffompierre, his saying about Rochel, i. 397.

Had thirty mercenary legions to execute Baftards, those children can inherit nothing, i. 156.

Declar'd with relation to crowns, i. 160. Advanc'd before legitimate brethren, i.

B

Br

E

E

Buck

C

Burg

236. 237. ii. 158, 159, 162, 279. In some places wholly excluded, i. 340. Beggars of a king to Samuel, fuch as would not be deny'd, i. 180.

Parliaments no beggars, ii. 140, 141.

Belial, who his fons, i. 183.

Bellarmine's tenents, i. 7, 9, 20, 21, 24, 25, 37.

Benjamites, how they proceeded in preparing for their defence, i. 178.

Beff men, content with a due liberty under the protection of a just law, i. 273, 274. 11. 172, 174.

Have ever been against absolute monarchy, i. 277.

Despis'd, hated and mark'd out for deftruction, i. 395. ii. 175.

Have their affections and passions, and are subject to be misled by them, i. 416. ii. 196.

Were so esteem'd who destroy'd tyranny,

Whom they had need to fear, ii. 81. Can never wholly diveft themselves of passions and affections, ii. 215

Birth-right, gives no right to fovereign dominion, i. 149.

Some have been accus'd of supposititious births, ii. 168.

Bishops, the king's power in making them, i. 382.

What words of fervitude are faid to be introduc'd by them among us, ii. 98. Blake, the terror his fleet ftruck every where, i. 402.

Blood, by God's law, that man's is to be shed, who sheds anothers, ii. 75, 76. The nearest in blood set aside, when , 'twas thought more convenient to ad-

vance others, ii. 156, 157, 158.

Boccalini, the princes of Europe angry with him for his definition of a tyrant, vid. I Cent. 76. Advertise-

ment, i. 417.

Bought and fold, how places come to be fo, i. 367, 371.

Senates and people can't fo eafily be, i.

368, 371.

Bourbon, Henry of that name, not admitted to the crown till he abjur'd his religion, and promis'd to rule by law, 1. 425.

Bracton,

Bratton, his words, Omnes sub eo, & ipse fub nullo, &c. how to be underflood,

What he calls Effe fub lege, ji. 112, 121.

What, he fays, the king is oblig'd to do by his oath, ii, 229, 230.

His faying about evil, or unskilful judges, ii. 230.

Bretbren, all fo by nature, i. 44.

Nature abhors a difference in specie between them, i. 126.

Where a fraternal equality continu'd, i. 132, 133.

Are equals, i. 149.

ing

10-

50.

i.

79.

10.

blu

.

11-

der

3,

ar-

le-

nd

y,

of

ous

m,

18.

ery

be

16.

en

ıd-

gry

e-

10,

i.

d-

his

w,

nt,

What advanc'd some above the rest, i. 172, 173

The governed fo call'd, by their magiftrates, ii. 329.

Bribery, natural to courts, i, 260, 261, 361, 363.

Seeks the largest bidder, i. 298.

Can't corrupt, where virtue is prefer'd, i. 365.

The way to prevent it, i. 369, 370. A noble person turn'd out of a confiderable office, as a scandal to the court, for being an enemy to this vice, i. 369.

Parliaments not fo eafily to be prevail'd

upon, ii. 376. Britain, shamefully loft, i. 221.

Kings impos'd upon the Britains by the Romans, and to what end, ii. 10, 231. Severe affertors of their liberties, ii. 211.

241. Tho' they chose commanders in their wars, yet they kept the government

in themselves, ii. 242.

Ever had their great councils to determine their most important affairs, ii. 245. Brutus, found it dangerous to be thought

wife, and why, i. 322, 342. Establish'd liberty and the consulate to-

gether, ii. 184. Buchanan, join'd to Doleman, i. 7. His character of K. James the third, i. 422.

Concerning the violation of the laws of Scotland, ii. 119.

Burgundy, continu'd in Hugh Capet's eldeft fon Robert, and his descendents for ten generations, ii. 162.

1 ii. 61.

Julius fubverted all order, by invading the supreme magistracy by force, i. 205, 241. ii. 63, 327. Worle than Tarquin, i. 246.

By what means he fet up his tyranny, i. 261. 11. 43.

Cafar, Julius, scarce any prince had for many good qualities, till they were extinguish'd by ambition, i. 263.

Design'd to make himself a tyrant, i. 269.

Thought all things lawful, when the consulate was deny'd him, i. 336.

When the power of the Romans was exprest by this name, ii. 62.

Had a diadem offer'd him by Mark

Anthony, ii. 97.

How observant he was of his word, when taken by pyrats, ii. 142. The flate of the Roman empire after

his usurpation, ii. 188.

Augustus his power not given, but ulurp'd, ii. 205, 206.

Julius, what account he gives of our affairs here, ii. 210, 241

When, if ever, fear enter'd into his heart, ii. 242.

Cafars, never call'd kings till the 6th age of christianity, ii. 284.

Julius, in despair would have kill'd himfelf, ii. 327.

Cain, had no dominion over his brethren after Adam's death, i. 120.

From whence his fear (that every man would flay him) proceeded, ii. 7.

Caligula, his wish that the people had but one neck, i. 20, 101, 322, 380. ii. 332.

A monster of mankind, i. 53. ii. 116. His making love to the moon, i. 86. His expedition, when he faid, he had

fubdu'd the fea, i. 221.

Valerius Asiaticus appeas'd the guards, by faying, he wish'd he had been the man had kill'd him, i. 325. ii. 117.

Murder'd by his own guards, i. 332. Affected the title of being call'd God, which Claudius Cæfar calls Turpem caii infaniam, i. 411.

vid. ii. 97. Whose minister he might be said to be,

Said of him, that no man ever knew a better fervant, nor a worfe mafter, ii. 108. Wherein he plac'd his sovereign majesty,

ii. 189.

Calvin, his opinion of the government inflituted by God, i. 170, 176. Camden, his credit forfeited by a great

number of untruths, ii. 252.

Æfar, of giving him his due, i. 16, Campus Martius, was the land that belong'd to the kings of Rome (not above ten acres) afterwards confecrated to Mars, ii. 49.

Cardinals, the respect paid them, who have the power of chufing popes, i. 128.

Cartbage,

Carthage, how she grew to that excess of power that only Rome was able to overthrow, i. 230, 290.

One of the most potent cities in the

world, i. 291.

Castile, the lords thereof had no other title for many ages than that of Count, which was afterwards chang'd to that of king, without any addition to the power, ii. 286.

Concerning the states thereof erring, ii.

322.

Catiline, his temper, i. 232.

One of the lewdest men in the world, i. 261.

Ceffet Processus, said to be annex'd to the person of the king, ii. 355.

Ceylon, an island in the East-Indies, where the king knows no law but his own will, ii. 364.

Change, of government, no proof of irregularity or prejudice to it, by those that have right, i. 209, 247.

Nothing found more orderly, i. 236. A mortal one in root and principle, i.

In government unavoidable, i. 244.

What changes deserve praise, i. 245. Where the wisdom of it is shewn, i.

Where they are requisite sometimes, ii. 216.

What are for the worfe, ii. 312.

Charter of liberty, not from men, but from God, ii. 289.

Parliaments interpos'd their authority in these matters, ii. 319.

Chatham, the city of London's dread at the Dutch fleet's burning our ships there,

Cheat of Mario Chigi, brother of Alexander VII. upon the fale of corn, i. 377. ii. 70.

Cilld or children, a wife one, Eccl. 4. 13. i. 50, 86, 166, 405. ii. 70.

Their duty is perpetual, i. 93, 119. ii.

I, 3, 12. Of God and of the Devil, i. 97, 101. If children then heirs, i. 125.

Wo to thee, O land, when thy king is a child! i. 127, 163.

On what account children are admitted to rule, i. 164.

What understood by the word child, i. 166.

They do not always prove like their parents, i. 370. ii. 120.

Children, the danger of having them to be Common-wealths, for what end inflituted, kings, i. 406.

The law gives them relief against the severities of their parents, ii. 9.

Were punish'd with death, and for what, ii. 9.

Christianity, has been split into variety of opinions, ever since it was preach'd, i. 202.

The first professors were of the meanest of the people, il. 89.

St. Paul's work was to preferve the professor of it from errors concerning the state, ii. 90.

Sufficiently prov'd to be antient, if prov'd to be good and true, ii. 213. Gities, confift of equals, i. 105, 112.

What makes them free, il. 289.

Cives, v. incolæ, Civil war, v. war,

Cleantles, his philosophical reply to Ailflippus, about flattery, i. 364.

Clergy, the veneration our ancestors had for them, ii. 250.

Collectors, their extortions, i. 375.

Commanders, after the captivity, with thought most fit, i. 46.

Of armies, who best to be made so, i. 106.

The best among the Greeke and Romans in their times, would not know how to manage an army now, ii. 310, 311.

Where they have been put to death for misbehaviour, &c. ii. 320.

Commands of a master, how far the servant is bound to obey them, &c. ii. 379, 380.

Commission, from God, what, i. 98.

They who hereby grant authority, do always retain more than they give, ii. 217, 373.

Comitia Centuriata, what, ii. 109.
Commons, whether they had a part in the

government, ii. 248.

Always had a place in the councils that manag'd it, ii. 250.

Many of them in antiquity and eminency, little inferior to the chief of the titular nobility, ii. 251, 258.

The nation's ftrength and virtue in them, ii. 258.

How all things have been brought into the king's and their hand, ii. 313.

Yet never can be united to the court, ii. 313.

Have refus'd to give their opinions in many cases, till they had consulted with those that sent them, ii. 320. mmon-wealths, for what end instituted,

All the regular kingdoms in the world are so, i. 37, 104.

Of Italy, not without valour and virtue, 1. 226. How they feck peace and war, i. 286. Whether better to constitute one for war or trade, i. 290. Another fort compos'd of many cities affociated together, and living æquo jure, i. 292. fuch like, to the supreme power, i. 357. In them all men fight for themselves, 1. 360. When the laws are abolish'd, the name alfo reafes, i. 360. Less flaughter in these, than in absolute kingdoms, i. 373. Of Greece and Italy, why call'd nurferies of virtue, i. 378. Juffice very well administer'd in them, ii. 79. How they may be fav'd from ruin, ii. 315 Competitors, fovereigns do impatiently bear them, i. 34. Where their own fwords have decided their difputes, i. 312, 341. Contests between them relating to the crown, are often very bloody, i. 344, 347, 348, 352, 353, 354, Compulsion; he that will suffer himself to be compel'd, knows not how to die, 1. 95, Conquest, what is so call'd, 1. 39, 62, 63. William I. had the name of Conqueror odiously given to him, i. 145. The extent thereof not the only thing to be confider'd, i. 220, 221. Some common-wealths hereby defign'd to enlarge their territories, i. 288. Some conquerors never deferv'd the name of usurpers, ii. 77. The king can't dispose of a conquer'd country, because 'tis annex'd to the office, ii. 268. 'Tis the people that conquer, not any one man by his own ftrength, ii. 282. Conscience, how it ought to be regulated, ii. 94. Confent general, to refign part of their liberty for the good of all, is the voice of nature, i. 19, 39, 271. First confer'd power, i. 33, 37, 62, 133, 134, 162.

Government arises from it, i. 63.

The right of mugistrates essentially de-

66, 134, 146, 444, 447.

at,

etv

eft

the

on-

if

13.

li-

had

who

10,

Ro.

not

ow,

eath

fer-

ಆ ..

rity,

they

the

ncils

mi-

chief

251,

e in

t in-

, 11,

ourt,

ns in

alted

320.

ated,

the

Of

pends upon it, i. 146, 147, 416. ii. 153, 198, 209. None to tyrannies, i. 147. No compleat lawful power without it, i. 150, 162, 277, 315. Of the three estates in many places requir'd, to pass a law, i. 434. Whitfoever proceeds not from it, must be de facto only, ii. 283. Seldom advance women, children, or Conftantine the great, with what blood he was polluted, i. 343, 437. His power kept up hereditary, but with extreme confusion and disorder, i. 447. Conflitutions of every government to whom refer'd, i. 63. Human subject to corruption, and therefore to be reduc'd to their first principles, i. 210. Where new ones are necessarily requir'd, i. 244. That the best, which is attended with the least inconveniencies, i. 254. Who endeavours the subversion of them, i. 274. ii. 314. Of common-wealths various, i. 287. ii. 280. Of the northern nations that invaded the Roman empire, i. 289 The temper of that of the United Provinces, i. 294. Good ones remain, tho' the authors of them perish, i. 300. The falutary ones made by men, God approves and ratifies, ii. 83. Of a flate aims at perpetuity, Are made for and by the people, ii. 183. What the imperfections of all human conftitutions, ii. 215. Our antient, has been wholly inverted, ii. 257. What are most to be commended, it. 308, 336. Of no value, if there be not a power to support them, ii. 308. Confuls, made of Plebeians, how feldom chosen, and with what prudence, 1. 210. Represented kings, and were vested with equal power, i. 234, 238. ii. 101, 293. Were created after the expulsion of Tarquin, i. 245, 437. Confuls, strangers rais'd to that honour, i. 238. Tho' supreme in power, yet subject to the people, i. 317, 452. ii. 46. Marius continu'd 5 Years in the office, i. 437.

Only for a year, i. 452.

Title of dread fovereign might justly have been given to them, ii. 293.

If they grew infolent, how they might be reduc'd, ii. 309.

Contracts, how fram'd between nations and their kings, ii. 14, 34, 36, 303. Vid. judges, nations, original.

Enter'd into by princes before their investiture, ii. 88.

The breaking them overthrows all focieties, ii. 141.

In writing, faid to be invented only to bind villains, and why, ii. 142.

All are mutual, and whoever fails of his part discharges the other, ii. 148. Contrariorum contraria est ratio, ii. 91.

Controversies, with other nations, the decision of them left to judges chosen by mutual agreement, ii. 345.

Coriolanus duly condemn'd by the Romans, i. 251.

Coronation oath, i. 149, 151, 162, 163.

Norman kings oblig'd to take it, ii. 73.

How far our kings are oblig'd to observe it, ii. 135, 137, 141, 144, 146, 147, 148, 226, 296.

Corporations, or bodies politick, what places were thought fit by the king and council to be made so, ii. 318.

Corruption, natural to courts, instances given, i. 260, &c. 267, 361.

Of ministers in foreign courts, i. 280. The effect of that which proceeds from the government in particular instances, i. 305. ii. 349.

Of a people tends to tyranny, i. 327. Makes princes titles good, and how, i.

Where it certainly most abounds, i. 360, 363, 367.

Must always be opposed by free governments, and why, i. 363, 367.

The basest, but most lucrative traffick, i. 367.

In the head, must necessarily diffuse itfelf into most of the members of the common-wealth, i. 368, 399.

A just prince that will hear his peoples complaints himself, prevents it, i. 371. Corruption, rooted in the very principle of absolute monarchy, which cannot sub-

fift without it, i. 370, 371.

Mankind naturally propense to it, ii. 48.

Of judgment proceeds from private pas-

fions, ii. 116.

Of members of parliament, ii. 379.

Counfellors, made choice of according to the temper of the prince, i. 14, 388. ii.

129, 130. Signify little to an absolute monarch, i. 280, 306.

In the multitude of them generally is fafety, ii. 321.

Whether those of the king are exposed to punishments, and for what, ii. 357, 285.

Council of feventy chosen men, i. 175.

God's connsels are impenetrable, i. 203, A wile and good one cannot always supply the defects, or correct the vices of a young or ill-dispos'd prince, ii. 129.

Where it is of no use unless princes are oblig'd to follow it, ii. 131.

Of Toledo, what it made the prince to fwear before he was plac'd in the royal feat, ii. 165.

Of what persons it antiently confifted, ii. 252, 253, 318.

Cu

Sle

No

David

His

t

Hov

His

The

ii.

Why

ful

ii. Wife

for Citize

the

Death,

How

General, how exprest antiently by authors, ii. 261.

When the boldest are most safe, ii. 340. The king is oblig'd to act, cum magnatum & sapientum consilio, ii. 358.

He can speak only by their advice, and every man of them is with his head to answer for the advice he gives, ii. 385.

Council-Table, the proceedings and jurifdiction of it regulated by 17 Car. 1. cap. X. ii. 237.

Courtiers, what things are inseparable from their lives, i. 368.

their lives, i. 368.

Their phrase is, To make as much profit of their places as they can, i. 368.

A wife prince's faying to some about him of such, i. 369.

Wherein their art consists, i. 383. ii. 360.

The modern ones by their names and titles, what they are apt to put us in mind of, ii. 251.

When they speak most truth, ii. 295. Of Philip III. and IV. of Spain, their sottishness, ii. 316.

Cowards, the cruellest of men, i. 412.

Crown, how the English became heredita-

ry, i. 156, 160. Crown, chang'd from one family to another by the estates of the realm, i. 237. v. n. 1, 2, 159, 161, 238, 239.

Comprehends all that can be grateful to the most violent and vitious, i. 335. Hereditary or elective, it has its defects,

i. 370.

The Spartan transported into nine several families, i. 420.

Of France, is from the people, i. 425, Where none would accept it, but such as did not deserve it, i. 437.

Arminius flain for aiming at one, ii.

Of England, upon what conditions accepted, ii. 144, 145.

Five different manners of disposing crowns esteem'd hereditary, ii. 149.

Of

Of Spain not fix'd in a line, but difpos'd of as the nobility and people thought fit, ii. 157.

Many and bloody contests for one, ii,

198, 298, 299.

The demeasns of it cannot be alienated, n. 268.

The parliament's power of giving and taking it away, ii. 299.

Some have fear'd the luftre of it, ii.

He that wears it can't determine the affairs which the law refers to the king, ii. 352, 357.

Curio, by corruption made an instrument of mischief, who had been an eminent

supporter of the laws, i. 264. Cuftom, the authority of it, as well as of law, confifts only in its rectitude, ii. 211, 212. The various ones us'd in election, ii.

317, 319.

Had its beginning and continuance from the universal consent of the nation, ii. 359.

The reason why we pay customs, ii.

382.

i.

m

i.

ır

1-

i.

9.

to

5.

s,

rzł

ch

114

IC-

VTIS

Of

Avid, the most reverend king, had his posterity depriv'd, and his kingdom at last came to the Asmonean race, i. 46, 47.

Chose and anointed king by the tribe of Judah, i. 163. ii. 22.

Slew the fons of Rimmon, and why,

1. 163 Not without his infirmities and punish-

ments, 1. 192.

David, who were his followers, notwithstanding the justice of his cause, ii. 22. 1. 329.

His affection towards his people, ii. 9. His refisting of Saul, without assuming the power of a king, ii. 18, 19, 20. How his heart smote him when he had

cut off Saul's skirt, ii. 20. His war with Saul, how grounded, ii.

There were many rebellions against him,

How he fear'd men more than God, ii.

Why he commanded Solomon not to fuffer Joab to go to the grave in peace,

Wife as an angel of God, ii. 322. Death, of no eminent Roman, except one, for a long time, i. 211.

the Roman law, 1. 257.

Of Barnewelt, and de Wit, i. 294, 395.

Often the reward of fuch fervices as can't be sufficiently valu'd, i. 342.

Of the nearest relations, regnandi causa, The bitterness of it, how asswag'd, i.

439.

Very few suffer'd in Sparta for above 800 Years, ii. 85.

Decemviri, only for a year, i. 240. For two years, ii. 114.

To regulate the law, i. 245. ii. 109. Patricians favour'd them, i. 310.

Proceeded against as private men, when they continu'd beyond their time, i. 316.

Us'd with great gentleness, i. 359.

The Romans eafily beaten under them,

The power given to them, fine provocatione, was only in private cases, ii.

Why the people deftroy'd both their power and them, ii. 114.

Decision of the most difficult matters, antiently left to the priefts in all countries, ii. 346.

In England, where made by judges and: juries, and where by parliaments, it.

357, 358. Defence, all terminates in force, i. 299,

300. Whether better for every man to fland in his own defence, than to enter into societies, i. 324

Of one's felf, natural, ii. 32, 59. Delatores, see Roman empire, i. 204. Delegated persons, their powers, to whom

refer'd, i. 137, 140, 143. In general assemblies, i. 143

How binding the acts of fuch are to the whole nation, i. 146.

Where the king acts by this power, it. 358.

Can have no power but what is confer'd on them by their principals, ii. 367.

How those in the United Provinces, and in Switzerland are us'd, ii. 369.

Deputies of Castile, refus'd in the Cortez to give Charles V. the supplies he defir'd, and why, ii. 373.

Deliverers of their countries from oppreffions, how they have been efteem'd in all ages, i. 15.

What gifts God bestow'd on them, i. 50, 172, 187, 203.

Often rewarded with inheritances, i. 137. Their actions carry their own justification, i. 325.

Citizens to be condemn'd in publick by Democracy, God faid to be the author of it, i. 21, 179.

Persect,

Perfect, what, i. 38. For whom best, i. 107, 176, 233. How instituted, i. 117. A democratical embally, i. 175, 176. Of the Hebrew government, i. 176. Never good but in small towns, i. 233, 247, 248. Pure, if it be in the world, the author has nothing to fay to it, i. 268.

Where it would prove the most just, rational and natural, i. 272. To what mistakes most liable, i. 310.

With whom in a ftrict fense it can only fuit, i. 432.

Denmark, the last king thereof overthrew in one day all the laws of his country, i. 265.

The crown elective till 1660, and then made hereditary, ii. 153, 279,

In fome parts thereof the whole volumes of their laws may be read in few hours, ii. 222.

The authority of their kings faid to have been for above 3000 years, ii. 241. Nobleman and gentleman the same there, 11. 255.

Deposition of princes, faid to be the doctrine of Rome and Geneva, i. 7.

Deposition for misgovernment, and others plac'd in their rooms, i. 139, 160, 236, 237, 405, 424. 11. 23, 105, 211, 317.

Kings, when depos'd, lofe the right of sending ambassadors, ii. 353.

The practice of France and other countries, i. 160, 242, 348, 353, 408. ii. 158, 159, 160, 161, 163, 238.

For religion differing from the body of the nation, i. 160, 165, 237. If they become enemies to their people,

i. 323. For their ill lives, ii. 88, 89.

Of Wamba, a gothick king, who was made a monk after he had reign'd many years well, ii. 157.

Defertion, the cause of it, i. 280.

Common to all absolute monarchies, i.

Of foldiers, i. 398, 400, 401. Detur Digniori, i. 48, 49, 67, 107. Diffator, from whence his power arole, i.

211. 11. 46. Cæsar made himself perpetual, i. 217. Made occasionally at the beginning, i.

240, 241, 246. A mortal change in root and principle,

Why Mamercus and others had this hon-

our, i. 252, 393. Tho' supreme, yet subject to the people, if they transgrest the law, i. 317,450. 11. 294.

His power but for fix months at mof. i. 452. ii. 101, 114.

Camillus in his fourth dictatorship threaten'd with a fine, i. 452.

The commission that was given them, what, ii. 278.

How high the Romans carry'd the power and veneration due to them, ii. 294.

None ever usurp'd a power over liberty till the time of Sylla, ii. 309.

Diets, vid. eftates of the realm, and parliaments. General affemblies.

Difference between a lawful king and ty. rant, i. 122, 126, 410. ii. 52, 127. Between brethren, only in proportion, i. 126.

Between lord and fervant in specie, not in degree, i. 126.

Between men fighting for their own interest, and such as serve for pay, i. 398.

Between senates and absolute princes, i. 445.

Difference between magistrates to whom obedience is due, and to whom not, 11. 95, 97

Between good and bad princes, is from the observation or violation of the laws of their country, ii. 106.

Dioclefians faying, Bonus, cautus, optimus imperator venditur, i. 362.

What made him renounce the empire, is 362.

Dionyfius, his tyranny deftroy'd by the Syracusians, i. 20.

His character, i. 53, 384, 385. Diogenes his faying of him, i. 385. Discipline, the excellency of the Roman,

i. 258, 259, 284. The like in all nations that have keep

their liberty, i. 285. The effects of good and bad, i. 393

395, 397. The excellency of the Spartan, i. 420.

Dispensing power, our kings have it no beyond what the law gives them, it

Diffimulation, what, i. 4071

Diffolute persons fitteft subjects for absolute monarchs, i. 169, 262, 327.

Abhor the dominion of the law, i. 270 388.

Doctrine; fome by that which is falle, polfon the fprings of religion and virtue

Dominion, equally divided among all, universal liberty, i. 42. Of the whole world can't belong to on

man, i. 73, 78. Nor over a nation, ever inseparable

unite

E

Ele

I

A

united to one man and his family, i. 105, 126, 127, 132, 150. Deeds by which the right of it is con-

fer'd, i. 162.

rea-

19WC

erty

bat-

ty-

127.

tion,

not |

n in-

y, 1.

es, i.

whom

not,

from

f the

timus

re, i.

ne Sy-

5.

oman,

e kept

393

. 420

it no

em, Il

bfolute

1. 270

e, pol-

virtue

all,

to ch

parabl

unite

Ufurp'd by the ruin of the best part of mankind, i. 261.

Of France not to be alienated, i. 427. Every child of a parent can't inherit it,

i. 441. How, and by whom it was confer'd, i. 442. ii. 286.

Implies protection, ii. 290.

Dominus, its fignification, i. 128.

The Romans often call'd lords of the world, ii. 259.

Domitian, his character, i. 380.

Tacitus calls him an enemy to virtue, ii. 81.

Duels in France, who only could refuse challenges from any gentleman, ii.

Dukes of Venice, Moscovy, &c. i. 320. Duke, earl and vicount, the names of ioffices, ii. 268.

Where thefe names are subjects, and where little less than fovereigns, ii. 286.

Duty, arising from a benefit receiv'd, must be proportionable to it, ii. 12.

Of a magistrate, whit, ii, 81.

Of no man to deny any one that which is his due, much less to oppose the minister of God in the exercise of his office, ii. 91.

to them, ii. 102,

Aftern nations what remarkable for, i.

Force is the prevailing law with them, i.

Were and are ftill under the government of those, whom all free people call tyrants, ii. 54, 184.

Solomon's prudent advice to private perfons living under the government of thefe countries, ii. 69.

Edward II. imprison'd and depos'd by his parliament, ii. 375.

IV. his wants supply'd by fraud and rapine, ii. 386.

Election, most princes chosen by the people, i. 137, 138, 139, 149, 165.

Prov'd from scripture instances, i. 139, 144, 149, 163, 164, 176. ii. 18,

From examples of the Saxons, i. 144, 145. ii. 87, 88, 252, 253.

Makes a right, 1. 147, -162, 163.

The various ways of electing princes, i.

Frequent chufing of magistrates makes nurferies of great and able men, i. 284, 301, 390.

The prudence of election surpasses the accidents of birth, i. 303.

Of kings in France, i. 425, 426. ii. 159, 160, 161.

Of Charles Gustavus to the crown of Sweden, ii. 277.

The various customs us'd in chusing parliament-men, ii. 317, 318.

Elective kingdoms, ii. 155.

Elizabeth, queen, her government, not without fome mixture of blood, i.

Elizabeth, what power made her capable of the fuccession, ii. 169, 273.

Her character and failings, ii. 195; The earl of Morton fent ambaffador to her by the estates of Scotland, to justify their proceedings against Q. Mary, 11. 341.

None fo much imitated Henry V. as fhe, ii. 389.

Eminent, the most, ought only to be advane'd to places of publick trust and dignity, i. 66, 67, 116, 117.

Most obnoxious to be taken off, i. 213, 255, 256, 385.

Are most fear'd, 1. 342, 347. When these are most impatient, i. 347. The pillars of every flate, i. 347.

What to be expected from, and render'd Emperors, Roman, three or four, and at one time thirty, who call'd themfelves by this name, i. 242.

Endeavour'd to make their power hereditary, i. 446.

The height of their regal majefly, ii. 28, 29.

St. Austin's, and Ulpian's saying, that they were subject to no law and why, ii. 68, 193.

Some foully polluted themselves with innocent blood, ii. 92.

The title never solemnly affam'd by, nor confer'd on them, ii. 97, 98.

Theodofius confest, it was the glory of a good one to own himself bound by law , ii. 193.

The present one in Germany, an account of him, ii. 323, 324.

Empire, grounded on the pope's donation, i. 68.

Gain'd by violence is meer tyranny, i. 151, 155.

Acquir'd by virtue, can't long be supported by money, i. 206.

The calamities which the Roman fuffer'd, i. 246.

Settled in Germany, i. 350. Dd

Empire,

Empire, what made Dioclesian to renounce the empire, i. 362.

Under what fort of governors it was ruin'd, i. 392. Of the world divided between God and

Cæfar, ii. 179, 393, 445. Not oblig'd by any flipulation of the emperor without their confent, ii. 353. Enacting laws, continu'd in the people of

Rome, i. 210, 220.

Enemy of a nation, who, i. 66, 315, 378,

Every man is a foldier against him, i.

311. Who the most dangerous to supreme magistrates, i. 324. ii. 170.

Who is so to all that is good, i. 363. Who is so to virtue and religion, is an enemy to mankind, i. 378, 383.

Those that know they have such abroad, endeavour to get friends at home, ii.

Sycophants the worst enemies, ii. 134, 389.

How a king declares he has none when he comes to the crown, ii. 199.

A prince that feeks affiftance from foreign powers, is fo, ii. 332.

England, how the crown became hereditary, i. 156.

The flate of it fince the year 1660, i. 232.

How, and when the glory of our arms was turn'd into shame, i. 300.

How the fuccession of her kings has been, i. 339, 340. ii. 239.

Her wars with France meerly upon conteffs for the crown, i. 351.

Her miseries by our civil contests, i. 354,

When her reputation and power was at a great height, i. 402.

Whether our kings were ever proprietors of all the lands, ii. 41.

The naked condition of our ancestors upon Cæfar's invasion, ii. 59.

Has no dictatorian power over her, ii.

Ever a free nation, and chose her own kings, ii. 145, 262.

Made her own laws, ii. 242, 244, 263. We know little of the first inhabitants, but what is involv'd in fables and obfcurity, ii. 210.

them inconvenient, ii. 222.

Wherein she ought to be compar'd with Rome, ii. 231.

Brave in William I's time, when the faw her laws and liberties were in danger, il. 232.

What has cost her much blood, and has

been the cause of our late difficulties,

Our fecurity, the best anchor we have, ought to be preferv'd with all care, ii,

The general impairing of her may be dated from the death of Henry the fifth, ii. 386.

Epaminondas, forfeiture of his life (tho' fav'd) for ferving his country longer than the time limited, i. 316.

Epbori, establish'd to restrain the power of kings, i. 155, 420. ii. 238. When they were created, ii. 335.

Equality, in all by nature, i. 5, 9, 17, 24, 43, 44, 62, 105. ii. 202, 343.

Just among equals, i. 105. Civil fociety compos'd of equals, i. 118.

Leagues don't imply absolute equality between parties, i. 163.

Fa

Fe

Ė

Fath

C

T

A

W

W

W

En

Th

Favou

The

W

Ho

Fauftin

fi

Where 'tis hard to preserve a civil equality, i. 214. Popular, to what 'tis an enemy, i. 328.

Of right, what is call'd liberty, i. 442. Kings under this law with the rest of the people, ii. 27.

Equals can't have a right over each other, ii. 289, 345.

No nation can have an equal within itfelf, ii. 345. Error, all subject to it, i. 215, 252, 311,

386.

Discover'd by the discourse of a wife and good man, i. 358.

By it popular governments may fometimes hurt private persons, i. 379.

A polite people may relinquish those of their ancestors, which they have been guilty of in the times of their ignorance, ii. 212.

Would be perpetual, if no change were admitted, ii. 216.

Where they are in government, tho' it may be easy for a while, yet it cannot be lafting, ii. 378.

Escheat of the crown for want of an heir, 1. 70, 72.

Estates of the realm, divided the kingdom of France, i. 426. ii. 160, 161, 238, 277, 278, 279.

Their power of voting, i. 434. Have disposed of crowns as they pleased, ii. 160, 161, 238, 277, 278, 279. Vid. Parliaments, affemblies general.

The great number of our laws make Evil, what is so of itself, by continuance is made worfe, and on the first opportunity is justly to be abolish'd, ii. 241.

Eutropius, when a flave pick'd pockets, Ce. but when a minister he fold cities, &c. i. 367.

Excommunication denounc'd on the violators of magna charta, ii. 139.

F.

Fabius Maximus, one of the greatest and best of men that ever Rome produc'd, i. 212.

duc'd, i. 212.
Fabius, Quintus, in danger of his life for fighting without order, the he gain'd a fignal victory, ii. 294.

Factions about regal power and succession, how to be prevented, i. 341.

The convultions they make, i. 347, 352. ii. 313.

Of the Guelphs and Ghibelins, i. 375, 376.

Virtue and vice were made the badges of them, i. 388.

Faith, implicit, to whom it belongs, i.

Families, none antienter than other, and why, i. 84, 85.

What requir'd to make a compleat one, i. 120.

When our ancestors sent to seek a king in one of the meanest of them in Wales, i. 343.

of

ch

it-

11,

ınd

10-

of

een

00-

ere

' it

an-

eir,

dom

61,

fed,

279.

ance

por-241. cets,

ties,

ola-

al.

None that does not often produce weak, ignorant, or cruel children, ii. 104, 105.

Tamous men for wisdom, virtue and good government, i. 261, 273, 301.

Have eyes, and will always fee the way they go, ii. 175.

Fathers, the power of fatherhood belongs only to a father, i. 36, 38, 85, 93. Cruel princes no fathers of their country,

i. 65. ii. 52, 53, 132, 133. Their character indelible, i. 84, 93, 119.

Adoption of them abfurd, i. 87, 89, 90, 93, 94.
Who deferve the obedience due to natu-

Who deferve the obedience due to natural parents, i. 89.

By usurpation, i. 98, 99, 136.
The fifth commandment how explain'd,
i. 100.

Who has the right of fathers, i. 118,

When it ceases, i. 119, 129.

Embrace all their children alike, i. 124,

There is a fort of tyrant that has no father, ii. 132, 133.

ther, ii. 132, 133.
Favourites, not always the bast of men,
i. \$9, 90.

Their influence over princes, i. 195, 196. Whom the monarch commonly makes fuch, i. 363.

How their exorbitant defires are gratify'd, i. 446.

Faufina, two of them, who by their afcendency tarnish'd the glory of Antoninus Pius and Marcus Aurelius, i.

Fear, what it transports a wicked man to

Renders communities gentle and cautious, i. 414.

Pu's people on desperate courses, i. 437.
What is the measure of it to that magistrate who is the minister of God, ii. 86.

Fear, when, if ever, said to enter Casar's heart, ii. 242.

Felicity, man's, where plac'd, i. 6.

Females, vid. women

Excluded from all offices in the commonwealth, ii. 152.

Figurative expressions, all have their strength only from similitude, ii. 328.

Filmer, his right of all kings, i. 1, 170, 172, 174.

Takes the world to be the patrimony of one man, i. 2, 3, 410.

Uses not one argument but what is falle, nor cites one author but whom he has perverted or abus'd, i. 4. ii. 125.

And his partisans, why reserv'd to this age, i. 9. ii. 234.

His business is to overthrow liberty and truth, i. 11. ii. 387.

His bitter malice against England, i. 16.
Makes God the author of democracy,
i. 21.

His lord paramount over children's children to all generations, i. 26, 27, 28. His opinion, to whom all kings are reputed next heirs, i. 51.

Where his kingly power escheats on independent heads of families, i. 70, 84-

His adoption of fathers of provinces, for what, i. 90.

His notion, That we must regard the power, not the means by which it is gain'd, i. 92, 136. ii. 19, 191.

His diffinction between eligere and infituere, i. 148, 149. His vile abusing of the reverend Hooker.

i. 149, 162. His notion of begging a king, i. 174.

Absolute monarchy to be the nursery of virtue, i. 187.

Attributing order and flability to it, i.

Attributing order and stability to it, i.

Imputing much bloodshed to Rome's popular government, i. 214.

His backdoor, sedition and faction, i.

236, 239. His opinion, That the worft men in Rome thriv'd best, ii. 247, 253.

That the nature of all people is to defire libery without refraint, i. 271.

Filmer, his eypher of the form of mix'd governments, i. 277.

That there is a necessity upon every people to chuse the worst men, for being most like themselves, i. 301.

His description of the tumults of Rome,

Affirms that more men are flain in popular than absolute governments, i. 378.

The extent of his cruelties of a tyrant,

i. 379.

His attributing ignorance and negligence to popular governments, i. 389.

His notion, That the virtues and wifdom of a prince supply all distempers of a state, i. 403.

That there is no fuch tyranny as that of a multitude, i. 408.

That kings must be absolute, i. 414, 415.

His notion of a king's ceasing to be so, i. 415, 430.

His whimfy about democracy, i. 433.

That all the people's liberties flow from the gracious concessions of princes, i. 440. ii. 40.

That there is no coming at a king, if he break his contract with his people, i. 449.

That a father of a family governs it by no other law than his own will, ii. 3. That patient obedience is due both to

kings and tyrants, ii. 4. That there were kings, before any laws

were made, ii. 6.

That Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, and
Moses were kings, ii. 30.

That Samuel by telling what a king would do, instructed the people what they were to suffer, ii. 31, 35.

they were to fuffer, ii. 31, 35.

That inconveniences and mischiefs are fome of the effentials of kingly government, ii. 38.

That all laws are the mandates of kings, ii. 40.

His ridiculous faying, Those that will have a king, ii. 45.

That the Jews did not ask a tyrant of Samuel, ii. 52.

Samuel, ii. 52.

That the people's cries are not always an argument of their living under a tyrant, ii. 54.

That our Saviour limits and diffinguishes royal power, ii. 61.

That the tribute Cæfar impos'd was all their coin, ii. 64.

Cites Bracton, as a patron of the abfulute power of kings, ii. 75.

That St. Paul's higher powers meant only a monarch that carries the fword, &c. ii. 78.

That kings are not bound by the positive laws of any nation, ii. 93, 124.

That the original of laws was to keep the multitude in order, ii. 103.

That laws were invented for every particular subject to find his prince's pleasure, ii. 110.

That kings are above the laws, ii. 114. Filmer, his opinion when princes degenerate into tyrants, ii. 119.

That tyrants and conquerors are kings and fathers, ii. 125.

That the king swears to observe no laws, but such as in his judgment are upright, Sc. ii. 135.

That kings are not oblig'd by voluntary oaths, &c. ii. 241.

Hisprinciplesanimadverted on, ii. 171, 172. That obedience is due to a command, tho' contrary to law, ii. 176, 177.

That the king's prerogative to be above the law, is only for the good of them that are under it, ii. 182.

His equivocal king, ii. 188.

His opinion, That the law is no better than a tyrant, ii. 197. That a perfect kingdom wherein the

That a perfect kingdom wherein the king rules by his own will, ii. 200.

That the first power was the kingly in this nation, ii. 207.

That all judges receive their authority from the king, ii. 221.

Fo

Is

Th

W

Wh

Forfeit

Fortun

How

34

That the power of kings can't be refirain'd by act of parliament, ii. 235. That the king is the author, corrector and moderator of both flatute and

common law, ii. 240. His trivial conceits about parliaments, the time when they began, &c. ii.

240, 246, 247. His notion about usurpers and lawful

kings, ii. 271.

That the liberties claim'd in parliament, are liberties of grace from the king, and not of nature to the people, ii.

That the people's language is submissive to the king, but his is haughty to them, ii. 293.

That kings may call and diffolve parliaments at pleafure, ii. 314.

Admires the profound wildom of all kings, ii. 321.

A bitter enemy to all mankind, ii. 364, 365.

His book here lately reprinted, as an introduction to a popish successor, ii. 365.

His meaning of Le roy le veut, ii. 381, 384, 385.

Flatterers, what the vileft of them date not deny, i. 13.

Flatterers,

Flatterers, what call'd by Tacitus, i. 227.

The Hebrew kings not without them,
i. 329.

Their pernicious advices to what end given, i. 336.

Weak princes most subject to them,

i. 346, 362, 366, 396. Cleanthes's faying to Ariftippus, i. 364. By what handle they lead their princes, i. 383.

Have made their valour ridiculous, i. 400. By whom thought the best friends, and most worthy of great trusts, ii. 175. The author's wish, that princes would abhor these wretches, ii. 230.

Almost ever encompass crown'd heads,

Ficets, when ours were very famous, i. 402. Florence, the seditions there, and in Tus-cany, i. 375.

More depopulated now than any part of that province, tho' formerly in a few hours it could bring together 135000 well-arm'd men, i. 376. ii. 185.

Folly; mankind does not univerfally commit, and perpetually perfift in any, i.

Some are fools at 40 years of age, i. 405. Lord chancellor Egerton fild he did not fit to relieve fools, ii. 143.

in

ity

12.

35.

tor

and

nts,

11.

wful

ent,

ing,

, 11.

flive

y to

par-

all

364,

an in-

r, 11.

381,

crers,

Force, where 'tis the only law that prevails, i. 190.

What the Romans gain'd by the valour of their forces, i. 222, 223.

All defence terminates therein, i. 297, 428.

Those that use it, must perfect their work or perish, i. 311.

Or fraud, in opposition to the laws of one's country, is absolutely monarchical, i. 359.

When the Spartan kings were overthrown by it, i. 420.

Is generally mortal to those that provoke it, i. 429.

To be us'd, when there is no help in law, i. 438. ii. 105, 340.

Can never create a right, i. 444. ii. 14, 282.

The French under a force they are not able to refift, ii. 40, 41.

What is gain'd by it may be recover'd the same way, ii. 153, 282.

When all disputes about right naturally end in force, ii. 303.

Forfeiture of liberty, how can it be, ii. 289.

Fortune, of all things the most variable, i.

How right is made a flave to it, i. 340, 341.

Fortune of a prince, supplys all natural defects, i. 403.

France, the falick law there, i. 81, 158. ii. 150, 151.

Her antient kings right how confer'd on them, and what was her antient government, i. 155. ii. 280.

Her revenge on England for all the overthrows the receiv'd from our ancestors, i. 232.

Frequently divided into several parties, i.

Her races of kings four times wholly chang'd, i. 243, 344, 346, 406,

The miferable condition of her people, i. 278, 348, 349, 400, 401. ii, 306, 383.

Her greatest advantages have been by the mistaken counsels of England, i. 279, 280.

Her bloody contests and slaughters for the crown, i. 344, 347, 349, 351, 352. Had ten base and slothful kings, call'd

Les roys faineans, i. 346, 406. The regal power limited, i. 351, 425.

Had four kings depos'd within 150 years, i. 350.

Civil wars frequent there, tho' not so cruel as formerly, i. 351.

Compar'd with Venice, i. 396.
Her foldiers running from their colo

Her foldiers running from their colours, i. 400.

Her general affemblies continu'd the exercife of the fovereign power, long after Lewis XI's death, i. 423, 424.

Had never any kings but of her own chusing, i. 423.

Henry V. of England most terrible to her, i. 424.

Their laws made by themselves, and not

impos'd on them, i. 424. The people have understood their rights, i. 426.

The reason of the people's miseries, ii.

The right to the crown is in a great meafure from the law of that country. Inflances hereof, ii. 160, 161.

She does not allow her kings the right of making a will, ii. 161.

By whom the power of conferring the fovereignty was exercis'd, ii. 278.

By the people's increasing the power of their mafter, they add weight to their own chains, ii. 306.

Her king can't be call'd the head of his people, and why, ii. 333.

Le roy le veur, & tel est notre plaisir, are French rants; but no edict there has the power of a law, till it be register'd in purliament, ii. 383.

Dd 3 France

France the conquest of her only to be effect - Cermany, the power of this emperor, i. 421. ed by the bravery of a free and well fatisfy'd people, ii. 389.

Franks, foon incorporated themselves with the Gauls, i. 290.

Fraud, accounted a crime fo deteftable, as not to be imputed to any but flayes, ii. 232.

All wicked defigns have been thereby carry'd on, ii. 325,

Who delight in it, and will have no other ministers but such as will be the instruments of it, ii. 388.

Free, what nations so esteem'd, i. 18. ii. 184. Vid. popular governments.

Men, how to know ourselves such, i. 18, 132, 133, 181. ii. 148, 184.

People, scarce ever conquer'd by an abfolute monarch, i. 186, 199.

Philip of Macedon confes'd his people to be free men, i. 199. The Britons were lo, because govern'd

by their own laws, il. 241, 242.

Men, or noblemen, exempt from burdens, and referv'd, like arms, for the

uses of war, ii. 257. Free cities, what and who made them, 11, 289.

Frugality, the virtues that are upheld by it, ii. 48.

Fruits of our corrupt nature, i. 169.

Own labour, i. 174 Recover'd liberty, i. 236.

Victory, how gain'd and loft, i. 300. Always of the same nature with the feeds from whence they come, i. 395.

Y Enealogies, the Hebrews exact in ob-I ferving them, i. 4, 448.

Of princes nicely to be fearch'd into, would be dangerous to some crowns, i. 73, 75, 78. ii. 282.

Of mankind very confused, i. 72, 443. 11. 152.

Of being the eldeft fon of the eldeft line of Noah, i. 443.

Ceneva and Rome, wherein they only can concur, i. 7.

Cenea, how it is govern'd, i. 234, 292. By whom that city was infected, i. 292. Centlemen, at this day comprehends all that

are rais'd above the common people, 11. 254.

Germanicus his modefly in refusing the empire, was the occasion of his death, i. 343. if. 144.

Germany, how govern'd, i. 234. ii. 243. Equal to the Gauls in fortune, fo long as Rome was free, i. 304, 305. The power of the German kings, 1 421.

From thence our original and government is drawn, ii. 86. When she had no king, ii. 100.

The emperors thereof restrained by laws, ii. 105.

The Western empire, how it came hither, ii. 154.

Tacitus's account of the people's valour, ii. 243:

Not imaginable, that these people, jealous of liberty, should conquer this country to enflave themfelves, ii. 247.

No monarchy more limited, ii. 294. Gheonites by deceit got Joshya to make a league with them, ii. 143.

Gladiators were flaves, i. 215, 226. Their fury extinguish'd with their blood,

God, with an equal hand, gave to all the benefit of liberty, i. 22.

What fort of kings he approves in scripture, i. 26.

Can fave by few as well as by many, i. 28.

Always conftant to himfelf, i. 48. ii,

Never prescrib'd any rule about dividing the world, i. 75

The fountain of juffice, mercy and truth, i. 97, 110.

By a univerfal law gave no rule for the making of kings, i. 148.

Is our lord by right of creation, i. 181. How he endow'd the rulers of his people, i. 187.

His secret counsels impenetrable, i. 2031 What the usual course of his providence, 1. 229.

Helps those who juffly defend themselves, i. 298.

His general ordinance, i. 313. Gave laws to the Jews only, i. 338.

When he refus'd to bear the cries of his people, ii. 16, 28, 36.

His anointed and accurled, ii. 21. A law in Rome, that no God should be worship'd without the consent of the fenate, il. 92.

Cood; every one feeks their own, according to the various motions of their mind, i. 64, 134, 409

The publick, the end of all government, i. 160. ii. 5, 58, 117, 118. Is more observ'd in mixt than absolute

ones, i. 389.

Of mankind depends on religion and virtue, 1. 383. Call'd evil, and evil good, ii. 81.

Actions always carry a reward with them, ii. 172.

W

Por

For

guishing between them, i. 47. Good men, who deferve to be call'd fo, i. How they obey their princes, i. 363. Know the weight of fovereign power, and doubt their own ftrength, ii. 337. Goodness is always accompany'd with wifdom, ii. 119. Cofpel, the light of the spiritual man, i. Corbs, their polity, i. 234. ii. 190. For above 300 years never contracted marriages, nor mix'd with the Spaniards, i. 290. Seiz'd Rome, whilst Honorius was looking after his hens, i. 305. Subdu'd by the Saracens in one day, i. Grew famous by the overthrow of the Roman tyranny, ii. 68. Concerning succession to the crown during their reign, ii. 149. Not above four in 300 years time were the immediate successors of their fathers, ii. 156. In Spain overthrown by the Moors, ii. 157, 348. Their kings in Spain never ventur'd to ticular, i. 12, 184. An ordinance of God, i. 22, 25.

dispute with the nobility, ii. 239. Their kingdom never reftor'd, ii. 348. Government, the original principles of it to be examin'd, and our own in par-

The feveral forms thereof left to man's choice, i. 22, 25, 39, 63, 64, 74, 75, 83, 116, 124, 130, 131, 134, 168, 209, 233, 268, 272, 432.

Of the lawfulness of changing it, confider'd, i. 23, 209, 236. ii. 59. Despotical differs from the regal, i. 28,

29, 103. The ground of all just governments, i.

ii.

ng

h,

he

ole,

031

ice,

ves,

his

d be

the

ord-

their

nent,

folute

d vir-

them,

Good

38, 258, 277. ii. 225. No man has it either for or from himfelf, i. 48, 164, 315, 416. ii. 127 None ever began with the paternal

power, i. 53.

To whom the constitution of every government is refer'd, i. 63.

All for the good of the people and their pofterity, i. 97, 106, 122, 134, 164, 172, 184, 220, 268, 297, 298, 302. ii. 131, 213, 308.

Is a collation of every man's private right into a publick flock, i. 103, 104, 130. Where just, it has ever been the nurse of virtue, i. 104, 114.

Popular for whom best, i. 107.

For whom inflituted, i. 122, 125, 259. What fort was ordain'd by God, i. 174.

Good and evil, but three ways of diftin- Government, the effects of one well order'd, i. 203.

What only deferves praife, i. 222, 225. How the best has been compos'd, i. 233,

Regal had a mixture of aristocratical and democratical in them, i. 238.

Whence the denomination of all is ta-

ken, i. 238, 250, 432. The foundation remaining good, the fuperstructures may be alter'd, i. 239, 246, 247, 308. ii. 53, 59.

When it cannot fubfift, i. 252.

The effects of a disorder'd one, i. 255. All subject to corruption and decay, i. 267. None impos'd on men by God or nature, 1. 271, 277.

Mixt compar'd with absolute, i. 274. ii. 365.

What the only just one, by consent of nations, i. 277.

What may be imputed to it, i. 284. None ever freer from popular seditions than Switzerland, i. 294.

That the best which best provides for war, i. 296, 299, 302.

None without civil wars, tumults and feditions, i. 309, 372, 374.

The end for which it is constituted, i.

324, 326, 414. ii. 290. The Roman, how introduc'd, i. 331.

Expos'd to the most infamous traffick, i.

Of what parts it may confift, i. 432. Is a great burden to the wife and good, i. 436, 437. ii. 106, 131, 186.

To reform it, is to bring it to its first

principles, i. 438. ii. 348. Questions arising concerning our own, must be decided by our laws, and not by the writings of the fathers, ii. 65. St. Paul's obedience to higher powers,

must be understood to all forts of government, ii. 78.

What would make all in the world magna latrocinia, ii. 94.

When the ends of it are accomplish'd, ii. 18g.

Most of them have been mixt, at least good ones, ii. 190. 364.

What only is reckon'd good, ii. 203. Our own the same with the Roman in principle, tho' not in form, ii. 231.

From whence the great variety of them proceeds, ii. 308.

The English, not ill constituted, ii. 309. By what means the foundations may be remov'd, and the superstructure overthrown, ii. 312.

In a well conflituted one the remedies against ill magistrates are safe and easy, ii. 335.

Dd4

Government,

the institution of a good one, ii. 349.

Where it is either intirely to be chang'd, or reform'd according to its first inflitution, ii. 389.

The bleffings of an absolute one, ii. 365,

366.

Its establishment is an arbitrary act, wholly depending upon the will of man, ii. 377.

Governors appointed to execute the laws for the good of the people, i. 104, 109, 126, 317. ii. 347

The law appoints helps for their infirmities, and restrains their vices, i. 436.

The people's creature, ii. 30, 46, 289, 344.

Where they are removable, if they will not be reclaim'd, ii. 348.

The good and bad make the people happy and miserable in their turns, ii. 363.

Grants of K. John to the pope, declar'd by parliament to be unjust, illegal, &c. ii. 140.

What implies an annihilation of some grants, ii. 204.

Where they ought well to be prov'd, that the nature and intention of them may appear, ii. 265.

Of what nature those of our kings are, ii. 266, 267.

Of lands, where they have been refum'd, ii. 268.

Grecians, knew no other original title to government, than that wildom, Gc. which was beneficial to the people,

Wherein they excel'd other nations, ii. 186.

Overthrew the vast armies of the Persians, i. 197 198.

Were reduc'd to yield to a virtue greater than their own, i. 230.

No absolute monarch among them establish'd by law, i. 248.

Have been by disorder in government, expos'd as a prey to the first invader,

1. 305. When they were happy and glorious, i.

Their virtue expir'd with their liberty,

The word tyrant came from Greece, and what it fignify'd, ii. 53.

Flourish'd in liberty in the time of Saul, ii. 58.

Crotius, his explanation of fovereign and limited power, i. 317, 428. ii. 280. Wherein he justifies subjects in taking up arm, i. 382.

Government, wildom and valour requir'd for Grotius, his making St. Peter and St. Paul perfectly agree, about their different phrases of ordinances of God, and ordinance of man, ii. 82.

His saying, Qui dat finem, dat media ad finem necessaria, ii. 317.

About king's flipulations, and right of fending ambaffadors, ii. 353.

Guelphs and Ghibelins, their factions in

Tufcany, i. 227, 375, 376. Genoa infected with their factions, i.

292. Gustavus (Charles) his confessing to an ambaffador how he ought to reign over the people that had chose him, ii.

277, 278. He and his uncle Adolphus were content with the power that the laws of their country gave them, ii. 323.

#### H.

Annibal, kill'st more great Roman captains than any kingdom ever had, i. 284.

The Samnites embally to him, i. 285. How he loft the fruit of all his victories,

When he invaded Italy, no country feem'd to have been of greater ftrength, 1. 374.

What to be done, if he be at the gates, ii. 315.

Hanseatick towns, i. 294. Hatred universal incur'd by princes, who always fear those that hate them, i. 380, 387. ii. 11.

To all that is good, i. 384.

Head, why kings are call'd by this name, and the import of the word both in scripture and profane authors, ii. 325, 326, 327.

The differences between the natural and political, ii. 327.

What the office of a head is in a natural

body, ii. 329, 330, 331, 333. Hebrew judge different from a king, i. 172. Kings not instituted by God, but given as a punishment, i. 171, 172.

How their government confifled, i. 234,

Kings their power exceeded the rules fet by God, i. 329, 429.

What their discipline, i. 420. In their creation of judges, kings, &c. had no regard to paternity, i. 442.

Like to their neighbours in folly and vice, and would be like them too in government, ii. 16.

Their power greater than what the law allows to our kings, ii. 69.

Whether the law given them by God be

H

H

Her

1.10

nations, 11. 218.

Heir reputed, the absurdity of the notion, i. 52, 55, 56, 58, 62, 71, 85. Next in blood, i. 79, 80, 120, 121,

158, 159, 189. ii. 280.

Annex'd to one fingle person, i. 125. All the children of Noah were his heirs,

i. 125. Next to the crown fet afide, i. 159, 161, 162. ii. 158, 159, 161.

Bellaie's extravagant doctrine of an heir apparent, ii. 166.

Enjoys the same rights as the parent, and devolves the same to his posterity, ii, 288.

Hengist and Horla, on what terms the county of Kent was given them, ii.

Henry IV. of France, how he defir'd to recommend himself to his people, ii.

I. of England, whether he was an ufurper or not, ii. 271.

V. his care was to please his people, and to raife their spirits, ii. 386.

Was terrible to France, i. 424. His character, ii. 385, 386, 389.

VI. became a prey to a furious French woman, ii. 386.

VII. had neither cross nor pile for his title, ii. 273.

VIII. acknowledg'd the power of the parliament, in making, changing and repealing laws, ii. 121, 233.

Heptarchy, when this was divided into 7 kingdoms, each kept still the same usage within itself, ii. 244, 246.

Could as eafily unite the 7 councils as the 7 kingdoms into one, ii. 246.

e,

in

and

ral

72.

ven

34,

s fet

8ºc.

and

00 10

law

ed be

10

Hercules, an account of him, i. 230. Hereditary right can't naturally be in any, 1. 76, 121.

Prerogative of Dominion, how underflood, i. 105.

Right, as a reward of virtue, i. 137. How a kingdom comes to he fo, i. 154,

155. Crowns fo as to their ordinary course,

but the power restrain'd, i. 235. Monarchies, in them no care is taken of him that is to command, i. 303.

According to proximity of blood, not prescrib'd by any laws of God, i. 337. Children feldom prove like their parents, 1. 370.

Crowns, five different ways of disposing them, 11. 149.

Heroum regna, i. 61, 116. ii. 6. The government of whom, i. 443. Liftory Roman, Tacitus fays it wanted men to write theirs, i. 391.

so perfect as to be obligatory to all Hobbs, scurrilously derides Plato, Aristotle and Cicero, i. 64.

His Bellum omnium contra omnes, i. 74, 83. ii. 171.

His Hoslis & latro, i. 315.
The first that contrived a compendious way of justifying kings in the most abominable perjuries, ii. 137.

Holland, of great Brength fince the recovery of their liberty from the Spanish yoke, i. 200.

The war with them in 1665, i. 402, 403.

How they have defended themselves from the greatest monarchies, ii. 79.

The flates are call'd High and Mighty Lords; and the word Heer, which fignifies Lord, is as common as Monfieur in France, Gc. ii. 260, 294.

The condition of the 17 provinces of the Netherlands, when they fell to the house of Austria, ii. 305.

The power of the States-General how limited, ii. 352.

Honours, the highest fally attributed to ill men, i. 90.

Given to ill-gotten wealth, i. 112, 204, 232, 366, 368.

To fuffering virtue sometimes, i. 252. Where they have been the rewards of vice, i. 261.

To those who differv'd the commonwealth, i. 270, 276.

Jufly bestow'd on those that rightly perform their duty, i. 319.

From whom purchas'd, i. 367.

Given for fervices done to the publick, i. 446.

Princes could not without breach of truft confer them upon those that did not deserve them, ii. 249.

Hocker, his mistake in the fundamentals of natural liberty, i.

His admirable faying about lawful powers, 1. 150.

Slighted by Filmer, i. 19, 162. Hottoman, his character and account of the

French kings, i. 423.

Human nature, the milerable state of it, if not improv'd by art and discipline, ii.

Frailty, allowances must be made for it, 196.

Hurt, they do none who do nothing, where this rule is false, i. 346.

Ames I. (king) his faying about his making of judges and bishops, i. 382. Acknowledges himfelf the fervant of the common-wealth, i. 428.

James I.

James I. faid he was fworn to maintain the Inberitance, private, many controverfies arife laws, and should be perjur'd if he broke them, ii. 118, 119, 382. James II. what we might expect from him,

ii. 365.

Tapbet, this part of the world feems by the scriptures to be given to his sons, ii.

Yealoufy of state, i. 342, 357. Fesuits join'd to Geneva, i. 7.

To be believ'd with caution, i. 118. The present emperor of Germany too much govern'd by them, ii. 324

The foul that gives life to the whole body of the Popish faction, ii. 365.

The order banish'd out of the kingdom by an arret of the parliament of Paris, but refus'd by those of Tholouse and Bourdeaux, ii. 383.

Fews had a great veneration for the house of David, and why, i. 164.

Grown weary of God's government, i. 182. ii. 52.

Had leave to deftroy their enemies, i. 192. Idolaters among them in David and Hezekiah's days, i. 261.

Idolatry the production of the government they had fet up, i. 330, 331, 418.

Submit to the Roman power, ii. 62. Thought Christ's first work would be to

throw off the Rom n yoke, ii. 64. Being converted, thought themselves freed from the obligation of human laws,

Some pretend to know their original, ii.

A proclamation to extirpate them, ii. 362. Imperator, a name given by the Roman armies to pretors and confuls, ii. 285.

Imperium fummum fummo modo, modo non fummo, Liberrimum, 157, 317. ii. 280. Ab eo à quo spiritus, ii. 288.

Imposing on people's consciences, i. 293. On some princes how easy it is, i. 367.

Incola, who they are at Venice, i. 234. Distinction between them here and Cives, ii. 318, 319.

Indemnity, the affurance of it would turn men's other vices into madness,i. 450. Industry, what is a spur to it, i. 390.

Infancy, vid. child.

Inberitance, the common division of it among brothers, i. 126.

Where it gave no right to crowns, i. 156, 161, 162.

To one family, i. 158, 162, 183. Of the fword left to families, i. 193.

What the apostles left to their successors in relation to the supreme power on earth, 1. 326.

upon them, i. 338.

What right is equally inherited by all children on the death of their parents. i. 441.

William I. did not leave the kingdom as fuch at his death, ii. 89.

What belongs to females, ii. 152. Little regard to it in the Austrian house according to blood, ii. 164.

In some places the law gives private inheritance to the next heir, in others makes proportions and allotments, ii, 166.

Injuries, the ways to prevent or punish them, either judicial or extrajudicial, i. 313, 324

Great ones will one time or other fall on those that do them, ii. 430.

The Italians say, he that does an injury never pardons, ii. 11.

Those that are extreme, when so to be understood, ii. 121.

Injustice, what is to in the beginning, can never be the effect of juffice, ii. 202. Inquifition of Spain and other places, ii.

92, 366. Instinct, what, i. 177.

Institution, he that institutes may also abrogate, i. 23, 95. ii. 121. Is an election, i. 148.

Of a kingdom is the act of a free nation, i. 426. ii. 48.

No prince had a more solemn one than Saul, ii. 18.

Some kings have by it but little power,

The magistrates prerogatives depend upon it. 97.

Of power is coercive, ii. 101.

When princes deflect from the end of theirs, ii. 115.

Wherein the end of it chiefly confifts, ii.

Often the corruption of the flate is taken for it, ii. 213.

They who institute a magistracy, best know whether the end of the institution be rightly purfu'd or not, ii. 277. Integrity of manners makes laws as it were

ufelefs, i. 259. Never subservient to the luft of princes,

i. 262. Makes men contented with a due liberty,

Preserves popular equality, i. 328. Can't be found in absolute governments, i. 370.

Preserves the people's safety, ii. 48. Interest, what advantages are reap'd from uniting the nations to that of the government, i. 393.

Intereft,

Interest, personal, prefer'd to the publick Judges, whether there be any concerning good, ii. 48.

Interregnum, that there can be none, a fundamental maxim of great monarchies, i. 337.

Jobn's (king) grants to the pope declar'd by the parliament unjust, illegal, i.

140.

Josephus of the Jewish government, i. 171. On what account he calls it a theocracy and an ariftocracy, i. 177, 432.

Says, their princes were to do nothing without the advice of the Sanhedrin, or to be oppos'd if they did, i. 418. Joshua, had neither the name nor power of

a king, i. 172. ii. 8.

Maac, his character, i. 29. Ifraelites, had no kings in the first institution of their government, i. 60.

Nor till 400 years after, ii. 209.

Strangers only excluded from being kings, i. 149.

Their government aristocratical, i. 170. What the effect of their having kings, i. 121, 429.

After Solomon, perpetually vex'd with civil feditions and conspiracies, &c. i.

Ask'd for a tyrant (tho' not by that name) when they ask'd for a king after the manner of other nations, ii. 52.

Not known certainly by what law they were govern'd, ii. 209.

Liv'd under the power of tyrants whole proclamations were laws, ii. 367. Italy, when the lay defolate, i. 202, 204,

of

ii.

en

eft

u-

ere

es,

ty,

its,

om

go-

eft,

333. How her commonwealths have flourish'd and decay'd, i. 226, 227, 303, 305,

306, 333, 374, 376. Charles VIII. of France conquer'd the best part of it without breaking a lance, i. 281, 306. ii. 19.

Judge, where every man is to be his own, i. 132. ii. 118, 322, 343.

Who shall be between prince and people, i. 321, 323. ii. 115, 116, 168,

No prince fit to be judge of his own facts, ii. 115, 116, 184, 342, 345, 347. The power of judging when 'tis fit to

call the fenate or people together, to whom and by whom refer'd, ii 276,

The parliament the best judge in dubious cafes, ii. 321, 324, 347

Where 'tis not fit to be a judge in his own cafe, ii. 345.

Judges (fuch as Jothua, Gideon, &c.) whether their power was regal and just or not, i. 46, 47, 453.

kings titles, i. 338, 339.

The power of making them, i. 382. Sometimes the courts are fill'd with ill ones, i. 387, 388. ii. 224.

Appointed to decide the contests arifing from the breach of contracts, i. 451. Their opinion concerning thip-money,

ii. 46, 355. The religion of their oath, ii. 112, 132,

194, 223, 225, 226. Parliament own'd by kings to be judges of their flipulations, ii. 141.

What they ought to be, and in what fense chosen by the king, ii. 194.

Who were executed as traitors for fubverting the laws of the land, ii. 194.

What act Edw. 3. promoted for his judges to do justice, ii. 196.

The general rule they are fworn to ob-

ferve, ii. 197, 223, 355. The power by which they act is from the law, ii. 221, 223, 224.
When they become the ministers of the

devil, il. 23Q.

The lad consequence of having all kings constituted judges over the body of the people, ii. 321, 322, 324, 343.

To determine controversies in a matter of right, ii. 345.

Whose commands they are bound to obey, ii. 355.

Judgment perverted, the ill effects of it, i.

Future, not sufficient to restrain men

from being vitious, ii. 75. Here they are pas'd by equals, ii. 224. Those the kings of Judah gave, were in

and with the Sanhedrin, ii. 224. None that is right can be given of human things, without a particular regard to the time wherein they pas'd, ii. 310.

Kings can give none upon any of their subjects, and why, ii. 350, 353,

Human is subject to error, ii. 384. Juries, grand and petit, their power, ii.

194, 224. How the judges are affiftants to them, ii.

In whose fight they give their verdict, ii.

355. Juftice, has always truth for its rule, i. 57, 120.

What the proper act of it, i. 109.

Of every government depends on an original grant, i. 136.

Has sometimes been perverted by the deceit of ill men, i. 252. il. 196.

Juffice, the sword of it for what use and Kings and states, how they came to be 1. 313.

Where it will be expos'd to fale, i. 368. The rule of civil and moral actions, i. 182.

How well administer'd in republicks, ii.

What is meant by it, ii. 92, 95.

The distribution of it justly, is a work above the strength of one man, ii. 110. When the course of it is certainly interrupted, ii. 173.

Deviations from it, ii. 196.

Where it is best administer'd, ii. 214. Overthrown by the number of laws, ii. 221, 222.

In receiving it the king is equal to another man, ii. 224.

Magistrates made to see it well executed. ii. 347.

Atherine de Medicis, said never to have done any good but by mistake, i. 255.

Kingerafe, what, i. 407, 417, 418.

Was wholly exerted in the subversion of the laws of France, and the nobility that supported them, ii. 386.

Kingdom, the patriarchs had a spiritual one, i. 28, 29.

No paternal one among the Hebrews, i. 45.

Thought never to be bought at too dear a rate, i. 59.

How the first kingdoms were fet up, i.71. What are lawful ones, i. 104.

What it imports, i. 154.

One posies'd by nine several families within 200 years, i. 192.

All have their various fluctuations, thro' ill discipline or conduct, i. 195, 299. The greatest have been destroy'd by com-

monwealths, i. 303.

Disputes about them most difficult and dangerous, and very bloody in feveral instances, i. 338, 344, 346.

Of France divided by the estates of the realm, i. 427.

Not establish'd but for the good of the

people, i. 436. Of Granada, their usage of their prin-

ces, i. 437. All erected at first by the consent of na-

tions, i. 444. Frequently reduced to their first principles, i. 444.

Some elective, some hereditary, and how directed, ii. 279, 280.

Constituted by conquering armies, and those establish'd in the most orderly manner, ii. 283.

erected, ii. 291.

The prerogative or royal charter granted to them, i. 3, 114.

The end of their institution, i. 8, 9, 23, 64, 67, 96, 97, 109, 110, 134, 136, 157, 386. ii. 228, 346,

Whether they may be restrain'd or cenfur'd, i. 9, 24, 70, 101, 235, 316, 321, 323, 417, 431, 436. ii. 25, 101, 102, 280, 287, 317, 340, 341.

In what sense they are like other men, i. 13, 85, 181.

Evil ones will have evil ministers, i. 14, 265.

The relation between them and the people, i. 20.

The only fort mention'd in scripture with approbation, i. 26, 172. ii. 47, 189. The first a cruel tyrant, i. 31.

Who taken from out of the least family of the youngest tribe, i. 46.

All not the natural fathers of their people, i. 51.

Whom the antients chose for theirs, i, 60, 61, 120, 173.

Not all of them wife, i. 85, 405. ii.

321. A king by nature, who, i. 108, 114, 117, 183, 192. ii. 83, 202, 203. No defign to speak irreverently of them, 1. 144, 266.

Set up by the nobles, antients and people, i. 146, 149, 153, 156, 161, 220, 425, 431. ii. 127, 157, 158.

All not alike in power, nor spirit and principle, i. 155. ii. 119.

Some good and fome bad, ii. 323, 324, 363.

Whether any are immediately fo on the death of the predecessor, and before they are proclaim'd, i. 163, 164, 337.

Given in wrath, i. 171, 174.

426700 kings, i. 178.

Many great ones overthrown by fmall republicks, i. 186.

Few possess the qualities requisite, i. 190, 370, 416, 434.

The name thereof abolish'd, i. 235. ii. 97, 98.

Regis ad exemplum, not always fo in all, i. 261.

A virtuous one, but a momentary help fometimes, when his virtues die with him, i. 280, 348, 370.

Whether those may be thrown down that fet up themselves, i. 323.

The flothful and negligent accounted great

great evils, i. 346, 347, 350, 357, 387, 388, 391, 392.

Kings, the best liable to be corrupted, and

Kings, the best liable to be corrupted, and fubject to missakes and passions, i. 362. ii. 183, 343.

Who faid to be like a phænix, i. 370. None lo vicious but will fometimes favour justice, i. 379.

Apt to live under the power of their lufts, i. 383.

Wherein just ones will find their honour and security, i. 388.

Where lawful ones have prov'd equal to the worft usurpers, i. 381.

Whose condition most miserable, and why, i. 406.

Some have experience as men, but not otherwise, i. 407.

The name can't make a king, unless he be one, i. 407. ii. 297.

Have a power of granting honours, immunities, &c. out of the publick flock, i. 415, 440. ii. 249, 318.

y

i,

ii.

n,

le,

0,

ınd

24,

the

ore

4,

re-

90,

11.

all,

help

with

that

nted

reat

None to have more power or abilities to perform his office than Moles, i. 417. Advanc'd by abjuring their religion, i.

Under the happy inability to do any thing against the laws of their country, i. 426, 428, 453. ii. 107, 165, 193,

Where they have their share (and the senate theirs) of the supreme authority, the government is best supported, i. 428.

Have found out now caffer ways of doing what they pleafe, i. 438.

How there would be as many kings in the world as men, i. 442.

If they have no title, they must be made so either by force or consent, i. 444. ii. 13.

The confequence of being unaccountable,

Have no other just power than what the laws give, ii. 1, 48.

The fafety of their kingdoms, their chiefest law, ii. 3.

The first were of the accursed race, while the holy feed had none, ii. 8,

By what wicked means many of them have come in, ii. 10. Of Ifrael and Judah under a law not fafe-

ly to be transgres'd, ii. 23.
Why those of Israel committed many ex-

travagancies, ii. 24.
Judg'd by the great Sanhedrin, ii. 25.

This fort of government the people's creature, ii. 30, 46, 289, 346, 368,

Not to claim the liberty of doing in-

justice, in virtue of their prerogative, ii. 32, 34.

Kings bound up to the laws as to matters of property, ii. 41.

Not to be too powerful, nor too rich, ii.

Of Ifrael, tho'they led vaft armies into the field, feem to have poffefs'd but little, ii. 49.

What their government ought to be, ii.

of England reign by law, and are under it, and why, ii. 63, 69, 74, 75, 356.

What will indear them to their people; ii. 71.

The fovereign power often meant by this name, ii. 73.

What meant by lawful ones, ii. 77, 112, 113, 127.

Why those of Judah could make no laws, ii. 84.

May be fear'd by those that do ill, ii. 86. How made in the Saxon times, ii. 87. What those of the greatest nations have suffer'd, ii. 96.

What is that king which never dies, fi.

113, 354. Cannot mitigate or interpret laws, di.

When faid to become perjur'd, ii. 419. Not mafters but fervants of the commonwealth, i. 428. ii. 127.

Can't be interpreters of their own oaths, ii. 134.

ii. 134.
Compel'd to perform the conditions of Magna charta, ii. 140, 141.
May reform if they will not observe their

May resign, if they will not observe their oaths, ii. 146, 147.

Next in blood, generally cannot be faid to be kings till they are crown'd, ii. 149, 153.

How he is not to be heard, it, 196. The regal power, not the first in this nation, ii. 207.

Where the same power that had created this government, abolish'd it, ii. 210, 211.

What our ancestors did with relation to them, ii. 210.

Judges rightly qualified are to instruct them in matters of law, ii. 223.

Wherein they are equal to other men, ii.

None as such have the power of judging any, ii. 224. 227, 228, 230, 352. Where they cease to be so, and become tyrants, ii. 229.

Their power not restrain'd, but created by Magna charta and other laws, ii. 234.

Kings,

Kings, what is natural for the worst of Kings-bench, the king that renders justice is them to do, ii. 236.

The Norman, had no more power than the Saxon, and why, ii. 247.

Tacitus fays, they were taken out of the nobility, ii. 249.

Said to be chosen, or made, and sometimes depos'd by their great councils, ii. 261, 317.

Never mafters of the foil of England, ii. 262, 290, 291.

Why they speak always in the plural number, ii. 207.

The titles of ours examin'd into, ii. 272,

Whom the parliament have made are lawful, or we have had none for these 700 years, ii. 273.

Their power of calling parliaments was given to them, ii. 276, 315.

Naturally delight in power, and hate what croffes their wills, ii. 281, 375. The most absolute princes in the world never had this name, ii. 284.

Some subject to a foreign power, some tributary, and the Romans had many depending upon them, ii. 286, 287. The acts of one de facto, consider'd, ii.

297, 299. Wherein the effence of a king confifts,

ii. 297. Deter'd from endeavouring to feduce any of their great men from their duty, ii. 311.

Who are a great calamity to a nation, ii. 315, 388.

Who only are the heads of the people, ii. 324, 329, 330, 331, 333

If their actions are to be examin'd, and their persons punish'd, ii. 340, 341. None less capable oftentimes of forming a right judgment than they, and why, ii. 343.

Nor can be present in all their courts, ii.

Don't stipulate for themselves, but their people, ii. 352.

In what cases they are pepetually minors, ii. 354.

Their power not universal, for many things they cannot do, ii. 355, 356. May in some degree mitigate the vin-

dictive power of the law, ii. 358. The advantage of their being rettrain'd, 11. 362.

Not created to make laws, but to govern by them; and what is fignify'd by le roy le veut, ii. 382, 383, 384. Are fworn to pass such laws as the people

chufe, ii. 384, 387. King's-bench, for what end establish'd, ii. always there, &c. ii. 354.

La

1

O

C

N

B

PI

W

Sh

TH

W

W

Leagu

Knight's service, what, ii. 253. Knighthood, the dignity of it, and what

was accounted a degrading, ii. 253, 254.

Knights of thires in the Saxon times, ii.

AWS, the prevalency of them over sovereign commands, i. 2. Who best obeys them, i. 18.

The end of them is to enjoy our liberties, i. 18, 19. ii. 72, 209.

Invest magistrates with power for the publick good, i. 67, 124.

Of England acknowledge one only heir, i. 76, 125.

Said to be written reason, ii. 123. Have in 'em a conftraining force, i. 151. To whom the power of making them belongs, i. 151, 153. ii. 109.

Are the measure of power, i. 154, 158, 161, 416, 439. ii. 355, 356.

Of each country create a right to the person that governs it, i. 157, 158. Prescribe rules how power should be transmitted, i. 158.

Where good ones do no good, i. 187. ii. II.

To be fuited to prefent exigences, i. 109,

Make no diffinction of persons, i. 253. Lex perduellionis, i. 257

Made in a fort useless by integrity of manners, i. 262.

Abhorrers of the dominion of it, 1. 270. Instituted for the preventing of evil, i. 312, 323, 427. ii. 148. Those that go beyond them act therein

as private persons, i. 317.

Who most restrain'd by them, i. 320. Sublift by executing justice, i. 324. ii.

Concerning the fuccession, i. 337. ii.

Tricks at law to put men to death, i. 342, 388.

When in force, men of wisdom and valour are never wanting, i. 357. When they were overthrown, i. 378.

The despifers destroy'd without law, i. 420, 429.

Don't always go by plurality of voices,

Of every place, show the power of each magistrate, i. 451. Were before kings, ii. 6.

Proofs hercof, ii. 7, 102.

Lows,

Laws, of God, not to be abrogated by Leagues with conquer'd nations, i. 216, man, ii. 26.

Our kings can make none of themselves, ii. 68, 121, 281.

We know none but those of God and our felves, ii. 71.

Are not made in vain, ii. 75.

Some neither just nor commendable ; inftances thereof, ii. 92, 93, 220.

The directive power, which is certain, has a power over the conscience,

The fanction that deferves the name of a law, ii. 94, 95, 109, 188, 215,

To what end made as to magistrates, ii. 102, 103.

Princes and nations both gainers by the due observance of them, ii. 107, 112, 146, 147, 338, 339.

That is not a flate or government which has them not, ii. 108.

Athenians not without them when they had kings, ii. 109.

How faid to be above the king, ii. 112, 113.

Can only be alter'd in parliament, ii. 121, 122, 220, 238.

For what reason establish'd: an encomi-

um, ii. 123. Who violate those of nature in the highest degree. ii. 131.

Of ones country to be submitted to, ii.

Of nature, eternal, ii. 150, 160. By whom the rigour of it is to be temper'd,

ii. 195, 196. None made by man can be perfect, ii.

200, 220, 222, 223, 235. By them kings became first what they were, ii. 210, 234.

Those good for one people, that are not fo for all, ii. 216, 217.

The flatute of Henry VII. concerning a king de facto, for what end made, ii. 297, 299.

Plain ones have been industriously render'd perplex'd, ii. 313.

Good ones prescribe safe remedies against the mischiefs of ill magistrates, ii. 335, 337,

Where they are merciful both to ill and good men, ii. 338.

Should aim at perpetuity, ii. 361. The mischiefs effected by a person's word having the force of a law, ii 363.

When they may be eafily overthrown, it will be attempted, ii. 378.

What gives the power of law to the fanctions under which we live, ii. 381. Leagues, don't imply absolute equality between parties, i. 163.

League-factions and wars of the Hugonots, i. 242, 243.

How faithfully they have been observ'd, i. 295.

Men cannot too much rely upon them, i. 295, 296, 298.

Those that make such as are detrimental to the publick are punishable, i. 319. Made against the Hollanders, ii. 79. Of Joshua with the Gibeonites gain'd by

deceit, ii. 143. Made on a national, not personal ac-

count, ii. 352, 353. Learning makes men excel in virtue, i.

197. Said to make men feditious, but difprov'd, i. 184, 249.

Legislative power of Rome and Sparts continu'd in the people, i. 210, 220. ii. 85.

When it came to be fcorn'd, i. 214. The magistratical power receives its being and measure from hence, ii. 101.

Can't be confer'd by the writ of fummons, but must be effentially and tadically in the people, ii. 368.

Is always arbitrary, ii. 376.

Only trufted in the hands of those who are bound to obey the laws that are made, ii. 380.

The king can't have it in himself, nor any other part of it than what is for-

mal, ii. 385, 389.

Legistators should always be of the wife?

men, i. 153. Wherein their wisdom consists, i. 245. Wherein they shew themselves wise and

good, ii. 377. Legitimacy contracted, i. 160, 161.

vid. Baftardy. Some children, tho' born in wedlock, utterly rejected as being begotten in adultery, ii. 167.

Lewis XI. his subverting the laws of his country, i. 422.

XIV. accounted his not being able to act. contrary to law, a happy impotence, ii. 165.

Liberty, the notions thereof, and from whence, i. 6, 9, 22.

Natural, the consequence if remov'd, i.

Wherein it folely confifts, i. 17. ii. 126. Filmer's notion of the greatest liberty in the world, i. 17.

Oppugners of publick liberty, i. 19 . Universal afferted, and what that is, i. 29, 42, 76, 131.

The affertors thereof have God for their patron, i, 104, 268.

Liberty produces virtue, order, flability, i. Liberty, forfeited or refign'd, ii. 286. 186, 250.

The loss of it in the Roman empire, i. 193, 194, 199, 200, 218, 226, 256. ii. 206.

The mother and nurse of virtues, i. 193, 199, 226, 286, 365, 378, 394.

Made the most virtuous people in the world, i. 228.

When subverted, the worst men thrive beft, i. 253, 254, 256.

How our natural love to it is temper'd, 1. 263, 270.

None fought it but with forme restraint, i. 274.

What the love of it inspires, i. 249,

At home, and war abroad, i. 287.

When this is loft, kingdoms and states come to nothing, i. 199, 226, 227, 303.

Patricians the best defenders of it, i.

The way to recover it, i. 326.

the people be corrupted, i. 363.

None remain'd in Rome, when Sylla re-

fign'd his power, i. 378.
When loft, excellent spirits fail'd, i.

A people can defire nothing befides it, i. Lords, how they have loft the authority

Can't fubfift, if vice and corruption prevail, i. 438.

Is a right common to all, i. 440, 442. God gave the Israelites this law, ii. 28. Who have no true sense of it, ii. 37.

Our ancestors left us the best laws they could devise to idefend it, ii. 71.

All by the law of nature have a right to their liberties, lands, goods, &c. ii. 131, 365.

What principles make men lovers of it, 11. 170.

None can subfift where there is an absolute power above the law, i. 183,184. Where 'tis destroy'd by the prerogative, ii. 186.

Of a people, naturally inherent in themfelves, ii. 209, 265, 289.

The value our ancestors set upon theirs, ii. 221, 292, 374.

None has better defended them than this nation, ii. 232, 374.

To it Tacitus attributes the German bravery, il. 243.

W That is the utmost act of it, ii. 247. T he exercise of the natural liberty of nations, in the feveral limitations of the fovereign power, ii. 280.

Of a people, the gift of God and nat ire, ii. 288.

No veneration paid to magistrates can leffen the liberty of a nation, ii. 293. Nothing valuable to it in the opinion of the Romans, ii. 302.

Easy to get partizans to make good by force the greatest violations of it, ii.

How to use one's own with relation to the

publick, ii. 343, 344. Liberty with a mischief, ii. 367, 369,

370. Of those who act in their own persons, and of those who send delegates, is perfectly the same, ii. 371.

That for which we contend as the gift of God and nature, remains equally in

A

M

7

C

0

Mag

Ho

Un

Jev

Pu

An

all, ii. 372.

Lineal subjection never learned from Moses, 1. 116.

Succession, where not follow'd, i. 159,

London, the privilege of the Common-Hall, ii. 318.

Can't be preserv'd, if the manners of Lord Paramount, over whom, i. 26, 27,

From whence he must come, i. 41, 42. None by nature over his brethren, i. 126. The mischiefs they both do and suffer are very great, i. 437.

which they had formerly, ii. 312,

Lord's-day, not to be spent in sports and revellings, ii. 178.

Love to one's country, commonwealths have it most, i. 280, 286, 287. The happy effects of it, i. 284.

A resolution to die for, or live with it, 1. 284, 296, 297.

The way to make people in love with it, i. 297, 351, 395.

This now turn'd into a care of private intereft, i. 308.

The behaviour of those that are lovers, &c. i. 351, 392, 393.

Occasions sometimes wars and tumults, 1. 374.

Loyalty, those that boaft loudest of it, most apply it the wrong way, i. 439. Lucullus, his little army put Tigranes with

200000 men to flight, i. 200 Luxury of the Romans, the occasion of

their ruin, i. 232. Brought into Rome by C. Manlius, I.

Exposes the virtuous to scorn, i. 365. When in fashion, the desire of niches muft increase, i. 367.

Ti : bravest nations most enslav'd by it, 11. 51.

Lycurgus,

Zycurgus, his laws receiv'd their autho- Magistrates, have enjoy'd large powers, that rity from the general affembly, ii. 85, 109.

Abfurd to think of reftoring his laws,

ean

of

the

69,

ns,

t of

in

fes,

59,

on-

27,

126.

are

ority

312,

and

alths

h it,

h it,

rivate

vers,

nults,

mof

with

on of

us, i.

riches

by it,

urgus,

65.

ii. 216. To what the long continuance of them is

attributed, i. 361.

MAcedonian kings, their power was but small, i. 199.

Their army overthrown by Paulus Emilius, i. 299.

Vid. Monarchy.

Machiavel, his admirable faying, i. 187,

409, 410.

Magi, who usurp'd the Dominion of Perfia after the death of Cambyles, i. 315. Magistracy, can have no interest distinct from the publick, i. 96, 97, 262.

Its office is to execute the law, i. 96,

313. ii. 189.

If the people be base, &c. it can't be fupported, be the ruler never so perfeet, i. 269, 334.

Whether it be that power which above all restrains liberty, i. 271.

Strangers admitted into that of Rome,

1. 288. The effect of regal power committed to

an annual or otherwise chosen magiftracy, i. 300, 390.

None can have the right of it that is not Mamalukes, their great defeat near Tripoli a magistrate, i. 314, 315.

Where it had nothing to do in spiritual things, ii. 66, 67.

The ordinance both of God and man, Man naturally free, i. 5, 38. ii. 288.

ii. 82, 83, 97, 99, 102. Circumscrib'd by such rules as can't be fafely transgreft, ii. 86, 336, 337.

Where obedience is due, ii. 93, 94, 95, 96.

On what the right and power of it depends, ii. 97.

The nature of it, ii. 293, 294. None now in being, which owes not its original to fome judgment of the people, ii. 350.

Magistrates, by whom constituted, i. 10,

109, 124, 450. ii. 183. To what end fet up, i. 64, 65, 95. Ministers of God, i. 95. ii. 62.

How they are helpful to nations, i. 111, 173. ii. 315, 319.

Under several names and limitations, i.

357, 451. Jewish, wherein like the dictators of Rome, i. 175.

Punishable with death by the Roman law, i. 258, 317, 319.

Annual election of them, i, 284.

never had the name of kings, i. 320.

How to be reftrain'd, i. 323. ii. 102, 106, 338.

A particular character of good and evil ones, ii. 172, 173.

Whenthey were temporary and occasional,

Walk in obscure and slippery places, ii.

338. What to be done to those who despise the

law, ii. 338. Wherein their strength is, ii. 345.

The first may be virtuous, but their fucceffors may foon be corrupted, ii. 377, 378.

Magna Charta grounded on K. Alfred's laws, i. 18.

The Jews had none fuch, ii, 62.

What it obliges the king to swear to, ii.

Puts every thing on the laws of the land, and customs of England, ii. 122.

Is only an abridgment of them, ii. 139, 262.

Not the original, but a declaration of the English liberties, ii. 234, 377.

The rights the nation have refolv'd to maintain, il. 234.

Male-Line examin'd, i. 80, 339. ii. 81. Malice, is blind, i. 259. Seditions, &c. arife from thence, i.

309, 311.

by Selim, i. 279. Accounted themselves all noble, tho

born slaves, ii. 261.

Every one chief in his own family, i. 25, 36.

Can't overthrow what God and nature have instituted, i. 34. ii. 72, 73.

Creates governments and magistrates, i. 39.

None knows originally from whom he is

deriv'd, i. 45, 50, 72. ii. 152. Whence his milery proceeds, i. 110. Wants help in all things, i. 110. ii.

What makes a natural difference among men, i. 115.

All subject to error, i. 215, 335. ii. 107.

Enters into some kind of government by reason, i. 271.

Follow what feems advantageous to themfelves, i. 395.

What every one ought to be, ii. 10. None can serve two contrary interests, ii.

58. Are all by nature equal, ii. 201.

Where every one is a magistrate, il. 315. Mannors,

Marriages, often declar'd null, i. 160. Of Plebeians with Patricians, i. 209,

Where prov'd of no force to legitimate children, ii. 168.

A power must be lodg'd somewhere to decide them, ii. 168.

Mafters, how far their commands are binding, ii. 176, 177.

Only to decide contests between them

and their servants, ii. 345.

Maxims, nothing to be receiv'd as a general one, which is not generally true, ii. 130.

Mazarine, the ways of his advancement, i.

Medices, of the title of that family to Tufcany, it. 'c4.

Member's of parliament fent to ferve for the whole nation, not for a particular borough, &c. ii. 370.

Instructions are often given to them, ii.

Have no power before they are chosen, nor ever could, if those that send them had it not in themselves, ii. 375.

Must take care that the common-wealth receives no detriment by their votes, 11. 376.

A few possibly may be corrupted, ii. 379. Mercenary army, vid. Soldiery.

Their bufiness is to keep on their employment, i. 280.

Soldiers, always want fidelity or courage, 1. 298. 400.

Courtiers, will expose not only honours, but even juffice to fale, i. 367, 368.

Wretches, their power at court, i. 371. Augustus Cæsar had thirty legions of them to execute his commands, ii. 206.

Merit, men rarely make a right estimate of their own, i. 336.

The way of advancement to honour, i. 390.

What preserves, and what abolishes it, 1. 395.

Mickle-Gemots were general affemblies of the noble and free men, ii. 245, 256,

They fet up feven magistrates over the Heptarchy, ii. 246.

Of what they were in the beginning of the Saxons reign, ii. 318.

Miltiades, his defire to wear an olive-garland for his victory at Marathon, and the answer given to him, ii. 445.

Ministers, according to the temper and genius of the prince, i. 13, 14.

Marnors, &c. enjoy'd by tenure from kinge, Minifters, of the devil, who, i. 75, 97.

103. ii. 82, 93, 95. Of God, who, i. 96, 97, 99, 239, 286. ii. 81, 93, 95. Must receive their dignity from a title

not common to all, ii. 86.

Every man by his works will declare whose he is, ii. 92.

The devils of a lower order, ii. 176. Of God, how they may become the worft of men, ii. 192.

What fort have feldom escap'd punishment, ii. 196.

Of the devil, have always carry'd on their designs by fraud, ii. 325. Mistakes in punishments carefully repair'd

by honours, i. 215. Seditions, tumults and wars arise from thence, i. 309.

None in Sparta after Lycurgus's laws were establish'd, i. 310.

That some have fallen into by the form of writs, fummoning persons to appear before the king, rectify'd, ii. 350.

Mo

Mo

F

F

C

D

P

H

Mult

C

No

TI

W

Is i

M

Cui

W

Murd

Myfter

Mitbridates, what reckon'd the greatest danger of war with him, i. 199.

Monarchs, who have their right from God and nature, i. 17.

Ought to consult the publick good, i. 67,

How most came to their dignities, i. 238. Are not above the law, ii. 129.

Monarchy, paternal, over whom exercis'd, i. 33.

Whence fo call'd, i, 38. For whom best, i. 107.

No natural propensity in men and beasts to it, i. 166, 167.

How Aristotle commends it, i. 183, 184. Mix'd, regulated by law, i. 189, 195, 262, 274.

Of Rome, at first not absolute, i. 220. Most have suffer'd far greater changes than Rome, i. 223.

Macedonian fell all to pieces after the death of Alexander, i. 241, 331.

In what sense said to be natural, i. 267. A peaceable one in Peru for 12 generations, i. 335.

The French has been full of blood and flaughter, i. 278, 350, 351.

The gentleft more heavy than any common-wealth, i. 357.

All fubject to civil wars, i. 357. Well regulated, when its powers are limited by law, i. 414.

The justice of them establish'd by common confent, i. 146, 148, 162, 277, 315, 416.

Why fo call'd, i. 238, 249, 433.

Menarchy, nothing in the nature and inflitution of it that obliges nations to bear with its exorbitances, ii. 11. What fort was displeating to Samuel, and

a rejection of God, fil. 28.

Paternal, overthrown by Filmer, ii. 46.

Not universally evil, il. 54. Where it is regular, kings s can neither make nor change laws, ii. 113 None establish'd atmong us in Julius Ca-

far's time, il. 210.

Mix'd, what the best way to support it,

ii. 311.

n

m

od

8.

d,

afts

84.

95,

20.

ages

the

67.

era-

and

010-

e li-

om-

779

cby,

What has given beginning, growth and continuance to all the mix'd ones in the world, ii. 364.

That not free, which is regulated by a law not to be broken without the guilt of perjury, ii. 382. When the mix'd monarchies began to be

terribly fhaken, ii. 386.

Money, Charles VII. of France rais'd it by his own authority, ii. 386.

Mofes and Aaron, the first rulers of the people, neither of the eldeft tribe, nor eldeft line, i. 45, 46.

His admirable gitts, i. 49, 187. ii. 110,

HII. Had not the name, or power of a king, 1. 123, 172. ii. 8.

Gave the people leave to chuse their own

magistrates, i. 245.

Divided the Hebrews under feveral captains, i. 291.

Power insupportable to him, ii. 110. His character, i. 417. ii. 114, 330.

Whether we ought to conform to his law, ii. 218, 219, 220.

Multitud, what right it has to change a tyrannical government, i. 23.

Compos'd of free men; the power that is plac'd in them, ii. 132.

None can be feditious till a commonwealth be eftablish'd, i. 142.

Those that enter into contracts, act according to their own will, i. 143.

Where it brings confusion, 1. 297. Is the glory and strength of every prince,

i. 385. May have its fears as well as tyrants, i.

Confers on the prince all the power he

has, i. 442. What is natural thereto in relation to

government, i. 449.

Murderers wilful, the horns of the altar gave no protection to fuch, ii. 84,

Myferies of flate, i. 12.

N.

Ames, are not effential to magi-

firates, ii. 285, 286. Of fovereign Lord, &c. confifent with

liberty, ii. 293, 294. Nothing of majefly among the Romans and Grecians to a fingle person, ii.

293, 294 There must be some us'd in all publick transactions, ii. 352.

Not to be regarded to much as the power, ii. 372.

Nations, what their rights are, i. to. is. 144, 191, 200, 231, 234, 238, 281, 308, 372, 389.

That went from Babylon, how many, i. 32, 36, 41, 42, 55, 71, 132, 441.

Natural for them to chuse governors, i. 102, 117, 124, 277. ii. 101, 191.

Northern, their governments how inftituted, i. 140, 429. ii. 255. Free, never conquer'd but with difficul-

ty, i. 199. Oppress'd, can never grow wanton, i. 217.

None fafe without valour and ffrength, i. 292. ii. 238,

Foreign, call'd in by fome princes to deftroy their own people, i. 381. Some fell their children, i. 299.

Slight matters fometimes bring them in-Inftances thereof, i. to confusion, 329, 330.

When they are most unquiet, i. 347, 348; 373

The wifest have set bounds to their princes power, &c. i. 436, 451. ii. 47, 48.

Their liberties are from God and nature. 1. 440 11. 134

Where they have taken the extremest courses, i. 451.

Owe nothing to kings till they are kings. 11. 34, 155, 156.

What fet limits to their patience, ii. 38,

What inclines them to fet up governments, 11. 39.

When all were govern'd by tyrants, ii. 58.

Their fafety ought not to depend on the will of their princes, n. 123.

What are free and what not, ii. 184, 185.

Those that had no kings had power, ii. 207, 208.

What shews best their wisdom and virtue, or their vices and folly, in. 217, 218.

> Ec 3 Nations,

Nations, wherein their failure has been too Noah, when he went out of the ark, God frequent, ii. 224. gave him a law fufficient for the flate This divided into even kingdoms, ii. of things at that time, ii. 7. None but his right heir can have a title 247. None can have a power over any, otherto an universal patriarchal right, ii. wise than de jure, or de facto, ii. 281. 77, 152. Nobility of Rome extirpated, i. 222. Obedience due from the whole body, what, ii. 301. The Roman power chiefly in them after the expulsion of the kings, i. 239. Their liberties don't rife from the grants of princes, ii. 306. Hold the balance between the king and Delight in the peace and juffice of a good the commons; when and how weakgovernment, ii. 307. en'd, i. 351, 356. Of Arragon's laying to their new-made What the most ready way to effect their ruin, ii. 313, 348, 349, 360. king, i. 431. When oblig'd to remove the evils they What our ancestors meant by them, ii. lie under, ii. 340, 341. 248, 249. None can have an equal within itself, ii. The strength of the government when plac'd in them, ii. 249, 313 Sometimes call'd infinita multitudo, ii. One that is powerful cannot recede from its own right, ii. 345. 253, 256, 258. What king none ever wants that has a Knighthood always esteem'd noble, ii. fovereign power, ii. 354. What those ought to do that are so happy In France, &c. of what efteem, ii. 255. as to have good kings, ii. 362. The present titular has no affinity to the Great ones never ordain'd by God to be aptient nobility of England, ii. 257. flaves, ii. 372. Of Venice and Switzerland what makes Naturalization, Rome was for a general them fo, ii. 260. one, ii. 288. Their virtue and power formerly kept Negative voice, how far it is faid to exthe kings within the limits of the law, tend, ii. 236, 237. ii. 311. Le roy s'avisera, what meant by it, ii. Many have loft their effates and interest now, ii. 312. 296. Noli projequi, said to be annex'd to the person of the king, ii. 355. Nere, the power of the state over him, i. 23, 24. 11. 70. His endeavour to make a woman of a Norman kings, swore to govern by the man, i. 86, 100. fame laws as the Saxons had, ii. 247, To tear up virtue by the roots, i. 379. 100, 255, 384. Notions, common, what are agreed to by Condemn'd to be put to death, i. 258. all mankind, i. 69. What fort of vermin he encourag'd, i. 0. Set the city on fire, i. 322, 380. ii. 91. Atb of allegiance us'd in the king-Dy'd by the hand of a flave, i. 332. ii. dom of Arragon, i. 138. Agreements between prince and people always confirm'd by them, i. 321. His character, ii. 116. His madness not to be cur'd but by his How kings may be absolv'd from them, death, ii. 340. ii. 136, 137 Its force confifts in the declar'd fense of Nimrod the first king, i. 30, 31, 39. ii. those who give it, ii. 137, 303, 304. Ulurp'd the power over his father, &c. Scarce any prince broke it but to his ruin, ii. 141. 1. 33. 11. 12. Heir to no man as king, i. 55. All either voluntary or involuntary, ii.

141.

194, 195.

kept, ii. 198.

Ought to be perform'd in reverence to the religion of it, ii. 142.

What to be done, where the observation

How the judges are bound by theirs, ii.

Wherein that of the crown had not been

of it would be grievous, ii. 148.

Stain by Ninus, i. 53

No right can be deriv'd from him, i. 73,

Erected his kingdom contrary to pater-

His dividing of Afia, Europe and Africa

Neab, bore no image of a king, i. 30. ii.

nal right, i. 441. ii. 208.

among his fens, i. 52.

0

00

E

D

Orde

T

Ostb,

Dath, what kings are oblig'd to do by Order good, not wanting in Venice, i. 200. Nor among the Romans, i. 203. them, ii. 229, 379. How far those of allegiance bind private Being eftiblish'd, makes good men, persons, ii. 306. i. 301. Obedience, active and passive, i. 15. ii. 180. The best sometimes subverted by malice Where due and not due, i. 66, 99, and violence, i. 213. Ordinance, what is blasphemy to impute to God, i. 72. 135. ii. 4, 12, 34, 100, 101, 301. How far a good man will pay it to his prince, i. 363, 364.
The effects of its discipline among the Civil and human, i. 143. God's general, and the particular or-dinance of all focieties, i. 313. Romans, i. 393.
To higher powers, St. Paul's words favour all forts of governments as well Of God, appointed for the distribution of justice, i. 323, 324. as monarchy, ii. 78, 79, 80, 82, Several tending to the same end, ii. 82, 83.
Original, no man knows his own, i. 50, 83, 100, 101. Why it fould be paid, ii. 91. Is not due to that which is not law, ii. 60, 64, 448. ii. 152. Contract, i. 109, 111, 112, 114, 93, 94, 95, 176, 177. 116, 119, 126, 133, 136, 144, 146, 451. ii. 35. Right must be regulated according to it, The prince owes it to the laws as well as the meanest subject, ii. 113. Due to parents, from whence it arises, ii. Simple and unconditional, to what king Where it would be of no value, i. 450. we all owe it, ii. 355. Of nations almost wholly unknown to Object, the only worthy one of man's defire, us, ii. 6. Ours is deduc'd both from the Romans and Saxons, ii. 242, 291. Obligation arising from benefits can only be to those who confer them, i. 76. Offracism of the Athenians, no dishonour, Of gratitude, to whom due, ii. 13. nor accounted as a punishment, i. 250. How far the extent of it can be known, Nothing favour'd so much of injustice, ii. 34. Henry VIII. own'd it lay on him right-Otho, had the empire given him, by whom, ly to use the power with which he was Was a self-murderer, i. 332. entrufted, ii. 121. Why he was advanc'd, i. 337. Occupation, the meaning of the word, i.

en

ii.

he

w,

reft

ohe

the

47.

by

ing-

eople

em,

fe of

304.

his

, ii.

ce to

ation

s, ii.

been

Ostb,

Offa, vid. Saxons.

regulated, ii. 101.

ples good, ii. 230.

them, i. 114, 115.

ans, &c. ii. 320.

them, i. 368.

the court, i. 369.

rageous, i. 217

pardon'd, ii. 11.

confusion, i. 112.

130, 133, 141, 187.

The effect of it, i. 188, 197.

tain'd, i. 370, 371.

Officers, great one's in armies think only of enriching themselves, i. 400. How their authority and power is to be

Ought to have their places for the peo-

Frequently put to death by the Atheni-

Those that buy will make the most of

Or must be turn'd out as a scandal to

By what means they have been often ob-

Oppression, sometimes makes nations out-

Does people such injury, as can never be

Wherein it principally confifts, i, 112,

Order, when inverted, introduces extreme

Offices, what fits men for the execution of

Out-law, or lawless, often apply'd to the wicked, but never to the juft, ii. 8.

Apal power, the foundation of it, i. The civil distensions in Germany proceeded from thence, ii. 105 Pretends to the power of absolution, ii. Her excommunications, bruta fulmina, Papiffs, their kindness to the protestants inftanc'd in, ii. 365, 366. Paradoxes, many of them true, i. 103. Pardons, faid to be only the bounty of the prerogative, ii. 198, 355. The meaning of a general one at a coronation, ii. 199. Where granted against the oath of the crown, ii. 199. Granted by act of parliament, ii. 199,

None for a man condemn'd upon an ap-

Ec 3

Paranes,

peal, ii. 356.

Pardost, the king can't always pardon in Parties threaten a national ruin, fi. 474. cases of treason, ii. 357.

Parents, how oblig'd by nature to feek their childrens good, ii. 3, Parliaments, the institution of them, and

for what, i. 138, 139,

The fettling and transferring of crowns lodg'd in them, i. 146, 236, 447.

In France, fet up to receive appeals from other courts, and to judge fovereignly, now of little ufe, i. 243, 426.

A free and well regulated one to chuse ministers of state, i. 275, 276. Their great power in all the kingdoms

that came from the north, i. 421. Declar'd Henry III. of France fallen

from the crown, i. 415

How they may be made ufeles, ii. 49. England never wanted them, il. 72, 73. The word parliament came from the

French, but the power was always in ourselves, ii. 85.

Henry VIII. confesses them to be the law-makers, ii. 121, 233.

Doubtful cafes refer'd to them, ii. 122, 197.

By writs compel the king to perform the conditions of the great charter, ii. 140, 141.

Have given the crown to whom they pleas'd, ii. 151, 159, 161, 238, 252, 253, 261, 273, 275, 277,

Judge of disputes arising from the children of royal marriages, ii. 168, 233.

Have the fole power to explain and correct laws, il. 200, 201, 236, 238, 252, 299

Ought of right to be annually, ii. 236, 320, 321.

From whence they derive their authority, ii. 240, 241, 275, 277, 314, -320.

Have refus'd to be diffolv'd till their work was finish'd, ii. 310.

Not impeccable or infallible, but less fubjeet to error than fome princes, it.

321, 324, 360. Our lives and liberties depend upon this court, ii. 359.

What the great Burleigh and Sir Tho. Moor faid of their power, ii. 374.

If they make unjuft laws, their potterity will fuffer. it. 379.

How difficult they are to be bib'd, ii. 379-

To have their rolls in their offices, not a meer ceremony, ii. 383.

What kings have had continual disputes with them, ii. 383.

Parties, what certainly divides the nation into them, ii. 172.

Paffions, every one has them, few know how to moderate them, ii. 335

Put princes upon the most unjust defigns, 11. 350.

The law is without passion, ii. 123. Patents, began long after the coming of the Normans, ii. 250.

Pater Patria, the title thereof confider'd, i. 51, 100, 101.

By killing a tyrant how faid to become 10, i. 59, 60, 66, 95.

Paternal right, Abraham arrogated none to himself, i. 32, 33.

Impeffible to be known, i. 41, 50, 56, 58, 121.

All the kingdoms of the earth effablish'd upon it, i. 56.

Government of Rome not paternal, i. 64.

Can't be confer'd on princes, i. 85, 93,

To whom it belongs, i. 52, 124, 127. 11. 45.

In what the Hebrews had no regard to it, i. 442.

Is a meer fiction, i. 447.

Is from nature, and incommunicable, ii.

Patriarchal right, women and children have it, according to Filmer, i. 3.

The absurdity of it, i. 25, 26, 27, 73, 74. 11. 77, 371.

All pretence to it deffroy'd, i. 32, 39, 44, 52, ii. 241.

Must accrue to every father, i. 36. Either divifible or indivifible, i. 41, 56, Patriarchal power different from the regal,

i. 28, 29, 103. Peace, there can be none without justice,

i. 24, 225. Defirable by a flate conflituted for it, i.

Slavith, i. 223, 224.

What men have in their graves, i. 224. What the Spaniards fettled in the West-Indies, i. 224.

The name of it given to defolation, i.

224, 373, 374, 375, 377. The fad one which France enjoy'd for five or fix ages, 1. 348, 349, 350. In Spain to what imputed, i. 354.

The terms offer'd, if good, like to be observ'd; if bad, will soon be broken, ii. 302.

Peers, act for themselves in their own house, ii. 375.

Pembroke, a late Earl of that noble family, his faying, i. 341.

People, not originally created for kings to reign over them, i. 8.

People,

21, 102, 109, 137, 149, 161, 162.

Scripture-inflances for the proof it, i.

172, 431. The choice of the conflitution is from them, i. 151, 234, 235, 450. ii. 155, 208.

Kings receive their right from them, i.

156, 447. How God deals with a people when he intends to exalt them, i. 203.

Of Rome, their fad degeneracy, i. 204,

Their right to appeals, i. 212, 220, 234, 257

Acting according to their own will, never fet up anworthy men, unless thro' mistake, i. 269.

The body of them the publick defence,

i. 282, 290, 291. None ever well defended but those who fight for themselves, i. 290, 291.

In civil contests they equally fuffer, i. 311, 312.

Whether to be judges in their own cases, i. 321, 322.

When generally corrupted, the event is always the erection of a tyrant, i. 327, 360.

Their condition where tolerable under very cruel emperors, i. 346.

Their hating of cruel princes, and they them, i. 387.

Must necessarily have all the power originally in themselves, i. 415, 431, 432. ii. 209.

Their right of looking into matters of government, &c. il. 22, 115-118, 183, 319, 320, 341, 342, 343. Can never fall into nonage or dotage, ii.

128. Did never part with all their power to kings, ii. 137.

May govern by themselves, ii. 147. Their whole body not subject to the commands of the magistrate, ii. 301.

In their collective body always continue as free as the inward thoughts of a man, ii. 304.

They certainly perish who suffer themfelves to be oppres'd, ii. 339.

That are not free, can't submitute De-

legates, ii. 368.

Vid. Nations and multitude.

n

b

Perfection, simple and relative, ii. 218. Persecution among the first Christian em-

perors, i. 194. Persia, their kings reign'd from the Indies to the Hellespont, i. 51.

The decrees of these kings pass'd for laws, i. 154.

People, whether they chuse governors, i. Perfia, the just sentence of the princes about Daniel, i. 191.

Torn to pieces by the fury of two brothers, i. 248.

Under what ill conduct and discipline their army was, i. 299.

Petition, the haughty Romans condescended to join in one with their tribunes to their dictator, ii. 294.

Pharamond, his race in France, an account of it, ii. 160.

Pharaob, his monarchy an act of tyranny, 1. 45.

Pharifees, their superflition, ii. 177.

I'bilo, to what he imputes the inflitution of kingly government in I'rae', i. 171.

Philosophy true, perfectly conformable with what is taught by men divinely infpir'd, i. 112.

Flocion, of his death, i. 251.

Phanicians fettling in Africa, brought their liberty with them, ii. 289.

Plato, a commendation of him, i. 102, 103.

His principles of government, i. 108, 112, 114, 115, 122.

His opinion, who ought to be advanc'd above all, i. 114, 173, 416.

Plays, the consequence of them, i. 205, 225, 261, 279.

Plebeians elected to the chief magistracies, 1. 209, 239, 245, 358.

Their j alouly of the Patricians, i. 309. Polity, its fignification, i. 119.

Political science abstruse, i. 167

Pompey, his cause more plausible, but his designs as bad as Cæsar's, i. 378.

The first step to his ruin was by violating the laws, i. 427, 428. Popular government for what people best,

i. 107. No where disprais'd by Aristotle, i. 184.

The extent of its conquests, i. 186, 195, 228, 229.

Of Rome, how supported, i. 228, 229. Something of monarchical in them, i. 238.

Can never be upheld but by virtue, i. 263, 364.

Possible, but not easily to fall into corruption, &c. i. 267, 361, 363, 370. Improperly what, and what in the Mrichest fense, i. 268.

Preserves peace, and manages war best, i. 276, 277, 278.

Every man concern'd in them, i. 282, 379, 390.

States remarkable for peace, i. 293, 294. Excellent men are generally cholen in them, i. 303.

What seditions are seldom seen in them, 1. 309, 311.

Ec4 Popular,

Popular, how ruin'd, i. 327. Encourage industry, i. 377.

Never hurt private persons but thro' errors, i. 379, 413.

When they began to appear in the world, ii. 65.

Obedience due to them as well as to monarchies, ii. 78, 79.

Portugal, who accounted king thereof by the English court, ii. 353.

Poverty, no inconvenience in it, if virtue be honour'd, ii. 364.

The miserable condition of Greece, Italy, &c. i. 373, 375, 376.

When it grew odious in Rome, ii. 51. Power (all just sovereign) from the people, i. 94, 137, 138, 141, 157, 315, 431, 432.

How he that has it is the minister of God, i. 96, 98, 192. ii. 236, 308. Not an advantage but a burden, i. 122, 123.

Delegated, to whom refer'd, i. 137, 139, 141.

The root and foundation of it, i. 141. Civil, an human ordinance, 143.

Of the people subject to no rule but their own will, i. 213.

Of the Romans after the expulsion of the kings, chiefly in the nobility, i. 239.

Naturally of a fierce and aspiring temper, i. 265.

Severeign and limited, i. 317, 351. What, in all the kingdoms peopled from the north, i. 421.

Most safe when least envied and hated, i. 422.

If it has been divided, it may be so in infinitum, i. 444.

The Roman emperors endeavour'd to make their power hereditary, i. 447. The ill effects of unlimited might cause it to be moderated, ii. 6.

What may be lawfully relifted, ii. 17,

What prince ought to have it, and what not, ii. 70.

Wilt thou not be afraid of the power? what meant by it, ii. 79.

Directive and coercive, ii, 93, 94, 101. Why it ought to be limited, ii. 107.

Of the king is the power of the law, ii. 121, 224, 236.

What requisite in every state, ii. 131. None can be just but what is good, ii. 204.

Over nations must either be de jure, or de facto, ii. 281.

Of the king various according to the conflitutions of every flate, ii. 283, 284.

Power, nothing can make that inherent. which is only delegated, ii. 319.

Restrain'd, when it began to grow info-

lent. Instances, ii. 335, 336. Where 'tis not universal, it is not inherent, ii. 355.

How that of every county, city and borough of England is regulated, ii. 369. What must be in those that act by a de-

legated power, ii. 374, 375. Where it is plac'd by well conflituted governments, ii. 377.

Practice cannot declare the greatness of authority, ii. 24.

The consequence of such a doctrine, ii. 25.

Of nations to their fovereigns, ii. 293. Prayers and tears the only arms of the first Christians, ii. 60.

Preferments, by what means men now rife to them, i. 371.

Given to those that were most propense to flavery, i. 391. Where obtain'd only by virtue, ii. 395.

Prerogative of kings, what, i. 3, 114. Of birth, i. 49.

Hereditary of dominion, i. 105.

All granted by consent of the whole fociety, i. 134.

What the most glorious, ii. 34, 2298 The utmost extent of it, what, ii. 127,

Only instituted to preserve liberty, ii. 186, 220.

What is not the gracious bounty of it, ii. 199, 387.

Who thought whatever could be detracted from the liberty of the nation, would ferve to advance the prerogative, ii. 388.

Princes, ordain'd for the good of the people, i. 67, 101, 104, 106, 108.

Ought to be rich in virtue and wisdom, 1. 113, 114. ii. 141.

All things vary according to the humour of those that govern, i. 190, 192, 193, 194.

Very few of an inflexible virtue, i. 266. Killing one to obtain the crown, i. 65, 66, 348, 349.

Eafily impos'd on by pretenders, i. 367. By fetting up their own interest become enemies to the publick, i. 379, 380, 382, 387. ii. 5.

God's vicegerents, doing their duty, i. 385.

The best, by what means drawn out of the way of justice, i. 252. ii. 117. For they have their failings, ii. 196.

Virtuous ones will have virtuous courts, i. 369, 370.

Princes,

I

265, 367, 370, 391, 394, 395. How they foon lofe their dominions, i.

304, 305, 306, 346, 347.

Seek the deftruction of their best fubjects, i. 347, 388. ii. 80, 81.

Some consider nations, as grafiers do their herds and flocks, i. 385.

May commit many errors in the beginning of their reigns, to the ruin of themselves and people. Instances, i. 404, 405.

The treachery and perjury of some, i. 438.

What those that govern them infligate them to, ii. 5.

How far their legal power extends, ii. 17. Such as are barbarous to their own people, are usually gentle to the enemies of their country, ii. 57.

A dangerous thing to arm them with too much force, ii. 105.

Bound by their oaths and promises, ii, 141, 142, 143, 144.

From whence their power is deriv'd, ii, 236.

Not fafe to contradict fome, tho' never fo juftly, ii. 361.

An unlimited one what compar'd to, and an inftance of fuch an one in fcripture, ii. 362.

Principes, the extent of the word, i. 25, 88, 128. ii. 98, 243, 255, 259.

The Sanhedrin meant thereby, i. 418. Principles of all generous nations before

Christ's time, what, i. 7.

And practices the best way to judge men by, i. 262.

Prisoners, ought to pay their promis'd ranfom, ii. 142.

Private person, what actions denote a magiftrate fo, i. 317, 321.

Privernates, their city taken by Plautius the conful, ii. 302.

Process, judicial and legal, what, i. 313. Or extrajudicial, i. 324.

Proclamations, are at most but temporary, ii. 359, 368.

The danger of their being accounted laws, ii. 360, 363, 365.

Promises, ought justly to be perform'd, ii. 142.

Even those extorted by fraud. A scripture-instance, ii. 143.

Property, is an appendage to liberty, ii. 126.

Of our kings, if they be the fountain of it, ii. 263.

Propositions, ought to be univerfally true, i, 20, 31. Filmer's general one found falle, i. 54,

Princes, evilones just the contrary, i. 261, Proscriptions, i. 203, 207, 218, 223 255, 331.

Prosperity runs naturally into all manner of excesses, i. 218, 261.

Men have been precipitated into ruin by it, ii. 337.

Proxies, the way of using them, i. 143. Proximity of blood, only regarded in some places, whether legitimate or not, i. 340. ii. 280.

How right descends this way, ii. 272. Vid. Succeffion.

Punishment of supreme magistrates in three

instances, i. 316, 317, 319. To exempt all persons from it, supposes they would be guilty of the worft, i. 325.

Where there is no fear of it, i. 367, 368.

Future, many don't believe or not regard it, ii. 10, 75.

Of those the prince corrupted to desert the publick caufe, ii. 311.

Of those that give princes ill advice, ii. 357, 358.

Whether it ought to fall upon one or a few guilty persons, or a whole nation that is innocent, ii. 341:

To what members of parliament are fubject, ii. 370.

In whom the power of it is lodg'd, ii.

375.
Pyrrbus, feems equal to either of the Alexanders, i. 304.

His answer to him that ask'd who should fucceed him, i. 333.

#### Q.

Ualities, no more extraordinary in princes than in others of less degree,

i. 13. What are requifite for chief megistrates, i. 65, 70, 105.

Few kings poffess all that are requisite, i. 190.

What fubfift in a well-order'd government, i. 203.

The most eminent without virtue, reputed vile and odious, i. 211.

Quarrels among princes for the most part begun upon personal titles, i. 312,

347, 348, 350. Of princes, where they have been decided with their own fwords, i. 312,

What would make a perpetual spring of irreconcilable and mortal quarrels, i.

Quarrels, fuch as mife between the Nobles Republicks, Vid. Commonwealths. and Commons frequently produce good laws, i. 358. Ours with the Dutch, i. 381.

R.

Awleigh, Sir Walter, reflected on by Filmer, ii. 274. His morals no way exact to a well qua-

lified gentleman, ii. 274.

Reafon, is man's nature, i. 271. ii. 29, 117.

Universal, is that to which all nations owe an equal veneration, ii. 95. Rebellion, the greatest empire of the East

overthrown by that of the Mamalukes, i. 217.

People driven to it by misery or despair, i. 217. ii. 24, 25.

There can be no fuch as that of a nation against its own magistrates, it. 301. What it implies, ii. 301.

Is nothing but a renew'd war, ii. 302,

What, is compar'd to witchcraft, ii. 306. Regal tower, never exercis'd by Abraham,

ii. 32. The first fathers after the flood had not the exercise of it, i. 441.

Regicides, their abominable tin, ii. 192. Regnum, the fignification of the word, ii.

Reboboam, a fad account of him, i. 192,

His power far from being absolute, ii. 69, 70.

Had goood counsel, but would not hearken to it, ii. 129.

Was not the head of his people, and why, ii. 330.

Religion, always dangerous in the times of the best Roman emperors, i. 194.

Of the same nature with virtue, i. 383. The principles of the popish, ii. 365. Remedies to government apply'd according to the necessity of circumstances, i.

209, 244. ii. 335, 335, 337. What children have, against their too

fevere parents, it. 9. None to the Hebrews cries and prayers under their miseries, ii. 15.

Must be try'd, how difficult soever, ii.

339, 340. Which most fit to be apply'd, the best time to apply them, and who the propereft judges, ii. 346, 347.

Representatives, how, and by whom they came to be dejuted, il. 245, 246, 255, 258, 318.

Whether the people should judge of their behaviour, ii. 319, 320.

Resignation of one's liberty, what, ii. 289. Of the crown, ii. 147, 148, 342. Refistance, in what cafes justified, 1. 382.

ii. 18, 21, 22, 37, 38. Every one has a right to refift what ought not to be done to him, il. 31, 32.

Scripture-inflances of refifting princes, ii.

Resumption of lands, Vid. Grants.

Retaliation, where nothing was more just, i. IgI.

Kings under this law as well as people, ii. 27:

Revenue, how granted to and fettled on kings, ii. 50.

Revoles of conquer'd nations, i. 215. Of Subjects or allies, i. 217, 331.

Of Ifrael in Solomon's time, i. 330. ii. 25. Of Abialom, ii. 22, 24.

Of the ten tribes, if. 25.

General of a nation can't be call'd a Revolutions, Vid. Authors.

Rewards and punishments how to be proportion'd, i. 252.

Make men subservient to ill designs, ii. 48, 49

What call'd the rewards of the vilest fervitude, ii. 243.

Ritbes, the root of all evil, i. 91, 92, 364, 366.

When they become formidable, i. 209. Exhausted by tribute and rapine, i. 219, 366.

Defir'd, to gain followers, i. 48.

From thence all mischiefs ensue, il. gr. Right, of those sovereigns that are limited, i. 318, 320, 321.

Proceeds from identity, not from fimilitude, ii. 328.

What belongs to every man in all cases, 11. 343.

Right acquir'd, how to be obtain'd, if. 153, 265.

How the refignation of it to another operates, ii. 265.

Hereditary to the dominion of the world, no fuch thing, i. 76, 77, 121.

Great variety in the deduction of it, i. 156.

Universal, confer'd by God and nature,

Where it must have been, i. 71, 73, 84, 134.

Mutt be one, or divided, i. 71, 74. Where it devolves on particular nations, i. 136.

Right of chusing, infers a right of making a king, i. 147.

Right,

Right, created by an explicit act of appro- Rome, when the met with defeats and ruin, bation, i. 148, 162.

Naturally belonging to nations, not impair'd by the name of supreme given to magistrates, i. 317.

Of proceeding judicially or extrajudicially against all that transgress the laws, 1. 323. ii. 18.

t

Right of occupancy, i. 65, 92, 93. None can come by conquest, i. 39, 40. None to be deduc'd from him that had none, i. 40, 73, 94.

Where to be acknowledg'd, i. 66. Of Jack Straw, Wat. Tyler, Perkin

Warbeck, if 341.

The continuance of an unjust usurpation can never create a right, i. 448. Right of particular nations, how it may

fubliff, i. 16, 17. Proceeds from the laws of nature, i. 17.

Right to crowns, what faid to be infeparable from kings, i. 172.

Must be either natural, created, or acquir'd, ii. 153.

Richel, how it came to be taken, i. 397. Rods and axes, before whom, and why carried, i. 258. ii. 294.

Reman emperors, who the best and wisest of them, 1. 362.

How they were fet up, ii. 65.

Roman empire, defroy'd by the lofs of her liberty, i. 193, 199, 200, 204, 205, 221, 222, 223, 229, 246.

Its extent after the recovery of liberty, i. 202, 221.

Tacitus's description of it in its declen-

flon, i. 204, 207. It did not fall on a fudden, and why, i.

Her delatores what fort of people, 1. 204. Subdu'd by the most barbarous nations, i. 208, 224, 257.

Perpetually decay'd when it fell into the hands of one man, i. 219, 222, 224,

Its own prudence preserv'd it, i. 229,

Romans had three ways of dealing with conquer'd nations, i. 216.

What rais'd them above the rest of mankind, i. 282, 285.

They only fit to be fo, who thought nothing valuable but liberty, ii. 302.

Rome, whether that government was paternal, i. 64.

Overtheew all the monarchies within their reach, i. 64, 202, 228. Its extent at firft, i. 128, 220.

Wherein the excel'd other nations, i. 186, 221, 222,

i. 188, 392, 393. All that ever was defirable in her proceeded from liberty, i. 201.

Never produc'd a brave man fince the first age of her slavery, 1. 204.

How it was compos'd, i. 234.

Sought her grandeur by war, i. 240,

Her fortune when the became a monarchy, i. 242.

None fo free from crimes of wilful injustice, nor guilty of so few errors as fhe, i. 252.

Her generofity, i. 252.

The mildness of her government for 300 years, i. 254, 259, 260.

Struggling for liberty, at last was ruin'd by the Barbarians, i. 256, 257.

Not enflav'd when Brutus was kill'd, i. 301.

Was jealous of Valerius Publicola, and why, i. 309.

The peace the had under Augustus, i. 331.

When fill'd with blood and afhes, i. 333.

Her condition now, i. 374, 375. Suffer'd more by one villain, than by all the defeats receiv'd from Hannibal,

A perpetual fpring of brave and valiant men fo long as liberty lasted, i. 394. Remain'd in flavery notwithstanding the flaughter of Cælar, i. 36.

Her kings lands, after their expulsion, confecrated to Mars, i. 49.

Romulus, flain for aiming at too great an authority, i. 62.

How not made king by the people but by God, i. 94. ii. 209.

Laid the right of appeals to the people, as the foundation of his commonwealth, 1. 212.

How he temper'd the fierce humour of the people, ii. 217.

Rule, there must be one relating to the acquifition and exercise of power, i. 411. ii. 278.

The law of nature is that which God has given to things, ii. 29.

If any had been given by God and na. ture, it must have been from the beginning universal and perpetual, ii.

There is one which kings are oblig'd to follow, ii. 278, 279.

None can be so exact as to make provision against all disputes, ii. 307, 308.

Without it society cannot subfift, ii. 344. Men subject to none but that of their own reason, il. 377.

Rules,

Rules, fet to diftinguish between right and Saul, not made king by virtue of God's wrong in princes, i. 66.

By which men are govern'd, are nam'd laws, i. 104.

Not generally true, if there be any just exceptions against them, i. 140.

In politicks, some which ought always to be observ'd, i. 245, 271.

Observ'd in England as to point of succeffion, i. 340, 34 I.

Abeans, their kings, tho' obey'd in all things within their palace, yet might be fton'd without it, i. 158.

Sacred, not to be accounted so by crimes, i. 323, 324.

The stile given to the tribunes as well as monarchs, ii. 294.

Saliek law in France, i. 81, 339, 340,

424. ii. 149, 155. Has been in force above 1200 years, i.

Not without difficulties sufficient to subvert the polity of that kingdom. In-Stances thereof, ii. 167, 168.

Salmafius his flory of bees, i. 168. Salus Populi, Lex est suprema, i. 160. ii.

5, 6, 58, 127, 155, 157, 220, 229. To what this safety extends, ii. 127. The end for which governments are in-

flituted, ii. 131. Samuel was no king, for the Israelites ask'd

one of him, ii. 8. What he wrote in a book was not a law to the people but to the king, ii. 8.

Told them their folly and misery in asking a king, ii. 15, 27.

Not he, but God was rejected by them, i. 180. ii. 54. How he behav'd himfelf as head of the

Ifraelites, ii. 330.

Sanbedrin instituted by Moses, i. 175, 233. How permanent, i. 177

Always to be advisers of the Jewish kings, i. 417. ii. 84.

Where faid that kings can do nothing without them, ii. 25, 26.

For what end conflituted judges, ii. 26. Saul, his first fin by which he fell, i. 171,

Oppofing God's command he pretended to fulfil it, i. 192.

The effects of his various fits of fury, i. 191, 192. ii. 57. His vices never discover'd, till he was

on the throne, i. 370. Gave the Israelites no law, ii. 8.

Chosen king in the most democratical way, by lot, ii. 12, 18. How he overthrew his own right, it. 20. unction only, ii. 21.

Savoy, the duke, found out thirteen halves to be in every year, ii. 305.

Saxous, fet up kings and depos'd them as they pleas'd, ii. 87, 247, 248. The brave faying of king Offa, ii. 145,

278, 292.

Laws to which all our kings have fworn continue still in force among us, ii.

Severe affertors of their liberties and laws, ii. 210, 242, 265.

We chiefly derive our original and manners from them, ii. 242, 291.

Their affemblies the same in power with our parliaments, ii. 245, 246.

In their own country fcorn'd all employ-ments but that of the fword, ii. 260. By what names they and their general affemblies were call'd, ii. 260.

Came hither under Hengist and Horfa,

How they came to reform their manners, and frame laws, ii. 292.

Their great wisdom in making laws,

378, 379. Schoolmen, an unjust imputation on them, i. 5, 7, 20, 102.

To what a nicety they have mine'd oaths, ii. 137.

Scientes temporum, who, i. 391.

Scipio Africanus, the first that disdain'd, the power of the law, i. 253.

Scotland, the mischies brought upon it by their contests, i. 354. When and how conquer'd, i. 399.

Their little number of foot beat the king's army at Newborn, i, 401, 402. James the third, Lewis XI's apt scholar

in subverting the laws, &c. i. 422. Many of their kings punish'd with death, imprisonment and exile, ii. 341, 347.

Scripture, the places therein relating to government, how best interpreted, i. 26. What it fays concerning kings, i. 26,

172. ii. 47. The accounts there given concerning their

leaders, i. 172, 173. What it occasionally relates of the Babylonian and other monarchies, i. 334. Declares the necessity of setting bounds

to princes, i. 417. Is clear concerning the antiquity of laws,

Senate, their power, i. 24, 210. Julius Cæsar flain in it, i. 193. Set up by the people, i. 220. How expo 'd and deftroy'd, i. 223.

Condemn'd Nero to be put to death, I.

Kill'd Romulus, i. 287.

Senate, ftrangers admitted into that of Rome, Slaver, what tributes they are forc'd to pay." i. 288. Abrogated the power of the Decemviri, i. 316. The best judges, i. 321, 322. And people of Rome not to be brib'd, i. 368, 371. Of Rome like to be butcher'd, and for what, i. 332. Chosen for their virtues, i. 373. Of what constituent parts it may be compos'd, i. 433. The greatest part of them fell at the battle of Pharfalia, ii. 205. Of Sparta and Venice their great power, ii. 239. Senators and fervants employ'd in our publick affairs, ii. 369. Sedition, popular, i. 15. What, i. 313. What it implies, i. 142, 325. the law, i. 214, 215. Proceeding from malice, is feldom or never feen in popular governments, i. But always deteftable, i. 326. One of the greatest that ever was at Rome appeas'd, and how, i. 332. When justify'd by God and man, i. 314, 317, 319, 326. Most natural to absolute monarchies, ii, 326, 327. From Solomon's time the Jews perpetually vex'd with them, i. 327. To what some magistrates give this name, Seneff, the battle of it, i. 401. Servants of God, who, i. 96. Rais'd to high degees of honour, i. 110, None can be members of a commonwealth, i. 120, 140. Ship-money, Vid. Judges. Sbires, far more antient than Alfred's time, and what meant by them, ii. 256, Singulis major, universis minor, i. 319. ii. 74, 75. Slaves by nature, who, i. 7, 17, 56, 111, 160. ii. 37. Oftentimes advanc'd, i. 111, 204, 206, 148, 261. ii. 123. No members of the civil fociety, i. 216. Absolutely refign themselves to the will of others, and why, i. \$274, 275. What states become so to their protector,

i. 298.

ii. 71. What denotes a flave, ii. 126, 184, 185. What the true badges of flaves, ii. 175, 176. Sad, when the worst of them came to govern kings, ii. 388. Slavery, what to be understood by it, i. 17, 38, 39, 49. What it is accompany'd with, i. 186, 187, 248. The produce of it, i. 227, 228, 279. The Afiaticks underwent the greatest, ii. 16. A great part of the curse against Cham-and all his posterity, ii. 26. None of God's inftitution, ii. 28. What brings it upon any nation, together with its ruin, ii. 349. With a witness, ii. 367. Said to be occasion'd by learning, i. 184, Slotb in princes, the milerable effects thereof, i. 346. 197, 249.

None hurtful to Rome till men got above Smyrna, the defign of taking that fleet, is 403. From whence it arises, i. 309, 311, Societies, must in some measure diminish liberty, i. 37, 38, 44, 103, 104. Subfift only by order, i. 112, 133, 134. ii. 344. Civil, compos'd of equals, i. 118. How instituted, i. 130, 141, 1424 When once enter'd into, oblige all to the laws thereof, i. 141. Are maintain'd by mutual contracts, i. 427. Socrates put to death by false witnesses, i. Soil, kings not originally lords of it, ii. 262, 263, 290. Soldiers in scripture, there were as many to fight for their country, as there were able men to fight, i. 291. Every man is one against a publick enemy, The Grecian in the time of their virtue had no equals, i. 394. The Christian their obligation, ii. 181. Soldiers mercenary, overthrow all the laws of a country, i. 265, 428. Often betray their mafters in diftress, i. 280, 283. Several cities in Italy made their wars by them, i. 288. Always want fidelity or courage, i. 298. Sent to the wars by force, i. 400. And other villans fubdu'd the Syracufans, Spartans and Romans, i. 445. Soldiery, accounted a trade, i. 214. Solomon, his idolatry and oppression, i. 192. The bad effect of his magnificence, i. His peaceable reign, i. 330.

Solomon,

Solamon, overthrew the law given by Moles, Spartans, the poverty and famplicary of their

None will fay he was a tyrant, yet he was complain'd of by the people, ii.

His wisdom surpass'd that of all the people, ii. 322.

Sovereigns, impatiently bear competitors,

Majesty, the extravagance of it in Augustus Cæsar's time, ii. 206.

Sovereignty, remain'd in the Roman people, i. 211.

To whom the disposal of it must perpetually belong, ii. 277, 279.

Spain, has nothing fave Milan, but what is come to her by marriage, i. 307. The civil wars there, 1. 353, 354

The antient kingdoms of Spain hereditary, i. 158, ii. 149.

When one kingdom comprehended all Spain, 11. 157.

How the crown was difpre'd of according to the humour of the people, ii. 157, 158, 159.

The only title Isabel had to the crown, was deriv'd from illegitimation, ii. **167.** 

How the king may deferve the name of being head of his people, ii. 333.

Spaniards, their valour against the Carthaginians and Romans, and their overthrow by two lewd tyrants, i. 306. The condition to which they have re-

duc'd Naples, Sicily, the West-Indies, Gc. i. 376, 377.

Spartan kings, what they were subject to from their people, i. 154, 156, 157. Together with their power, i. 420.

Government in what it confifted, i. 293. Prefer'd by Xenophon to Athens, i.

249, 250. Fram'd a most severe discipline, i. 288. Never any fedition against their kings, i. 420.

Call'd an ariftocracy by all the Greek

Appointed limits to the power of their

kings, i. 436. ii. 309, 335. Had no law against adultery, and why, ii. 4:

Spartam, whom they brought from Thebes and Epirus to be their kings, i. 61.

Jealous of Lycurgus, and why, i. 309. Had kings before the times of Hercules and Achilles, i. 156.

Sacrific'd their lives in defence of their country, i. 285.

Never heard an enemy's trumpet for \$80 years, i. 289.

Whether descended from the Hebrews, and what power the collective body of the people had over them, i. 420. king, ii. 49.

Their legislature was in the people, ii.

Stability, the effect of good order in that which is good, i. 65, 189, 190. Wherein it confifts in man, i. 189.

There can be none in absolute kingdom,

i. 195, 331, 351, 353. Produces ftrength, i. 197. Not wanting in Venice, i. 200. Nor among the Romans, i. 201.

Star-chamber, its jurisdiction abolich'd, ii.

States-general, Vid. Holland.

Statutes, from whom they receive their authority and force, ii. 359. Vid. Acts of parliament.

Stipulations are not personal but national,

ii. 352. Suarez, his faying about Adam, i. 119. Subjection lineal, never antiently dreamt of. i. 116.

To the power, however sequir'd, i. 314. And protection are relatives, ii. 200.

Submiffion, all manner of it is a restraint of liberty, i. 271.

The nature and measure of it how to be determin'd, ii. 344.

Succession, no difference in religion said to be able to divert the right of it, i. 42. The eldest brother prefer'd before the fon, i. 158.

To the next in blood, i. 189. Of blood, the difeafe incident to it, is

Laws concerning it, i. 937. ii. 108. By the law of God and nature, i. 338. The various ways of it in leveral kingdoms, i. 339, 340.

The flaughters about it in France, i.

344, 345. Sometimes comes to monfters in cruelty, to children and fools, ii. 104, 105. The law gives the rule of it, ii. 112,

149, 150, 156. Five different ways of disposing of it, ii.

149, 150, 279, 280.

In Spin according to the pleafure of the nobility and people, ii. 157, 158.

Where in France 'tis like to be queffion'd, if not overthrown by the house of Auffria, ii. 163.

Contests will arise concerning it, how exactly foever it be difpos'd of by law,

No footsteps of any regular one, either by inheritance or election, ii. 210.

Of the crown fettled by parliament, if.

Several questions arising from that which is hereditary, ii. 270.

Seperiority's

S

vounger brother, i. 46.

Not in nature, but in virtue, i. 105,

Supplications and remonstrances, where to be us'd, ii. 340.

Supreme, the extent of the word, i. 317, 318, 321, 451, 452, 453. ii. 189, 190, 236.

Power has been enjoy'd in the fullest extent by fuch as never had the name of king, ii. 98.

Where there was a refervation of this power in the people, ii. 114.

Sweden, the blood-royal not to marry out of the country, or without confent of the states, i. 340.

How the inheritance to the crown is fettled there, ii. 150, 277.

Election the best title to it, ii. 153,

Their laws but few, ii. 222.

Who the nobility of that country, ii.

What Charles Guffavus told an ambaffathere, ii. 277.

Switzers, the 13 Cantons, how long they have enjoy'd more peace than any other flate of Europe, i. 295, 296. None more free from popular feditions,

i. 296.

The laws of their country read over in a fgw hours, ii. 222.

How they use their delegates, ii. 369,

Sword, where left as an inheritance to families, i. 193.

The right of appeals overthrown by it, 1. 212.

He that draws it against bis prince, ought to throw away the scabbard, i. 311. Of justice, and of war, i. 313. ii. 66,

When the only law that governs, i. 333,

378. A way of killing worfe than that of the fword, i. 375.

To what end fwords were given to men, ii. 38.

The use of the civil as well as military, equally condemn'd by the first Chriflians, ii. 66, 67.

Of power, in all forts of governments, ii. 78, 79.

So to be us'd that nations may live peaceably, ii. 84.

What meant by this word, ii. 84, 85. Of juffice comprehends the legislative and executive power, ii. 85.

What the military fignifies, ii. 85, 86. Queftions about title to crowns often determin'd this way, ii. 167.

Superiority, given to Mofes, who was the Sword, where edicts are hefitated at by the parliament at Paris, this power has been made use of to compel, ii. 384. Sylla, the crimes of his life, and miferies

of his death, i. 360, 361.

If not a tyrant, there never was any in the world, i. 378.

Refigns his power, tho' too late for Rome's recovery, i. 378.

Acitus, his fad account of Rome, i. 100, 204, 207, 277, 255, 279. Speaks of the burden of absolute power, i. 123.

Mentions a fort of kings us'd by the Romans to keep nations in fervitude to them, i. 210.

When he fays the Roman laws grew innumerable, i. 222.

Tamerlane faid he was not a man, but the scourge of God, and the plague of mankind, ii. 132.

Tarquin, the expulsion of him from Rome, i. 20, ii. 209.

The first that reign'd fine justu populi, i. 137.

Came in it by treachery and murder, i. 201, 220, 246, 321.

How the people deliver'd themselves from him, i. 236, 273, 274, 358,

What follow'd from his being expel'd, i. 310. ii. 36.

His counsel concerning the poppies, i. 342.

Taxes, upon what accounts to be given, but not to oppress the people, it. 50. Tenants, how they now look upon their

lords, ii. 312, 313.
Tenure, none in England owes any but by virtue of a contract, made either by himself or his predecestors, ii. 41.

Those of turpitude now abolish'd, ii. 42.

Of the commons as antient as many of the nobilities, ii. 251.

Tertullian, several sayings out of his apologeticks, ii. 66, 67.

The defign of his apology and treatife to Scapula, ii. 67, 68.

Calls the whole people of Carthage, an-tiquitate nobiles, nobilitate felices, ii. 261.

Thanestry, the law of it, i. 158. Themistocles, his character, i. 250.

His envy and fpite to Ariftides, i. 250. All the citizens of Athens able to bear arms went along with him against Xerxes, i. 285.

A great and true faying of his, i. 362.

Tiberius, his reign an uninterrupted feries Treason, persons executed as traitors for of murders, fabornations, perjuries,

Affum'd the name of Cafar without any title, ii. 62.

His deteftable luft describ'd by Tacitus, ii. 98, 99. To what counsellors he only inclin'd,

H. 130.

Time, changes nothing, i. 308, 394.

Can make nothing lawful and just that is not fo of itself, ii. 241.

Titles, of the first kings came not from paternity, i. 54, 442, 443. Of princes, deriv'd from murders, &c.

i. 65, 69.

Some would not have them examin'd into, i. 66, 314.

Hobbes of another opinion, i. 315. Instances of ours in particular, ii. 272, 273.

Of civility have no power to create a right of dominion, i. 128.

Disputes about them by what power to be determin'd, i. 160, 161. ii. 169. Thought good if the princes could cor-

rupt two or three legions, i. 333. No emperor had a better than what he got by money or violence, i. 333.

To dominion by whom confer'd, i. 442. The fordid ways of attaining them in our days, ii. 251.

On whom those of offices were antiently confer'd, ii. 251.

In what just ones do confist, ii. 269. Several ways to overthrow most of them, ii. 271, 272.

Kings, where inftanc'd in to have no other than what was confer'd on them by the people, ii. 277.

Whatever is given to the chief magistrate, he can have no other power than what is given him by the people and the laws, ii. 285.

The most swelling and august, to whom given, ii. 294, 312.

Trade, subservient to the end of war, i. 288, 290.

Spartans banish'd all the curious arts that are useful to it, i. 288, 289.

Trajan, his expedition into the East, i.

Bitterly derided for his clemency by Tertullian, and why, ii. 92.

Whom he bid to use the sword for or against him, as he reign'd well or ill, ii. 281, 375:

Treason, the principal part of Trefilian's, was his opinion that kings might diffolve parliaments at their pleasure, it 317.

things done by the king's command, ii. 357.

Tis enacted, that to kill the king is fo, and to be punish'd with death, ii. 38z.

Treaties, the king's name always us'd in them, tho' they are children, or otherwife incapable, but yet they oblige them, their fucceffors and people, ii.

If parliaments should make ignominious ones, when the session is ended, they must bear the burden as much as others, ii. 379.

T

Tu

Tu

Tribes, the ten, why they did not return to the house of David, ii. 24. Tribunes of the people, their creation, i.

240, 358. Military, with a consular power, i. 240,

How Cæsar corrupted them, i. 241, 264. The most dangerous sedition compos'd by them, i. 310.

Threaten the dictator with a great fine, 1. 452.

Esteem'd sacred and inviolable, ii. 294. Tribute, the ancient Jews scrupled paying it to the emperors, ii. 62.

What understood by that name, ii. 62,

We owe none but what we freely give, ii. 71.

Triumvirate, first fet up by Pompey, i. 378. Truft, what the greatest that can be repos'd in men, ii. 238.

Where that repos'd in kings has been misemploy'd, ii. 258.

How kings are faid to dispense with it out of the publick flock, ii. 318. Vid. Kings.

Truth, no consequence can destroy it, i. q. Is comprehended by examining principles, i. 12. ii. 207.

Is the rule of justice, i. 57.

Our thoughts ought ever to be guided by it, i. 58, 116.

The knowledge of it makes men wife,

Can never be repugnant to justice, i. 120. What are real truths grounded on the laws of God and nature, i. 153.

Kings seldom hear it, till they are ruin'd by lies, i. 406.

Can never be made too evident in matters of importance, ii. 156.

Of absolute necessity to keep the tongue from falshood, ii. 170.

The bond of union, ii. 174.

They that enquire for it, must not deny or conceal any thing, ii. 266. Can feldom or ever conduce to mischief,

11. 325.

Tryal,

.

n

to

i.

٥,

e,

ng

2,

ve,

8.

s'd

en

it it

ded

ife,

20.

the

in'd

nat-

igue

deny

nief,

ryal,

122, 241, 447.

Trial, Vid. Low. Tyrants, obedience faid to be due to then Once acquitted, not question'd a second time for the same fact, i. 426. from the fifth commandment, i. 1004 The difference between lawful kings and In France the king can't be present at them, i. 122, 410. any, for no man can be judg'd if he Few go to their graves in peace, i. 123, be, ii. 351. Here is faid to be coram rege, but it 360, 361, 430. ii. 36, 105. Many would refift but cannot, i. 147. Deftroy'd by one another, i. 193, 194; must only be according to the law of the land, ii. 354. Tumults, where they do no hurt, i. 187. 327, 348, 349. ii. 116, 132, 133. When they reign, the virtuous are un-regarded, i. 201, 202. From whence they arife, i, 309. Fear and abbor all men of reputation of What, i. 314. Among the Hebrews, i. 329, 330. virtue, i. 223, 321, 347, 380. Of Rome, their difference from fome All evils come in with them, i. 126. of our battles, i. 359. Deftroy'd by their own people, i. 235s What reigns are most accompany'd with them, i. 347, 373. Turks, all the royal brethren expos'd to be The thirty of Athens, i. 251, 315. Said exuife hominem, i. 273, 319. Confider nations as grafiers do their herds, deftroy'd by the Sultan, i. 127. Their greatest strength confists in chil-1. 3854 dren that do not know their own fa-A virtuous man could scarce die in his bed under them, i. 256. thers, 1. 279. How they came by their ruin, i. 377. Among the most virtuous nations every When the Germans fled to them for man might lawfully kill them, i. 315, protection, ii. 324. 410, 447. u. 133. Tuscamy, when one of the most flourishing Tyranni fine titulo, i. 315. ii. 299. Extrajudicial proceedings must be someprovinces in the world, now to how low an ebb reduc'd, ii. 304. Tyranny, how it may be weaken'd, i. 10, times against them, i. 324. What may be expected from them, i. 186, 187. 385. Abhorr'd by the laws of God and man, None fuch upon Filmer's principles, i. i. 69, 360, 361, 417. Brought ruin to those subjected thereto, 410. ii. 125, 126, 129, 131, 133. To what excelles of cruelty their feat 1. 104. drives them, i. 412. To impose laws arbitrarily, i. 151, 152. Whom Aristotle accounts such, i. 417. Can create no right, i. 156. Who faid to have laid the foundations of Is empire gain'd by violence, i. 157. The occasion of revolts, i. 218. tyranny, i. 430. Do many mischiefs, and suffer more, i. All had their beginning from corruption, 430, 437. i. 262, 327. Introduc'd by the worst of men, i. 273. Their life miserable, death infamous, and memory deteftable, i. 439. Whether the whole course of their actions The overthrow of Spain, i. 306. do well fuit with the facred name of The people miserable under all, i. 319, father, ii. 2, 3, 4, 5, 132. 379, 380. Never founded on contracts, ii. 14. Some in removing them have cut tyranny by the roots, ii. 36. When it began to become odious, ii. 59, No name for one in any of the oriental Where it is very cruel, a nation can't tongues, ii. 53. He's no more than an evil or corrupted fubnift, unless it be corrected or supmonarch, ii. 54, 117. prefs'd, ii. 116. No obedience due to any of them, ii. 100. To fet it up, is to abolish kings, ii. 134. When kings are faid to degenerate into Is the death of a flate, ii. 340. fuch, ii. 119, 120. Not the tyrant, but tyranny must be Set themselves up against all laws, ii. destroy'd, ii. 349. Tyranny with a mischief, ii. 367. Call'd fo, because they have no rights. Tyrants, how they have been accounted, ii. 133. i. 15, 54, 100, 101, 248. The first king a cruel one, and call'd Are the worst of all God's creatures, ii. the mighty hunter, i. 31, 190. Speak always in the fingular Number, Confult only their own greatness, i. 69, ii. 267.

Ff

Virtue, by what meam it becomes popular, T Alour, the Roman was for the good i. 364, 365. Those hated and feared who most excel of their country, i. 202, 203. By the excellence of it the greatest powers in the world were subdued by the Romans, i. 214. Venality, natural to courts, i. 260, 261, 262, 361, 362. Looks always after the best bargain, i. Venetians, of what they are composed, i. 234, 320. Relying on trade and mercenary foldiers too much, are forced to depend on foreign potentates, ii. 288, 291. Their too great inclinations to peace accounted a mortal error in their conftitution, ii. 292. Venice, the dukes, though stiled supreme, yet are so under the power of the law, that divers have been put to death for transgreffing it,i.318.ii.309,310,336. Vice, mankind inclined to it, i. 203, 261. Their noblemens love for the commonwealth, i. 358. Concerning the council of ten, ii. 281. Verdict of juries, in this confifts the ftrength of every judgment, ii. 224. Fertue, what, i. 383. What requisite in kings, i. 48, 58, 67, 70, 105, 111. Gave birth to the Grecian governments, i. 61. Gives a natural preference of one man above another, i. 102, 123. And also to children, i. 125. Carries the true marks of fovereignty, i. 108, 114, 183. Never continues in any race of men, i. 127, 334, 370, 372. ii. 228, 361. Effentially necessary for preserving of liberty, i. 186, 206, 395. When perfecuted, few will follow it, i. 204. No way to real honour without it, i. 211, 364, 395. Not to be confidered when it is departed from, i. 251. When attended with certain deftruction, i. 254, 255, 384, 394. ii. 81. The Roman, of being as a law to themfelves, in. 259. Whose will be remembered in all ages, i. 273, 302, 325, 326, 393. Makes as many foldiers as there are freemen, i. 283, 393. Overcomes all difficulties, i. 285, 301. And firength make and preferve con-

quests, i. 307.

ing it, i. 325.

the principle, i. 328, 329.

in it, i. 369.
Hated for its own fake, i. 383.
Expires with loss of liberty, i. 393.
Given where God pleases without diffine. tion, i. 407. Where it has the advantage, there can be no arbitrary power, i. 438. Once blemished, makes former services forgot, ii. 100. Who encourage it most, ii. 174. Makes the distinction between men, fimply or relatively confidered, i. 202. Men are truly ennobled by it only, ii, 251. Those that are enemies to her, and fear not God, are afraid of men, ii. 325. The virtues of a man die with him, ii, 361. The effects of it to a nation, i. 204, 205, 312, 328, 350. May be profitable to private men, but can 264. .300. i. 392. 11. 48. 92, 314, 315. 321, 322. 365, 366. He that hath virtue and power to fave a people, can never want a right of do-Can never long uphold what is vitious in

never be so to the government, i. 262. Its deformity when most conspicuous, i. What England has loft by her vices, i. Those of princes result to the damage of the people, i. 357, 383, 387. The vermin that attend vicious princes, By what means, and to what end, the vices of princes have been fomented, Vicious persons, they will submit to any power that promises them impunity, Care not what they do, if they can find their account in it, i. 175, 176. Villains, little better than flaves, appointed to cultivate the lands, and to other fervile offices, ii. 257, 258. Villainy, where it has been promoted to fupreme dignity, i. 84, 365. What it inspires to attain its end, i. 59, Tarquin hated only for his villainies, i. None, that men of desperate fortunes will not undertake, i. 328. Supported by committing yet more, i. What Rome suffered by it, i. 377. Vitellius thrown into the common sewer, 1. 331, 332. ii. 96. His contemptible character, i. 337. Ulpian his saying, that princeps legibus non tenetur, concludes nothing against us, Union;

U

Union ; fimilitude of interests, manners and War, the Hebrew government fitted them defigns, is a link of it, i. 270. United Provinces, fo fleddy in practice and principle, as hardly to be paralleled in the world, 1. 294.
How the deputies are used there, ii. 369, 370. Have had dukes, earls or marqueffes, ii. 371. Portigern, the last and worst of the British kings, ii. 249. His favour to the Saxons, and carriage to the Britons, il. 264.

Vex populi eft wax Dei, i. 95.

an

m-

ii.

eat

25.

61.

04,

can

12.

8, i.

s, i.

ge of

nces,

, the

nted,

o any

unity,

n find

ointed

other

tofu-

i. 59,

nies, I.

ortunes

pore, i.

ewer, I.

ibus non

inft us,

Union ;

Nothing more natural than to follow the voice of all mankind, i. 153. il. 342. General confent is the voice of nature, 1. 37, 39, 271.

The consequence of one voice in each ftate, i. 430, 431.

Usurpation made the first king, i. 31, 33,

Grounding pretentions of right from thence, i. 40, 53, 55, 62, 65, 84, 92, 135, 183. Juftified, i. 84.

The greatest injury can be done to man, i. 135, 273, 315.

What power is fo, i. 151, 152. By whom bid to submit to it, i. 314. Lawful for an injured people to refume

their own, i. 323. And violence, faid to confer an inconteftable right, i. 410. ii. 2.

All is deteftable and abominable, ii. 78. None can deduce any title from it, ii. 270, 271.

Usurpers, Athaliah an usurpress, i. 82. Have their root in violence and fraud, i. 144, 238.

Seem to be born for plagues to mankind, 1. 210.

What lawful against one is lawful against all, that is, to get rid of them, i.315.

May be suppressed as enemies and robbers, 11. 17.

Some that never were conquerors, ii. 77. Declare their contempt of all human and divine laws, ii. 183.

We ought to examine the titles fe as to judge of them, ii. 270.

y, the cruelty of it, i. 209 The mitigation of it composed intestine quarrels, i. 358.

T Antonness, from whence it proceeds, 1. 217. War and peace, the kings of Sparta never had the power of either, i. 156, 157. Transacted by the collected body of the people, i. 174-173.

for war, i. 253, 291. Of Charles duke of Burgundy with the

Swifs cantons, i. 293.

Better performed in popular governments than in monarchies, i. 303.

It is decertatio per vim, i. 314. When the people may engage in it july,

i. 314, 315. What to be accounted making of war, ii. 18, 19.

Differs much from what it was formerly, n. 58.

There is such a thing among men as a just war, and why, ii. 78.

Kings of Judah could not make any without the consent of the Sanhedrin, ii. 85.

Whether a subject may examine if it be just or unjust, ii. 181.

Where the cause of it is originally just, and it proves successful, what right the generals have over their enemies, ii. 283.

The events of it various, ii. 298. Wars, civil, only made by members of the

None till the times of Marius, Sylla and

Catiline, i. 216. Esteemed the last strugglings of expiring liberty, i. 216.

The root of the Romans, what, i. 231. In France, i. 242, 243, 352, 353.

From whence they arife, i. 309, 354, 355. Pretences for them commonly falle, i.

312. When they will always be frequent, i.

347.

What reigns most accompanied with them, i. 348, 349.

In Spain, i. 353.

More in kingdoms than in commonwealths, i. 357, 373, 377. Not the greatest evil that befals nations,

1. 372.

The Romans and Grecians glory in freeing their countries from a civil war, i.

398, 399. In Machiavel's account are a disease, ii.

Wards, that court, how the inflitution of it was perverted, i. 88.

Westminster, what its privileges in point of elections, ii. 318.

Wickedness makes men cowards, i. 412. Will, is ever drawn by fome real good, or

the appearance of it, i. 64. The dividing of the world lett to the will of man, i. 75.

Passing for law, the effects of it, i. 194, 410, 411, 447. 11. 378.

Will,

up an interest separate from that of his people, i. 439.

William the firft, elected à clero & populo, i. 145. ii. 88, 89.

Could inherit nothing, i. 156.

On what conditions fworn to be received, ii. 144. In his time our ancestors were in a low

condition, ii. 252.

Wildom, not always annexed to the character of kings, i. 86,

When men give testimony of it, i. 87. Whose we ought to admire and imitate,

The wifest men most fit for government, i. 187.

Of man imperfect, i. 244.

A kind of ability to dispatch some fort of affairs, is fo called, i. 407.

Of the collected body of the people furpaffes that of a fingle man, i. 386. Witnesses, falle, encouraged, and called

cuftodes legum, i. 204, 261. No fufficient defence against them either

by the laws of God or man, i. 251. Ministers of iniquity, i. 306, 388. Countenanced at Rome, i. 375

The best triounals in the world may be mifled by them, i. 413.

Irish, i. 204.

Witteng-Gemote, its power as declared by Camden, ii, 252.

The power of the nation refided in them, ii. 256, 316, 317.

Women, on what account they are excluded in France and Turkey, i. 80, 159, 339. ii. 150, 152, 280.

And were by other nations, i. 81, 82. Some do admit them, i. 159, 339.

What have governed kings, but feldon fenates or popular affemblies, i. 346, 361, 362,

What mischies kings have been guilty of to gratify them, ii. 363.

Work, that of all magistrates and governors, i. 96, 106.

Worft men advanced, and most ambitious to be fo, i. 89, 100, 101, 111, 112,

And by whom preferred, i. 265, 268, 269, 273.

Stiled fathers of their people, i. 95,997. Have their tools to execute their deteltable defigns, i. 225.

Will, where this is the rule, the prince fets Woof men, tyranny fet up by them, I,

273-276. Engaged in all tumults, i. 329, 330. Most frequently have obtained the empire by the worft means, i. 337.

Their principles ought to be deffroyed, i. 364.

Eafily (wallow bribes, 1. 366, 367, 371. Have most power in some courts, 1.369,

How princes become fo, as also the most miserable, i. 439.

Delight in the work things, il. 30. Whom they had no need to fear, ii. 8r. What base courses they take to gain the

favour of weak and vicious princes, ii. 105.

What encourages them to murder the best of princes, ii. 276,

Worthy men in all times suspected for their virtue, i. 204, 205

Ought to be had in highest veneration, ii. 213.

Wrang, is a breach of the laws, which determine what is right, i. 411. If there be none done, there can be no

revenge, i. 411. Where kings can do none, ii. 355.

T Emophon, why he called Agefilaus a good and faithful king, i. 155. Conducted the Grecians retreat in despite of above 400000 men, who endeavoured to oppose them, i. 198. His opinion concerning tyranny, i. 247. For ariflocracy, i. 248, 249, 276. Xernes, his folly in inflicting ftripes on the fea, i. 86 His invation of Greece, i. 285.

T Nea Garcilaffo of Peru, the fabulots flory of him, i. 335 Younger brothers preferred to the elder, i, 45, 46, 162.

Eal, excess of violence is but an ill tellimony of it, i. 293. When it can never be capable of exces, 11. 18. Zimri, his title to the supreme honour how acquired, i. 58. Zoroafter, Supposed to be Ham, i. 53.

